72

Koleopterologische Rundschau

1 - 14

Wien, Juni 2002

Nomenclatorial revision of the supraspecific taxa of Bembidiini s.str. of South America described by JEANNEL (1962) and related taxa with some considerations on the fauna of South America

(Coleoptera: Carabidae)

L. TOLEDANO

Abstract

The supraspecific groups of Bembidiini sensu stricto of the South American region described or mentioned by JEANNEL (1962) and related taxa are here examined in order to reorganize the systematics of the species of this region, divided by JEANNEL (1962) into many genera. LORENZ (1998) downgraded these genera to subgenera of Bembidion LATREILLE, 1802, in his catalog, where no explanation was given for these nomenclatorial acts. These acts are confirmed here in a more formal way, and brief systematic discussions are reported here to explain the reasons of their validity. After this downgrading, 13 species fall into secondary homonymy, and new names are proposed here for them. The nomenclatorial acts proposed in this work are: genera downgraded to subgenera of Bembidion: Nothocys JEANNEL, 1962, Notaphiellus JEANNEL, 1962, Notholopha JEANNEL, 1962, Pseudotrepanes JEANNEL, 1962, Nothonepha JEANNEL, 1962, Plocamoperyphus JEANNEL, 1962, Notoperyphus BONNIARD DE SALUDO, 1969. New synonymies: Bembidion subgen. Austronotaphus JEANNEL, 1962, syn.n. of Bembidion subgen. Notaphus STEPHENS, 1829, Bembidion subgen. Notaphidius JEANNEL, 1962, syn.n. of Bembidion subgen. Notaphus. Revised synonymies: Bembidion (Notaphus) olivieri (JEANNEL, 1962), syn. of B. (Notaphilellus) aereum (JEANNEL, 1962) as informally proposed by NEGRE (1973), Bembidion (Notaphus) antarcticum FAIRMAIRE, 1889, valid name for Bembidion (Austronotaphus) luridum (BLANCHARD, 1853) (nec DUFTSCHMID, 1812), and not B. riparum KOLBE, 1907, as listed in LORENZ (1998), Bembidion (Notaphus) servillei SOLIER, 1849, good species nec synonym of Bembidion (Notaphus) bonariense GEMMINGER & HAROLD, 1868 (= laticolle BRULLÉ, 1849; montevideum GEMMINGER & HAROLD, 1868). Subgenera transferred to Bembidion from Notholopha: Bembidion subgen. Pacmophena JEANNEL, 1962. Subgenera transferred to Bembidion from Peryphus STEPHENS, 1829: Bembidion subgen. Antiperyphus JEANNEL, 1962, Bembidion subgen. Antiperyphanes JEANNEL, 1962, Bembidion subgen. Chilioperyphus JEANNEL, 1962. New names: Bembidion (Notaphus) lorenzi nom.n. for B. (Notaphus) stenoderum (JEANNEL, 1962), nec B. (Bracteon) stenoderum BATES, 1873, B. (Austronotaphus) ugartei nom.n. for B. (Austronotaphus) deplanatum (JEANNEL, 1962), nec B. (Trichoplataphus) deplanatum MORAWITZ, 1862, B. (Nothocys) jeannelicum nom.n. for B. (Nothocys) nitidum (JEANNEL, 1962), nec B. (Eudromus) nitidum (KIRBY, 1837), B. (Nothocys) sanandresi nom.n. for B. (Nothocys) marcidum (JEANNEL, 1962), nec B. marcidum CASEY, 1918, B. (Nothocys) bolsoni nom.n. for B. (Nothocys) coerulescens (NÈGRE, 1973), nec B. coerulescens DALLA TORRE, 1877, B. (Nothocys) paralongulum nom.n. for B. (Nothocys) longulum (JEANNEL, 1962), nec B. longulum LECONTE, 1848, B. (Notaphiellus) cekalovicianum nom.n. for B. (Notaphiellus) aereum (JEANNEL, 1962), nec B. aereum JACQUELIN DU VAL, 1851, B. (Pacmophena) penai nom.n. for B. (Pacmophena) kuscheli (JEANNEL, 1962), nec B. (Austronotaphus) kuscheli (JEANNEL, 1962), B. (Pacmophena) renei nom.n. for B. (Pacmophena) tenuestriatum (JEANNEL, 1962), nec B. tenuestriatum FAIRMAIRE, 1876, B. (Antiperyphus) germainianum nom.n. for B. (Antiperyphus) germaini JEANNEL, 1962, nec B. germaini CSIKI, 1928, B. (Antiperyphanes) loscondesi nom.n. for B. (Antiperyphanes) nivale JEANNEL, 1962, nec B. (Testedium) bipunctatum ssp. nivale HEER, 1841, B. (Antiperyphanes) negreanum nom.n. for B. (Antiperyphanes) kaszabi Nègre, 1973, nec B. kaszabi JEDLICKA, 1961, B. (Notoperyphus) bonniardae nom.n. for B. (Notoperyphus) cekalovici BONNIARD DE SALUDO, 1969, nec B. (Notaphiellus) cekalovici JEANNEL, 1962.

Key words: Coleoptera, Carabidae, Bembidiini, Bembidion, Bembidarenas, South America, revision, taxonomy.

Introduction

During the compilation of data for a paper (MORET & TOLEDANO, in press) on the systematic position of a new South American subgenus of Bembidion, we discovered that the separation of Nothocys Jeannel, 1962, and Notaphiellus Jeannel, 1962, was very problematic, if based on the key of JEANNEL (1962). Reading this key, it was difficult to trust the generic value of the supraspecific taxa mentioned in it. With the reexamination of the validity of several Palaearctic and Holarctic supraspecific taxa in several papers (TOLEDANO 1998, 1999, 2000), I began a work of reorganization of the systematics of the group in order to reduce the number of genera of the Bembidiini. In fact, in the past, several authors, following JEANNEL (1941), raised to genera several taxa used before as subgenera, changing the current systematics of the group in a way not consistent, in my opinion, with the extreme homogeneity of the tribe. This had already been pointed out by LINDROTH (1976), an author who always dealt with the genus Bembidion as the largest genus of the Carabidae, worldwide in distribution. Because of this oversplitting, often a clear dividing point between two "genera" cannot be found, and it becomes very difficult to find the correct systematic position of a new species. For this reason, in the past many species have been described without any subgeneric assignment, and still remain incertae sedis in Bembidion sensu lato, where they provisionally were placed by the authors. PERRAULT (1981) began his very good work of reexamination of the supraspecific taxonomy of the Bembidiini, but unfortunately his premature death prevented him from finishing his work. In that paper, he strongly reduced the number of valid genera of the Bembidiini (twelve genera: Asaphidion GOZIS, 1886; Amerizus CHAUDOIR, 1868; Synechostictus MOTSCHULSKY, 1864; Cillenus SAMOUELLE, 1819; Ocys STEPHENS, 1829; Lymneops CASEY, 1918; Odontium LeConte, 1848; Phyla MOTSCHULSKY, 1844; Metallina MOTSCHULSKY, 1850; Bembidarenas ERWIN, 1972; Ocydromus CLAIRVILLE, 1806; and Bembidion), but in my opinion their number can be reduced further.

Here I try to extend my work of reassessment of genera to the fauna of South America, which was also a subject of the studies of JEANNEL (1962). This fauna also was divided by Jeannel into too many genera, as already pointed out by LINDROTH (1976). I must emphasize that my observations will be made only on the validity of some supraspecific taxa as genera, because I follow a different philosophy in the systematics of the Bembidiini, while I here have little to say about the validity of the specific taxa, certainly correctly examined, grouped and described by JEANNEL (1962), great entomologist as he no doubt was. In JEANNEL's (1962) keys, the first division of the Bembidiini s.str. was based upon the position of the discal elytral pores. As I already explained (TOLEDANO 1999, 2000), I do not trust the generic value of this character for the Palaearctic fauna. I feel the same for the South American fauna. Therefore I do not give a generic value to the division between the "série philetique de *Notaphus*" and the "série philetique de *Peryphus*" (JEANNEL, 1962), divided by this character. Also the other characters used in the generic diagnosis by JEANNEL (1962) in my opinion have no generic value.

Therefore, every species assigned in JEANNEL (1962) to the genera Notaphus STEPHENS, 1829, Pseudotrepanes, Nothocvs. Notaphiellus, Notholopha, Nothonepha, Pervphus, Plocamoperyphus, must be assigned to the genus Bembidion, and every generic name mentioned above must be downgraded to subgenus of Bembidion. The subgenera Pacmophena, Antipervphus, Chiliopervphus, and Antipervphanes must be assigned to Bembidion. The species described by BONNIARD DE SALUDO (1969) as belonging to Notaphus subgen. Notaphidius, must be assigned to Bembidion subgen. Notaphus, since the subgenus Notaphidius is here formally synonymized with the subgenus Notaphus. The genus Notoperyphus, must be downgraded to a subgenus of Bembidion, and the species from Argentina described by NEGRE (1973) under the generic names of Notaphus, Nothocys and Peryphus must be assigned to Bembidion. LORENZ (1998) already proposed these systematic changes in his catalog, but in his work the author did not propose any formal nomenclatorial acts for them. I prefer to propose them here in a formal way, in order to prevent any misunderstanding of the systematic position of these taxa in the future.

The third "série philetique" of JEANNEL (1962) was already the subject of study for ERWIN (1972). He correctly reinterpreted the taxonomical characters of Bembidion reicheellum CSIKI, 1929, wrongly assigned by JEANNEL (1962) to the Holarctic subgenus *Plataphus MOTSCHULSKY*, 1864. The assignment of a species living in the extreme south of the South American continent to a typically boreal subgenus (a taxon considered by JEANNEL (1941, 1962) as a genus) is probably incorrect. Also the human introduction of an unknown boreal species in South America (suggested as a possibility by JEANNEL (1962)) seems unlikely in light of the studies of most boreal species of *Plataphus* and related subgenera I am doing in order to prepare a revision of the Plataphus species of China. In any case the structure of the symmetrical basal lobes of the aedeagus, somewhat similar to that of typical Plataphus species, already noted by JEANNEL (1962), together with the absence of the central brush (ERWIN 1972; LINDROTH 1976), confirms that this species is clearly isolated from the other complexes of Bembidiini of the region. In his study, ERWIN (1972) described also the presence in this species of another extremely important apomorphic character, absolutely unique in the Bembidiini: the presence of double setae at each side on the clypeus. This led ERWIN (1972) to describe the genus Bembidarenas ERWIN, 1972, one of only two genera of Bembidiini sensu stricto occurring, in my opinion, in South America. Only one other species sharing the same character has been found so far, Bembidarenas setiventre Nègre, 1973, from Argentina, assigned to the same genus. Recently I have discovered the double setae on the clypeus, as far as I know as an aberration, in a single specimen of Bembidion (Nothocys) coerulescens, NEGRE, 1973, where the doubled seta was present only on the right side.

For some of the species belonging to the downgraded genera mentioned above, a new name is given here in order to replace the original one, now fallen into secondary homonymy, as already discovered by LORENZ (1998, and personal communication), who did not give new names to these species, but only mentioned the species names needing to be replaced.

The nomenclatorial acts proposed below are preceded by brief systematic discussions.

Acknowledgements

I wish to thank the friends who helped me writing this paper: Dr. Robert Davidson of the Carnegie Museum of Natural History, Pittsburgh PA, for the linguistic revision of the text, for his help in the bibliographic research and for the very useful suggestions he gave me during his revision of the text, Dr. Martin Baehr (Zoologische Staatssammlung, München) and Dr. Heinrich Schönmann (Naturhistorisches Museum, Wien) for the kind loan of material preserved in their institutions, and all the friends who gave me material of their private collections to study: Dr. Martin Baehr (München), Dr. Sergio Facchini (Piacenza), Dr. Pierre Moret (Toulouse), Maurizio Pavesi (Milano), Dr. Riccardo Sciaky (Milano) and Dr. Stefano Zoia (Milano). Particular thanks are due to my friend Dr. Wolfgang Lorenz, who discovered before me the need to replace the names fallen into secondary homonymy and kindly asked me to do it here, and to my friend Dr. Riccardo Sciaky for his constant supervision of my entomological study.

As usual, special thanks are due to my wife Rebecca, my invaluable collaborator.

Subgenera of Bembidion with elytral discal pores in the third interval

I begin here the examination of the Bembidiini described by JEANNEL (1962) with the group of taxa with discal pores in the third interval, the "série philetique de *Notaphus*" (JEANNEL, 1962), the subgenera more strictly related to the complex of *Bembidion* sensu stricto.

Bembidion subgen. Notaphus STEPHENS, 1829

Notaphus Stephens, 1829, is a name used in the past both generically and subgenerically. Here it is assigned as a subgenus of *Bembidion*. The distribution of the subgenus known at present, Holarctic, Neotropical and Oceanic, the most extensive in the whole Bembidiini s.str., shows the extreme antiquity of this group of beetles. Most species of *Notaphus* and related subgenera (e.g. *Eupetedromus* Netolitzky, 1911) share a similar habitus with bronze-greenish colour (sometimes darker), strongly developed microsculpture (as a rule isodiametric), flat elytral intervals and typical yellow spots made by longer and shorter contiguous yellow parts of adjacent intervals with anterior margins more or less advanced, sometimes transversely steplike across each elytron. Even though this group is clearly recognizable, I think that these characters have no generic value.

As in the Holarctic fauna, in JEANNEL's (1962) keys for the Neotropical region they are also easy to distinguish from the other *Bembidion* species with discal pores in the third interval by the shape of the pronotum, broad and weakly sinuate before the hind angles. In my opinion, this also cannot be used as a generic character. In the South American region, many other taxa belonging to a new subgenus in description (MORET & TOLEDANO, in press) share the same character, while their elytral structure, except for the position of the discal pores, is completely different. Therefore, all the species attributed by JEANNEL (1962) to *Notaphus*, are here attributed to *Bembidion*.

According to NEGRE (1973), two species, both described by JEANNEL (1962) from single female specimens, are synonyms. In the opinion of that author, Notaphiellus aereus JEANNEL, 1962, and Notaphus olivieri JEANNEL, 1962, are identical except for the position of the humeral elytral pores, a character which in some groups can be variable. With only female specimens, there was no possibility of comparing the types for other important diagnostic characters (NèGRE 1973), such as the male genitalia or the first article of the male protarsi, more strongly dilated in the subgenus Notaphiellus. His decision was to keep N. aereus as the senior synonym. In his paper he says "L'identité de cette espèce et de Notaphus olivieri JEANNEL, 1962 m'incite à mettre les deux noms en synonymie". Actually a formal nomenclatorial act is not proposed for this synonymy, but there is no reason to doubt the validity of this observation. Therefore I prefer to honor the intent of the first reviser (Nègre 1973) and to keep B. aereum JEANNEL, 1962, as senior synonym of B. olivieri JEANNEL, 1962, as suggested by Dr. Robert Davidson (personal communication). The assignment of both taxa to the genus Bembidion, as proposed here, puts both names into secondary homonymy (Notaphiellus aereus JEANNEL, 1962, with Bembidion aereum JACQUELIN DU VAL, 1851, and Notaphus olivieri JEANNEL, 1962, with Bembidion olivieri CROTCH, 1868), so at least the senior name should be replaced. The subgeneric placement of this species (Notaphus or Notaphiellus) remains uncertain until males are discovered, but, at least provisionally, the species name is listed below under the subgeneric name of Notaphiellus, the same as that of the species which has been renamed.

Bembidion (Notaphus) olivieri JEANNEL, 1962, synonym of Bembidion (Notaphiellus) aereum JEANNEL, 1962.

LORENZ (1998) lists Bembidion subgen. Notaphidius JEANNEL, 1962, and Bembidion subgen. Austronotaphus JEANNEL, 1962, as synonyms of Bembidion subgen. Notaphus STEPHENS, 1829. According to JEANNEL (1962) the subgen. Notaphidius is distinguishable from Notaphus by the apical stria connected with stria 5 (connected with stria 7 in Notaphus), and the subgen. Austronotaphus is distinguishable from Notaphus by the frontal sulci, extended to the clypeus (not extended to the clypeus in Notaphus). The diagnostic characters mentioned by JEANNEL (1962) to distinguish both subgenera from Notaphus are mainly used for the Bembidiini to separate species or groups of species, but never valid as subgeneric characters. The apical stria can be connected with stria 5 or stria 7 in different specimens of the same species and populations (e.g., some undescribed species of Bembidion subgen. Bembidionetolitzkya STRAND, 1929 from China). In these cases, the character lacks specific significance, let alone subgeneric value. In the case of the subgen. Notaphidius it seems to be a constant character, but valid to differentiate, in my opinion, only a group of species. The extension of the frontal sulci to the clypeus is sometimes used to separate species (e.g. Bembidion (Emphanes) azurescens DALLA TORRE, 1877, from Bembidion (Emphanes) tenellum ERICHSON, 1837), but it does not have subgeneric significance. I prefer to formalize here the synonymies of LORENZ (1998). Therefore:

Bembidion subgen. Austronotaphus JEANNEL, 1962, syn.n. of Bembidion subgen. Notaphus STEPHENS, 1829

Bembidion subgen. Notaphidius JEANNEL, 1962, syn.n. of Bembidion subgen. Notaphus STEPHENS, 1829

The following species are transferred to Bembidion subgen. Notaphus, from Notaphus s.str.:

Bembidion (Notaphus) aubei SOLIER, 1849

Bembidion (Notaphus) calverti GERMAIN, 1906

Bembidion (Notaphus) inconstans SOLIER, 1849

Bembidion (Notaphus) mirasoi JENSEN-HAARUP, 1910

Bembidion (Notaphus) fischeri SOLIER, 1849

Bembidion (Notaphus) posticale GERMAIN, 1906

Bembidion (Notaphus) posticale platense (NÈGRE, 1973) comb.n.

Bembidion (Notaphus) jacobseni JENSEN-HAARUP, 1910

Bembidion (Notaphus) chaudoirianum CSIKI, 1929

Among the species assigned by JEANNEL (1962) to the genus *Notaphus*, three species, *Bembidion* (*Austronotaphus*) *luridum* (BLANCHARD, 1853), *Bembidion* (*Notaphus*) *servillei* SOLIER, 1849 and *Bembidion* (*Austronotaphus*) *gameani* (JEANNEL, 1962), have been mentioned in LORENZ (1998) as junior synonyms of other species. One of these synonymies, as suggested by the author himself (personal communication) is due to a mistake. A short explanation of these synonymies is given below here.

The name *riparum* Kolbe, 1907, was used by Lorenz (1998) as the valid name for *luridum* (Blanchard, 1853), nec Duftschmid, 1812, but in the list of the synonyms mentioned in Lorenz (1998) there is an older name, *Bembidion antarcticum* Fairmaire, 1889. The author himself explained to me that this choice is due to a mistake, and I report here the text of his communication, to make clear which one actually is the valid name, in the author's opinion:

"JEANNEL, 1962 used the name *luridus* BLANCHARD, 1853 nec DUFTSCHMID, 1812 and listed as synonyms: *antarcticum* FAIRMAIRE, 1889, *magellanicum* GERMAIN, 1906, *fuegianum* KOLBE, 1907, *riparum* KOLBE, 1907, but did not mention CSIKI's (1928) unjustified replacement name *patagonicum*. This is how I first listed the species in my databank. Later I found that *luridum* was

preoccupied and *riparum* was not preoccupied, - but I missed that there was an older name" (LORENZ, personal communication). Therefore the oldest, available, name is *Bembidion* (*Notaphus*) antarcticum FAIRMAIRE, 1889.

About Bembidion (Notaphus) servillei Solier, 1849, there is a nomenclatorial problem. "Jeannel (1962) used the name servillei Solier, 1849 and mentioned as synonyms: B. lacustre Germain, 1906 nec LeConte, 1848 and B. uliginosum Csiki, 1928. Csiki (1933) (p.1645), in an appendix to Coleopterorum Catalogus, stated that B. bonariense Boheman, 1858 was B. montevideum Gemminger & Harold, 1868. Finally, Nègre (1973) (p. 296) synonymized B. laticolle Brullé, 1843 with B. servillei Solier, 1849" (Lorenz, personal communication).

The name used by LORENZ (1998) as the valid one for this group of synonyms was *bonariense* BOHEMAN, 1858.

"In the discussion by Lorenz (personal communication) of B. bonariense all the names are considered as synonyms, with B. laticolle synonymized with B. servillei by Nègre (1973), B. laticolle preoccupied and replaced by B. montevideum by CSIKI (1933), who also later states that B. bonariense is the same as B. montevideum. If all this were true, the oldest available name would be B. servillei SOLIER, 1849, which as far as I can tell is not preoccupied within Bembidion, and not B. bonariense BOHEMAN, 1858. However, in my reprint of the NEGRE (1973) paper, there is a page attached at the end with an erratum, probably included in the original journal at the end of the volume or perhaps in the next year. It reads as follows: "Notaphus laticolle BRULLÉ 1843 (nec. Duft. 1812) has to be named montevideus Gemm. and Har. 1868, it has been captured at the station 773. The specimens of the stations 222, 265, 536 and 565 belong to the species servillei Sol. 1849, which is not synonymous with laticolle BRULLÉ.". It means that B. servillei is a good species and should be listed separately as Bembidion (Notaphus) servillei SOLIER, 1849. And it means that if B. bonariense in fact is the same as B. laticolle (= montevideum), it is also a valid species and the valid name. Since no one has checked types, though, and we have only CSiKi's (1933) statement that bonariense = montevideum, I don't know how we can be sure that B. bonariense is the same as B. laticolle (montevideum) and not the same as B. servillei. Nègre (1973) seems to have seen the Brullé type and the Solier type and is sure they are different species, but he does not deal with or mention the name B. bonariense" (Davidson, personal communication).

Therefore *Bembidion* (*Notaphus*) *servillei* SOLIER, 1849, and *B.* (*Notaphus*) *bonariense* BOHEMAN, 1858, are kept here "as good species, *B. bonariense* as the senior name of *montevideum* (= *laticolle*) as suggested by CSIKI (1933) but since the group has not been recently revised and, as always, examination of the types might reveal *bonariense* actually belongs to *B. servillei* (or it could even be a third species), the whole thing at the species level is tentative anyway, pending a revision of the Neotropical fauna and checking of types" (Davidson, personal communication).

According to LINDROTH (1976) Bembidion (Austronotaphus) gameani JEANNEL, 1962, is a junior synonym of Bembidion (Notaphus) brullei GEMMINGER & HAROLD, 1868 (the former described from New Zealand, where this South American species is adventive).

Therefore, to the list of the species of *Notaphus* must be added these four species:

Bembidion (Notaphus) antarcticum FAIRMAIRE, 1889

Bembidion (Notaphus) bonariense BOHEMAN, 1858

Bembidion (Notaphus) brullei GEMMINGER & HAROLD, 1868

Bembidion (Notaphus) servillei SOLIER, 1849

The following species are transferred to *Bembidion* subgen. *Notaphus*, from *Notaphus* subgen. *Austronotaphus*:

Bembidion (Notaphus) convergens BERG, 1883

Bembidion (Notaphus) kuscheli (JEANNEL, 1962) comb.n.

The following species are transferred to *Bembidion* subgen. *Notaphus*, from *Notaphus* subgen. *Notaphidius*:

Bembidion (Notaphus) aricense (JEANNEL, 1962) comb.n.

Bembidion (Notaphus) unifasciatum (BONNIARD DE SALUDO, 1969) comb.n.

Bembidion (Notaphus) gabrielum (BONNIARD DE SALUDO, 1969) comb.n.

Bembidion (Notaphus) bicolor (BONNIARD DE SALUDO, 1969) comb.n.

For two species, fallen into secondary homonymy after the transfer to *Bembidion* from *Notaphus*, a new name is proposed here:

Bembidion (Notaphus) lorenzi nom.n. for Bembidion (Notaphus) stenoderum (JEANNEL, 1962), nec Bembidion (Bracteon) stenoderum, BATES, 1873; the species name is dedicated to my friend Wolfgang Lorenz, who already discovered the need to rename many of the species described by JEANNEL (1962) and kindly asked me to do it.

Bembidion (Austronotaphus) ugartei nom.n. for Bembidion (Austronotaphus) deplanatum (JEANNEL, 1962), nec Bembidion (Trichoplataphus) deplanatum MORAWITZ, 1862; the species name is dedicated to my friend Alfredo Ugarte-Peña from Santiago, Chile.

Bembidion subgen. Nothocys JEANNEL, 1962, and subgen. Notaphiellus JEANNEL, 1962

Nothocys, and Notaphiellus, are two supraspecific groups described as genera, and as to their generic validity there are many doubts. They are rather difficult to separate using the characters given by JEANNEL (1962) for the diagnosis, as mentioned before: Nothocys with strongly punctured elytral striae, habitus of small Argutor or Phyla, parallel and superficial frontal sulci, fourth elytral humeral seta distant from the third; Notaphiellus with sharp and superficial elytral striae, very finely punctate, four humeral setae regularly distributed, short and parallel frontal sulci. Both subgenera include species with unicolorous elytra, without yellow spots. The only character that seems to be useful for a supraspecific discrimination is the distribution of the elytral humeral umbilicate pores, a character I have already used, like JEANNEL (1941) himself, to separate Palaearctic subgenera (TOLEDANO 2000).

The male genitalia of both groups share most internal characters and the character state of each paramere with two setae (JEANNEL 1962). Though I still have some doubts about the subgeneric independence of *Nothocys* and *Notaphiellus*, in my opinion the generic independence of these taxa cannot be accepted, and their species are here transferred to *Bembidion* LATREILLE, 1802.

Bembidion subgen. Nothocys JEANNEL, 1962, and Bembidion subgen. Notaphiellus JEANNEL, 1962, are here downgraded to subgenera of Bembidion LATREILLE, 1802:

Bembidion subgen. Nothocys JEANNEL, 1962 new subgeneric combination

Bembidion subgen. Notaphiellus JEANNEL, 1962 new subgeneric combination

The following species are transferred to Bembidion subgen. Nothocys, from gen. Nothocys:

Bembidion (Nothocys) nigrita SOLIER, 1849

Bembidion (Nothocys) delamarei (JEANNEL, 1962) comb.n.

Bembidion (Nothocys) grossepunctatum GERMAIN, 1906

Bembidion (Nothocys) marginatum SOLIER, 1849

Bembidion (Nothocys) silvicola (JEANNEL, 1962) comb.n.

Bembidion (Nothocys) anthracinum GERMAIN, 1906

Bembidion (Nothocys) topali (NÈGRE, 1973) comb.n.

For four species, fallen into secondary homonymy after the transfer to *Bembidion* from the genus *Nothocys*, a new name is given here:

- Bembidion (Nothocys) jeannelicum nom.n. for Bembidion (Nothocys) nitidum (JEANNEL, 1962), nec Bembidion (Eudromus) nitidum (KIRBY, 1837); the species name is dedicated to Dr. René Jeannel, the original describer of most of the taxa renamed in this work.
- Bembidion (Nothocys) sanandresi nom.n. for Bembidion (Nothocys) marcidum (JEANNEL, 1962), nec Bembidion marcidum CASEY, 1918, synonym of Bembidion (Notaphus) patruele DEJEAN, 1831; specific name derived from the type locality, Chili, Atacama prov., Vegas de San Andrès.
- Bembidion (Nothocys) bolsoni nom.n. for Bembidion (Nothocys) coerulescens (NèGRE, 1973), nec Bembidion coerulescens DALLA TORRE, 1877, synonym of Bembidion (Ocydromus) cruciatum bualei JACQUELIN DU VAL, 1852; the specific name is derived from the type locality, Argentina, Rio Negro, El Bolsòn.
- Bembidion (Nothocys) paralongulum nom.n. for Bembidion (Nothocys) longulum (JEANNEL, 1962), nec Bembidion longulum LECONTE, 1848, synonym of Bembidion (Hirmoplataphus) concolor KIRBY, 1837; the specific name is derived from the former one, fallen into secondary homonymy.

The following species are transferred to *Bembidion* subgen. *Notaphiellus* JEANNEL, 1962, from *Notaphiellus* JEANNEL, 1962:

Bembidion (Notaphiellus) cupreostriatum GERMAIN, 1906

Bembidion (Notaphiellus) solieri GEMMINGER & HAROLD, 1868

Bembidion (Notaphiellus) obliteratum SOLIER, 1849

Bembidion (Notaphiellus) cekalovici (JEANNEL, 1962) comb.n.

Bembidion (Notaphiellus) hornense (JEANNEL, 1962) comb.n.

For one species, fallen into secondary homonymy after the transfer to *Bembidion* from *Notaphiellus*, a new name is proposed here:

Bembidion (Notaphiellus) cekalovicianum nom.n. for Bembidion (Notaphiellus) aereum (JEANNEL, 1962), nec Bembidion aereum JACQUELIN DU VAL, 1851; the specific name is dedicated to the collector of the type specimen, Mr. Cekalovic.

Bembidion subgen. Notholopha JEANNEL, 1962, Pacmophena JEANNEL, 1962, and Pseudotrepanes JEANNEL, 1962

Within the group of genera described by JEANNEL (1962) with elytral discal pores in the third interval, the "genera" *Notholopha* and *Pseudotrepanes* are distinguishable from *Nothocys*, *Notaphus* and *Notaphiellus* by the structure of the pronotum, which is narrow, not wider than the head, and has strongly sinuate sides, very narrow base, cordiform shape very similar to that of the Holarctic subgenus *Bembidion* s.str. In the other three "genera" mentioned above the pronotum is wider than the head, and not sinuate at sides. *Notholopha* and *Pseudotrepanes* are distinguishable from each other by examination of the four elytral humeral pores, closely and regularly distributed in *Notholopha*, with the fourth more distant from the first three closely and evenly spaced pores in *Pseudotrepanes*.

In the Palaearctic subgenera (the same character distinguishes *Bembidion*, from *Emphanes* MOTSCHULSKY, 1850), in parallel cases I have given to this level of difference only a subgeneric significance; therefore the species of *Notholopha* and *Pseudotrepanes* are here transferred to *Bembidion*.

Both subgenera attributed by JEANNEL (1962) to Notholopha are here transferred to Bembidion:

Bembidion subgen. Notholopha JEANNEL, 1962 new subgeneric combination

Bembidion subgen. Pacmophena JEANNEL, new subgeneric combination

The following species are transferred to *Bembidion* subgen. *Notholopha* JEANNEL, 1962, from gen. *Notholopha* JEANNEL, 1962:

Bembidion (Notholopha) epistomale (JEANNEL, 1962) comb.n.

Bembidion (Notholopha) punctigerum SOLIER, 1849

Bembidion (Notholopha) atrum GERMAIN, 1906

Bembidion (Notholopha) sexfoveatum GERMAIN, 1906

The following species are transferred to *Bembidion* subgen. *Pacmophena* JEANNEL, 1962, from *Notholopha* subgen. *Pacmophena* JEANNEL, 1962:

Bembidion (Pacmophena) stricticolle GERMAIN, 1906

Bembidion (Pacmophena) rugosellum (JEANNEL, 1962) comb.n.

Bembidion (Pacmophena) scitulum ERICHSON, 1834

Bembidion (Pacmophena) melanopodum SOLIER, 1849

For two species, fallen into secondary homonymy after the transfer to *Bembidion* from *Notholopha*, a new name is given here:

Bembidion (Pacmophena) penai nom.n. for Bembidion (Pacmophena) kuscheli (JEANNEL, 1962), nec B. (Austronotaphus) kuscheli (JEANNEL, 1962); the species name is dedicated to the late lamented Dr. Luis Peña, famous entomologist from Santiago, Chile.

Bembidion (Pacmophena) renei nom.n. for Bembidion (Pacmophena) tenuestriatum (JEANNEL, 1962), nec B. tenuestriatum FAIRMAIRE, 1876, synonym of Bembidion (Philochthus) vicinum LUCAS, 1846; the species name is dedicated to Dr. René Jeannel, the original describer of most of the taxa renamed in this work.

Bembidion subgen. Pseudotrepanes JEANNEL, 1962 new subgeneric combination

The following species is transferred to *Bembidion* subgen. *Pseudotrepanes* JEANNEL, 1962, from gen. *Pseudotrepanes* JEANNEL, 1962:

Bembidion (Pseudotrepanes) derbesi SOLIER, 1849

Subgenera of Bembidion with elytral discal pores on the third stria

The character of the position of the discal elytral pores (on the third stria or on the third interval) in the genus *Bembidion*, can be used to divide most subgenera in two main groups. The groups with the elytral discal pores near stria 3, the "série philetique de *Peryphus*" (JEANNEL 1962), are dealt with below. In the northern hemisphere, most species groups sharing the position of the discal pores in the third interval almost on stria 3 are more strictly related to the *Ocydromus* CLAIRVILLE, 1806, complex. In my opinion, in the case of the taxa transferred below to *Bembidion*, the sharing of this character with the *Ocydromus* complex seems to be more probably due to convergence than to a real phylogenetic affinity, because of the strong differences in the

structure of the internal sac of these South American subgenera from that of the boreal subgenus *Ocydromus* and related subgenera.

Bembidion subgen. Nothonepha JEANNEL, 1962

The main character of the species of *Nothonepha* is the almost complete absence of elytral striae. Some traces of the inner striae are sometimes visible, enough to realize that the discal elytral pores are near stria 3. Reduction or effacement of the elytral striae is already known in a few subgenera (e.g., the Chinese subgen. *Microsinocys* TOLEDANO, 1998), while sometimes it is only a specific character (e.g., in the Chinese *Bembidion* (*Hoquedela*) csikii JEDLICKA, 1937, and in some species of the western Palaearctic subgen. *Nepha* MOTSCHULSKY, 1864). In any case, this character alone is insufficient for generic status, and for this reason the species of *Nothonepha* are transferred below to the genus *Bembidion*.

Bembidion subgen. Nothonepha JEANNEL, 1962 new subgeneric combination

The following species are transferred to *Bembidion* subgen. *Nothonepha* JEANNEL, 1962, from gen. *Nothonepha* JEANNEL, 1962:

Bembidion (Nothonepha) lonai JENSEN-HAARUP, 1910

Bembidion (Nothonepha) pallideguttula JENSEN-HAARUP, 1910

Bembidion subgen. Antiperyphus JEANNEL, 1962, Antiperyphanes, JEANNEL, 1962, and Chilioperyphus JEANNEL, 1962

In the Palaearctic region, most species ranked by JEANNEL (1941) under the genus Peryphus STEPHENS, 1829, for the presence of discal elytral pores near the third stria have been correctly included in the subgen. Ocydromus CLAIRVILLE, 1806, the oldest name available for the group, by KRYZHANOVSKIJ et al. (1995), and divided into several groups of species. At present there is no evidence of the occurrence of the subgen. Ocydromus CLAIRVILLE, 1806, south of the North American region. Therefore the assignment of the species mentioned here to the "genus" Peryphus made by JEANNEL (1962) is in my opinion incorrect, especially in light of the uniqueness of the internal sac of the aedeagus in the South American species. This has two long parallel sclerites in the subgen. Antiperyphus and in a species of the subgen. Chilioperyphus (B. orregoi GERMAIN, 1906); a single, very long, sclerite greatly surpassing the base of the aedeagus in the subgen. Antiperyphanes; and a single, long sclerite in the other species of the subgen. Chilioperyphus (B. mendocinum JENSEN-HAARUP, 1910). The most important feature of the internal sac of these groups is the absence, in most species, of the central scleritized brush typical of the genus Bembidion, which is present only in Bembidion (Antiperyphus) engelhardti JENSEN-HAARUP, 1910 (LINDROTH 1976). The lack of this character has been used in the generic diagnosis for Phrypeus CASEY, 1924, and Bembidarenas ERWIN, 1972 (LINDROTH 1963, 1976; ERWIN 1972). About the importance of this character in the subgenera dealt with here, I agree with LINDROTH (1976): "... it is not my intention to expel Antiperyphanes from genus Bembidion only because an evolutionary trend has been followed to its conclusion. The presence of the brush must consequently be dropped as a compulsory character diagnostic of Bembidion." In any case the subgeneric independence of these subgenera from Ocydromus is confirmed by this character.

The three subgenera attributed by JEANNEL (1962) to *Peryphus* are here transferred to *Bembidion*:

Bembidion subgen. Antiperyphus JEANNEL, 1962 new subgeneric combination

Bembidion subgen. Antiperyphanes JEANNEL, 1962 new subgeneric combination

Bembidion subgen. Chilioperyphus JEANNEL, 1962 new subgeneric combination

The following species are transferred to *Bembidion* subgen. *Antiperyphus*, from *Peryphus* subgen. *Antiperyphus*:

Bembidion (Antiperyphus) ringueleti (JEANNEL, 1962) comb.n.

Bembidion (Antiperyphus) rufoplagiatum GERMAIN, 1906

Bembidion (Antiperyphus) uniforme CSIKI, 1929

Bembidion (Antiperyphus) parvum (JEANNEL, 1962) comb.n.

Bembidion (Antiperyphus) tucumanum (JEANNEL, 1962) comb.n.

Bembidion (Antiperyphus) engelhardti JENSEN-HAARUP, 1910

Bembidion (Antiperyphus) eburneonigrum GERMAIN, 1906

Bembidion (Antiperyphus) philippii GERMAIN, 1906

Bembidion (Antiperyphus) hirtipes (JEANNEL, 1962) comb.n.

The following species are transferred to *Bembidion* subgen. *Antiperyphanes*, from *Peryphus* subgen. *Antiperyphanes*:

Bembidion (Antiperyphanes) spinolai SOLIER, 1849

Bembidion (Antiperyphanes) chilense SOLIER, 1849

Bembidion (Antiperyphanes) maculiferum GEMMINGER & HAROLD, 1868

According to NEGRE (1973) Bembidion (Antiperyphanes) spinolai Solier, 1849 is a senior synonym of Bembidion (Antiperyphanes) delamarei JEANNEL, 1962 (nec of Bembidion (Nothocys) delamarei JEANNEL, 1962) as reported also by LORENZ (1998). Bembidion (Antiperyphanes) delamarei JEANNEL, 1962 was also correctly treated by LORENZ (1998) as junior objective homonym of Bembidion (Nothocys) delamarei JEANNEL, 1962.

The following species are transferred to *Bembidion* subgen. *Chilioperyphus*, from *Peryphus* subgen. *Chilioperyphus*:

Bembidion (Chilioperyphus) orregoi GERMAIN, 1906

Bembidion (Chilioperyphus) mendocinum JENSEN-HAARUP, 1910

For two species, fallen into secondary homonymy after the transfer to *Bembidion* from *Peryphus*, a new name is given here:

Bembidion (Antiperyphanes) loscondesi nom.n. for Bembidion (Antiperyphanes) nivale (JEANNEL, 1962), nec Bembidion (Testedium) bipunctatum ssp. nivale DEJEAN, 1831; the specific name is derived from the type locality: Chili, Prov. of Santiago, Los Condes.

Bembidion (Antiperyphanes) negreanum nom.n. for Bembidion (Antiperyphanes) kaszabi (NÈGRE, 1973), nec Bembidion kaszabi JEDLICKA, 1961, synonym of Bembidion (Odontium) persimile MORAWITZ, 1862; the species name is dedicated to its original describer, J. Nègre.

Bembidion subgen. Plocamoperyphus JEANNEL, 1962

The genus *Plocamoperyphus* was described by JEANNEL (1962) for a single species, *P. mandibularis* SOLIER, 1849, showing a set of external characters rather unusual for *Bembidion* species with discal elytral pores near stria 3: surface dull and light spots similar to those of *Notaphus* and related subgenera. The four humeral umbilicate elytral pores are distributed in a

rather unusual way, with the two posterior pores more distant from the anterior pores than the two anterior pores are from one another.

An interesting apomorphy shown by this species is the clypeus, fused with the frons (JEANNEL 1962). This character could perhaps be interpreted as of generic value (as in the genus *Ocys* STEPHENS, 1829, which has the mentum and submentum fused), but the male genitalia of this species are almost identical to those of *Bembidion* (*Chilioperyphus*) *mendocinum* JENSEN-HAARUP, 1910. In this case, in my opinion, more importance must be given to the structure of the male genitalia, and perhaps the external differences between *Plocamoperyphus* and *Chilioperyphus* are only specific. In any case, for now I keep both supraspecific taxa as valid and separate, and *Plocamoperyphus* is here downgraded to a subgenus of *Bembidion*. Therefore:

Bembidion subgen. Plocamoperyphus JEANNEL, 1962 new subgeneric combination Bembidion (Plocamoperyphus) mandibulare SOLIER, 1849

Bembidion subgen. Notoperyphus BONNIARD DE SALUDO, 1969

In order to explain my opinion about the taxonomic rank of this taxon, I report here the original description: "Genre apartenant à la Tribu Bembidiini, ressemble à *Plocamoperyphus* par ses téguments alutacés et par la disposition des fouets huméraux de la série ombiliquée. S'en differencie par la striation des élytres, par les sillons frontaux et par la prolongation de la strie récurrente apicale." (BONNIARD DE SALUDO 1969).

Among the characters mentioned in this description, in my opinion none is valid for generic rank. The elytral striae are deep and impunctate in *Plocamoperyphus*, while they are superficial and punctate in Notoperyphus. As a rule, the supraspecific validity of this character is limited to the level of the group of species, rarely to the subgeneric level. The general shape of the frontal sulci is sometimes of subgeneric value (e.g., to distinguish Diplocampa BEDEL, 1896, Semicampa NETOLITZKY, 1910, Leja DEJEAN, 1821), but, as mentioned above, their extension on the clypeus is normally used only to distinguish species (e.g., Bembidion (Emphanes) azurescens DALLA TORRE, 1877, from Bembidion (Emphanes) tenellum ERICHSON, 1837). In fact, the frontal sulci are not extended on the clypeus in the single species of the subgen. Plocamoperyphus JEANNEL, 1962, as is also the case in Notopervphus cekalovici BONNIARD DE SALUDO, 1969, while they are extended on the clypeus in the only other species, N. angelieri BONNIARD DE SALUDO, 1969. Therefore this character is of use only as a specific character, not a generic one. The apical stria in Notoperyphus is connected with stria 7, while in Plocamoperyphus it is connected with stria 5. In the original description there is no mention of the male genitalia as at the time there were no male specimens available for either species. Surely two genera cannot be separated by the characters mentioned above. Therefore the species of Notoperyphus are here transferred to Bembidion. Until males are available so that the subgeneric validity of this taxon can be judged, Notoperyphus, is retained here as a subgenus of Bembidion.

Bembidion subgen. Notoperyphus BONNIARD DE SALUDO, 1969 new subgeneric combination

The following species is transferred to Bembidion (subgen. Notoperyphus) from Notoperyphus:

Bembidion (Notoperyphus) angelieri (BONNIARD DE SALUDO, 1969) comb.n.

For one species, fallen into secondary homonymy after the transfer to *Bembidion* from *Notoperyphus*, a new name is given here:

Bembidion (Notoperyphus) bonniardae nom.n. for Bembidion (Notoperyphus) cekalovici (BONNIARD DE SALUDO, 1969), nec Bembidion (Notaphiellus) cekalovici (JEANNEL, 1962); the specific name is dedicated to Mrs. Bonniard de Saludo, the original describer of this taxon.

Conclusions

To deal with the world Bembidiini is very difficult due to the large number of described species: the main group of species of the Bembidiini, the genus Bembidion, is the largest genus of the family Carabidae, according to LINDROTH (1976), including at present about 1600 species. One of the main problems of this study is that the different faunas have been studied by several authors in different ways, and therefore some supraspecific taxa occurring in different chorologic regions have been dealt with as genera, subgenera or groups of species. The classic path keeps most species under the generic name of Bembidion. JEANNEL (1941, 1962) studied the western Palaearctic and the southern South American faunas, splitting the complex of Bembidion species into a large number of genera (17 for the French fauna, 9 for the South American fauna). Several authors, mainly in western Europe, followed this path. As already pointed out by LINDROTH (1963, 1976), such a treatment of the Bembidiini does not match with the extreme homogeneity of the tribe. Therefore, after a preliminary study based on the papers of NETOLITZKY (1942-43), Lindroth (1963, 1976, 1980), Perrault (1981), Erwin & Kavanaugh (1981), Müller-MOTZFELD (1985, 1986a, 1986b, 1998), MADDISON (1993), and on my personal observations and opinions, only nine world genera still seem to me to be valid (TOLEDANO 2000): Asaphidion GOZIS, 1886, Bembidion LATREILLE, 1802, Ocys STEPHENS, 1829, Orzolina MACHADO, 1987, Amerizus Chaudoir, 1868, Caecidium Uéno, 1971, Phrypeus Casey, 1924, Bembidarenas ERWIN, 1972, and Zecillenus LINDROTH, 1980. The goal of this work and of the others I have done on the genus Bembidion (TOLEDANO 1998, 1999, 2000) is to try to unify the manner of dealing with the Bembidiini, and to make possible for world authors really to collaborate with each other in the building of the "genealogical tree" of the tribe.

References

- BONNIARD DE SALUDO, P. 1969: Nouveaux carabiques du Chili. Bulletin de la Société d'Histoire naturelle de Toulouse 105: 311-328.
- CSIKI, E. 1933: Carabidae. Harpalinae VIII. In Schenkling, S. (ed.): Coleopterorum Catalogus Vol. 2, pars 126. Berlin: W. Junk: 1599-1933.
- ERWIN, T.L. 1972: Two new genera of Bembidiine Carabid beetles from Australia and South America with notes on their phylogenetic and zoogeographic significance (Coleoptera). Breviora 383: 1-19.
- ERWIN, T.L. & KAVANAUGH, D.H. 1981: Systematics and zoogeography of *Bembidion* Latreille: I. The *carlhi* and *erasum* groups of western North America (Coleoptera: Carabidae: Bembidiini). Entomologica Scandinavica Supplement, 15: 33-72.
- JEANNEL, R. 1941: Coleoptères Carabiques 1. Faune de France 39, Lechevalier Paris: 471 pp.
- JEANNEL, R. 1962: Les trechides de la Paleantarctide occidentale in: Biologie de l'Amerique Australe Vol.1 Etudes sur la Faune du Sol. – Paris: Éditions du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique: 527-655.
- KRYZHANOVSKIJ, O.L., BELOUSOV, I.A., KABAK, I.I., KATAEV, B.M., MAKAROV, K.V. & SHILENKOV, V.G., 1995: A Checklist of the Ground-Beetles of Russia and Adjacent Lands (Insecta, Coleoptera, Carabidae). Sofia-Moscow: Pensoft Publishers: 271 pp.
- LINDROTH, C.H. 1963: The Ground-Beetles (Carabidae, excl. Cicindelinae) of Canada and Alaska, Part 3. Opuscula Entomologica, Supplementum 24: 201-408.
- LINDROTH, C.H. 1976: Genus *Bembidion* in New Zealand: a revision. New Zealand Journal of Zoology, 3: 161-198.

- LINDROTH, C.H. 1980: A revisionary study of the taxon *Cillenus* Samouelle, 1819 and related forms (Coeoptera [sic]: Carabidae: Bembidiini). Entomologica Scandinavica, 11: 179-205.
- LORENZ, W. 1998: Systematic list of Ground Beetles. Tutzing, Germany: ed. Lorenz.
- MADDISON, D. 1993: Systematics of the holarctic beetle subgenus *Bracteon* and related *Bembidion* (Coleoptera: Carabidae). Bulletin of Museum of Comparative Zoology, 153 (3): 143-299.
- MORET, P. & TOLEDANO, L. (in press): Ecuadion, nouveau sous-genre de Bembidion Latreille, 1802 du páramo équatorien (Coleoptera, Carabidae, Bembidiini). – Bollettino del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Venezia, Vol. 53 (2002).
- MÜLLER-MOTZFELD, G. 1985: Bemerkungen zu einigen himalayischen Bembidien. Deutsche Entomologische Zeitung N. F., 32 (1-3): 171-181.
- MÜLLER-MOTZFELD, G. 1986a: Zur Taxonomie und Phylogenie im *Bembidion*-Subgenus *Ocydromus* Clairville (Col. Carabidae). Entomologische Nachrichten und Berichte, 30 (1): 31-40.
- MÜLLER-MOTZFELD, G. 1986b: Die Gruppe des *Bembidion* (Subgenus: *Ocydromus* Clairv.) *decorum* Zenker (Coleoptera, Carabidae). Deutsche Entomologische Zeitschrift (N. F.), 33 (3-5): 137-175.
- MÜLLER-MOTZFELD, G. 1998: Bemerkungen zur Systematik in der Gattung *Bembidion* Latreille, 1802 (Col., Carabidae). Entomologische Nachrichten und Berichte, 42 (1-2): 73-75.
- NÈGRE, J. 1973: The Zoological Results of Gy. Topal's Collecting in South Argentine. 24. Coléoptères: Carabidae. Folia Entomologica Hungarica, 26 (suppl.): 289-310.
- NETOLITZKY, F. 1942-1943: Bestimmungstabelle der *Bembidion*-Arten des paläarktischen Gebietes. Koleopterologische Rundschau, 28 (1942): 29-68; 28 (1943a): 69-124; 29 (1943b): 1-70.
- PERRAULT, G.G. 1981: Études sur la tribu des Bembidiini (Coleoptera, Carabidae) 1. Notes sur la classification supraspécifique. Nouvelle Revue d'Entomologie, 11 (3): 237-250.
- TOLEDANO, L. 1998: *Microsinocys*, a new subgenus of *Bembidion* Latreille from western and southwestern China (Coleoptera: Carabidae). Koleopterologische Rundschau, 68: 27-45.
- TOLEDANO, L. 1999: Revision of the palaearctic species of the subgenus *Bembidion* with description of three new taxa from China (Coleoptera, Carabidae, Bembidiini). In: A. Zamotajlov & R. Sciaky (eds.): Advances in Carabidology. Papers dedicated to the memory of Prof. Dr. Oleg L. Kryzhanovskij. Krasnodar: MUISO publ., pp. 195-227.
- TOLEDANO, L. 2000: Systematic notes on the palaearctic Bembidiini with particular reference to the fauna of China (Coleoptera, Carabidae). Memorie della Società Entomologica Italiana, 78 (1): 5-70.

Dr. Luca TOLEDANO

Museo Civico di Storia Naturale, Lungadige Porta Vittoria 9, I-37129 Verona, Italy (lucatole@iol.it)

ZOBODAT - www.zobodat.at

Zoologisch-Botanische Datenbank/Zoological-Botanical Database

Digitale Literatur/Digital Literature

Zeitschrift/Journal: Koleopterologische Rundschau

Jahr/Year: 2002

Band/Volume: <u>72_2002</u>

Autor(en)/Author(s): Toledano Luca

Artikel/Article: Nomenclatorial revision of the supraspecific taxa of Bembidiini s.str. of South America described by JEANNEL (1962) and related taxa with some considerations on the fauna of South America

(Coleoptera: Carabidae). 1-14