

Correction to my paper: Three *Trochobolae* etc.

(Berl. Ent. Zeitschr. 1894, p. 264),

by *C. R. Osten Sacken*.

In publishing my paper „Three *Trochobolae*“, which I had kept in my drawer for several years, I forgot to consult the new publications, issued in the mean time. F. A. A. Skuse of Sydney called my attention to his *Trochobola australis* (Proc. Lin. Soc. N.S.W. IV, p. 784, Tab. 21, f. 7, 1889) and the probability of its being the same as *T. tessellata*. There is no doubt that he is right. I found the wing-pattern of *tessellata* exactly similar to that of *T. argus* from N.A. (comp. Mon. N.A. Dipt. IV, Tab. I, f. 4); the figure given by Skuse answers this description. *T. tessellata* White has never been described; the question is whether my statement about the wing-pattern may be taken for an equivalent of a description? As Mr. Skuse has given us, not only a detailed description, but a very correct figure of the wing, and as his specimens are from Sydney, while those of *tessellata* are from Tasmania, I should recommend the adoption of the name *australensis* for the species, because its future identification will thus become more certain. The notices I have published about the specimens of *tessellata* examined by me in the Brit. Mus. and in Oxford, and the ascertaining of their locality, Tasmania, retain nevertheless their usefulness.

During my stay in London in 1894, I became acquainted with Mr. G. V. Hudson's „Manual of New Zealand Entomology“, London 1892, which, on plate 5, f. 2, gives a colored figure of a *Tipula fumipennis* n. sp., and also of its larva and pupa. The letterpress, p. 48, contains a notice about the habits of the larva, but not a word of description of the imago. The figures leave much to be desired; the characteristic crossvein of *Trochobola* is not marked, the wing-pattern, if it is intended to represent that of my *T. Dohrni*, is hardly recognizable. Nevertheless, as the latter seems to be a common species in N. Z. it is not impossible that the figure in the „Manual“ may have been intended for it. The specimen figured is a female, and the description which I give of the „hyaline crossband“ noticed by me in the only specimen of that sex which I possess, corresponds more or less to the figure. Under such circumstances, I leave it to others to decide the question of priority. As the book may have become popular in New Zealand, I would incline, as a matter of expediency, to give up the name *Dohrni*, although the other name *fumipennis* is not happily chosen. At any rate, my description will be of use, as there is no other extant.

Ausgegeben Mitte Februar 1895.

Carl Fromholz Buchdruckerei, Berlin C., Neue Friedrichstr. 47.

ZOBODAT - www.zobodat.at

Zoologisch-Botanische Datenbank/Zoological-Botanical Database

Digitale Literatur/Digital Literature

Zeitschrift/Journal: [Berliner Entomologische Zeitschrift](#)

Jahr/Year: 1894

Band/Volume: [40](#)

Autor(en)/Author(s): Sacken C. R. Osten

Artikel/Article: [Correction to my paper: Three Trochobolae etc. 170](#)