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Abstract: New records of spiders from pond littorals in the Czech Republic. Tmeticus affinis (BLACKWALL, 1855), Tetragnatha shoshone LEVI, 1981, Clubiona juvenis SIMON, 1878, Marpissa Canestrinii NINNI, 1868, and Theridiosoma gemmosum (L. KOCH, 1877) are new records for the Czech Republic. New data about Enoplognatha caricis (FICKERT, 1876), Theridion hemerobium SIMON, 1914, Rugathodes instabilis (O. P. CAMBRIDGE, 1871), Tetragnatha striata L. KOCH, 1862, and Dolomedes plantarius (CLERCK, 1757) are given. The validity of the name Enoplognatha caricis (FICKERT, 1876) is supported.

INTRODUCTION

Various types of wetlands were studied in the Czech Republic: peatbogs (e.g. KÚRKA 1990, 1995), wet meadows (RÚŽIČKA 1987) and pond margins (MILLER & OBRTEL 1975). We have focused our arachnological investigations on the still overlooked habitat of pond littorals, i.e. plant communities standing permanently in shallow water. We collected the material inside the dense vegetation and on the water-facing side of the vegetation.

The names of localities are given according to the Geographical Lexicon of the Czech Republic (NOVÁKOVÁ 1991). The number of the grid square (BUCHAR 1982) is given in parentheses (the map was published in Arachnologische Mitteilungen by RÚŽIČKA & HAJER 1996). The nomenclature follows the checklist of the spiders of the Czech Republic (BUCHAR et al. 1995); new records of spiders of the Czech Republic, not mentioned by them, are marked by an asterisk (*). The authors’ names are abbreviated (VR, MH).
RECORDS AND DISCUSSION

Enoplognatha caricis (FICKERT, 1876)

Steatoda caricis FICKERT, 1876: p. 28-29
Enoplognatha tecta KEYSERLING, 1884: p. 138, Tab. 6, fig. 86
Enoplognatha camtschadalica KULCZYNSKI, 1885: pp. 28-29; Tab. IX, fig. 9
SIMON (1914): E. caricis, pp. 283-285, fig. 533, p. 306
SCHENKEL (1930): description of a male of E. camtschadalica, pp. 6-9, fig. 3
WIEHLE (1937): E. caricis, pp. 209-210, figs 251-253
LEVI (1957): E. camtschadalica = E. tecta, pp. 10-15, figs 25, 28, 29, 34-37
MILLER (1971): E. caricis, p. 189-190, plate XXXIII, fig. 8 (probably redrawn from WIEHLE 1937)
MERRETT & SNAZELL (1975): E. caricis, redescription of the species, pp. 106-109, figs 6-11
WUNDERLICH (1976): E. caricis auct., including SIMON, nec FICKERT = E. tecta, pp. 102-103, figs 17-22; the FICKERT’s description is considered invalid, the name E. tecta is recommended
ROBERTS, M. J. (1985): E. tecta, pp. 192-193, fig. 85d
HEIMER & NENTWIG (1991): E. caricis, pp. 286-287, plate 129, figs 772.3-772.5 (probably at least fig. 772.4 redrawn from WIEHLE, 1937)
PLATNICK (1993): E. caricis and E. tecta are considered separate species
KOMPOSCH (1995): E. tecta, p. 730-732, fig. 1
KUPRYJANOVICZ (1997): E. tecta, p. 185-187, figs 3-6


European specimens were compared with American and Russian ones. We did not find any differences in the structure of the male palpal organs, epigyne and vulva.

American population of E. caricis prefer “more or less dry ground on culture influenced places in Newfoundland” (HACKMAN 1954 in LEVI 1957). The populations from East Asia prefer terrestrial and often man made habitats, and the species is very common in the Magadan surroundings.
and on Kurile Islands (MARUSIK in litt.). However, all sites mentioned are not far from the seacoast (and exhibits probably high air humidity). The European populations inhabit exclusively the wetlands (all literature sources cited). We recorded *E. caricis* in littoral stands of the fish ponds, consisting of dense tussocks of sedge grass, especially *Carex elata*. It builds its little web in the lower part of the tussocks in the space in a leaf bend; not under the overhanging leaves (it is hard to obtain material by beating), not higher up in the tussock (it is hard to obtain the material by sweeping). The material is only obtainable by picking up individuals while wading through high sedge grass growths in the water. M. Holec recorded five females with light yellow brown cocoons during one hour of such examinations.

*Zelotes puritanus* CHAMBERLIN, 1922 represents a very similar case of different ecological demands in Europe and North America (RŮŽIČKA in press). This species inhabits exclusively original habitats, rocks and rock steppes in Europe, while in North America it inhabits a wider range of habitats. Specimens have been collected in pitfall traps, in aspen, fir, scrub oak, lodgepole and ponderosa pine, and black spruce forests, in beach litter, meadows pastures, prairies, sagebush, and under logs and rocks (PLATNICK & SHADAB 1983).

FICKERT's description (FICKERT 1876) is clearly insufficient, but this is not an exception among the descriptions from the nineteenth century. E. SIMON must have been in contact with C. FICKERT, he probably saw either a drawing or the actual material. WUNDERLICH (1976) suggested the synonymy: *E. caricis* sensu SIMON = *E. tecta*. MERRETT & SNAZELL (1975) verified that the material deposited in SIMON's collection under the label *E. caricis* is the species material mentioned by SIMON under the name "*E. caricis* (FICKERT)" (SIMON 1914, p. 306). This is the species which presently has been found on several places in Europe and which we try to name properly. It means: *E. caricis* sensu SIMON = *E. caricis* sensu FICKERT. The valid name must be *Enoplognatha caricis* (FICKERT, 1876).

*Theridion hemerobium* SIMON, 1914
Still recorded for Czechia only by KASAL (1982) (*Theridion antusi*), BUCHAR (1989) and KÜRKA (1997). In fact, it is quite common in all littoral vegetation, in sedge grass and reed-mace growth. Small wooden foot-bridges passing through littoral growths give good opportunities to collect it.
**Rugathodes instabilis** (O. P.-CAMBRIDGE, 1871)
Up to present time recorded from Czechia only by BUCHAR (1989). For the map of distribution see in RŮŽIČKA (1990). We register more than ten records from all parts of the Czech Republic. Usually collected together with *T. hemerobium*, but not so abundant.

* *Tmeticus affinis* (BLACKWALL, 1855)
Water-facing side of dense sedge grass.

* *Tetragnatha shoshone* LEVI, 1981
Reported for Europe for the first time by UHL et al. (1992) from Germany, Hungary and Roumania, then from Austria by KOMPOSCH (1995). We found it mostly on water-facing side of reed vegetation.

* *Tetragnatha striata* L. KOCH, 1862
On water-facing side of reed and reed-mace vegetation.

* *Dolomedes plantarius* (CLERCK, 1757)
Material: Lomnice nad Lužnicu-Lužnice, Potěšil pond (6954), 5-21 May 1978, 1♂, lgt. VR (DUFFEY 1995); Stará Hlina, Nový Vdovec pond (6955), 26 May-13 June 1996, 16♂ 3♀, lgt. MH; Přešeka, Velký Tisý pond (6954), 1 June 1996, 1♂, lgt. MH; Stříbřec, Novořecké Močály marshes (6955), 27 May-1 June 1997, 2♀, lgt. MH; Hradčany, Hradčanský Rybník pond (5354), 7-12 June 1997, 3♂ 1♀, lgt. MH; Doksy-Břehyně, Břehyňský Rybník pond (5454), 7 June 1997, 1♀, lgt. MH.
Prevailing part of the material was obtained by use of floating desk traps (RŮŽIČKA 1982) among sedge grass, and also on floating leaves of yellow water lilies (*Nuphar luteum*).
*Clubiona juvenis SIMON, 1878*

Material: Sédlec (district of Břeclav) (7266), Nesyt pond, 16 July 1996, 3 ♀, 1 juv., lgt. VR, 30 May 1997, 1♀ 3♂, 1 juv., lgt. MH; Doksy-Břehyně (5454), Břehynský Rybník pond, 7 June 1997, 1♀, lgt. MH.

On water-facing side of reed vegetation.

NEMENZ (1967) presented continuous distribution of this species in western Europe, and isolated records in Austria and in Roumania.

*Marpissa canestrinii* NINNI, 1868

Material: Lednice (7166), Pastvisko u Lednice Nature Reserve, 30 May 1997, 1♀, lgt. MH.

In rich growth consisting from sedge grass and reed mace.

NEMENZ (1967) composed the map of distribution of this mediterranean species. The occurrence near Lednice represents the most northern record in Central Europe.

*Theridiosoma gemmosum* (L. KOCH, 1877)

We register more than 15 records from all parts of the Czech Republic. It makes its webs above the water surface in various types of marshy vegetation, such as reed and sedge grass growth, it is also abundant under overhanging grass on the bank of channels.

CONCLUSIONS

Pond littorals host a specific spider assemblage. According to the unaccessibility of this habitat, some of its inhabitants are considered to be rare. We documented, that Theridion hemerobium and Theridiosoma gemmosum, for example, are quite widespread in the Czech Republic. Some species, widespread in humid maritime climates, can exhibit narrower niches in continental climates in Central Europe, where they inhabit exclusively the most humid habitats in pond littorals; this is the case in Rugathodes instabilis, Dolomedes plantarius and Clubiona juvenis.
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