Exploring the Flora on Inert Landfill Sites in Southern Ticino (Switzerland)

Bruno Bellosi, Paolo Selldorf, Nicola Schoenenberger

Results of a floristic survey conducted in eight deposits of inert materials and landfill sites in the south of the Canton Ticino are presented. 512 taxa belonging to 297 genera and 85 families were recorded during 2009. Species richness and composition demonstrate the value of inert landfill sites as substitution habitats for threatened and rare native plants, as privileged sites of early arrival for adventive taxa new to the Swiss flora and as sites of proliferation and starting points for further spread of invasive alien plants. Underlying mechanisms and conditions explaining species composition and the distribution of some noteworthy taxa are discussed. Results are compared with floristic surveys of railway areas in the same region. Particularly interesting are the observations of: Avena sterilis ssp. ludoviciana, Bromus catharticus, Carduus pycnocephalus, Cyperus glomeratus, Cyperus longus, Eragrostis pectinacea, Eragrostis virescens, Kickxia elatine, Lactuca saligna, Paspalum dilatatum, Ranunculus sardous, Sporobolus vaginiflorus.

Forgotten by the scientific community for a long time, floristic and ecological research on urban and disturbed habitats have experienced a revival in the last two decades in Switzerland and elsewhere. Numerous publications have examined urban floras in e.g. Milano (BANFI & GALASSO 1998), Basel (BRODTBECK et al. 1997), Lausanne (DROZ et al. 2006) and Zürich (LANDOLT 2001), the flora of roadsides (JEANMONOD 2002, CIARDO & DE-LARZE 2005), railway land (e.g. TINNER & SCHUMACHER 2004) or harbours (MARTINI & PERICIN 2003). Compared to the above mentioned systems, the flora and vegetation of landfill sites were much less studied. Research related to natural and directed succession, restoration or protection at inactivated landfill sites has been undertaken in Germany (REBELE & LEHMANN 2002) and the USA (BARNSWELL & DWYER 2007). Urban and industrial habitats are mainly characterised by a spatial and temporal pattern of different substrates and successional stages, humanmediated propagule dispersal (REBELE 1992), and can be surprisingly species-rich (GILBERT 1989).

Canton Ticino is Switzerland's southernmost region, contains its lowest altitudes, and has a considerably warmer climate than the rest of the country (Cottl et al. 1990). It may be considered a main entry point for new plant species migrating north into Switzerland from nearby Italy, particularly from the region Lombardy, due to extensive traffic of goods and people and to global climate change. Models predict that lowland southern Ticino counts among the Swiss areas with the highest neophyte species richness, which is primarily driven by temperature conditions (NoBIS et al. 2009). This phenomenon is particularly visible in urban habitats in the Sottoceneri area, where a large number of thermophilic neophytes and adventive species can be **Keywords:** floristics, biodiversity, adventive, neophytes, invasive

Addresses of the Authors:

Bruno Bellosi Università degli Studi dell'Insubria Facoltà di Scienze Matematiche, Fisiche e Naturali Via Valleggio 11 22100 Como/Italy

Paolo Selldorf Azienda cantonale dei rifiuti Strada dell'Argine 5 6512 Giubiasco/Switzerland

Nicola Schoenenberger Museo cantonale di storia naturale Viale Cattaneo 4 6900 Lugano/Switzerland nicola.schoenenberger@ti.ch

Accepted: 21. März 2011

| Site No. | Name | Political commune | Swiss metri | c coordinates | Area (m ²) | Altitude (m) |
|----------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------|------------------------|--------------|
| | | | X | Y | | |
| 1 | Campagna | Comano | 716959 | 98916 | 11 227 | 430 |
| 2 | Gaggiolo | Stabio | 714586 | 77057 | 37 253 | 390 |
| 3 | Pizza Lunga | Stabio | 717257 | 79590 | 12 054 | 350 |
| 4 | Colombera | Stabio | 717380 | 78784 | 10 752 | 335 |
| 5 | S. Antonio | Balerna | 721241 | 78628 | 16 630 | 275 |
| 6 | Pobbia | Novazzano | 721375 | 77632 | 3 825 | 257 |
| 7 | Passeggiata | Balerna | 721639 | 77199 | 17 675 | 245 |
| 8 | Valle della Motta | Novazzano | 718813 | 78853 | 1 806 | 330 |

Table 1: Name, location, surface and altitude of the sampled sites



Fig. 1: Location of investigated sites. CH: Switzerland; I: Italy; grey surface: lake Lugano.

1: Campagna 2: Gaggiolo 3: Pizza Lunga 4: Colombera 5: S. Antonio 6: Pobbia 7: Passeggiata 8: Valle della Motta NENBERGER & GIORGETTI FRANSCINI 2004). In 2003, 70% of all inert building material used in the Sottoceneri area, corresponding to more than 700 000 metric tons, was imported from quarries in nearby Italy (REPUBBLICA E CANTONE TICINO 2007). With a population size of over nine million inhabitants, Lombardy is one of Italy's and Europe's most urbanised areas, and urban areas, industrial sites and communication routes harbour by far the highest number of introduced species (CELESTI-GRAPOW et al. 2010). With over 500 alien species, Lombardy has the highest absolute and relative number and the highest density (species/ log area) of alien species of all Italian administrative regions (CE-LESTI-GRAPOW et al. 2010).

observed on railway land (Schoenenberger et al. 2002, Schoe-

The aim of the present work was to assess the importance southern Swiss inert landfill sites have as: (i) substitution habitats for threatened and rare native plants, (ii) sites of early arrival for adventive species new to the Swiss flora, (iii) sites of proliferation and starting points for further spread of invasive alien plant species. To our knowledge this is the first study addressing these questions in Switzerland.

Materials and Methods

The studied area is located roughly at 46° North latitude on the southern fringe of the Swiss Alps adjacent to the Po Plain. The Insubrian climate is characterised by dry and sunny winters, and warm and humid summers (mean annual temperature approximately 12° C); most precipitation occurs in spring and autumn, with frequent and violent thunderstorms in summer (annual mean precipitations >1800 mm) and by high insolation levels. Many exotic plant species (like *Camellia japonica* or *Trachycarpus fortunei*) thrive particularly well in the insubrian region and are considered a symbol for it (Cottl et al. 1990).

A floristic survey was conducted between the beginning of April and the end of September 2009 in eight selected inert landfill sites in southern Ticino, Switzerland (Fig. 1, Tab. 1). Depen-

BAUHINIA 23 / 2011 Landfill Site Flora of Ticino 1–15 @ Baster Botanische Gesellschaft: download https://botges.ch/und.www.zobodat.



Photo 1: View of the deposit of inert materials in Comano (No. 1).

ding on its size, each site was visited between 5 and 9 times over the whole 2009 vegetation season (data not shown). Seven sites are located in the Mendrisio area and one in the Lugano area, all sites were within the Sottoceneri geographical area. Table 1 provides information on the political communes, Swiss metric coordinates of central points, surfaces and mean altitudes of the sites. Perimeters of all sites were recorded using a Garmin GPSTM device and maps produced with the Geographic Information Systems software ArcMap 9.3 (licensed to Canton Ticino), only surface data are presented here.

In each site, all detected, not deliberately introduced, vascular plant species, subspecies and/or aggregates were recorded (hereafter referred to as taxa). In the case of rare taxa or taxa of particular interest population size was assessed (data not shown). Nomenclature follows the Synonym Index of the Swiss Flora (AESCHIMANN & HEITZ 2005), in case of taxa absent from the Index, the Flora of Italy (PIGNATTI 1982) or other sources were used and names eventually verified on the International Plant Names Index (www.ipni.org) or on the database of the Missouri Botanical Garden (www.tropicos.org). Collected herbarium specimens were deposited at the herbarium of the Cantonal Museum of Natural History in Lugano, Switzerland (herbarium code: LUG). All collected floristic data were transmitted to the Data Centre of the Swiss Flora (CRSF/ZDSF).

All statistical analyses and graphs were produced on Microsoft Excel (licensed to Cantone Ticino). Two-dimensional scatterplots were produced based on the Sørensen dissimilarity coefficient (SøRENSEN 1948). Data regarding chorotypes and Raunkiær's life forms were taken from the Flora of Italy (PIG-NATTI 1982), so as to be comparable with the Italian Lombardy region (PIGNATTI 1994), which belongs to the same biogeographical area as southern Ticino. Ecological groups were retrieved from the Red List of threatened Ferns and Flowering Plants in Switzerland (Moser et al. 2002). Ecological indicator values follow Flora Indicativa (LANDOLT et al. 2010). For the analysis of chorotypes, life forms, ecological groups and indicator values, floristic data from all sites were pooled into one set; taxa lacking the respective information in the literature consulted were not considered for statistical analyses (as a result, between 0 and 12% of the taxa were excluded, data not shown).

The appendix published online at http://pages.unibas.ch/ botges/bauhinia/22.htm provides a subsample of the recorded taxa. The list includes all taxa of the Swiss Red List in the geographical area SA1 (Canton Ticino and Moesa District of Canton Grisons) except the ones of the category LC which are not neophytes (Moser et al. 2002); it also includes taxa absent from the Red List (garden escapes and adventive taxa new for Switzerland) or considered absent in the sector SA1. Additional information on invasiveness status is given.

For the purposes of this study, we considered inert landfill sites, intended as temporary or final deposits, as homogeneous systems within their perimeters. In reality, several different types of activities and substrates can be observed; most of these are nevertheless common to all studied sites. Different disposed inert materials encompass demolition materials (e.g. concrete and bricks), excavation material (e.g. rubble, gravel, sand and clay), topsoil (humus) and all sorts of waste debris and rubbish. The repeated passage of heavy machinery on ramps and tracks create large areas with severely compacted soil and depressions where water accumulates over longer periods of time. Moreover, in many of the sites, some processing and recycling can be observed, sometimes most of the incoming materials leave the site again after sorting (as in sites No. 3 and 5). In site No. 2 no recycling was observed, and all the materials, including topsoil and gravel were dumped together. Site No. 8 has been closed down for about three years. Sites No. 5, 6, 7 were adjacent to railway tracks, a fact that might influence taxa composition (not analysed here). The sites' original soils previous to the initiation of the dumping sites may differ considerably, some landfills were placed on former wetlands (e.g. site No. 4), in forest (e.g. site No. 2), or in fields (e.g. site No. 3). All these elements make the inert landfill sites structurally very diverse habitats. For a general view of the investigated system see photo 1.

Results

Diversity

A total of 512 taxa belonging to 297 genera and 85 families were recorded in eight inert landfill sites in southern Ticino representing 20% of the vascular flora of the SA1 geographical region (Ticino and Moesa), respectively 16.3% of the Swiss vascular flora (Moser et al. 2002). Plant richness strongly correlates (R2 = 0.99) with surface of the sites (Fig. 2), ranging from 69 taxa in the smallest site (No. 8) to 320 taxa in the biggest site (No. 2). Only 28 taxa were present in all sites. These include very frequent and widespread taxa such as Plantago lanceolata or Trifolium repens, typically ruderal plants like Panicum capillare, Setaria pumila or Verbena officinalis, fast spreading adventive taxa like Eragrostis pectinacea or Panicum dichotomiflorum, and invasive neophytes like Ambrosia artemisiifolia, Artemisia verlotiorum, Bidens frondosa or Solidago canadensis. A large number of taxa (176) were found in a single site only. These include many anecdotic garden escapes such as Cichorium endivia, Datura innoxia, Mirabilis jalapa or Pachysandra terminalis, taxa from other ecosystems gone astray in the landfill sites like Aruncus dioicus, Campanula trachelium, Lycopus europaeus s.str. or Turritis glabra, plants from further successional stages like Carpinus betulus, Li*qustrum vulgare* or *Quercus robur*, most of the rare and endangered taxa, and adventive plants new for Switzerland or Ticino (for further details see http://pages.unibas.ch/botges/bauhinia/22. htm). A relatively constant number of taxa was common to 3-7 sites, representing the characteristic ruderal flora of those systems (Fig. 3). The most frequent families, both in terms of taxa and genera richness are Poaceae and Asteraceae, contributing to about one quarter of the floral biodiversity of southern Swiss inert landfill sites (Table 2). The most diverse genera recorded in these families are *Bromus* (6 taxa), and *Crepis* (5 taxa), whereas the overall most diverse genera are Carex (10 taxa), Euphorbia (9 taxa), Veronica (9 taxa) and Trifolium (8 taxa). The typically weedy families Amaranthaceae and Chenopodiaceae are represented with 7 taxa (all Amaranthus sp.) and 6 taxa respectively (data not shown).

With respect to floristic composition, the studied sites are quite homogenous. The only site which seems to differ is Valle della Motta (No. 8), which is the only site that has closed and that has the most hydromorphic character amongst all (Fig. 4). There is no evidence for a longitudinal gradient: the northernmost site (No.1), located 20 km north from the other sites being one of the least dissimilar to the other sites.

Chorological spectrum

Following the classification of chorotypes of the Flora of Italy (PIGNATTI 1982), eurasian and cosmopolitan elements are most representative for southern Swiss landfill sites, with 172 and 160 taxa respectively. They are followed by septentrional (71) and eury-mediterranean (56) taxa. The chorological spectrum



Fig. 2: Species-area curve showing typical log relationship between species richness and surface of the sites.



Fig. 3: Frequency distribution of taxa in the studied area.



Fig. 4: Principal component analysis of taxa composition among the studied areas based on Sørensen's dissimilarity values.



Fig. 5: Chorological spectrum of southern Ticino landfill sites. Only the six most frequent chorotypes are shown: steno-mediterranean, eury-mediterranean, eurasian, atlantic, septentrional and cosmopolitan.



Fig. 6: Chorological spectrum of the Italian Lombardy region (PIGNATTI 1994). Southern European orophytes and less representative chorotypes are omitted.



Fig. 7: Spectrum of Raunkiær's plant life forms in the study sites.

- H: Hemicryptophytes
- T: Therophytes
- P: Phanerophytes
- G: Geophytes
- Np: Nanophanerophytes
- Ch: Chamaephytes
- He: Helophytes

Table 2: The most frequent plant families of inert landfill sites in southern Ticino. Percentages are given in relation to total amount of species, subspecies and/or aggregates (taxa) and genera.

| | Families | No. taxa | % taxa | No. genera | % genera |
|----|----------------------|----------|--------|------------|----------|
| 1 | Poaceae | 64 | 12.5 | 39 | 13.1 |
| 2 | Asteraceae | 57 | 11.1 | 39 | 13.1 |
| 3 | Fabaceae | 35 | 6.8 | 16 | 5.4 |
| 4 | Lamiaceae | 27 | 5.3 | 16 | 5.4 |
| 5 | Brassicaceae | 22 | 4.3 | 17 | 5.7 |
| 6 | Rosaceae | 22 | 4.3 | 12 | 4.0 |
| 7 | Scrophulariaceae | 21 | 4.1 | 9 | 3.0 |
| 8 | Caryophyllaceae | 20 | 3.9 | 10 | 3.4 |
| 9 | Cyperaceae | 16 | 3.1 | 3 | 1.0 |
| 10 | Polygonaceae | 14 | 2.7 | 5 | 1.7 |
| 11 | Apiaceae | 11 | 2.1 | 11 | 3.7 |
| 12 | Euphorbiaceae | 11 | 2.1 | 3 | 1.0 |
| 13 | Ranunculaceae | 10 | 2.0 | 6 | 2.0 |
| 14 | Solanaceae | 9 | 1.8 | 4 | 1.3 |
| 15 | Geraniaceae | 8 | 1.6 | 2 | 0.7 |
| 16 | Liliaceae | 8 | 1.6 | 5 | 1.7 |
| | others (69 families) | 157 | 30.7 | 100 | 33.7 |
| | Total (85 families) | 512 | | 297 | |
| | | | | | |

of the landfill sites, strongly resembles the general spectrum of Lombardy (with orophytes being omitted) (PIGNATTI 1994), the Italian region in vicinity to the south of the study area (Fig. 5, Fig. 6). Striking is the cosmopolitan component, which includes all naturalised alien taxa (archeophytes and neophytes), being about twice as important in landfill sites than in overall Lombardy region.

Life forms

Following Raunkiær's life forms classification (RAUNKLÆR 1905), the studied sites are dominated by hemicryptophytes (211 taxa) and therophytes (181 taxa), as one would expect in ruderal habitats and early successional stages (KLÖTZLI et al. 2010). Phanerophytes and geophytes represent another 100 taxa in southern Ticino's inert landfill sites (Fig. 7).

Ecological Groups

Unsurprisingly, the highest proportion (almost half) of the taxa found in the study sites were weeds and ruderal plants, including neophytes (Fig. 8). Other well represented ecological plant groups are forest (90 taxa) and grassland plants, both nutrient-rich (50 taxa) and nutrient-poor (43 taxa). Wetland and aquatic plants represent 41 taxa; their occurrence is due to the

fact that some landfill sites were placed on former wetlands and to the regular presence of compacted soil where water stagnates over longer periods of time.

Ecological adaptations

Most taxa recorded are primarily distributed between the warm-colline and the upper-colline altitudinal zones (Fig. 9). However, 49 taxa are typical for the hot-colline zone. Interestingly, a large proportion of the relatively recent neophytes (invasive and non-invasive) and rare adventive taxa belong to this group, including Abutilon theophrasti, Acacia dealbata, Acalypha virginica, Ambrosia artemisiifolia, Arundo donax, Carduus pycnocephalus, Commelina communis, Conyza sumatrensis, Cyperus esculentus, Diospyros lotus, Eleusine indica, Eragrostis virescens, Euphorbia prostrata, Muhlenbergia schreberi, Polygonum orientale, Setaria italica, Trachycarpus fortunei, Xanthium italicum. The study sites harbour primarily taxa representative of moderately dry to moist soil conditions (Fig. 10). However, a large proportion of the taxa (253) were well adapted to moderate to strong soil moisture variation during the growth season (Fig. 11). Most plants recorded thrive on moderately-aerated and moderately nutrient-poor to nutrient-rich soils (Fig. 12, 13), with a intermediate humus content (Fig. 14). However, an important fraction (164 taxa) is typical for compacted or soaked soils. Twenty-seven percent (or 138 taxa) of the plants are heavy metal tolerant (data not shown).



Fig. 8: Relative frequencies of ecological plant groups of the flora of inert landfill sites.

Red List

As many as 59 threatened taxa (11.5% of total) were recorded in the studied landfill areas, demonstrating the value of these habitats for conservation of rare plants (Table 3). Considering only native taxa and archeophytes, 23 (4.5% of total) threatened taxa were recorded. Taxa particularly noteworthy for their rarity include the native Bromus japonicus, Chenopodium ficifolium, Crepis foetida, Cuscuta cesatiana, Cyperus glomeratus, Cyperus longus, Diplotaxis muralis, Draba muralis, Euphorbia stricta, Kickxia elatine, Lactuca saligna, Legousia speculum-veneris, Misopates orontium, Ranunculus sardous, Trifolium fragiferum, Verbascum phlomoides, Vicia villosa s.str., the non-European neophytes Geranium sibiricum and Euphorbia prostrata, the European neophytes Herniaria hirsuta and Xanthium italicum, and the originally cultivated adventive Galega officinalis. Two taxa, Avena sterilis ssp. ludoviciana and Carduus pycnocephalus, both of Mediterranean origin, may be considered new for the Swiss flora, or not recorded for longer periods. The comparison of inert landfill flora with the spontaneous flora of railway infrastructure of Ticino, which is in many regards a similar system, shows a higher proportion of threatened red list taxa (RL, including rare neophytes and anciently cultivated taxa) on railway land, whereas the proportion of not evaluated (NE) taxa, mainly garden escapes, is higher on landfill sites.



Fig. 9: Relative frequencies of different temperature value indicators (mean temperature during growth season) in the studied area (according to LANDOLT et al. 2010) 2: subalpine 3: montane 4: colline 5: hot colline



Fig. 10: Relative frequencies of different soil moisture value indicators in the studied area (according to LANDOLT et al. 2010).

- 1: very dry
- 2: moderately dry
- 3: moderately moist
- 4: very moist
- 5: inundated.

Intermediate values not indicated



Fig. 11: Relative frequencies of different alternating soil moisture indicators in the studied area (according to LANDOLT et al. 2010).

1: small moisture variation

2: moderate moisture variation

3: strong moisture variation



Fig. 12: Relative frequencies of different soil aeration status indicators in the studied area (according to LANDOLT et al. 2010).

1: compacted or soaked soil

3: well aerated soil

Table 3: Relative frequencies of taxa from the Red List for the region SA1 (Moser et al. 2002), in inert landfill sites compared to the flora of railway land in Ticino (SCHOENENBERGER & GIORGETTI FRANSCINI 2004, including unpublished data). The values in brackets refer to frequencies when European neophytes, non-European neophytes, and adventive cultivated taxa are omitted. RL: red list of threatened taxa; – considered absent from SA1.

| Landfill | flora | Railway F | Flora |
|----------|--|---|--|
| No. taxa | % | No. taxa | % |
| 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.5 |
| 5 (4) | 1.0 (0.8) | 15 | 2.0 |
| 21 (13) | 4.1 (2.5) | 40 | 5.2 |
| 33 (23) | 6.4 (4.5) | 56 | 7.3 |
| 43 (20) | 8.4 (3.9) | 59 | 7.7 |
| 355 | 69.4 | 506 | 66.3 |
| 22 (1) | 4.3 (0.2) | 33 | 4.3 |
| 32 | 6.3 | 35 | 4.6 |
| 1 | 0.2 | 15 | 2.0 |
| 59 (23) | 11.5 (4.5) | 115 | 15.1 |
| 512 | | 763 | |
| | No. taxa 0 5 (4) 21 (13) 33 (23) 43 (20) 355 22 (1) 32 1 59 (23) | 0 0.0 5 (4) 1.0 (0.8) 21 (13) 4.1 (2.5) 33 (23) 6.4 (4.5) 43 (20) 8.4 (3.9) 355 69.4 22 (1) 4.3 (0.2) 32 6.3 1 0.2 59 (23) 11.5 (4.5) | No. taxa % No. taxa 0 0.0 4 5 (4) 1.0 (0.8) 15 21 (13) 4.1 (2.5) 40 33 (23) 6.4 (4.5) 56 43 (20) 8.4 (3.9) 59 355 69.4 506 22 (1) 4.3 (0.2) 33 32 6.3 35 1 0.2 15 59 (23) 11.5 (4.5) 115 |

Neophytes

An overall number of 83 (16.2% of total) neophyte taxa were recorded on the studied sites. Per site, proportion of neophytes ranged from 15.7 % to 23.2% (between 16 and 57 taxa). Several of the most invasive neophytes of Switzerland were recorded in the studied sites, including *Ailanthus altissima, Ambrosia artemisiifolia, Artemisia verlotiorum, Buddleja davidii, Impatiens glandulifera, Lonicera japonica, Prunus serotina, Reynoutria japonica, Rhus typhina, Robinia pseudoacacia, Senecio inaequidens, Solidago canadensis and Solidago gigantea.* Propagule spread for some of these taxa is visibly facilitated by human transport to the sites, whereas others are most probably dispersed by wind and animals and find suitable habitats in the inert landfill sites.

Discussion

Like most man-made habitats, inert landfill sites in southern Switzerland consist of a small-scale mosaic of different conditions. The habitats are mainly characterised by moderately moist conditions with fluctuating water availability, averagely aerated, rather nutrient rich soils with intermediate humus content. Piles of primarily mineral materials usually do not allow the development of dense vegetation except for some short lived and often invasive neophytes, due to lack of nutrients and water and the quick recycling for building purposes. Species-rich vegetation thrives best on dumping areas covered with excavation material ('ruderal soil') and on topsoil piles (REBELE 1992).

8

^{2:} medially aerated soil

Inert landfill sites harbour a mostly thermophile flora dominated by hemicryptophytic and therophytic weed and ruderal plant communities, typically reflecting, in its taxa composition and chorological spectrum, the flora of the Italian Lombardy region (PIGNATTI 1994), one of Europe's most urbanised and industrialised areas. Typically ruderal taxa widespread on inert landfill sites include *Crepis foetida*, *Datura stramonium*, *Potentilla norvegica*, *Setaria italica*, *Solanum chenopodioides*, *Torilis arvensis*, and *Tragopogon dubius*.

Due to repeated disturbance and the presence of bare soils, alternating soil moisture conditions and the human-facilitated transport of propagules, several threatened native plant taxa find valuable substitution habitats on inert landfill sites. Rare segetal taxa like Aristolochia clematitis, Bromus japonicus, Buglossoides arvensis, Crepis setosa, Dianthus armeria, Kickxia elatine, Misopates orontium, Legousia speculum-veneris or Vicia villosa s.str., which can hardly be found in modern-agriculture fields in Ticino anymore, find suitable habitats on inert landfill sites, where they sometimes develop important populations. Rare pioneer plants (Draba muralis, Galeopsis angustifolia), plants originating from wetlands and natural riverbeds (Cyperus spp., Ranunculus sardous, Rumex conglomeratus) or typical for compacted soils with alternating moisture (Centaurium pulchellum, Trifolium fragiferum) may be regularly found in these habitats. In a similar study from the United States, several threatened and endangered plant taxa were found on a closed-down landfill site and a protected nature reserve is being created on the site (BARNSWELL & DWYER 2007). The conservation value of pioneer vegetation in disturbed, urban and industrial habitats, particularly ruderal annual vegetation and vegetation of trampled wet soils has been widely recognised (REBELE 1992, DELARZE & GONSETH 2008).

Due to extensive vehicle traffic and inert material transport from nearby Italy, building sites and inert landfill sites in southern Ticino act as privileged sites for early arrival of new adventive plant taxa, such as *Carduus pycnocephalus* or *Geranium sibiricum* and starting points from which further areas may be colonised. The establishment of such elements is favoured by climatic warming (NOBIS et al. 2009). In fact, most of these taxa, of Mediterranean origin or from overseas, are thermophiles, typical for the hot-colline zones.

Several invasive neophytes are common on inert landfill sites, one of the most typical example being the ruderal annual *Ambrosia artemisiifolia*, which was found in large populations on all the investigated sites. Despite extensive control efforts by the Canton Ticino (MoLA 2009), mainly in urban areas and along the N2 motorway (which crosses Ticino in South-North direction), *A. artemisiifolia* continues to spread, it can notably be increasingly found on building sites in the Sottoceneri area, which are likely using seed-contaminated primary inert materials imported from heavily infested Lombardy or recycled materials from landfill sites. Railway lines seem to play a less important role



Fig. 13: Relative frequencies of different soil nutrient value indicators in the studied area (according to LANDOLT et al. 2010). 1: very nutrient-poor

- 2: nutrient-poor
- 3: moderately nutrient-poor to moderately nutrient-rich
- 4: nutrient-rich
- 5: very nutrient-rich to overfertilised



Fig. 14: Relative frequencies of different soil humus content indicators in the studied area.

- 1: little to no humus content
- 3: medium humus content
- 5: high humus content mus content

for its spread, only a few small populations were recorded between 2001 and 2004; at that time the southernmost part of the N2 motorway was already heavily infested (Schoenenberger et al. 2002, Schoenenberger & Giorgetti Franscini 2004). Ambrosia artemisiifolia is listed as a forbidden plant in the recently introduced Swiss Release Ordinance (Swiss Federal Council 2008). Other taxa inscribed in the ordinance and profusely present on the sites are Reynoutria japonica, Rhus typhina, Solidago canadensis and Solidago gigantea. Senecio inaequidens, which is also in that list, was found only once (site No. 3). Motorways and railway lines are the privileged invasion routes of this species. In fact, gradual but rapid colonisation has been observed on Swiss motorways (JEANMOMOD 2002), in Ticino it is known from along the N2 motorway as far North as Bellinzona (MOLA 2009), whereas on railways it spreads all the way between Chiasso and Bodio (SCHOENENBERGER et al. 2002). This species is particularly problematic because of its pyrrolizidine alkaloid content which induces hepatotoxicity in livestock with potentially lethal consequences (DIMANDE et al. 2007). In late 2007 we observed S. inaequidens in a pasture in Manno, at about 200 m from a railway station. Additional observations of this species in more natural ecosystems like meadows and rocky slopes have been made since, particularly in the Vedeggio Valley.

Garden or culture escapes represent a typical element of inert landfill sites. Most of these casual taxa (e.g. Citrullus lanatus, Cosmos bipinnatus, Fragaria×ananassa or Tagetes patula) are short lived and have little or no chance to establish. Some may establish self-sustaining (subspontaneous) populations and are sometimes considered partially naturalised, like Commelina communis, Consolida ajacis, Lunaria annua or Nigella damascena. Interestingly, several taxa considered subspontaneous in Switzerland (Aeschimann & Burdet 1989, Lauber & Wagner 2007), like Alcea rosea, Antirrhinum majus, Brassica napus, Hibiscus trionum or Polygonum orientale, are considered naturalised in Italy (CELESTI-GRAPOW et al. 2009). In fact, at the beginning of the naturalisation process, all alien taxa are present in few and small populations, implying that among the several adventive or subspontaneous taxa there are some that will increase their range and effective numbers (WEBER 1999), particularly as a consequence of global warming (WALTHER et al. 2002). Many of the worst invasive taxa today were originally introduced as ornamentals. We identified some garden escapes, like Datura innoxia, Mirabilis jalapa, Ipomea sp. or Rubus phoenicolasius, which are little or unknown in the Swiss floristic literature but considered invasive in Italy (CELESTI-GRAPOW et al. 2009). However, one should note that CELESTI-GRAPOW et al. (2009) define non-native invasive taxa only by the rate of establishment and spread, therefore on a strictly ecological basis following Pyšek et al. (2004) and not coupled to possible negative effects as does e.g. the Swiss Black List (CPS-SKEW 2008). Nevertheless, all alien invasive plants entailing negative impacts are characterised

by rapid establishment and spread. Some of the casual garden escapes found on inert landfill sites are either considered damaging invasive neophytes (e.g. Acer negundo, Arundo donax) or considered among the worst invasive taxa in Europe, like Acacia dealbata (DAISIE 2009), particularly in the warm Mediterranean region. Several thermophilic ornamental plant taxa started years ago to colonise natural habitats in Ticino on a large scale; whereas, due to global warming, these taxa (as e.g. Trachycarpus fortunei), are now cultivated outdoors north of the Swiss Alps; in Ticino even more thermophile taxa can now establish (LANDOLT 2001). The 83 recorded neophyte taxa found in this study by far exceeds the predicted present-day model for neophyte species richness (max. 43 species) at the landscape level in southern and lowland Ticino under conditions of urban sprawl and climate warming (NOBIS et al. 2009); it reflects much more the projected future scenarios under the conditions of strong environmental changes.

Notes on the distribution of noteworthy taxa

Abutilon theophrasti Medik.

Although not mentioned in recent Swiss floras (AESCHIMANN & BURDET 1989, LAUBER & WAGNER 2007), this species appears sporadically as a potentially noxious weed all over the Swiss agricultural system, particularly in maize, soybeans and sugar beet crops (BOHREN et al. 2008). In Ticino, it can be found in agricultural fields near Chiasso, Riva San Vitale and near Locarno. We found it in four of the studied landfill sites including No. 1, located near Lugano. A specimen was collected by Lucio Mari in 1865 in the surroundings of Chiasso (LUG 6651, under *Abutilon avicennae* Gaertner), almost 150 years later we collected it from the same area (LUG 19169, 19170).

Acalypha virginica L.

In Switzerland, this North American species is mainly known from southern Ticino and considered naturalised. It was recorded in 1992 as an adventive in a port in Basel (BRODTBECK et al. 1997). This still very sporadic annual species was probably recorded for the first time by Ugolino Ugolini in 1924 (unpublished handwritten note at the Museum of Natural History Lugano), who found it in the Parco Ciani in Lugano, it was collected again in 1964 by Alfred Becherer in the same place (LUG 4538). We found a single plant in the same place in 2010, and more important populations in two landfill sites close to the Italian border (No. 2 and 7).

Aphanes arvensis L.

Historically considered a rare species (CHEVENARD 1910) and still inscribed in the category VU of the Red List (SA1, MOSER et al. 2002), this species is now widespread and frequent in urban areas, at least in the Sottoceneri area, where it is an almost constant element in grid paved parking places.

Artemisia annua L.

Still considered a very rare species in recent Swiss floras (AESCHIMANN & BURDET 1989, LAUBER & WAGNER 2007), this species became now fairly common in urban disturbed habitats, particularly in the area around Locarno and Lugano where it can commonly be seen in building sites and waste land. Along the river Cassarate in Canobbio and in waste land around it, it has an almost invasive behaviour (SCHOENENBERGER et al. 2002, SCHOENENBERGER & GIORGETTI FRANSCINI 2004). We found it in the landfill site in Comano (No. 1) and close to site No. 8.

Avena sterilis ssp. ludoviciana L.

Not mentioned in recent Swiss floras (Aeschimann & Burdet 1989, Lauber

& WAGNER 2007), we found this species in three of the studied sites (No. 2, 5 and 6). German botanist Alban Voigt found *Avena sterilis* s.l. around 1920 in Melide, Lugano and Bironico (unpublished handwritten note at the Museum of Natural History Lugano). North of the Swiss Alps *Avena sterilis* s.l. was historically recorded as an adventive on railway land i.e. in Zürich (LANDOLT 2001). Interestingly, the very similar *Avena barbata* Potter can be found in several locations along the Gotthard railway line (SCHOENENBERGER & GIORGETTI FRANSCINI 2004).

Bromus catharticus Vahl

Native to South America, this forage plant seems to be naturalising in Ticino. We found it on two inert landfill sites in the Mendrisio District (No. 2, 3) and it was previously found on the Magadino Plain in railway stations and in agricultural land (Schoenenberger & Giorgetti Franscini 2004).

Coronopus didymus (L.) Sm.

Still considered a rare species, we observed a rapid spread in the last decade in Ticino. Nowadays it can be easily found in pavements, at the base of alley trees or on building sites in Lugano and Locarno. It is present in some urban areas North of the Alps, as in Basel (BRODTBECK et al. 1997), Lausanne (DROZ et al. 2006) or Zürich (LANDOLT 2001). We found it in five of the studied inert landfill sites.

Crepis pulchra L.

This Mediterranean adventive species is fairly unknown in Switzerland. Previously known in a few vineyards, railway areas or along motorways North of the Alps, it was reported for the first time in Ticino in 2003 in the railway station in Biasca (Schoenenberger & Giorgetti Franscini 2004). We found it in two inert landfill sites (No. 2 and 5).

Eleusine indica (L.) Gaertn.

Favoured by global warming and human transport, this tropical species has undergone a spectacular spread in the last years in Ticino. It can be now found very frequently in trampled and disturbed habitats all over southern and central Ticino. We found it in seven of the studied sites. North of the Swiss Alps it is known from Basel (LAUBER & WAGNER 2007), Lausanne (DROZ et al. 2006) and Zürich (LANDOLT 2001).

Eragrostis pectinacea (Michx.) Nees

Anecdotally recorded several times over the last thirty years in Switzerland as an adventive, i.e. in Valais and Basel (RÖTHLISBERGER 2002), on railway stations in southern Ticino (Schoenenberger & Giorgetti Franscini 2004) and in Lausanne (Droz et al. 2006), we found it abundantly in all the studied landfill sites. It seems to be spreading quickly in Ticino, where it can now be found on natural riverbanks too, i.e. on the delta of the river Ticino (Bolle di Magadino Nature Reserve).

Eragrostis virescens J. Presl

Observed in Europe since 1927, this South American species has been observed in the last thirty years several times all over North Italy (MARTINI & SCHOLZ 1998). In Switzerland, the species has been recorded around Basel since the 1970's, in Lausanne and in several locations in Ticino and Mesolcina valley (reviewed in Röthlisberger 2005, SCHOENENBERGER & GIORGETTI FRANSCINI 2004). Quite disseminated nowadays in the city of Lugano and surroundings, we found this morphologically quite variable species in 3 inert landfill sites (No. 1, 5 and 7). In Ticino, the quite similar species *Eragrostis neomexicana* Vasey is naturalising particularly in the lower part of the Ticino valley.

Euphorbia prostrata Aiton

Systematically present and persistent in railway stations between Melide and Chiasso (Schoenenberger et al. 2002, Schoenenberger & Giorgetti Franscini 2004), we found this species in three landfill sites (No. 5, 6 and 7). In Ticino, it seems to be distributed mainly on railway land and urban areas in the Sottoceneri area. Further localities in Switzerland are reviewed in Röth-LISBERGER (2007).

Paspalum dilatatum Poir.

Considered an invasive species in Italy as defined on a strictly ecological basis (CELESTI-GRAPOW et al. 2009) and frequent on roadsides along the coasts, this plant is still little known in Switzerland. To our knowledge it has been growing and persisting for several years near Sonvico and in Ponte-Tresa. We found it in one landfill site in the Mendrisio area (No. 3).

Sporobolus vaginiflorus (Torr.) Wood.

An invasive species following CELESTI-GRAPOW et al. (2009), it is mainly known from the Swiss motorway N1 and N9 between Geneva and Aigle (CIAR-DO & DELARZE 2005). We found it in one landfill site close to railway land (No. 7), but it may be much more widely distributed in Ticino.

Xanthium italicum Moretti

Common in the outskirts of Milano (BANFI & GALASSO 1998), and on the sandbanks of the river Ticino (MACCHI 2005), we found this species in two of the study sites (No. 2 and 5), and very close to site No 8. Other recent observations have been made in the Sottoceneri area where the species seems to be establishing itself. Old records under *Xanthium strumarium* L. (CHEVENARD 1910) probably refer at least partially to *X. italicum*.

Outlook

This study is a first attempt to describe the spontaneous flora of inert landfill sites in Ticino and the underlying mechanisms explaining the current composition. Individual inspections of inert landfill sites in Lombardy revealed a number of thermophilic and invasive species not recorded in the Swiss sites. More systematic inventories might highlight possible future scenarios for the composition of the ruderal flora of southern Switzerland. Other issues worthy to be investigated include the evolution of the vegetation once the inert landfill sites have reached capacity and have been closed, through the monitoring of successional stages, the assessment of best management practices to avoid the takeover and spread of damaging invasive neophytes, and to maintain a certain conservation value as secondary habitats for threatened taxa.

Acknowledgments

The present work is mostly based on the bachelor thesis of Bruno Bellosi, which was supervised and revised for the present publication by the Museum of Natural History, Lugano. Paolo Selldorf collected floristic data from the site at Valle della Motta (No. 8). We wish to thank our colleagues Michele Abderhalden, Pia Giorgetti Franscini, Tiziana Gobbin and Pier-Luigi Zanon for their help during fieldwork, herbarium preparation, identification of difficult plant taxa and general comments. We are grateful to Dr Brack Hale and Andreas Gygax for their comments on the manuscript. We would like to acknowledge specially the owners, managers, and employees of the study sites for access to the sites. This work was partially funded by the Division of Environment of the Canton Ticino.

Bibliography

AESCHIMANN D & BURDET H (1989) Flore de la Suisse et des territoires limitrophes. Le nouveau Binz. Editions du Griffon, Neuchâtel. 597 pp

AESCHIMANN D & HEITZ CH (2005) Index Synonymique de la Flore de Suisse. 2nd Edition. CRSF/ ZDSF, Geneva. 323 pp

BANFI E & GALASSO G (1998) La flora spontanea della Città di Milano alle soglie del terzo millennio e i suoi cambiamenti a partire dal 1700. Memorie della Società Italiana di Scienze Naturali e del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Milano 28(1): 267–388

BARNSWELL KD & DWYER DF (2007) Vascular Flora of the King Road Landfill in Northwest Ohio. Ohio J Sci 107(5): 91–103

BOHREN C, DELABAYS N & RO-METSCH S (2008) Invasive Pflanzen: Herausforderung für die Landwirtschaft? Agrarforschung 15(7): 314– 319

BRODTBECK T, ZEMP M, FREI M, KIENZLE U, & KNECHT D (1997) Flora von Basel und Umgebung 1980-1996. Teil I. Mitt Naturf Ges beider Basel 2: 1–544

CELESTI-GRAPOW L, ALESSANDRINI A, ARRIGONI PV, BANFI E, BERNARDO L, BOVIO M, BRUNDU G, CAGIOTTI MR, CAMARDA I, CARLI E, CONTI F, FASCETTI S, GALASSO G, GUBELLINI L, LA VALVA V, LUCCHESE F, MARCHIORI S, MAZZOLA P, PECCENINI S, POLDINI L, PRETTO F, PROS-SER F, SINISCALCO C, VILLANI MC, VIEGI L, WILHALM T & BLASI C (2009) Inventory of the non-native flora of Italy. Plant Biosystems 143(2): 386–430

CELESTI-GRAPOW L, ALESSANDRI-NI A, ARRIGONI PV, ASSINI S, BANFI E, BARNI E, BOVIO M, BRUNDU G, CAGI-OTTI MR, CAMARDA I, CARLI E, CONTI F, DEL GUACCHIO E, DOMINA G, FASCETTI S, GALASSO G, GUBELLINI L, LUCCHESE F, MEDAGLI P, PASSALACQUA NG, PEC-CENINI S, POLDINI L, PRETTO F, PROSSER F, VIDALI M, VIEGI L, VILLANI MC, WIL-HALM T & BLASI C (2010) NOn-native flora of Italy: Species distribution and threats. Plant Biosystems 144(1): 12– 28

CHEVENARD P (1910) Catalogue des plantes vasculaires du Tessin. Librairie Kündig, Genève. 553 pp

CIARDO F & DELARZE R (2005) Observations floristiques sur l'autoroute lémanique: espèces nouvelles, halophyles et rares. Saussurea 35: 83–99

COTTI G, FELBER M, FOSSATI A, LUCCHINI G, STEIGER E & ZANON PL (1990) Introduzione al paesaggio naturale del Cantone Ticino. 1. Le componenti naturali. Dipartimento dell'Ambiente del Cantone Ticino. Armando Dadò Editore, Locarno. 484 pp

CPS-SKEW (2008) Black List and Watch List of invasive neophytes of Switzerland. Swiss Commission for Wild Plant Conservation. 2 pp

DAISIE (2009) Handbook of alien species in Europe. Springer, Dordrecht. 399 pp

DELARZE R & GONSETH Y (2008) Guide des milieux naturels de Suisse. 2nd ed. Rossolis, Bussigny. 424 pp

DIMANDE AFP, BOTHA CJ, PRO-ZESKY L, BEKKER L, RÖSEMANN GM, LABUSCHAGNE L & RETIEF E (2007) The toxicity of *Senecio inaequidens* DC. J S Afr Vet Assoc 78(3): 121–129

DROZ J, HOFFER-MASSARD F, VUST M & BORNAND C (2006) Flore de Lausanne et de sa région. 2. Composition de la flore et répartition des espèces. Rossolis, Bussigny. 288 pp

GILBERT OL (ed) (1989) The ecology of urban habitats. Chapman & Hall, London. 369 pp

IUCN (2001) IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria: Version 3.1. IUCN Species Survival Commission. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK. ii + 30 pp

JEANMONOD D (2002) Nouvelles stations pour *Senecio inaequidens* DC. ou la conquête irrésistible du séneçon du Cap. Saussurea 32: 43–50

KLÖTZLI F, DIETL W, MARTI K, SCHUBIGER C & WALTHER GR (2010) Vegetation Europas. Das Offenland im vegetationskundlich-ökologischen Überblick. hep verlag, Bern. 1189 pp

LANDOLT E (2001) Flora der Stadt Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel. 1421 pp

LANDOLT E, BÄUMLER B, ERHARDT A, HEGG O, KLÖTZLI F, LÄMMLER W, NOBIS M, RUDMANN-MAURER K, SCHWEINGRUBER FH, THEURILLAT JP, URMI E, VUST M & WOHLGEMUTH T (2010) Flora Indicativa. Ecological Indicator Values and Biological Attributes of the Flora of Switzerland and the Alps. Conservatoire et Jardin Botaniques de la ville de Genève. Haupt, Bern. 376 pp

LAUBER K & WAGNER G (2007) Flora Helvetica. Haupt Verlag, Bern. 4th edition. 1634 pp

MACCHI P (2005) La flora della provincia di Varese. Edizioni provincia di Varese. 203 pp

MARTINI F & PERICIN C (2003) Die Flora des Punto Franco Vecchio im Areal des alten Hafens von Triest (NE Italien). Bauhinia 17: 39–50

MARTINI F & SCHOLZ H (1998) *Eragrostis virescens* J. Presl (Poaceae), a new alien species for the Italian flora. Willdenowia 28: 59–63

MOLA MC (2009) *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* in Ticino. Rapporto 2009. Plant Protection Service of the Canton Ticino. Unpublished report. 35 pp

MOSER D, GYGAX A, BÄUMLER B, WYLER N & PALESE R (2002) Red List of threatened ferns and flowering plants in Switzerland. Swiss Agency for the Environment, Forests and Landscape (SAEFL), Berne, Conservatoire et Jardin Botaniques de la Ville de Genève, Chambésy, Centre du Réseau Suisse de Floristique (CRSF), Chambésy. (In German, French and Italian). 120 pp

NOBIS MP, JAEGER JAG & ZIM-MERMANN NE (2009) Neophyte species richness at the landscape level under urban sprawl and climate warming. Diversity Distrib 15: 928–939

PIGNATTI S (1982) Flora d'Italia. 3 volumes. Edagricole, Bologna.

PIGNATTI S (1994) Ecologia del paesaggio. UTET, Torino. 228 pp

PYŠEK P, RICHARDSON DM, REJ-MÁNEK M, WEBSTER G, WILLIAMSON M & KIRSCHNER J (2004) Alien plants in checklists and floras: towards better communication between taxonomists and ecologists. Taxon 53: 131–143

RAUNKLÆR C (1905) Types biologiques pour la géographie botanique. Vers Kongl Dankske Vidensk Selsk Forh 5: 347–437

REBELE F (1992) Colonization and early succession on anthropogenic soils. J Veg Sci 3: 201–208

REBELE F & LEHMANN C (2002) Restoration of a Landfill site in Berlin, Germany by Spontaneous and Directed Succession. Restoration Ecology 10(2): 340–347

REPUBBLICA E CANTONE TICINO (2007) Piano Direttore Cantonale.

Approvvigionamento in materiali inerti. Scheda V6. Bellinzona. 9 pp

RÖTHLISBERGER J (2002) Un *Eragrostis* nouveau pour la Suisse et autres découvertes intéressantes en Suisse Romande. Saussurea 32: 123–128

RÖTHLISBERGER J (2005) Die Gattung *Eragrostis* in der Schweiz – eine Standortbestimmung. Bauhinia 19: 15–28

RÖTHLISBERGER J (2007) Aktuelle Verbreitung der Gattung *Chamaesyce* (Euphorbiaceae) in der Schweiz. Bauhinia 20: 19–33

SCHOENENBERGER N, DRUART P, GIORGETTI FRANSCINI P (2002) Note floristiche ticinesi: la flora della rete ferroviaria ticinese con particolare attenzione alle specie avventizie. Parte I. Boll Soc Ti Sci Nat 90: 127–138

SCHOENENBERGER N & GIORGETTI FRANSCINI P (2004) Note floristiche ticinesi: la flora della rete ferroviaria ticinese con particolare attenzione alle specie avventizie. Parte II. Boll Soc Ti Sci Nat 92: 97–108

SØRENSEN T (1948) A method of establishing groups of equal amplitude in plant sociology based on similarity of species and its application to analyses of the vegetation on Danish commons. Biologiske Skrifter / Kongelige Danske Videnskabernes Selskab 5(4): 1–34

SWISS FEDERAL COUNCIL (2008) Ordinance on the release of organisms into the environment (Release Ordinance, RO). SR-No.814.911. 58 pp

TINNER U, SCHUMACHER H (2004) Flora auf den Bahnhöfen der Nordostschweiz. Bot. Helv. 114(2): 109–125

WALTHER GR, POST E, CONVEY P, MENZEL A, PARMESAN C, BEEBEE TJC, FROMENTIN JM, HOEGH-GULDBERG O & BAIRLEIN F (2002) Ecological responses to recent climate change. Nature 416: 389–395

WEBER E (1999) Gebietsfremde Arten der Schweizer Flora – Ausmass und Bedeutung. Bauhinia 13: 1–10

Electronic Supplementary Information BAUHINIA 2011 23: 1–16

Exploring the Flora on Inert Landfill Sites in Southern Ticino (Switzerland)

Bruno Bellosi, Paolo Selldorf & Nicola Schoenenberger

List of taxa recorded on inert landfill sites in southern Ticino; species inscribed in the Red List of threatened plants in the sector SA1 (Ticino and Moesa), neophytes, garden escapes, or species new for Switzerland or the Canton Ticino.

EG: Ecological Plant Groups. 1: forest; 2: mountain; 3: low altitude pioneer; 4: aquatic;

5: wetland; 6: nutrient-poor meadow; 7: weeds and ruderal; 8: nutrient-rich meadow (MOSER et al. 2002)

RL: Red List category SA1. CR: Critically Endangered; EN: Endangered; VU: Vulnerable; NT: Near Threatened; LC: Least Concern; DD: Data Deficient; NE: Not Evaluated; –: taxon treated by the Red List but considered absent from SA1 (MOSER et al. 2002)

AI: additional information from the Red List. N: extra-European neophyte; E: European neophyte; C: cultivated; i: invasive (MOSER et al. 2002)

BL: Black List (BL) and Watch List (WL) (CPS-SKEW 2008)

RO: Release Ordinance, forbidden plants (SWISS FEDERAL COUNCIL 2008)

D: DAISIE 100 of the most invasive alien species in Europe (DAISIE 2009)

I: Invasive species of Italy (CELESTI-GRAPOW et al. 2009)

1-8: Investigated sites (see Fig. 1)

LUG: Collection number of the Herbarium Lugano

| Taxon | EG | RL | AI | BL | RO | D | Ι | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | LUG |
|---|----|----|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------------|
| <i>Abutilon theophrasti</i> Medik. | 7 | NT | N | | | | х | х | | х | | х | | Х | | |
| <i>Acacia dealbata</i> Link | 7 | DD | Ν | | | х | х | х | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Acalypha virginica</i> L. | 7 | NT | Ν | | | | х | | х | | | | | х | | 15443 |
| Acer negundo L. | 1 | DD | Ni | | | | х | | | | | | | х | | |
| Acer saccharinum L. | - | NE | | | | | | | | х | | | | х | | 13052 |
| <i>Agrostis gigantea</i> Roth | 3 | NT | | | | | | x | | | | х | | | х | 15421, 15422 |
| <i>Ailanthus altissima</i> (Mill.) Swingle | 3 | LC | Ni | BL | | х | х | | х | | x | х | х | х | | |
| <i>Alcea rosea</i> L. | 7 | DD | Ν | | | | | | х | | | | | | | |
| <i>Allium sativum</i> L. | 7 | LC | С | | | | | | | | | | | х | | |
| Amaranthus albus L. | 7 | LC | Ν | | | | x | | | | x | | | х | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Electronic Supplementary I BAUHINIA 2011 23: 1–16 | http://pages.unibas.ch/botges/bauhinia/23.htm Bruno Bellosi, Paolo Selldorf & Nicola Schoenenberger | Exploring the Flora on Inert Landfill Sites in Southern Ticino (Switzerland) page 1/8

| Taxon | EG | RL | AI | BL | RO | D | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | LUG |
|--|----|----|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------------------|
| Amaranthus bouchonii | 7 | VU | Ν | İ | | 1 | х | х | х | | | | х | х | | 15449, |
| Thell. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 19052, 19053 |
| <i>Amaranthus cruentus</i> L. | 7 | LC | Ν | | | | x | x | x | | | | | | | |
| Amaranthus deflexus L. | 7 | LC | Ν | | | | х | | х | | | | | | | |
| Amaranthus hypochondriacus L. | 7 | LC | Ν | | | | | x | х | х | х | х | х | х | | 15454, 19054 |
| <i>Ambrosia artemisiifolia</i> L. | 7 | EN | Ni | BL | x | x | х | x | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | |
| <i>Anagallis arvensis</i> L. | 7 | NT | | | | | | | х | х | х | х | | х | | 19024 |
| Anethum graveolens L. | 7 | DD | С | | | | | | | | | х | | | | |
| Anthemis arvensis L. | 7 | VU | | | | | | x | | | | | | | | 19003 |
| <i>Antirrhinum majus</i> L. | 3 | NT | С | | | | | | | | | х | | х | | |
| Aphanes arvensis L. | 7 | VU | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | 15162 |
| <i>Aquilegia vulgaris</i> L. | 1 | VU | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | |
| <i>Aristolochia clematitis</i> L. | 7 | VU | | | | | | | | x | | | | | | |
| <i>Artemisia annua</i> L. | 7 | VU | Е | | | | х | x | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Artemisia verlotiorum</i> Lamotte | 7 | LC | Ni | BL | | | х | x | х | х | x | х | x | х | х | |
| <i>Arundo donax</i> L. | 5 | NT | Ni | | | | х | | х | | | | | | | |
| <i>Aster novi-belgii</i> aggr. | 5 | NT | Ni | | | | | | | | | | | | х | 19060 |
| <i>Astilbe sp.</i> Buch. Ham ex D.Don | - | NE | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | |
| <i>Avena sativa</i> L. | 7 | LC | С | | | | | | | | | | | х | | |
| <i>Avena sterilis</i> subsp. <i>ludoviciana</i> (Durieu) Nyman | - | NE | | | | | | | х | | | x | x | | | 15476 |
| <i>Beta vulgaris</i> L. | 7 | DD | С | | | | | | | х | | | | | | |
| Bidens frondosa L. | 5 | LC | Ni | | | | х | x | х | х | х | х | x | х | х | 15455 |
| <i>Brassica napus</i> L. | 7 | LC | С | | | | | | | | | | x | x | | |
| <i>Bromus catharticus</i> Vahl | - | NE | | | | | | | х | x | | | | | | 19005 |
| <i>Bromus japonicus</i> Thunb. | 7 | EN | | | | | | | | | | х | х | | | 13026, 13031, 13032 |
| Bromus tectorum L. | 7 | VU | | | | | | | | | х | | | х | | |
| <i>Bryonia dioica</i> Jacq. | 1 | VU | | | | | | | | | | | | x | | |
| <i>Buddleja davidii</i> Franch. | 3 | LC | Ni | BL | | | х | x | х | x | x | x | | x | x | |
| <i>Buglossoides arvensis</i> (L.) I. M. Johnst. | 7 | VU | | | | | | | | | | | x | | | 19013 |
| <i>Calamintha glandulosa</i> (Req.) Benth. | 6 | NT | | | | | | | х | | х | x | | х | | |
| Calendula officinalis L. | 7 | DD | С | | | | | | х | х | | х | | | | |
| <i>Callistephus chinensis</i> (L.) Nees | 7 | DD | Ν | | | | | | | x | | | | x | | 19055 |

 Electronic Supplementary
 I
 BAUHINIA 2011 23: 1–16
 I
 http://pages.unibas.ch/botges/bauhinia/23.htm

 Bruno Bellosi, Paolo Selldorf & Nicola Schoenenberger
 I
 Exploring the Flora on Inert Landfill Sites in Southern Ticino (Switzerland)
 page 2/8

| Taxon | EG | RL | AI | BL | RO | D | Ι | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | LUG |
|---|----|----|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| <i>Calystegia sylvatica</i> (Kit.) Griseb. | 1 | DD | | | | | | х | х | х | | | х | х | | |
| <i>Campanula patula subsp. costae</i> (Willk.) Nyman | 6 | NT | | | | | | | x | x | | x | | | | |
| <i>Capsella rubella</i> Reut. | 7 | LC | Е | | | | | х | х | х | | х | | х | | |
| <i>Carduus pycnocephalus</i> L. | - | NE | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | 13053, 13054 |
| <i>Carex distans</i> L. | 5 | NT | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | 15173, 15174 |
| <i>Carex guestphalica</i> O. Lang | 6 | NT | | | | | | | x | x | | | | x | x | 15175, 15176 15177, 15466 |
| Carex pendula Huds. | 1 | NT | | | | | | | x | | | | | | | |
| <i>Catapodium rigidum</i> (L.) C. E. Hubb. | 7 | NT | | | | | | | | | | x | | | | 19036, 19037 |
| <i>Centaurium pulchellum</i> (Sw.) Druce | 5 | VU | | | | | | | | | | x | | | х | 15469, 19045 |
| Cerastium semidecandrum L. | 6 | NT | | | | | | | | | | х | | x | | |
| <i>Chaerophyllum temulum</i> L. | 1 | NT | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | |
| <i>Chenopodium ficifolium</i> Sm. | 7 | EN | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | 19066, 19067 |
| <i>Chenopodium glaucum</i> L. | 7 | VU | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Cichorium endivia</i> L. | 7 | DD | С | | | | | | | х | | | | | | |
| <i>Citrullus lanatus</i> (Thunb.) Mansfeld | - | NE | | | | | | | | x | | х | | | | |
| <i>Commelina communis</i> L. | 7 | NT | Ν | | | | x | х | | | | х | | | | |
| <i>Consolida ajacis</i> (L.) Schur | 7 | EN | С | | | | | | | | | х | | | | 19027 |
| <i>Conyza canadensis</i> (L.) Cronquist | 7 | LC | Ν | | | | x | х | х | x | х | х | х | x | х | |
| <i>Conyza sumatrensis</i> (Retz.) E. Walker | - | NE | | | | | x | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | | 15444, 15452 |
| <i>Coronopus didymus</i> (L.) Sm. | 7 | CR | Ν | | | | | | х | х | х | х | | x | | 19035 |
| <i>Cosmos bipinnatus</i> Cav. | - | NE | | | | | | х | | | | | | x | | |
| <i>Crepis foetida</i> L. | 7 | EN | | | | | | | х | | | х | х | х | | 19028, 19029 |
| Crepis pulchra L. | 7 | - | E | | | | | | x | | | x | | | | 13028- 13030,130 6, 19000, 19001 |
| <i>Crepis setosa</i> Haller f. | 7 | VU | E | | | | | | | х | | х | х | | | 13024, 13025, 13027 |
| <i>Cucubalus baccifer</i> L. | 1 | VU | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | 15439, 15440 |
| <i>Cucurbita maxima</i> Duchesne | 7 | DD | С | | | | | x | | x | | | | | | |

Electronic Supplementary I BAUHINIA 2011 23: 1–16 | http://pages.unibas.ch/botges/bauhinia/23.htm Bruno Bellosi, Paolo Selldorf & Nicola Schoenenberger I Exploring the Flora on Inert Landfill Sites in Southern Ticino (Switzerland) page 3/8

| Taxon | EG | RL | AI | BL | RO | D | Ι | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | LUG |
|--|----|----|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------------------------|
| <i>Cucurbita pepo</i> L. | 7 | DD | С | | | | | | х | | | х | | | | |
| <i>Cuscuta cesatiana</i> Bertol. | 7 | EN | | | | | | х | | | | | | х | | 15441, 19043 |
| Cyperus esculentus L. | 5 | LC | Ni | WL | | | | | х | | | | | | | |
| Cyperus fuscus L. | 5 | VU | | | | | | х | | х | х | х | | х | | |
| <i>Cyperus glomeratus</i> L. | 5 | CR | | | | | х | | | | х | | | | х | 15462 |
| Cyperus longus L. | 5 | EN | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | 13044 |
| <i>Cyperus microiria</i> Steud. | - | NE | | | | | х | | | | | | | х | | |
| <i>Datura innoxia</i> Mill. | - | NE | | | | | х | х | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Datura stramonium</i> L. | 7 | VU | Ν | | | | х | | х | х | | х | х | х | | 19061, 19062 |
| <i>Dianthus armeria</i> L. | 7 | VU | | | | | | х | х | | х | | | х | | 19017 |
| <i>Diospyros lotus</i> L. | 1 | DD | С | | | | | | | | | х | | х | | |
| <i>Diplotaxis muralis</i> (L.) DC. | 7 | EN | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | |
| <i>Diplotaxis tenuifolia</i> (L.) DC. | 7 | NT | | | | | | | х | | | х | | | | |
| <i>Dipsacus fullonum</i> L. | 7 | VU | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | |
| <i>Draba muralis</i> L. | 3 | EN | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | |
| <i>Duchesnea indica</i> (Andrews) Focke | 1 | LC | Ν | | | | х | x | х | x | | | | | | |
| <i>Eleusine indica</i> (L.) Gaertn. | 7 | NT | Ν | | | | х | x | х | x | x | х | x | х | | 15429 |
| <i>Epilobium tetragonum</i> L. s.str. | 5 | NT | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | |
| <i>Eragrostis pectinacea</i> (Michx.) Nees | - | NE | | | | | x | х | х | x | х | х | х | х | х | 15475, 19080- 19095 |
| <i>Eragrostis virescens</i> J. Presl | - | NE | | | | | | x | | | | x | | х | | 19056, 19068- 19079 |
| <i>Erigeron annuus</i> (L.) Desf. s.str. | 7 | LC | Ni | WL | | | x | х | x | x | x | x | x | х | х | |
| <i>Erigeron annuus subsp.</i> <i>strigosus</i> (Willd.) Wagenitz | 7 | LC | Ni | WL | | | | | х | | | | | | | 15445 |
| <i>Euphorbia lathyris</i> L. | 7 | NT | С | | | | | | х | | х | | | х | | |
| <i>Euphorbia maculata</i> L. | 7 | LC | Ν | | | | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | |
| <i>Euphorbia nutans</i> Lag. | 7 | NT | Ν | | | | 1 | | | | х | х | | х | | |
| <i>Euphorbia prostrata</i> Aiton | 7 | EN | N | | | | х | | | | | х | x | x | | 15427, 15453 19040, 19041 |
| Euphorbia stricta L. | 1 | EN | | | | | 1 | | | | | x | | | | |
| Fagopyrum esculentum Moench | 7 | EN | С | | | | | x | | | | | | | | |
| Foeniculum vulgare Mill. | 7 | LC | С | | | | 1 | | | | | x | | | | |
| <i>Forsythia</i> × <i>intermedia</i> Zabel | - | NE | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | |

Electronic Supplementary I BAUHINIA 2011 23: 1–16 | http://pages.unibas.ch/botges/bauhinia/23.htm Bruno Bellosi, Paolo Selldorf & Nicola Schoenenberger I Exploring the Flora on Inert Landfill Sites in Southern Ticino (Switzerland) page 4/8

| Taxon | EG | RL | AI | BL | RO | D | Ι | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | LUG |
|--|----|----|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------------|
| <i>Fragaria</i> × <i>ananassa</i> Duchesne | - | NE | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | |
| Galega officinalis L. | 1 | EN | С | | | | | | | | | | | | x | |
| <i>Galeopsis angustifolia</i> Hoffm. | 3 | VU | | | | | | | x | | | | | x | | |
| <i>Galinsoga ciliata</i> (Raf.) S. F. Blake | 7 | LC | Ν | | | | x | x | | х | x | х | x | х | | |
| <i>Galinsoga parviflora</i> Cav. | 7 | LC | Ν | | | | x | x | х | х | | | | | | |
| <i>Geranium dissectum</i> L. | 7 | VU | | | | | | х | | | | | х | | | |
| <i>Geranium sibiricum</i> L. | 1 | EN | Ν | | | | | | х | | | | | | | 15437, 15438 |
| <i>Gladiolus</i> (cultivar) L. | - | NE | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | |
| <i>Helianthus annuus</i> L. | 7 | LC | С | | | | | х | х | | | | | х | | |
| <i>Helianthus tuberosus</i> L. | 7 | LC | Ci | WL | | | х | х | х | х | | | | | | |
| <i>Hemerocallis fulva</i> (L.) L. | 7 | LC | Ν | | | | | | х | | | | | | | |
| <i>Herniaria hirsuta</i> L. | 3 | EN | Е | | | | | | | | | | | х | | |
| Hibiscus trionum L. | - | NE | | | | | | | х | | | х | | | | 13043 |
| <i>Hosta</i> (cultivar) Tratt. | - | NE | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | |
| <i>Hydrangea</i> (cultivar) L. | - | NE | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | |
| <i>Impatiens glandulifera</i> Royle | 7 | LC | Ni | BL | х | х | x | | | х | | | | | | |
| <i>Impatiens parviflora</i> DC. | 1 | LC | Ν | | | | х | х | х | х | | х | | | | |
| <i>Ipomoea sp.</i> L. | - | NE | | | | | | х | | | | | | х | | |
| <i>Iris</i> × <i>germanica</i> L. | 6 | VU | С | | | | | | х | | | | | | | |
| <i>Jasminum officinale</i> L. | 7 | DD | Ν | | | | | | | | | х | | | | |
| Juncus tenuis Willd. | 7 | LC | Ν | | | | х | х | х | | х | | | х | | 15165 |
| <i>Kickxia elatine</i> (L.) Dumort. | 7 | CR | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | 19044 |
| <i>Lactuca saligna</i> L. | 7 | CR | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | 15456 |
| <i>Lathyrus latifolius</i> L. | 3 | NT | С | | | | | | х | | | | | | | |
| <i>Legousia speculum- veneris</i> (L.) Chaix | 7 | EN | | | | | | | х | | | х | | | | 19038 |
| <i>Lepidium campestre</i> (L.) R. Br. | 7 | VU | | | | | | | х | | x | | | | | 19010 |
| Lepidium virginicum L. | 7 | LC | Ν | | | | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | | |
| <i>Lonicera japonica</i> Thunb. | 1 | LC | Ni | BL | | | x | | х | | | | | | | |
| <i>Lotus tenuis</i> Willd. | 6 | NT | | | | | | | х | | | | | | х | 15425, 15463 |
| <i>Lunaria annua</i> L. | 7 | LC | С | | | | | x | | | х | | | | | |
| Lycopersicon esculentum Mill. | 7 | DD | С | | | | | х | x | x | | х | | х | | |
| <i>Melilotus altissimus</i> Thuill. | 7 | NT | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | |
| <i>Melissa officinalis</i> L. | 7 | DD | С | | | | | | х | | | | | х | | |

 Electronic Supplementary
 I
 BAUHINIA 2011 23: 1–16
 I
 http://pages.unibas.ch/botges/bauhinia/23.htm

 Bruno Bellosi, Paolo Selldorf & Nicola Schoenenberger
 I
 Exploring the Flora on Inert Landfill Sites in Southern Ticino (Switzerland)
 page 5/8

| Taxon | EG | RL | AI | BL | RO | D | Ι | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | LUG |
|--|----------|----------|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------|---|-------------------------------------|
| Mentha suaveolens | 5 | VU | E | | | | | | | | | х | | | | 15430, 13051 |
| Ehrh. <i>Mentha</i> × <i>piperita</i> L. | 7 | חח | С | | | | | v | v | | | v | v | v | | |
| Mentria × piperita L. Mirabilis jalapa L. | - | DD NE | C | | | | x | х | Х | | | х | х | x x | | |
| Misopates orontium | 7 | EN | | | | | ^ | | | | | х | | ^ | | 19026 |
| (L.) Raf. | <i>'</i> | | | | | | | | | | | ^ | | | | 13020 |
| <i>Muhlenbergia schreberi</i> J. F. Gmel. | 7 | NT | Ν | | | | x | х | х | | x | х | | х | | 15433 |
| <i>Myosotis ramosissima</i> Rochel | 7 | VU | | | | | | х | х | х | | х | | х | | 13019 |
| <i>Nigella damascena</i> L. | 7 | DD | С | | | | | | | | | | | х | | |
| <i>Oenothera glazioviana</i> Micheli | 7 | DD | Ni | | | | х | х | | х | | х | | х | х | 19105–19019 |
| <i>Oenothera sp</i> . L. | 7 | | Ni | | | | | х | х | х | | х | | х | х | |
| <i>Oxalis fontana</i> Bunge | 7 | LC | Ν | | | | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | | |
| <i>Oxalis violacea</i> L. non Thunb. | - | NE | | | | | | | х | | | х | | | | |
| <i>Pachysandra terminalis</i> Sieb. et Zucc. | - | NE | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | 13021 |
| <i>Panicum capillare</i> L. | 7 | LC | Ν | | | | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | |
| Panicum dichotomiflorum Michx. | 7 | LC | N | | | | x | х | х | х | x | х | x | x | x | 15423, 15424, 15434, 19057 |
| Panicum miliaceum L. | 7 | NT | С | | | | | | | | | | | x | | 15178, 19046 |
| <i>Papaver dubium subsp. lecoqii</i> (Lamotte) Syme | 7 | VU | | | | | | | | | x | | | x | | |
| Papaver somniferum L. | 7 | NT | Ν | | | | | | | х | | | | | | |
| <i>Parthenocissus inserta</i> (A. Kern.) Fritsch | 1 | DD | Ni | WL | | | х | x | | | | х | | | | |
| <i>Paspalum dilatatum</i> Poir. | - | NE | | | | | х | | | х | | | | | | 15461 |
| <i>Paulownia tomentosa</i> (Thunb.) Steud. | 1 | LC | Ni | WL | | | | х | х | | | | | | | |
| <i>Petunia</i> (cultivar) Juss. | - | NE | | | | | | х | х | | | | | | | |
| <i>Phalaris canariensis</i> L. | 7 | NT | Е | | | | | | | | | | | х | | 15471 |
| <i>Phlox paniculata</i> L. | - | NE | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Phyllostachys viridis</i> (R.A.Young) Mc Clure | - | NE | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | |
| <i>Phytolacca americana</i> L. | 7 | LC | Ni | WL | | | x | x | х | х | x | х | | x | | |
| <i>Platanus</i> × <i>hispanica</i> Münchh. | 1 | DD | Ν | | | | | | | | | | | x | | |
| <i>Polygonum orientale</i> L. | 7 | NT | Ν | | | | | | х | | | | | | | 15442 |
| <i>Potentilla norvegica</i> L. | 7 | VU | Е | | | | | x | х | | x | | | | | 19031, 19032 |
| Prunus serotina Ehrh. | 1 | NT | Ni | BL | | x | х | x | х | x | | | | | | |
| Pyracantha coccinea | 3 | NT | Ν | | | | | | х | х | | | | | | 13039 |

 Electronic Supplementary
 I
 BAUHINIA 2011 23: 1–16
 I
 http://pages.unibas.ch/botges/bauhinia/23.htm

 Bruno Bellosi, Paolo Selldorf & Nicola Schoenenberger
 I
 Exploring the Flora on Inert Landfill Sites in Southern Ticino (Switzerland)
 page 6/8

| Taxon | EG | RL | AI | BL | RO | D | Ι | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | LUG |
|---|----|----|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------------------|
| Roem. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Quercus rubra</i> L. | 1 | NT | Ni | | | | х | х | | | | | | х | | |
| <i>Ranunculus sardous</i> Crantz | 7 | CR | | | | | | | | | x | | | | | 19012 |
| <i>Reynoutria japonica</i> Houtt. | 7 | LC | Ni | BL | x | х | x | х | х | х | x | | | x | | |
| <i>Rhus typhina</i> L. | 3 | NT | Ni | BL | х | | | х | х | | х | | | х | | |
| <i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i> L. | 1 | LC | Ni | BL | | х | x | x | х | х | x | х | | x | х | |
| <i>Rubus phoenicolasius</i> Maxim. | 1 | DD | Ν | | | | x | х | х | | | | | | | |
| <i>Rudbeckia hirta</i> L. | 7 | LC | Ni | | | | | х | х | | | х | | | | |
| <i>Rumex conglomeratus</i> Murray | 5 | VU | | | | | | | | | x | | | | | 13048, 19018 |
| <i>Salix fragilis</i> L. | 1 | NT | Е | | | | | | | | х | | | х | | |
| <i>Satureja hortensis</i> L. | 7 | DD | С | | | | | | | | | х | | х | | 13042 |
| <i>Saxifraga tridactylites</i> L. | 3 | NT | | | | | | | | | | | | x | | |
| <i>Scirpus sylvaticus</i> L. | 5 | NT | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Senecio inaequidens</i> DC. | 7 | LC | Ni | BL | x | | x | | | х | | | | | | |
| <i>Setaria italica</i> (L.) P. Beauv. | 7 | VU | E | | | | x | х | | х | | Х | | x | | 15179, 15168, 19042 |
| <i>Solanum chenopodioides</i> Lam. | 7 | VU | Ν | | | | | x | x | x | x | х | | x | | 15446, 15447 |
| Solanum tuberosum L. | 7 | LC | С | | | | | | | х | | | | х | | |
| <i>Solanum villosum</i> Mill. s.l. | 7 | VU | | | | | | | | | | | | x | | |
| <i>Solidago canadensis</i> L. | 7 | LC | Ni | BL | х | | х | х | х | х | х | х | x | х | х | |
| <i>Solidago gigantea</i> Aiton | 5 | LC | Ni | BL | x | | x | | х | х | x | х | x | x | х | |
| <i>Sorghum halepense</i> (L.) Pers. | 7 | LC | Ν | | | | х | | х | х | х | х | х | х | | |
| <i>Sporobolus vaginiflorus</i> (Torr.) Wood. | - | NE | | | | | x | | | | | | | х | | 13045, 13046 |
| <i>Symphytum bulbosum</i> K. F. Schimp. | 1 | VU | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Symphytum officinale</i> L. | 5 | NT | | | | | | х | х | | | | | | | |
| <i>Tagetes patula</i> L. | - | NE | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Tamarix gallica</i> L. | - | NE | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | |
| <i>Tanacetum parthenium</i> (L.) Sch. Bip. | 7 | NT | С | | | | | | х | | | х | | | | |
| <i>Torilis arvensis</i> (Huds.) Link | 7 | VU | | | | | | | x | | x | х | x | x | | |
| <i>Trachycarpus fortunei</i> (Hook.) H. Wendl. | 1 | LC | Ni | WL | | | x | x | x | | | | | | | |

Electronic Supplementary I BAUHINIA 2011 23: 1–16 | http://pages.unibas.ch/botges/bauhinia/23.htm Bruno Bellosi, Paolo Selldorf & Nicola Schoenenberger I Exploring the Flora on Inert Landfill Sites in Southern Ticino (Switzerland) page 7/8

| Taxon | EG | RL | AI | BL | RO | D | I | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | LUG |
|--|----|----|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------------------------|
| <i>Tragopogon dubius</i> Scop. | 7 | VU | | | | | | | х | | | Х | х | Х | | |
| <i>Trifolium fragiferum</i> L. | 6 | EN | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | 13055, 13056 |
| <i>Trifolium resupinatum</i> L. | 7 | NT | С | | | | | x | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Triticum aestivum</i> L. | 7 | LC | С | | | | | | | | | х | | х | | |
| Ulmus minor Mill. | 1 | NT | | | | | | | х | | | х | | | | |
| Verbascum phlomoides L. | 7 | EN | | | | | | | | | | х | | х | | 19030 |
| <i>Veronica anagallis- aquatica</i> L. | 4 | NT | | | | | | | | x | | | | | | |
| <i>Veronica filiformis</i> Sm. | 8 | NT | Ν | | | | | | х | | | | | | | |
| <i>Veronica peregrina</i> L. | 7 | VU | Ν | | | | | x | х | х | х | х | x | х | | 13020, 19006, 19007 |
| Veronica persica Poir. | 7 | LC | Ν | | | | х | x | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | |
| <i>Vicia villosa</i> Roth s.str. | 7 | EN | | | | | | | х | | х | | | | | 19011 |
| <i>Vulpia myuros</i> (L.) C. C. Gmel. | 7 | NT | | | | | | x | x | | х | х | x | | | |
| <i>Xanthium italicum</i> Moretti | 8 | EN | Ei | | | | х | | x | | | х | | | | 15457- 15459, 15464, 15465 |
| <i>Yucca filamentosa</i> L. | - | NE | | | | | | | х | | | х | | | | |
| <i>Yucca gloriosa</i> L. | - | NE | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | 13023 |
| <i>Zea mays</i> L. | 7 | LC | С | | | | | x | x | x | | | | x | | |

ZOBODAT - www.zobodat.at

Zoologisch-Botanische Datenbank/Zoological-Botanical Database

Digitale Literatur/Digital Literature

Zeitschrift/Journal: Bauhinia

Jahr/Year: 2011

Band/Volume: 23

Autor(en)/Author(s): Schoenenberger Nicola, Bellosi Bruno, Selldorf Paolo

Artikel/Article: Exploring the Flora on Inert Landfill Sites in Southern Ticino (Switzerland) 1-15