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Zusammenfassung

Abstract
New stratigraphical and ammonite distribution data are given based on bed-by-bed fauna collecting in the Lower Aptian of the Ulijanovsk region (Volga River, Russian Platform). Volgoceratoides and Koeneniceras – two new small-size heteromorph ammonites – are described as a result of revision. It is recognised that the ammonite taxa from the basal Lower Aptian of the Ulijanovsk region show great resemblance with North German sections. Two parallel zonal schemes, based on the development of the families Deshayesitidae and Ancyloceratidae, are offered. Those groups of ammonites occupied a different ecological position and therefore the schemes characterise different bathymetrical regions of the same basin.

1. Introduction

Aptian sections of the Ulijanovsk (Simbirsk) region in the Volga River, Russian Platform, are known because of the extended outcrops and well-preserved ammonites, which are preserved in limy and siderite concretions. Although the investigations of the Aptian deposits and fauna from this region have a long history, the most important works appeared in the 20th century (Sasonova, 1958; Ronov, Sasonova & Khain, 1964; Sasonova & Sasonov, 1967; Glasunova, 1961, 1963, 1973). Recently Baraboshkin and co-workers restudied several sections be-

*) Authors' address: I.A. MIKHAILOVA, E.J. BARABOSHKIN: Geological Faculty, Moscow State University, Leninskie Gory, 119992, Moscow, Russia.
between Ulijanovsk and Saratov in Middle Povolzhie (Baraboshkin, 1998; Baraboshkin et al., 1999). These works allowed us to define the Barremian/Aptian boundary, distinguish Aptian Substages in the region and to revise the ammonite stratigraphy. However, familiarisation with the extensive ammonite collections of V.M. Efimov, I.A. Shumilkin, G.N. Uspensky, V.A. Krisheev from Ulianovsk led G.K. Kabanov and M.O. Agafonov (Moscow) to re-investigate our own collections. Productive work with T.N. Bogdanova (VSEGEI), spurred us to work out the new ammonite zonal scheme and to start a revision of the ammonite fauna. In this work we focus on some stratigraphical problems and on the revision of two small heteromorphs, which seem to be new genera.

2. Stratigraphy

2.1. Overview

We used the stratigraphical subdivisions described in the work of Baraboshkin (1998) and reproduced in the work of Baraboshkin and co-authors (Baraboshkin et al., 1999). Members I to VII belong to the Lower Aptian in accordance with those works (Text-Fig. 1).

Member I, clayey-sandy in composition, sharply thins in southward direction (from Ulijanovsk City to Sengiley Town) because of unconformities and condensation in its base and top (softgrounds and erosional surfaces respectively). Ammonites were not found in this interval and the age was determined by the disappearance of the belemnite Oxyteuthis and dinocyst and magnetostratigraphical data (Baraboshkin et al., 1999).

Member II is more clayey. It is characterised by the only find of one ammonite near the top in the Ulijanovsk section. Although the ammonite assemblage is very poor in the Ulianovsk region, it becomes much richer southward from Ulijanovsk City to Sengiley Town because of unconformities and condensation in its base and top (softgrounds and erosional surfaces respectively). Ammonites were not found in this interval and the age was determined by the disappearance of the belemnite Oxyteuthis and dinocyst and magnetostratigraphical data (Baraboshkin et al., 1999).

Member II is more clayey. It is characterised by the only find of one ammonite near the top in the Ulijanovsk section. Although the ammonite assemblage is very poor in the Ulianovsk region, it becomes much richer southward from Ulijanovsk City to Sengiley Town because of unconformities and condensation in its base and top (softgrounds and erosional surfaces respectively). Ammonites were not found in this interval and the age was determined by the disappearance of the belemnite Oxyteuthis and dinocyst and magnetostratigraphical data (Baraboshkin et al., 1999).

Member Il is more clayey. It is characterised by the only find of one ammonite near the top in the Ulijanovsk section. Although the ammonite assemblage is very poor in the Ulianovsk region, it becomes much richer southward from Ulijanovsk City to Sengiley Town because of unconformities and condensation in its base and top (softgrounds and erosional surfaces respectively). Ammonites were not found in this interval and the age was determined by the disappearance of the belemnite Oxyteuthis and dinocyst and magnetostratigraphical data (Baraboshkin et al., 1999).

Member II is more clayey. It is characterised by the only find of one ammonite near the top in the Ulijanovsk section. Although the ammonite assemblage is very poor in the Ulianovsk region, it becomes much richer southward from Ulijanovsk City to Sengiley Town because of unconformities and condensation in its base and top (softgrounds and erosional surfaces respectively). Ammonites were not found in this interval and the age was determined by the disappearance of the belemnite Oxyteuthis and dinocyst and magnetostratigraphical data (Baraboshkin et al., 1999).

Member III generally consists of clays and contains the only find of Deshayesites cf. tenuicosatus (v. Koenen, 1902). The top of this member is eroded in the Ulijanovsk region. The succession is more complete southward from Ulijanovsk and the transition to the oil shale member of IV is more gradual in the Khavalynsk-Saratov regions. There, in the south, we found the first Deshayesites volgensis Sasonova, 1958 and D. sp. 2 to 3 m below the top of member III.

Member IV consists of oil shales with an interrupted layer of diageneric carbonate concretions (“Aptian slab” horizon of Sasonova, 1958; Glasunova, 1973), which contains numerous juvenile and adult ammonites. The ammonite assemblage includes Deshayesites gracilis Casey, 1964, D. volgensis Sasonova, 1958, D. consobrinoides (Sinzow, 1899), D. saxbyi Casey, 1964, D. sp., Paradeshayesites calidicus (Casey, 1961), P. imitator (Glasunova, 1968) (see revision in Bogdanova & Mikhailova, 1999) and Sinzovia trautscholdi (Sinzow, 1870). Heteromorph ammonites are small and rare: Volgoceratoides schilovkensis gen. et sp. nov. (Pl. I, Fig. 4–6, 9), Koenicerica tenuiplicatum (v. Koenen, 1902) (Pl. 1, Fig. 1–3, 10), K. sp. nov. Member V is built up mainly from dark clays with the ammonites Deshayesites multituberculatus Swinnerton, 1935, D. consobrinoides (Sinzow, 1899), D. sp., Paradeshayesites sengileyensis (Sasonova, 1958), P. imitator (Glasunova, 1968), P. topley (Spall, 1930), D. similis Bogdanova, 1991. The heteromorph ammonite assemblage includes Ancyloceras matheronianum D’Orbigny, 1842 (Pl. 1, Fig. 7), A. mantelli Casey, 1960, Lithoceras aff. grandis (J. de C. Sowerby, 1829), L. glibi I. Mikhailova & Baraboshkin, 2001, L. iogori I. Mikhailova & Baraboshkin, 2001, L. russiensi I. Mikhailova & Baraboshkin, 2001, L. tirolensis I. Mikhailova & Baraboshkin, 2001. They were found mainly in the upper part of the member. Previously we supposed that there could be a gap in this member in the Ulijanovsk section (Baraboshkin et al., 1999), because we did not confirm finds of Ancyloceras matheronianum in this section. Recent collecting of this ammonite by I.A. Shumilkin and G.N. Uspensky supports the presence of the Ancyloceras interval in the Ulijanovsk section, which is half as thick as the Sengiley section.

Member VI has a silty-clayey composition and contains two levels with different ammonite assemblages. The Deshayesitidae ammonite assemblage is uniform for the whole interval: Deshayesites aff. rarecostatus Bogdanova, Kantaliani & Scharikadze, 1979, D. sp., Paradeshayesites sengileyensis (Sasonova, 1958) and Cheloniceras ex gr. cornuelianum (D’Orbigny, 1841). Several specimens of nautiloid Cymatoceras aff. bifurcatum (Ooster, 1858), C. karakashi Shimansky, 1975, C. cf. karakashi Shimansky, 1975 were also found there. Two different ammonite assemblages were determined in the member. The lower one contains Praoustraliceras tuberculatum* (Sinzow, 1870) (= Ancyloceras simbirkensik J Asykov sensu Lahusen, 1974; = Australiceras simbirkense (Sinzow, 1872) sensu Glasunova, 1973, Baraboshkin, 1999) (Pl. 2, Fig. 1–2). "A. rossicus" (Sasonova, 1958 non Casey; = "Crioceras gracile"; Sinzow, 1905), "A." sp., Praoustraliceras laticeps (Sinzow, 1905), Toxoceratoides sp., Praoustraliceras pavlovii (Vassilievsky, 1908), while the upper one includes Audouliceras renauxianum (D’Orbigny, 1842) (Pl. 2, Fig. 3) and Toxoceratoides ex gr. royanianus (D’Orbigny, 1842). * Australiceras (Praoustraliceras) we consider as a separate genus as it was, in fact, convincingly shown by Bengtson & Kakabadze (1999).

Text-Fig. 1.

Scheme of localities and the Ulijanovsk, Kremenki, and Sengiley sections.

1 = sands; 2 = silts; 3 = laminated sandy clays; 4 = clays; 5 = oil shales; 6 = clayey limestones; 7 = limestones; 8 = bioturbation; 9 = siderite concretions; 10 = sulphide concretions; 11 = phosphorites; 12 = shell debris; 13 = contacts: a: softgrounds, b: erosional surfaces; 14 = member No. (after Baraboshkin, 1998).

15–20 = finds of fauna: 15 = Deshayesites; 16 = Volgoceratoides/Koenericeras; 17 = Audouliceras; 18 = Audouliceras/Praoustraliceras; 19 = Tropaeum; 20 = Oxyteuthis.

21 = stratigraphic lines between a: stages/substages, b: members and c: beds.
It is very probable that Glasunova’s “Australiceras” apticum, “A.” altum, based on “A.” rossicus, “A.” solidum and “A.” jasykowi (Sinzow, 1905), which should be referred to Proaustraliceras, characterise the lower part of this member, but their reality needs further investigations.

In southward direction this member becomes more and more sandy. In the Saratov region it is represented by cross-bedded sands and sandstones, which contain quite rare large Deshayesites and do not contain any heteromorphs.

Member VII is the uppermost Lower Aptian member of rhythmical alternation of cross-bedded silts and clays with the rare giant ammonites Tropaeum (Tropaeum) bowerbanki J. de C. Sowerby, 1837 (Pl. 1, Fig. 8) and T. sp. This member becomes increasingly sandy towards south.

2.2. Interpretation

We now think that a phylogenetically “uniform” biostratigraphical scheme is preferable to the synthetic Deshayesitid-Ancyloceratid-Douvilleiceratid zonation, which is usually used for Lower Aptian biostratigraphy (Casey, 1961, 1960–1980; Casey, Bayliss & Simpson, 1998; Kemper, 1967, 1995 and many others including the authors) because of three major reasons:

1) Different groups of the mentioned ammonites took different ecological (and bathymetrical) positions in the basin (Westermann, 1990; Bengtson & Kakabadze, 1999), which strongly affected their faciational distribution (see in particular, Kakabadze, 1981).

2) The distribution of Deshayesitidae and Ancyloceratidae was additionally controlled by temperature: Deshayesites, Paradeshayesites Proaustraliseras, Ancyloceras*, the new genera Volgoceratoides and Koeneniceras are found only in the Northern Hemisphere, while Audouliceras and Tropaeum are recognised in both hemispheres (Day, 1969; Klinger & Kennedy, 1977; Kakabadze, 1981; Bengtson & Kakabadze, 1999), where they occupy two belts in the temperate latitudes.

*) We strongly doubt that the Ancyloceras found in Zululand (Klinger & Kennedy, 1977) are really Ancyloceras.
2) The Deshayesites Volgoceratoides Zone (member II and the lower part of member III): Deshayesites tenuicostatus (v. Koenen, 1902), D. ex gr. tenuicostatus (v. Koenen, 1902), D. bodei (v. Koenen, 1902) and D. aff. bodei (v. Koenen, 1902), D. sp. The ammonite assemblage is very similar to the Deshayesites tenuicostatus Zone in Kemper’s sense (1967, 1973). However, the Zone cannot be split into Bodei Zone and Tenuicostatus Zones (Kemper, 1995), because in the Saratov region both index-species were found together without any sign of reworking. The presence of both index-species defines their biostatigraphical position and makes possible a correlation with the Fissicostatus Zone of England (CASEY, 1960–1980, 1961) and Bodei–Tenuicostatus Zones of Germany (KEMPER, 1967, 1973, 1995). Correlation with the Turkmenerian and North Caucasian Successions (BOGDANOVA, 1978; BOGDANOVA & MIKHAILOVA, 1999) and SE France (DELANOY, 1995a, 1997) is more difficult because of the absence of ammonites. The Deshayesitid zonation is as follows:

1) Deshayesites tenuicostatus Zone (member II and the lower part of member III): Deshayesites tenuicostatus (v. Koenen, 1902), D. ex gr. tenuicostatus (v. Koenen, 1902), D. bodei (v. Koenen, 1902) and D. aff. bodei (v. Koenen, 1902), D. sp. The ammonite assemblage is very similar to the Deshayesites tenuicostatus Zone in Kemper’s sense (1967, 1973). However, the Zone cannot be split into Bodei Zone and Tenuicostatus Zones (Kemper, 1995), because in the Saratov region both index-species were found together without any sign of reworking. The presence of both index-species defines their biostatigraphical position and makes possible a correlation with the Fissicostatus Zone of England (CASEY, 1960–1980, 1961) and Bodei–Tenuicostatus Zones of Germany (KEMPER, 1967, 1973, 1995). Correlation with the Turkmenerian and North Caucasian Successions (BOGDANOVA, 1978; BOGDANOVA & MIKHAILOVA, 1999) and SE France (DELANOY, 1995a, 1997) is more difficult because of the absence of ammonites.

2) The Deshayesites volgensis Zone (top of member III – member V) consists of two ammonite levels, which probably will be divided as subzones in the future. Members III–IV contain Deshayesites gracilis CASEY, 1964, D. volgensis SASONOVA, 1958, D. consobrinoides (SINZOW, 1898), D. saxbyi CASEY, 1964, D. sp., Paradeshayesites callidiscus (CASEY, 1961), P. imitator (GLASUNOVA, 1968). The position of this interval could be compared with the upper part of the Forbesi Zone of England by the presence of Deshayesites gracilis, D. saxbyi and Paradeshayesites callidiscus (CASEY, 1960–1980, 1961). The other deshayesitids are known from the Russian Platform. Deshayesites volgensis SASONOVA (1958) is very close to Deshayesites forbesi CASEY (1961), which is probably a junior synonym of the former one. However, we agreed to leave the name Forbesi Zone before the relation of forbesi and volgensis species will be more clear.


The Ancyloceratid Zonation is represented as follows (Table 1):

1) Volgoceratoides schilovkensis Zone (member IV): Volgoceratoides schilovkensis gen. et sp. nov. (Pl. 1, Fig. 4–6,9), Koeleniceras tenuiplicatum (v. Koenen, 1902) (Pl. 1, Figs. 1–3,10), K. sp. nov. Both new genera are known from the Weissi Zone of Germany (see below), which corresponds to the Forbesi Zone of England (BOGDANOVA, 1978; DELANOY, 1995a, 1997).

2) Ancyloceras matheronianum Zone (member V): Ancyloceras matheronianum D’ORBIGNY, 1842, A. mantelli CASEY, 1960, and a rich Lithanycus assemblage: L. aff. grandis (j. de C. SOWERBY, 1829), L. glebi I. MIKHAILOVA & BARABOSHKIN, 2001, L. ignitus I. MIKHAILOVA & BARABOSHKIN, 2001, L. sapphirina I. MIKHAILOVA & BARABOSHKIN, 2001, Lithanycus grandis is known from the Deshayesi deshayesi Zone (CASEY, 1960–1980), but recently Lithanycus cf. grandis together with Ancyloceras cf. matheronianum were reported from the Deshayesi annelidus Subzone of the Forbesi Zone of England (CASEY, BAYLIS & SIMPSON, 1998). Ancyloceras cf. matheronianum was also recognised in the Weissi Zone of SE France (DELANOY, 1995a, 1997). It confirms therefore their distribution around the Forbesi/Deshayesi transition similar to that of the deshayesitids (see above).

3) Proaustraliceras tuberculatum Zone (lower part of member VII): Proaustraliceras tuberculatum (SINZOW, 1870), Proaustraliceras laticeps (SINZOW, 1905), Toxoceratoides sp. Representatives of Proaustraliceras are known mainly from the upper part of the Deshayesi grandis Subzone (Deshayesi Zone) of England and the basal Tropaeum bowerbanki Zone (CASEY, BAYLIS & SIMPSON, 1998) and from the Lower Apatian of other regions (KAKABADZE, 1981). The index-species was reported also from the Lower Aptian of the Northern Caucasus (EGOYAN, 1989).

4) Audoluceras renauxianum Zone (upper part of member VII): Audoluceras renauxianum (D’ORBIGNY, 1841) (Pl. 1, Fig. 3) and Toxoceratoides ex gr. renauxianus (D’ORBIGNY, 1842). Audoluceras renauxianum is known from the Lower Aptian of SE France (THOMEL, 1964; DELANOY, 1997), and the Caucasus (KAKABADZE, 1981). In England, however, it has not been found.


Assuming the zonation above, we have to note that the ancyloceratid zonation in the muddy facies of the Volzhie region seems to be more detailed and easily recognizable than the deshayesitid one.
**3. Systematic Palaeontology**

For the descriptions we use mainly standard terms and dimensions. Most of them are figured in Text-Fig. 2. The others, missing in the picture, are: \( \alpha_1 \) = Angle between ribs and the direction of the shaft (or radius in spiral forms); \( \alpha_3 \) = Angle between branches of ribs.

The collection of ammonites is deposited in the Moscow State University (MSU), in the collections of I.A. MIKHAILOVA and E.J. BARABOSHKIN and in the Museum of the Paleontological Institute, Moscow (PIM).

**Genus**: Volgoceratoides I. MIKHAILOVA & BARABOSHKIN, gen. nov.

*Ancyloceras* (pars) - von KoeneN, 1902, S. 331.

*Toxoceratoides* (pars) - Klinger & Kennedy, 1977, p. 305.


**Generic name**: from the Volga River and greek keras: horn, oides: type, form.

**Types species**: *Volgoceratoides schilovkensis* gen. et sp. nov.

**Lower Aptian**, *Deshayesites volgensis* / *Volgoceratoides schilovkensis* Zone. Right bank of the Volga River, Ulianovsk region, Shilovka Village.

**Diagnosis**: Small hamulicones with an arc-like (broadly unrolled spiral) early stage, well-developed shaft in the middle stage and a hook on the late stage. The embryonic stage and initial camera are unknown. The smallest height of a whorl in the initial part of arcs in two samples is less than 1 mm. So, logistics suggest that the arc is initiated just after the first whorl. The living chamber covers half of a shaft and a hook. The sculpture of the shaft is represented by simple ribs with two rows of small tubercles - lateral and ventral. It is replaced by biplicate and intercalated ribs with disappearance of the ventral row of tubercles on the hook.

**Suture line**: Simple because of the small size of the *Volgoceratoides* shell (Text-Fig. 3A). The bipartite ventral lobe is complicated by two lateral teeth. Tripartite umbilical (U), internal (I) and dorsal (D) lobes are subsymmetrical. The deep and broad umbilical lobe is the largest, while the internal lobe is the smallest. Saddles are bipartite and the external saddle (V/U) is asymmetric.

**Composition**: *Volgoceratoides schilovkensis* I. MIKHAILOVA & BARABOSHKIN, gen. et sp. nov., *V. biplicatus* (v. KOENEN, 1902).

**Remarks**: Hamulicones similar to our samples were figured in the work of v. KOENEN (1902) as *Ancyloceras biplicatum*. KLINGER & KENNEDY (1977) considered the biplicatum type as a species of *Toxoceratoides*. AGUIRRE URRETA (1986) too considered this ammonite to belong to *Toxoceratoides*, but has discussed a conventionness of this (p. 296). V. KOENEN’s samples are represented by fragments of hook and cannot give a complete idea of the species biplicatum. This species has only one row of tubercles (ventral). Unfortunately, the specimen of v. KOENEN, numbered as GPG N. 103–104 in the collection of Geologisch-Paläontologisches Institut der Universität Göttingen, was not found. Our samples, which are more complete, have two rows of tubercles with the lateral row disappearing before the beginning of hook. The other distinguishing feature of v. KOENEN’s samples is that the front branch of the bifurcate ribs of the hook is significantly curved forward. These features force us to propose *schilovkensis* as a new species. The new genus differs from *Toxoceratoides* and from *Helicancylus* by the absence of thickened trituberculate ribs and the presence of bipartite ribs on the hook.

The new genus is also similar to the Upper Barremian *Hamulinites* [in particular to the group of "Eoleptoceras (Tzankoviceras) tzankovi MANOLOV, 1962" = *Hamulinites parvulus* sensu VAŚCEK & WIEDMANN, 1994]. It differs from *Hamulinites* by the smaller size of the hook, by the presence of bipartite ribs on the hook bend and by bituberculate ribs on the beginning of the shaft. The later feature recalls *Karsteniceras*, which is spirally coiled in early stages and has no hook.

*Hamiticeras* (in particular the type-species *H. pilsbryi ANDERSON, 1938*) is also close to the new genus, but is clearly distinguished by the intercalation of thick tuberculate and fine ribs on the shaft.

The presence of *Volgoceratoides* in Germany allows us to connect its origin with the development of Late Barremian European endemic heteromorphs (like *Parancyloceras*).

**Distribution**: Lower Aptian, *Deshayesites volgensis* / *Volgoceratoides schilovkensis* Zones of the Russian platform (Povolzhie), *Deshayesites weissi* Zone of North Germany.

**Volgoceratoides schilovkensis** I. MIKHAILOVA & BARABOSHKIN gen. et sp. nov.

(P. 1, Figs. 4–6,9)

**Holotype**: PIM No. 2478/3390. Lower Aptian, *Deshayesites volgensis* / *Volgoceratoides schilovkensis* Zone, right bank of the Volga River, Ulianovsk region, near Shilovka Village.
Text-Fig. 3. Suture lines and cross-sections of *Volgoceratoides schilovkensis* I. MICHAILOVA & BARABOSHKIN, gen. et sp. nov. (A, B) and *Koeneniceras tenuiplicatum* (V. KOENEN, 1902) (C–E).


A) No. MSU 2/96. Suture line under H = 4.7 mm and W = 4.4 mm.
B) No. MSU 2/96. Changes in the cross-section under H = 3.1, H = 4.9 and H = 5.7 mm respectively.
C) No. PIM 2474/3390. Suture line under H = 7.5 mm.
D) No. MSU 6/96. Suture line under H = 3.9 mm and W = 3.6 mm.

**Material:** 7 nearly complete specimens, 1 shaft, 1 imprint and several fragments.

**Description:** Small (H = 30 mm), symmetrical with 3 postembryonal morphogenetic stages: early stage (H = 10–15 mm) – broadly unrolled spiral; average stage – relatively short shaft and the late stage – a hook. The living chamber occupies half of the shaft and a hook. Whorl section rounded – hexagonal with flattened venter. An embryonal shell-protoconch and a first whorl has not been observed.

The sculpture is represented by rare smooth simple ribs in the unrolled spiral part. It is distinctly revealed only at the beginning of the shaft under H > 2 mm. Single ribs become frequent with two rows of small tubercles (ventral and lateral) in the shaft. Ventral ribs between tubercles are weakened and interrupted in the beginning of the shaft. Ribs divide into two branches exclusively in the hook bend, in the middle of the whorls or rarely on the dorsal/lateral bend.

**Sizes [mm] and angles [°]:** See Table 2.

**Suture line and remarks:** Refer to diagnosis of the genus.

**Distribution:** Lower Aptian, *Deshayesites volgensis/Volgoceratoides schilovkensis* Zones of Russian platform (Povolzhie) in the vicinity of Ulijanovsk City and Shilovka Village.

**Genus:** *Koeneniceras* I. MICHAILOVA & BARABOSHKIN, gen. nov.

*Ancyloceras* (pars) – VON KOENEN, 1902, p. 331.

**Name:** In honour of A. VON KOENEN.

**Type-species:** *Ancyloceras tenuiplicatum* v. KOENEN, 1902, Lower Aptian, *Deshayesites weissi* Zone, North Germany, Kastendamm.
Diagnosis: Small planospiral criocones with partially coiled whorls (microconchs) or completely uncoiled (macroconchs). Whorl-section hexagonal, rounded up to round. The protoconch and the first whorl unknown, but in accordance with small parts of the spiral (H < 0.5 mm), it was formed directly after the first whorl. The living chamber occupies half of a whorl. The sculpture is represented by simple and rare bipartite ribs and by very rare constrictions. Bituberculate ribs have well-developed ventral tubercles and smaller ventrolateral tubercles, which are present on the early whorls only. Bifurcate ribs branch near the umbilical bend. All ribs cross the ventral side without interruption.

Suture line: (Text-Fig. 3). All lobes, except ventral, tripartite. Ventral lobe (V) shallow, subsymmetrical; the deepest umbilical lobe (U) asymmetrical, with lateral tees variable in size. Internal lobe (I) is small and dorsal lobe (D) is prolonged and narrow. Saddles are bipartite, external saddle (V/U) with unequal branches.

Composition: Koeneniceras tenuiplicatum (v. KOENEN, 1902).

Remarks: To our knowledge the species Ancyloceras tenuiplicatum v. KOENEN, 1902 has not been cited nor revised later. As judged by fragments, figured by v. KOENEN, and consideration of much better preserved samples from the Ulijanovsk region, we can conclude that the proposed new genus differs from others previously described.

The closest genus is the Upper Barremian Parancyloceras SPATH, 1924, which might be an ancestor of the new genus and which differs by its semi-coiled shell and by rare spaced ribs.

The Hauterivian Aegocrioceras SPATH, 1924, endemic in Northern Europe, is distinguished by larger sizes, slower increasing of height of whorls and rare spaced ribs with only ventrolateral tubercles, which disappear early.

Hemihoplites SPATH, 1924 also recalls the new genus, but differs by more rapid coiling of whorls.

Besides the type, probably one more rare costate new species is present in our collection, but it requires further study.

Distribution: Lower Aptian, Deshayesites volgensis/Volgoceratoides schilovkensis Zones of the Russian platform (Povolzhie), Paradeshayesites weissi Zone of North Germany.

Koeneniceras tenuiplicatum (v. KOENEN, 1902)

(Ancyloceras? cf. brevispina; v. KOENEN, 1902, S. 365, Taf. XL, Fig. 4; Ancyloceras tenuiplicatum: v. KOENEN, 1902, S. 377, Taf. XLV, Fig. 11; Taf. LIII, Fig. 5 only).

Lectotype: A specimen figured in v. KOENEN (1902) Taf. LIII, Fig. 5, Lower Aptian, Paradeshayesites weissi Zone, North Germany, Kastendamm. The type material was not found by E. BARABOSHKIN in v. KOENEN’s collection (Geologisch-Paläontologisches Institut der Universität Göttingen) and probably is lost.

Material: 8 nearly complete well-preserved specimens and one large imprint.

Description: Small subsymmetrical criocones (D up to 40–50 mm). Whorl-section hexagonal, rounded, weakly stretched in height and weakly flattened in venter. Ribs frequent, simple or rare (1–5 per whorl) bipartite, crossing venter with some decreasing. All ribs are bituberculate in the early whorls. Ventrolateral tubercles disappear with growth, while the ventral tubercles remain. Constrictions are rare. Two groups are distinguished by the coiling rate and peculiarities of costulation. They are interpreted as micro- and macroconchs.

Macroconchs have the largest size and noticeably dominate in quantity. They are completely uncoiled, the sculpture appears relatively late. It is more dense and the tubercles are less developed than in microconchs. In one specimen (MSU No. 10/96) the tubercles practically disappear in the late stage.

Microconchs have touching whorls up to D = 20 mm and then become uncoiled. The stage with under-developed sculpture is shortened; ribs and tubercles are more coarse than in macroconchs.

Sizes [mm] and angles [*]: See Table 3.

Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>Du</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>dbw</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>α₁</th>
<th>α₃</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2474/3390</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2297/3390</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/96</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/96</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/96</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/96</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/96</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/96</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37/96</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Suture line: Refer to diagnosis of genus.

Remarks: Between two samples of “Ancyloceras tenuiplicatum”, figured by v. KOENEN (S. 377, Taf. XLV, Fig. 11; Taf. LIII, Fig. 5) and “Ancyloceras cf. tenuiplicatum” (S. 379, Taf. LIII, Fig. 4) we prefer the specimen on Taf. LIII, Fig. 5 to be selected as lectotype, because the sample on Taf. XLV, Fig. 11 is probably damaged (it has unusual shape), and the specimen on Taf. LIII, Fig. 4 was determined in the open nomenclature. The latter, judged by the rarity of ribs, is probably a new type. All of them represent macroconch shells with dense sculpture and relatively large whorls.

Ancyloceras? cf. brevispina from Weissi Zone figured by v. KOENEN (S. 365, Taf. XL, Fig. 4), could be interpreted as a coiled microconch with coarser sculpture.

Distribution: As for the genus.

4. Discussion

Although the systematics for the whole heteromorph ammonite assemblage need further work, some features of the ammonite distribution already can be recognised.
First, two different zonations are proposed for the Lower Aptian of the Povolzhie region. The deshayesitid zonation is useful for near-shore to shallow-marine facies and an ancyloceratid zonation can be applied to the shallow-marine facies and to the relatively deeper facies of the inner epicontinental sea, which conditions were characterised in the works of Sasonova (1958), Ronov, Sasonova & Khain (1964), Sasonova & Sasonov (1967).

Second, analysing both deshayesitid and ancyloceratid assemblages, one can see that the assemblage of the Deshayesites tenuicostatus Zone and the Deshayesites volgensis/Volgoceratoides schilovkensis Zone are more similar to those of Germany and England than to the Caucasus and Turkmenia. It probably means that the Early Aptian transgression moved in a southward direction and not northwards as it was supposed in all previous works (Sasonova, 1958; Ronov, Sasonova & Khain, 1964; Sasonova & Sasonov, 1967; Baraboshkin, 1996, 1997, 1998; Baraboshkin et al., 1999). It is partially confirmed by the absence of basal Aptian (up to the Paradeshayesites weissi Zone) in the Mangyshlak sections (Moskvin, 1986–1987). This unusual conclusion may have resulted from the other possible scenario if we suppose the existence of a powerful surface current, which moved along the Viking pass between Greenland and the Baltic Shield and then turned to the Russian sea. Planctonik ammonite larvae (or juvenile ammonites?) were distributed by this current. This would explain also the distribution pattern of the new genera Volgoceratoides and Koeneniceras.

Later on, both northern and southern water masses affected the Russian sea and this is why Turkmenian and English/German ammonite assemblages are found in the succession.
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Figs. 1–3, 10: *Koeneniceras tenuiplicatum* (V. KOENEN, 1902).
Ulijanovsk region, Shilovka Village.
Lower Aptian, *Deshayesites volgensis/Volgoceratoides schilovkensis* Zone.
Fig. 1: PIM 2474/3390.
Lateral side.
Collected by K.A. KABANOVA.
Fig. 2: MSU 6/96.
Lateral side.
I.A. MIKHAILOVA collection, collected by V.M. EFIMOVA.
Fig. 3: MSU 5/96.
Lateral side.
I.A. MIKHAILOVA collection, collected by G.K. KABANOVA.
Fig. 10: MSU 37/96.
Lateral side.
I.A. MIKHAILOVA collection, collected by I.A. SHUMILKIN & G.N. USPENSKY.

Figs. 4–6, 9: *Volgoceratoides schilovkensis* gen. et sp. nov.
Ulijanovsk region, Shilovka Village.
Lower Aptian, *Deshayesites volgensis/Volgoceratoides schilovkensis* Zone.
Fig. 4: PIM 2481/3390.
Lateral side.
Collected by G.K. KABANOVA.
Fig. 5: MSU 2/96.
Lateral side.
I.A. MIKHAILOVA collection, collected by K.A. KABANOVA.
Fig. 6: Holotype PIM 2478/3390.
Lateral side.
Collected by K.A. KABANOVA.
Fig. 9: MSU 1/96.
Lateral side.
I.A. MIKHAILOVA collection, collected by I.A. SHUMILKIN & G.N. USPENSKY.

Fig. 7: *Ancyloceras matheronianum* D’ORBIGNY, 1842.
MSU 12/96.
Lateral side.
I.A. MIKHAILOVA collection, collected by V.A. KRIVOSHEEV.
Ulijanovsk region, Novoulijanovsk Town.
Lower Aptian, *Deshayesites volgensis/Ancyloceras matheronianum* Zone.

Fig. 8: *Tropaeum (Tropaeum) bowerbanki* J. DE C. SOWERBY, 1837.
MSU 13/96.
Lateral side.
E.J. BARABOSHKIN collection.
Ulijanovsk region, Ulijanovsk City.
Lower Aptian, *Tropaeum bowerbanki* Zone (× 0.39).

All figures are in natural size unless noted otherwise.
Figs. 1, 2: *Proaustraliceras tuberculatum* (Sinzow, 1872).
Collected by K.A. Kabanov.
Ulijanovsk region, Ulijanovsk City, Soloviev Ravine.
Lower Aptian, *Deshayesites deshayesi*/*Proaustraliceras tuberculatum* Zone.
Fig. 1: PIM 2518/3390.
a: Lateral side.
b: Venter.
Fig. 2: PIM 2503/3390. Lateral side.

Fig. 3: *Audouliceras renauxianum* (D’Orbigny, 1841).
MSU 34/96 (the specimen has been stolen).
a: Lateral side.
b: Venter.
Collected by M.O. Agafonov. Ulijanovsk region, Sengiley Town.
Lower Aptian, *Deshayesites deshayesi*/*Audouliceras renauxianum* Zone (× 0.92).

All figures are in natural size unless noted otherwise.
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