©Akademie d. Wissenschaften Wien; download unter www.biologiezentrum.at

Sitzungsber. Abt. II (1998) 207: 71–82

Sitzungsberichte
Mathematisch-naturwissenschaftliche Klasse Abt. II
Mathematische, Physikalische und Technische Wissenschaften

© Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften 1999
Printed in Austria

On Gauss-Pólya's Inequality

By

J. Pečarić, J. Šunde, and **S. Varošanec**

(Vorgelegt in der Sitzung der math.-nath. Klasse am 18. Juni 1998
durch das w. M. Peter Gruber)

Abstract

Let $g, h \quad [a, b] \to \mathbf{R}$ be nonnegative nondecreasing functions such that g and h have a continuous first derivative and $g(a) = h(a), g(b) = h(b)$. Let $p = (p_1, p_2)$ be a pair of positive real numbers p_1, p_2 such that $p_1 + p_2 = 1$.

a) If $f \quad [a, b] \to \mathbf{R}$ be a nonnegative nondecreasing function, then for $r, s < 1$

$$M_p^{[r]}\left(\int_a^b g'(t)f(t)\,dt, \int_a^b h'(t)f(t)\,dt\right) \leq \int_a^b (M_p^{[s]}(g(t), h(t)))' f(t)\,dt \tag{1}$$

holds, and for $r, s > 1$ the inequality is reversed.

b) If $f \quad [a, b] \to \mathbf{R}$ is a nonnegative nonincreasing function then for $r < 1 < s$ (1) holds and for $r > 1 > s$ the inequality is reversed. Similar results are derived for quasiarithmetic and logarithmic means.

Key words and phrases: Logarithmic mean, quasiarithmetic mean, Pólya's inequality, weighted mean.
Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): 26D10.

1. Introduction

Gauss mentioned the following result in [2]:

If f is a nonnegative and decreasing function then

$$\left(\int_0^\infty x^2 f(x)\,dx\right)^2 \leq \frac{5}{9}\int_0^\infty f(x)\,dx \int_0^\infty x^4 f(x)\,dx. \tag{2}$$

©Akademie d. Wissenschaften Wien; download unter www.biologiezentrum.at

Pólya and Szegö classical book "Problems and Theorems in Analysis, I" [7] gives the following generalization and extension of Gauss' inequality (2).

Theorem A. *(Pólya's inequality) Let a and b be nonnegative real numbers.*
a) If $f\colon [0,\infty) \to \mathbf{R}$ *is a nonnegative and decreasing function, then*

$$\left(\int_0^\infty x^{a+b} f(x)\,dx\right)^2 \le \left(1-\left(\frac{a-b}{a+b+1}\right)^2\right)\int_0^\infty x^{2a} f(x)\,dx \times \int_0^\infty x^{2b} f(x)\,dx \tag{3}$$

whenever the integrals exist.
b) If $f\colon [0,1) \to \mathbf{R}$ *is a nonnegative and increasing function, then*

$$\left(\int_0^1 x^{a+b} f(x)\,dx\right)^2 \ge \left(1-\left(\frac{a-b}{a+b+1}\right)^2\right)\int_0^1 x^{2a} f(x)\,dx \times \int_0^1 x^{2b} f(x)\,dx. \tag{4}$$

Obviously, putting $a = 0$ and $b = 2$ in (3) we obtain Gauss' inequality. Recently Pečarić and Varošanec [6] obtained a generalization.

Theorem B. *Let* $f \ \ [a,b] \to \mathbf{R}$ *be nonnegative and increasing, and let* $x_i \ \ [a,b] \to \mathbf{R}(i = 1,\dots,n)$ *be nonnegative increasing functions with a continuous first derivative. If* $p_i, (i = 1,\dots,n)$ *are positive real numbers such that* $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} = 1$, *then*

$$\int_a^b \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (x_i(t))^{1/p_i}\right)' f(t)\,dt \ge \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\int_a^b x_i'(t) f(t)\,dt\right)^{1/p_i} \tag{5}$$

If $x_i(a) = 0$ *for all* $i = 1, \dots, n$ *and if* f *is a decreasing function then the reverse inequality holds.*

The previous result is an extension of the Pólya's inequality. If we substitute in (5): $n = 2, p_1 = p_2 = 2, a = 0, b = 1, g(x) = x^{2u+1}, h(x) = x^{2v+1}$ where $u, v > 0$, we have (4).

In this paper we provide generalizations of Theorem B in a number of directions. In Section 2 we first provide the inequality for weighted means. We note that, as is suggested by notation for means, our result extends to the case when the ordered pair (p_1, p_2) is replaced by an n-tuple. We derive also a version of our theorem for higher derivatives.

©Akademie d. Wissenschaften Wien; download unter www.biologiezentrum.at

Section 4 treats some corresponding results when M is replaced by quasiarithmetic mean. This can be done when the function involved enjoys appropriate convexity properties. A second theorem in Section 4 allows one weight p_1 to be positive and the others negative.

Section 5 addresses the logarithmic mean.

2. Results Connected with Weighted Means

$M_p^{[s]}(a)$ denotes the weighted mean of order r and weights $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ of a positive sequence $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$. The n-tuple p is of positive numbers p_i with $\sum_{1=i}^{n} p_i = 1$. The mean is defined by

$$M_p^{[r]}(a) = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i a_i^r \right)^{1/r} & \text{for} \quad r \neq 0 \\ \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i^{p_i} & \text{for} \quad r = 0. \end{cases}$$

In the special cases $r = -1, 0, 1$ we obtain respectively the familiar harmonic, geometric and arithmetic mean.

The following theorem, which is a simple consequence of Jensen's inequality for convex functions, is one of the most important inequalities between means.

Theorem C. *If a and p are positive n-tuples and $s < t$, $s, t \in \mathbf{R}$, then*

$$M_p^{[s]}(a) \le M_p^{[t]}(a) \quad \textit{for} \quad s < t, \tag{6}$$

with equality if and only if $a_1 = \quad = a_n$.

A well-known consequence of the above statement is the inequality between arithmetic and geometric means. Previous results and refinements can be found in [3].

The following theorem is the generalization of Theorem B.

Theorem 1. *Let g, h $[a, b] \to \mathbf{R}$ be nonnegative nondecreasing functions such that g and h have a continuous first derivative and $g(a) = h(a), g(b) = h(b)$. Let $p = (p_1, p_2)$ be a pair of positive real numbers p_1, p_2 such that $p_1 + p_2 = 1$.*

a) If f $[a, b] \to \mathbf{R}$ be a nonnegative nondecreasing function, then for $r, s < 1$

$$M_p^{[r]}\left(\int_a^b g'(t) f(t)\, dt, \int_a^b h'(t) f(t)\, dt \right) \le \int_a^b \left(M_p^{[s]}(g(t), h(t)) \right)' f(t)\, dt \tag{7}$$

holds, and for $r, s > 1$ the inequality is reversed.

©Akademie d. Wissenschaften Wien; download unter www.biologiezentrum.at

b) If f $[a, b] \to \mathbf{R}$ is a nonnegative nonincreasing function then for $r < 1 < s$ (7) holds and for $r > 1 > s$ the inequality is reversed.

Proof: Let us suppose that $r, s < 1$ and f is nondecreasing. Using inequality (6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&M_p^{[r]}\left(\int_a^b g'(t)f(t)\,dt, \int_a^b h'(t)f(t)\,dt\right)\\
&\quad\le M_p^{[1]}\left(\int_a^b g'(t)f(t)\,dt, \int_a^b h'(t)f(t)\,dt\right)\\
&\quad= \int_a^b (p_1 g'(t) + p_2 h'(t))f(t)\,dt\\
&\quad= f(b)M_p^{[1]}(g(b), h(b)) - f(a)M_p^{[1]}(g(a), h(a))\\
&\qquad - \int_a^b M_p^{[1]}(g(t), h(t))\,df(t)\\
&\quad\le f(b)M_p^{[1]}(g(b), h(b)) - f(a)M_p^{[1]}(g(a), h(a))\\
&\qquad - \int_a^b M_p^{[s]}(g(t), h(t))\,df(t)\\
&\quad= f(b)M_p^{[1]}(g(b), h(b)) - f(a)M_p^{[1]}(g(a), h(a))\\
&\qquad - \left(f(b)M_p^{[s]}(g(b), h(b)) - f(a)M_p^{[s]}(g(a), h(a))\right.\\
&\qquad \left. - \int_a^b (M_p^{[s]}(g(t), h(t)))' f(t)\,dt\right)\\
&\quad= f(b)\left(M_p^{[1]}(g(b), h(b)) - M_p^{[s]}(g(b), h(b))\right)\\
&\qquad - f(a)\left(M_p^{[1]}(g(a), h(a)) - M_p^{[s]}(g(a), h(a))\right)\\
&\qquad + \int_a^p \left(M_p^{[s]}(g(t), h(t))\right)' f(t)\,dt\\
&\quad= \int_a^b \left(M_p^{[s]}(g(t), h(t))\right)' f(t)\,dt.
\end{aligned}$$

A similar proof applies in each of the other cases. □

Remark 1. In Theorem 1 we deal with two functions g and h. Obviously a similar result holds for n functions $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ which satisfy the same conditions as g and h.

Remark 2. It is obvious that on substituting $r = s = 0$ into (7) we have inequality (5) for $n = 2$. The result for $r = s = 0$ is given in [1].

In the following theorem we consider an inequality involving higher derivatives.

Theorem 2. *Let $f\ \ [a,b] \to \mathbf{R}$, $x_i\ \ [a,b] \to \mathbf{R}(i = 1,\ldots,m)$ be nonnegative functions with continuous n-th derivatives such that $x_i^{(n)}$, $(i = 1,\ldots,m)$ are nonnegative functions and p_i, $(i = 1,\ldots,m)$ be positive real numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^m p_i = 1$.*

a) If $(-1)^{n-1} f^{(n)}$ is a nonnegative function, then for $r, s < 1$

$$M_p^{[r]}\left(\int_a^b x_1^{(n)}(t) f(t)\,dt,\ldots,\int_a^b x_m^{(n)}(t) f(t)\,dt\right) \leq \Delta + \int_a^b \left(M_p^{[s]}(x_1(t),\ldots,x_m(t))\right)^{(n)} f(t)\,dt \tag{8}$$

holds, where

$$\Delta = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-k-1} f^{(n-k-1)}(t) \left(\sum_{i=1}^m p_i x_i^{(k)}(t) - \left(M_p^{[s]}(x_1(t),\ldots,x_m(t))\right)^{(k)}\right)\Bigg|_a^b$$

If

$$x_i^{(k)}(a) = x_j^{(k)}(a) \text{ and } x_i^{(k)}(b) = x_j^{(k)}(b) \text{ for } i,j \in \{1,\ldots,m\} \tag{9}$$

and $k = 0,\ldots,n-1$, then

$$M_p^{[r]}\left(\int_a^b x_1^{(n)}(t) f(t)\,dt,\ldots,\int_a^b x_m^{(n)}(t) f(t)\,dt\right) \leq \int_a^b \left(M_p^{[s]}(x_1(t),\ldots x_m(t))\right)^{(n)} f(t)\,dt. \tag{10}$$

If $r, s > 1$, then the inequalities (8) and (10) are reversed.

b) If $(-1)^n f^{(n)}$ is a nonnegative function, then for $r < 1 < s$ the inequalities (8) and (10) hold and for $r > 1 > s$ they are reversed.

©Akademie d. Wissenschaften Wien; download unter www.biologiezentrum.at

Proof: a) Let r and s be less than 1. Integrating by part n-times and using (6), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_p^{[r]}&\left(\int_a^b x_1^{(n)}(t)f(t)\,dt, \qquad \int_a^b x_m^{(n)}(t)f(t)\,dt\right)\\
&\le M_p^{[1]}\left(\int_a^b x_1^{(n)}(t)f(t)\,dt,\ldots,\int_a^b x_m^{(n)}(t)f(t)\,dt\right)\\
&= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{n-k1}f^{(n-k-1)}(t)\sum_{i=1}^{m}p_i x_i^{(k)}(t)\right)\Bigg|_a^b\\
&\quad -\int_a^b M_p^{[1]}(x_1(t),\ldots,x_m(t))(-1)^{(n-1)}f^{(n)}(t)\,dt\\
&\le \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{n-k1}f^{(n-k-1)}(t)\sum_{i=1}^{m}p_i x_i^{(k)}(t)\right)\Bigg|_a^b\\
&\quad -\int_a^b M_p^{[s]}(x_1(t),\ldots,x_m(t))(-1)^{(n-1)}f^{(n)}(t)\,dt\\
&= \Delta+\int_a^b\left(M_p^{[s]}(x_1(t),\ldots,x_m(t))\right)^{(n)}f(t)\,dt.
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall prove that $\Delta = 0$ if x_i, $i = 1,\ldots, m$, satisfy (9).

Let us use notation $A_k = x_i^{(k)}(a)$ for $k = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i x_i^{(k)}(a) = A_k$. Consider the k-th order derivative of function y^p where y is an arbitrary function with k-th order derivative. First, there exists function $\phi_k^{[p]}$ such that

$$(y^p)^{(k)} = \phi_k^{[p]}(y, y', \ldots, y^{(k)}).$$

This follows by induction on k. For $k = 1$ we have $(y^p)' = py^{p-1}y' = \phi_1^{[p]}(y, y')$. Suppose that proposition is valid for all $j < k+1$. Then using Leibniz's rule we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
(y^p)^{(k+1)} &= (py^{p-1}\ y')^{(k)}\\
&= p\sum_{j=0}^{k}\binom{k}{j}(y^{p-1})^{(j)}(y')^{(k-j)}\\
&= p\sum_{j=0}^{k}\binom{k}{j}\phi_j^{[p-1]}(y, y', \ldots, y^{(j)})\,y^{(k-j+1)}\\
&= \phi_{k+1}^{[p]}(y, y', \ldots, y^{(k+1)}).
\end{aligned}
\tag{11}
$$

©Akademie d. Wissenschaften Wien; download unter www.biologiezentrum.at

Suppose that $s \neq 0$ and use the abbreviated notation $M(t)$ for the mean $M_p^{[s]}(x_1(t), \ldots, x_m(t))$. Then $M^s(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} P_i x_i^s(t)$. The statement "$M^{(k)}(a) = A_k$" will be proved by induction on k. It is easy to check for $k = 0$ and $k = 1$.

Suppose it holds for all $j < k + 1$. Then

$$\left. \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i x_i^s(t) \right)^{(k+1)} \right|_{t=a} = \left. \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \phi_{(k+1)}^{[s]} \left(x_i(t), x_i'(t), \ldots, x_i^{(k+1)}(t) \right) \right|_{t=a}$$

$$= \phi_{(k+1)}^{[s]}(A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_{k+1})$$

$$= s \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{k}{j} \phi_j^{[s-1]}(A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_j) A_{k-j+1}$$

$$+ \phi_k^{[s-1]}(A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_k) A_{k+1}.$$

On the other hand, using (11) we get

$$(M^s(t))^{(k+1)}|_{t=a} = s \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{k}{j} \phi_j^{[s-1]}(M(a), M'(a), \ldots, M^{(j)}(a))$$

$$\times M^{(k-j+1)}(a) + \phi_k^{[s-1]}(M(a), M'(a), \ldots, M^{(k)}(a)) M^{(k+1)}(a)$$

$$= s \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{k}{j} \phi_j^{[s-1]}(A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_j) A_{k-j+1} + \phi_k^{[s-1]}$$

$$(A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_k) M^{(k+1)}(a).$$

Comparing these two results we obtain that $M^{(k+1)}(a) = A_{k+1}$, which is enough to conclude that $\Delta = 0$.

In the other cases the proof is similar, except in the case $s = 0$ which is left to the reader. □

3. Applications

Now we will restrict our attention to the case when $r = 0$ and the x_i are power functions.

The case when $n = 1$.

Set: $r = 0, n = 1, a = 0, b = 1, x_i(t) = t^{a_i p_i + 1}$ in (8), where $a_i > -\frac{1}{p_i}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m, p_i > 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{p_i} = 1$. We obtain that $\Delta = 0$ and

$$\int_0^1 t^{a_1 + \cdots + a_m} f(t)\, dt \geq \frac{\Pi_{i=1}^{m} (a_i p_i + 1)^{1/p_i}}{1 + \Sigma_{i=1}^{m} a_i} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(\int_0^1 t^{a_i p_i} f(t)\, dt \right)^{1/p_i} \tag{12}$$

©Akademie d. Wissenschaften Wien; download unter www.biologiezentrum.at

if f is a nondecreasing function. It is an improvement of Pólya's inequality (4). Some other results related to this inequality can be found in [5] and [8].

For example, combining (12) and the inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i + 2 \geq \prod_{i=1}^{m} (a_i p_i + 2)^{1/p_i}$$

which follows from the inequality between arithmetic and geometric means, we obtain

$$\int_0^1 t^{a_1+\cdots+a_m} f(t)\,dt \geq \frac{\Pi_{i=1}^{m}((a_i p_i + 1)(a_i p_i + 2))^{1/p_i}}{(1+\Sigma_{i=1}^{m} a_i)(2+\Sigma_{i=1}^{m} a_i)} \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(\int_0^1 t^{a_i p_i} f(t)\,dt \right)^{1/p_i} \tag{13}$$

The case when $n = 2$.

Set: $r = 0, n = 2, a = 0, b = 1, x_i(t) = t^{a_i p_i + 2}$ in (8), where $a_i > -\frac{1}{p_i}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m, p_i > 0$ and $\Sigma_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{p_i} = 1$. After some simple calculation, we obtain that $\Delta = 0$ and inequality (13) holds if f is a concave function. So inequality (13) applies not only for f nondecreasing, but also for f concave.

4. Results for Quasiarithmetic Means

Definition 2. Let f be a monotone real function with inverse $f^{-1}, p = (p_1, \ldots, p_n) = (p_i)_i, a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) = (a_i)_i$ be real n-tuples. The quasiarithmetic mean of n-tuple a is defined by

$$M_f(a;p) = f^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i f(a_i) \right),$$

where $P_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i$.

For $p_i \geq 0, P_n = 1, f(x) = x^r (r \neq 0)$ and $f(x) = \ln x (r = 0)$ the quasiarithmetic mean $M_f(a;p)$ is the weighted mean $M_p^{[r]}(a)$ of order r.

Theorem 3. *Let p be a positive n-tuple, $x_i \quad [a,b] \to \mathbf{R} (i = 1, . \quad , n)$ be nonnegative functions with continuous first derivative such that $x_i(a) = x_j(a), x_i(b) = x_j(b), i, j = 1, \ldots, n$*

a) If φ is a nonnegative nondecreasing function on $[a,b]$ and if f and g are convex increasing or concave decreasing functions, then

$$M_f\left(\left(\int_a^b x_i'(t)\varphi(t)\,dt\right)_i ; p\right) \geq \int_a^b M_g'((x_i(t))_i; p)\varphi(t)\,dt. \tag{14}$$

©Akademie d. Wissenschaften Wien; download unter www.biologiezentrum.at

If f and g are concave increasing or convex decreasing functions, the inequality is reversed.

b) If φ is a nonnegative nonincreasing function on $[a, b]$, f convex increasing or concave decreasing function and g is concave increasing or convex decreasing, then (14) holds.

If f is concave increasing or convex decreasing function and g is convex increasing or concave decreasing, then (14) is reversed.

Proof: Suppose that φ is nondecreasing and f and g are convex functions. We shall use integration by parts and the well-known Jensen inequality for convex functions. The latter states that if (p_i) is a positive n-tuple and $a_i \in I$, then for every convex function $f \quad I \to R$ we have

$$f\left(\frac{1}{P_n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i a_i\right) \le \frac{1}{P_n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i f(a_i). \tag{15}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
M_f&\left(\left(\int_a^b x_i'(t)\varphi(t)\,dt\right)_i; p\right) = f^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{P_n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i f\left(\int_a^b x_i(t)\varphi(t)\,dt\right)\right)\\
&\ge \frac{1}{P_n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \int_a^b x_i'(t)\varphi(t)\,dt = \int_a^b \frac{1}{P_n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i x_i'(t)\right)\varphi(t)\,dt\\
&= \frac{1}{P_n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i x_i(t)\varphi(t)\Big|_a^b - \int_a^b \frac{1}{P_n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i x_i(t)\right) d\varphi(t)\\
&\ge \frac{1}{P_n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i x_i(t)\varphi(t)\Big|_a^b - \int_a^b g^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{P_n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i g(x_i(t))\right)\right) d\varphi(t)\\
&= \frac{1}{P_n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i x_i(t)\varphi(t)\Big|_a^b - \int_a^b M_g(x_i(t))_i; p)\,d\varphi(t)\\
&= \frac{1}{P_n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i x_i(t)\varphi(t)\Big|_a^b - M_g((x_i(t))_i; p)\varphi(t)\Big|_a^b\\
&\quad + \int_a^b M_g'((x_i(t))_i; p)\varphi(t)\,dt = \int_a^b M_g'((x_i(t))_i; p)\varphi(t)\,dt. \qquad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4. *Let x_i, $i = 1, \quad , n$, satisfy assumptions of Theorem 4 and let p be a real n-tuple such that*

$$p_1 > 0, \quad p_i \le 0 \quad (i = 2, \quad , n), \quad P_n > 0. \tag{16}$$

a) If φ is a nonnegative nonincreasing function on $[a, b]$ and if f and g are concave increasing or convex decreasing functions, then (14) holds, while if f and g are convex increasing or concave decreasing (14) is reversed.

©Akademie d. Wissenschaften Wien; download unter www.biologiezentrum.at

b) If φ is a nonnegative nondecreasing function on $[a, b]$, f is convex increasing or concave decreasing and g concave increasing or convex decreasing, then (14) holds.

If f is concave increasing or convex decreasing and g is convex increasing or concave decreasing, then (14) is reversed.

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4. Instead of Jensen's inequality, a reverse Jensen's inequality [3, p. 6] is used: that is, if p_i is real n-tuple such that (16) holds, $a_i \in I, i = 1, \ldots, n$, and $(1/P_n) \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i a_i \in I$, then for every convex function $f \quad I \to R$ (15) is reversed.

Remark 3. In Theorem 4 and 5 we deal with first derivatives. We can state an analogous result for higher-order derivatives as in Section 2.

Remark 4. The assumption that p is a positive n-tuple in Theorem 4 can be weakened to p being a real n-tuple such that

$$0 \le \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i \le P_n \quad (1 \le k \le n), \quad P_n > 0$$

and $(\int x_i'(t)\varphi(t)\,dt)_i$ and $(x_i(t))_i$, $t \in [a, b]$ being monotone n-tuples.

In that case, we use Jensen-Steffenen's inequality [3, p. 6]. instead of Jensen's in-equality in the proof.

In Theorem 5, the assumption on n-tuple p can be replaced by p being a real n-tuple such that for some $k \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i \le 0 (k < m) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=k}^{n} p_i \le 0 (k > m)$$

and $(\int x_i'(t)\varphi(t)\,dt)_i$, $(x_i(t))_i$, $t \in [a, b]$ being monotone n-tuples.

We use the reverse Jensen-Steffensen's inequality (see [3, p. 6] and [4]) in the proof.

5. Results for Logarithmic Means

We define the logarithmic mean $L_r(x,y)$ of distinct positive numbers x, y by

$$L_r(x,y) = \begin{cases} \left(\dfrac{1}{y-x} \dfrac{y^{r+1} - x^{r+1}}{r+1} \right)^{1/r} & r \neq -1, 0 \\ \dfrac{1}{e} \left(\dfrac{y^y}{x^x} \right)^{\frac{1}{y-x}} & r = 0 \\ \dfrac{\ln y - \ln x}{y - x} & r = -1 \end{cases}$$

and take $L_r(x, x) = x$. The function $r \mapsto L_r(x, y)$ is nondecreasing.

©Akademie d. Wissenschaften Wien; download unter www.biologiezentrum.at

It is easy to see that $L_1(x, y) = \frac{x+y}{2}$ and using method similar to that of the previous theorems we obtain the following result.

Theorem 5. *Let* $g, h \quad [a, b] \mapsto \mathbf{R}$ *be nonnegative nondecreasing functions with continuous first derivatives and* $g(a) = h(a), g(b) = h(b)$.

a) If f *is a nonnegative increasing function on* $[a, b]$, *and if* $r, s \leq 1$, *then*

$$L_r\left(\int_a^b g'(t)f(t)\,dt, \int_a^b h'(t)f(t)\,dt\right) \leq \int_a^b L_s'(g(t), h(t)f(t)\,dt. \quad (16)$$

If $r, s \geq 1$ *then the reverse inequality holds.*

b) If f *is a nonnegative nonincreasing function then for* $r < 1 < s$ *(16) holds, and for* $r > 1 > s$ *the reverse inequality holds.*

Proof: Let f be a nonincreasing function and $r < 1 < s$. Using $F = -f$, integration by parts and inequalities between logarithmic means we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&L_r\left(\int_a^b g'(t)f(t)\,dt, \int_a^b h'(t)f(t)\,dt\right) \\
&\leq L_1\left(\int_a^b g'(t)f(t)\,dt, \int_a^b h'(t)f(t)\,dt\right) = \frac{1}{2}\int_a^b (g(t) + h(t))'f(t)\,dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(g(t) + h(t))f(t)|_a^b + \int_a^b \frac{1}{2}(g(t) + h(t))\,dF(t) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}(g(t) + h(t))f(t)|_a^b + \int_a^b L_s(g(t), h(t))\,dF(t) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(g(t) + h(t))f(t)|_a^b - L_s(g(t), h(t))f(t)|_a^b \\
&\quad + \int_a^b L_s'(g(t), h(t))f(t)\,dt = \int_a^b L_s'(g(t), h(t))f(t)dt.
\end{aligned}$$

References

[1] Alzer, H.: An Extension of an Inequality of G. Pólya, Buletinul Institutului Politehnic Din Iąsi, Tomul XXXVI (XL), Fasc. 1–4, (1990) 17–18.

[2] Gauss, C. F.: Theoria combinationis observationum, 1821., German transl. in *Abhandlungen zur Methode der kleinsten Quadrate.* Neudruck. Würzburg 1964, pp. 9 and 12.

[3] Mitrinović, D. S., Pečarić, J. E., Fink, A. M.: Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis. Dordrecht, Kluwer Acad. Publishers, 1993.

[4] Pečarić, J.: Inverse of Jensen-Steffensen's Inequality, Glas. Mat. Ser. III, Vol 16 (36), No 2, (1981), 229–233.

[5] Pečarić, J., Varošanec, S.: Remarks on Gauss-Winckler's and Stolarsky's Inequalities, to appear in Util. Math.

©Akademie d. Wissenschaften Wien; download unter www.biologiezentrum.at

[6] Pečarić, J., Varošanec, S.: A Generalization of Pólya's Inequalities, Inequalities and Applications. World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, (1994), 501–504.
[7] Pólya, G., Szegö, G.: Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysism I, II. Berlin, Springer Verlag, 1956.
[8] Varošanec, S., Pečarić, J.: Gauss' and Related Inequalities. Z. Anal. Anwendungen, Vol 14. No 1, (1995), 175–183.

Authors' addresses: J. Pečarić, Fac. of Textile Techn. University of Zagreb, Pierottijeva 6, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia; J. Šunde,[1] Def. Science and Tech. Org., Communication Division, PO Box 1500, Salisbury SA 5108, Australia; S. Varošanec; Dept. of Mathematics, University of Zagreb, Bijenička 30, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia.

[1] This work was completed while author was at University of Adelaide.

ZOBODAT - www.zobodat.at

Zoologisch-Botanische Datenbank/Zoological-Botanical Database

Digitale Literatur/Digital Literature

Zeitschrift/Journal: Sitzungsberichte der Akademie der Wissenschaften mathematisch-naturwissenschaftliche Klasse

Jahr/Year: 1998

Band/Volume: 207_2

Autor(en)/Author(s): Pecaric J., Sunde J., Varosanec S.

Artikel/Article: On Gauss-Pólya's Inequality. 71-82