© Biodiversity Heritage Library, http://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/; www.zoologicalbulletin.de; www.biologiezentrum	
--	--

Bonner zoologische Beiträge	Band 54 (2005)	Heft 4	Seiten 261–269	Bonn, Oktober 2006	
-----------------------------	----------------	--------	----------------	--------------------	--

Leaf Beetles (Insecta: Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) Suffer From Feeding on Fern Leaves¹

Michael SCHMITT²⁾ & Sigrun BOPP³⁾

²⁾ Zoologisches Forschungsmuseum Alexander Koenig, Bonn, Germany
 ³⁾ Universität Ułm, Abteilung Systematische Botanik und Ökologie, Ulm, Germany

Abstract. Two age groups of larvae of *Agelastica alni* (Linnaeus, 1758) and *Phratora vitellinae* (Linnaeus, 1758) were provided with treated or untreated leaves of their actual host plant (*Alnus glutinosa* or *Salix spp.*, respectively) or of the ferns *Athyrium filix-femina*, *Dryopteris austriaca* and *D. filix-mas.* "Treated" leaves were covered with an aqueous extract of host plant or fern leaves. Mortality of young larvae (one-day old) of *A. alni* was significantly higher when provided with treated or untreated fern leaves and treated food plant leaves compared to untreated food plant leaves. Mortality of older larvae (six-day old) was significantly lower than that of young larvae. Young larvae did not feed on fern leaves at all, while older larvae ingested material from treated fern leaves, however at low rates. *Phratora vitellinae* individuals showed abnormal elytra and irregular melanisation patterns when they had taken up fern substances. In both species, the larvae produced less defensive secretion if they had ingested an extract of *Athyrium filix-femina*.

Key words. Agelastica alni, Phratora vitellinae, Filicatae: Aspidiaceae, phytophagy, larval development

1. INTRODUCTION

There are 12,500 species of ferns and 230,500 species of seed plants (SPECK 1992). Some 140,000 species of Phytophaga (= Pseudotetramera = Curculionoidea + Cerambycidae + Bruchidae + Chrysomelidae; Lexikon der Biologie, Urania-Tierreich) mean a proportion of one species of pseudotetramerous beetles per 1.7 species of spermatophyte plant, but only 105 species of Phytophaga are known to feed on ferns (BALICK et al. 1978), producing a proportion of 1:119.0. According to HENDRIX (1980) of 726,000 species of insects known at that time, 338,000 are phytophagous (1:0.7), but only 465 feed on ferns (1:26.9). Of the 413 arthropod species reported as found on ferns, 93 attack bracken (Pteridium aquilinum) exclusively, making the proportion 1:33.3. Even if these numbers must be corrected for uneven numbers of individuals or/and different availability of biomass, it is clear that there are much less leaf beetles (Chrysomelidae) feeding on ferns than could be expected according to species numbers. There might be regional exceptions (e.g., Veracruz, Mexico: BALICK et el. 1978; Hawaii: SWEZEY 1922). Especially arthropods with chewing mouthparts are remarkably underrepresented on ferns as compared to the large percentage of insects with sucking mouthparts (Thysanoptera, Heteroptera, Homoptera; HENDRIX 1980; HILL 1998; D'RO-ZARIO & BERA 2003).

Data from bracken (e.g., MARTINS et al. 1995; GILMAN & COOPER-DRIVER 1998) can be generalised only with care since this fern species is (1) certainly the most abundant fern species on earth, and (2) is regarded a pest since it causes illness to cattle when fed. Thus, bracken is definitively the most intensively studied fern species.

In other taxa, for example, Lithinine moths, there might have been a phylogenetic adaptation towards fern feeding (WEINTRAUB et al. 1995). The sawfly Strongylogaster osmundae (Takeuchi, 1941) (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae) develops obligatorily on the osmund fern, Osmunda japonica. In leaf beetles, however, there is no indication that any species is especially adapted to the exploitation of this food resource. For example, WINTERBOURN (1987) found beetles of seven families on bracken, amongst them no leaf beetles, a result also supported by MESIBOV (2001). MUKHOPADHYAY & THAPA (1994) list 19 beetle species, among them seven species of Chrysomelidae as "associated with ferns", however without providing any information as to the intensity of this "association". Since among the seven leaf beetles listed by MUKHOPADHYAY & THAPA there is also Aspidimorpha sanctaecrucis (Fabricius, 1792), which is definitely restricted to Convulvulaceae (GHATE et al. 2003), one should draw conclusions very cautiously. Possible reasons for the strikingly lower number of phytophagous beetles on ferns than on angiosperms could be that ferns are either toxic, or have repellents, or lack attractants.

Paper presented to the 6th International Symposium on the Chrysomelidae, Bonn, Germany, May 7, 2004.

262

In order to shed some light on these questions for three species of Central European ferns (*Athyrium filix-femina* (Lady Fern), *Dryopteris austriaca* (Wood Fern) and *D. filix-mas* (Male Fern), we conducted feeding experiments with two species of leaf beetles, *Agelastica alni* (Linnaeus, 1758) and *Pluratora vitellinae* (Linnaeus, 1758).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fifteen adult individuals of *Agelastica alni* were collected from Common Alder (*Alnus glutinosa*) in the surroundings of Freiburg im Breisgau, Germany. They were kept in plastic containers on a layer of gypsum and fed with fresh alder leaves. Their eggs were collected and the hatched larvae were fed on fresh leaves of their original food plant until they were used in the tests. We conducted two series of tests: (1) with "young" – i.e., freshly hatched – larvae, and (2) with "old" – i.e., sixday old – larvae. In both age groups feeding traces and

mortality were registered on day 3, 8, and 10 after the beginning of the experiment.

Adults of *Phratora vitellinae* were collected from willows and poplars growing in a park area on the outskirts of Freiburg im Breisgau. They were kept and treated as the individuals of *Agelastica alni*. However, since considerably less larvae of *Phratora vitellinae* could be obtained, meaningful statistical analysis was not possible.

Leaves of *Athyrium filix-femina*, *Dryopteris austriaca* and *D. filix-mas* were collected in a forest close to the city of Freiburg im Breisgau ("Sternwald"), always from the same area. Aqueous extracts were made from fresh leaves and from those dried for four weeks at room temperature. The leaves were put into tab water for 30 minutes and then squeezed with broad forceps in order to gain not only water-soluble but also lipophilous substances. The test leaves were soaked with the respective extracts for 30 minutes in order to mask their contact chemical properties.

2007 B B	T	•	0
Lable	$\vdash X$	perimenta	i Settings

Test Number	Kind of Food Offered
1	Normal food plant (f.p.) masked with extract from dried Dryopteris filix-mas (D.f.)
2	Normal food plant (f.p.) masked with extract from dried Dryopteris ausriaca (D.a.)
3	Normal food plant (f.p.) masked with extract from dried Athyrium filix-femina (A.f.)
4	Dried D. filix-mas masked with extract from the normal food plant
5	Dried D. austriaca masked with extract from the normal food plant
6	Dried A. filix-femina masked with extract from the normal food plant
7	Dried D. filix-mas, swelled in tab water
8	Dried D. austriaca, swelled in tab water
9	Dried A. filix-femina, swelled in tab water
10	Dried normal food plant, swelled in tab water
11	Normal food plant masked with extract from fresh Dryopteris filix-mas
12	Normal food plant masked with extract from fresh Dryopteris ausriaca
13	Normal food plant masked with extract from fresh Athyrium filix-femina
14	Fresh D. filix-mas masked with extract from the normal food plant
15	Fresh D. austriaca masked with extract from the normal food plant
16	Fresh A. filix-femina masked with extract from the normal food plant
17	Fresh D. filix-mas, swelled in tab water
18	Fresh D. austriaca, swelled in tab water
19	Fresh A. filix-femina, swelled in tab water
20	Fresh normal food plant, swelled in tab water
21	Fresh D. filix-mas, untreated
22	Fresh D. austriaca, untreated
23	Fresh A. filix-femina, untreated
24	Fresh normal food plant, untreated

For each of the two test series 24 setups were designed. The details are given in Table 1. The test larvae were kept under the described conditions until either all were dead or the first individuals tried to pupate (which can easily be recognised as *Agelastica alni* beetles pupate in the soil. Thus, larvae ready to pupate attempt at digging holes in the gypsum). *Phratora vitellinae* pupate on the leaves of their food plant, the initiation of their pupation can be observed directly.

We used the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) to calculate a logistic regression. Probabilities of error were calculated by Chi² or Fisher's exact test (the latter in cases where n was too low for Chi²).

t Day 3 Day 8 Day 10 Day 3 D				Yot	Young larvae			0	Old larvae	
alive/dead alive/	Test				Day 8	Day 10		Day 3	Day 8	Day 10
(F.p. masked with dried D_x) 18/1 12/7 10/9 16/10 13/2 (F.p. masked with dried A_x) 17/2 14/5 14/5 16/10 13/2 (F.p. masked with f.p.) 17/2 14/5 14/5 17/1 13/4 (F.p. masked with f.p.) 17/2 17/2 6/12 5/13 6/10 13/2 (Dried D_x masked with f.p.) 17/2 17/2 6/12 5/13 6/1 13/4 (Dried D_x masked with f.p.) 19/2 0/16 20/1 0/20 (Dried D_x swelled) 2 1/17 2 1/17 2 (Dried D_x swelled) 2 1/17 2 1/17 2 1/17 1/17 (Fe ph masked with fresh D_x) 2 0/19 1/17 2 1/17 1/17 (Fe ph masked with fresh D_x) 2 1/17 1/17 1/17 1/17 (Fe ph masked with fresh D_x) 2 0/19 1/17 1/17 1/17 (Fe ph masked with fresh D_x)	No.			alive/dead	alive/dead	alive/dead		alive/dead	alive/dead	alive/dead
(Fp masked with dried D_{cd}) 17/2 14/5 14/5 17/1 13/4 (Fp masked with fp) 17/2 14/5 14/5 14/5 14/3 14/3 (Fp masked with fp) 17/2 17/2 6/12 5/13 1 14/3 (Dried D_f masked with fp) 17/1 13/4 1 1 1 1 (Dried D_f masked with fp) 17/2 17/2 6/12 5/13 1 1 1 (Dried D_f masked with fp) 1 1 0/19 1 1 0/19 0/19 0/11 0/21 (Dried D_f swelled) 1<		(F.p. masked with dried $D.f.$)		18/1	12/7	10/9	-	16/10	13/2	12/2
(F_p , masked with fried A_f) = 17/2 6/12 5/13 = 16/1 14/3 ($Dried D_f$ masked with frp.) = 1/16 6/12 5/13 = 16/1 14/3 ($Dried D_f$ masked with frp.) = 1/16 0/2 0/19 0/2 0/18 ($Dried D_f$ swelled) = 14/4 0/19 0/19 0/18 0/18 ($Dried A_f$ swelled) = 1/17 0/19 0/19 0/19 0/18 ($Dried L_f$ swelled) = 9/8 2/17 0/19 0/19 0/11 0/20 ($Dried L_f$ swelled) = 19/1 16/3 15/4 = 17/3 17/3 (F_p masked with fresh D_f) = 0/20 19/0 17/2 17/2 17/3 17/3 (F_p masked with fresh D_f) = 0/20 19/0 17/2 17/3 17/3 (F_p masked with fresh D_f) = 0/20 17/2 17/2 17/3 17/3 (F_p masked with fresh D_f) = 0/20 17/2 17/2 17/3		(F.p. masked with dried <i>D.a.</i>)		17/2	14/5	14/5	-	17/1	13/4	13/4
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(F.p. masked with dried A.f.)		17/2	6/12	5/13	•	16/1	14/3	13/4
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(Dried D.f. masked with f.p.)		1/16			0 3)	20/1	0/21	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(Dried D.a. masked with f.p.)		19/2			Ô	1/61	0/20	
		(Dried A.f. masked with f.p.)	0	14/4			Õ	18/0	0/18	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(Dried D.f. swelled)	22	12/7	0/19		0 ⁴⁾	18/2		
		(Dried $D.a.$ swelled)		4/17			O ⁴⁾	19/1		
		(Dried A.f. swelled)		9/8			0 ⁴⁾	20/0		
(F.p. masked with fresh D_f) = 20/0 19/0 19/1 17/3 (F.p. masked with fresh D_ca) = 19/1 16/3 15/4 = 19/1 17/3 (F.p. masked with fresh A_f) = 20/0 17/2 17/2 17/3 17/3 (F.p. masked with f.p.) = 0/20 17/2 17/2 17/3 17/3 (Fresh D_f masked with f.p.) = 0/20 17/2 17/2 0/19 17/2 (Fresh D_f masked with f.p.) = 0/20 0/17 0/17 0/17 0/17 (Fresh D_f masked with f.p.) = 0/18 0/18 0/17 0/17 0/17 (Fresh D_f swelled) = 0/12 17/1 15/3 0/17 0/17 (Fresh D_f swelled) = 0/12 17/1 15/3 = 20/0 20/0 (Fresh D_f untreated) = 0/12 17/1 15/3 = 20/0 20/0 (Fresh D_f untreated) = 0/12 17/1 15/3 = 20/0 20/0 (F	0	(Dried f.p. swelled)		1/61	2/17	0/19	•	22/0	18/4	17/5
(F.p. masked with fresh $D.a.$) • 19/1 16/3 15/4 • 17/3 17/3 (F.p. masked with fresh $A.f$) • 20/0 17/2 17/2 • 19/0 17/2 (F.p. masked with f.p.) • 0/20 17/2 17/2 0 0 0 (Fresh $D.f.$ masked with f.p.) • 0/20 17/2 0/19 0/30 (Fresh $D.f.$ masked with f.p.) • 0/20 0/20 0/17 0/17 (Fresh $D.f.$ masked with f.p.) • 4/16 0/20 0/17 0/17 0/17 (Fresh $D.f.$ masked with f.p.) • 0/18 0/17 0/12 0/17 0/17 (Fresh $D.f.$ swelled) • 0/12 17/1 15/3 • 20/0 20/0 (Fresh $D.a.$ swelled) • 0/12 17/1 15/3 • 20/0 20/0 (Fresh $D.a.$ swelled) • 0/12 17/1 15/3 • 20/0 20/0 (Fresh $D.a.$ swelled) • 0/12 17/1 15/3 • 20/0 20/0	1	(F.p. masked with fresh $D(f)$)	•	20/0	19/0	19/0		19/1	17/3	17/3
(F.p. masked with fresh A_f) = 20/0 17/2 17/2 19/0 17/2 (Fresh D_f masked with f.p.) = 0/20 0/20 0/20 0/30 0/30 (Fresh D_f masked with f.p.) = 0/20 0/20 0/20 0/30 0/30 (Fresh D_f masked with f.p.) = 0/20 0/20 0/17 0/17 0/17 (Fresh D_f masked with f.p.) = 0/18 0/18 0/17 0/17 0/17 (Fresh D_f masked with f.p.) = 0/18 0/18 0/17 0/17 0/17 (Fresh D_f swelled) = 0/12 17/1 15/3 = 12/15 (Fresh A_f swelled) = 0/12 17/1 15/3 = 20/0 (Fresh D_f untreated) = 0/12 17/1 15/3 = 20/0 (Fresh D_f untreated) = 0/12 17/1 15/3 = 20/0 (Fresh D_f untreated) = 0/12 17/1 15/3 = 20/0 (Fresh D_f untreated) = 0/23	2	(F.p. masked with fresh D.a.)		19/1	16/3	15/4		17/3	17/3	17/3
(Fresh D_f masked with f.p.) 0/20 0^{30}_{0} 11/19 0/30 (Fresh A_f masked with f.p.) 0 0/20 0^{60}_{0} 17/2 0/17 (Fresh A_f masked with f.p.) 0 0/18 0^{70}_{0} 17/2 0/17 (Fresh A_f masked with f.p.) 0 0/18 0^{70}_{0} 17/2 0/17 (Fresh D_f swelled) 0 0/12 1^{71}_{11} 0^{71}_{12} 0^{71}_{11} (Fresh A_f swelled) 0 0/12 1^{71}_{11} $1^{5/3}_{12}$ 0^{71}_{11} (Fresh A_f swelled) 0 0^{71}_{12} 0^{71}_{12} 1^{71}_{11} $1^{5/3}_{12}$ 0^{71}_{12} (Fresh L_f untreated) 0^{23}_{12} 0^{72}_{13} 0^{72}_{13} 0^{72}_{13} 0^{71}_{13} (Fresh A_f untreated) 0^{23}_{12} 0^{72}_{13} 0^{70}_{13} 1^{7}_{13} 0^{7}_{13} (Fresh A_f untreated) 0^{70}_{12} 0^{70}_{13} 0^{7}_{13} 0^{7}_{13} 0^{7}_{13} (Fresh A_f untreated) 0^{7}_{13} 0^{7}_{13} 0^{7}_{13} 0^{7}_{13} 0^{7}_{13} <td>ŝ</td> <td>(F.p. masked with fresh $A(f)$)</td> <td></td> <td>20/0</td> <td>17/2</td> <td>17/2</td> <td></td> <td>19/0</td> <td>17/2</td> <td>17/2</td>	ŝ	(F.p. masked with fresh $A(f)$)		20/0	17/2	17/2		19/0	17/2	17/2
(Fresh $D.a.$ masked with f.p.) 0 0/20 $0/10$ 0/17 0/19 (Fresh $D.f.$ masked with f.p.) 1 4/16 $0/18$ 17/2 0/17 (Fresh $D.f.$ swelled) 1 0/18 17/10 0/17 0/17 (Fresh $D.f.$ swelled) 1 0/18 12/15 12/15 0/17 (Fresh $D.f.$ swelled) 1 0/12 17/11 15/3 19/11 0/17 (Fresh $D.f.$ swelled) 1 0/12 17/11 15/3 19/11 20/0 20/0 (Fresh $D.f.$ untreated) $0/12$ 17/11 15/3 $0/12$ 19/11 13/2 (Fresh $D.f.$ untreated) $0/12$ 0/23 $0/13$ $0/23$ $0/13$ 13/2 (Fresh $D.f.$ untreated) $3/15$ $0/23$ $0/23$ $0/13$ $13/2$ (Fresh $A.f.$ untreated) $0/23$ $0/23$ $0/15$ $0/16$ $1/7$ (Fresh $A.f.$ untreated) $0/23$ $0/23$ $0/16$ $0/15$ $0/16$ $0/16$ (Fresh $A.f.$ untreated) $0/23$ $0/10$ $0/16$	4	(Fresh D.f. masked with f.p.)		0/20			0 ⁵⁾	11/19	0/30	
(Fresh Af . masked with f.p.) 14/16 17/0 0/17 (Fresh $D.f$. swelled) 0 0/18 12/15 12/15 (Fresh $D.f$. swelled) 0 0/12 17/1 12/15 (Fresh $D.f$. swelled) 0 0/12 17/1 12/15 (Fresh $D.f$. swelled) 0 0/12 17/1 15/3 19/1 (Fresh Lf . swelled) 0 0/12 17/1 15/3 20/0 20/0 (Fresh Lf . untreated) 0 3/15 17/1 15/3 20/0 20/0 (Fresh $D.f$. untreated) 3/15 0/23 17/1 15/3 13/2 (Fresh $D.f$. untreated) 3/15 0/23 13/2 13/2 (Fresh $A.f$. untreated) 83/0 80/0 79/0 74/5	2	(Fresh D.a. masked with f.p.)		0/20			(₉)	17/2	0/19	
(Fresh D ; swelled) \Box $0/18$ \Box $12/15$ (Fresh A , S swelled) \Box $0/20$ \Box $20/0$ (Fresh A , S swelled) \Box $0/12$ $17/1$ $15/3$ \Box $20/0$ (Fresh A , S swelled) \Box $0/12$ $17/1$ $15/3$ \Box $20/0$ (Fresh D , f untreated) \Box $3/14$ $0/23$ \Box $20/0$ $20/0$ (Fresh D , f untreated) \Box $3/15$ \Box $20/0$ $20/0$ $20/0$ (Fresh D , f untreated) \Box $3/15$ \Box $20/0$ $20/0$ $20/0$ (Fresh A , f untreated) \Box $3/15$ \Box $0/23$ \Box $13/2$ (Fresh I , f , untreated) \Box $3/15$ \Box $7/8$ $T/8$ (Fresh I , f , untreated) \bullet $83/0$ $80/0$ $79/0$ $T/15$	9	(Fresh A.f. masked with f.p.)		4/16			0 ⁷⁾	17/0	0/17	
(Fresh $D.a.$ swelled) \Box $0/20$ $20/0$ (Fresh $A.f.$ swelled) \Box $0/12$ $19/0$ $17/1$ $15/3$ \Box $20/0$ (Fresh $A.f.$ swelled) \blacksquare $0/12$ $0/12$ $0/12$ \Box $20/0$ $20/0$ (Fresh $D.f.$ untreated) \blacksquare $3/14$ $0/23$ \blacksquare $20/0$ $20/0$ $20/0$ (Fresh $D.f.$ untreated) \blacksquare $3/15$ \blacksquare $20/0$ $20/0$ $20/0$ (Fresh $D.f.$ untreated) \blacksquare $3/15$ $0/23$ \blacksquare $20/0$ $20/0$ (Fresh $A.f.$ untreated) \blacksquare $83/0$ $80/0$ $79/0$ $=$ $79/0$ $74/5$	-	(Fresh $D.f$ swelled)		0/18				12/15		
(Fresh A.f. swelled) \Box $0/12$ \Box $19/1$ (Fresh f.p. swelled) \blacksquare $19/0$ $17/1$ $15/3$ \blacksquare $20/0$ $20/0$ (Fresh $D.f.$ untreated) \blacksquare $3/15$ $0/23$ \blacksquare $20/0$ $20/0$ (Fresh $D.f.$ untreated) \blacksquare $3/15$ $0/23$ \blacksquare $13/2$ (Fresh $A.f.$ untreated) \blacksquare $3/15$ \blacksquare $7/8$ $=$ $7/8$ (Fresh $f.p.$ untreated) \blacksquare $83/0$ $80/0$ $79/0$ $=$ $79/0$ $74/5$	~	(Fresh D.a. swelled)		0/20				20/0		
(Fresh f.p. swelled) \bullet 19/0 17/1 15/3 \bullet 20/0 20/0 (Fresh Df . untreated) \blacksquare 9/14 0/23 \blacksquare 20/0 20/0 20/0 (Fresh Df . untreated) \blacksquare $3/15$ \blacksquare $3/15$ \blacksquare $7/8$ (Fresh Af . untreated) \blacksquare $3/15$ \blacksquare $7/8$ $=$ $7/8$ (Fresh f_f . untreated) \blacksquare $83/0$ $80/0$ $79/0$ $=$ $79/0$ $74/5$	6	(Fresh A.f. swelled)		0/12				19/1		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20	(Fresh f.p. swelled)	•	19/0	1/1	15/3	8	20/0	20/0	20/0
) \Box 3/15 \Box 7/8 \Box 12/0 \Box 10/15 \Box 10/15 \Box 74/5	1	(Fresh $D_{\cdot}f$, untreated)	0 ²⁾	9/14	0/23			13/2		
■ 12/0 10/15 10/15 10/15 10/15 10/0 10/15 10/15	2	(Fresh D.a. untreated)		3/15				7/8		
■ 83/0 80/0 79/0 ■ 79/0 74/5	m	(Fresh Af untreated)		12/0				10/15		
	4	(Fresh f.p. untreated)		83/0	80/0	0/62	•	0/62	74/5	74/5

Table 2. Mortality rates of Agelastica alni under test conditions. **•** : traces of feeding on leaves on all test days, **•** : no traces of feeding on any test day, **•** : traces of feeding on

Michael SCHMITT & Sigrun BOPP: Leaf Beetles (Chrysomelidae) Feeding Fern

263

į

Bonner zoologische Beiträge 54 (2005)

264

3. RESULTS

3.1. Agelastica alni

The results of the feeding experiments are listed in Table 2. Decreasing total numbers of individuals are due to the fact that some larvae managed to escape from the test boxes. The nearly non-existing mortality in the control sample proves that the experimental conditions were appropriate. In addition to the observed numerical results we found that those larvae who had taken up extract from dried or fresh *Athyrium filix-femina* produced conspicuously less defensive secretion when disturbed than those larvae fed on untreated normal food plant or on normal food plant masked with extract of the other two fern species. Moreover, duration of larval stage 2 lasted for 12 days when the larvae were fed on normal food plant masked with extract from dried *Athyrium* *filix-femina* as compared to nine days in the control group, or 8.5 to 10 days in the other tests with normal but treated food plant.

The logistic regression revealed a significant influence of food (which plant matter was offered) and the masking of the test food (with the exception of *Dryopteris felix-mas*, the extract of which had no significant influence), whereas the treatment of the test food and of the masking (dried and swelled or fresh) did not lead to significant differences.

In Table 3 we present the results of comparisons between different experimental settings, for young and old larvae. Due to the high mortality in some tests, only those data are presented completely where enough larvae survived up to day 10.

 Table 3. Comparison of the mortality rates under different test conditions against control (test no. 24). Probabilities of error calculated with Chi² or Fisher's Exact

est No.		Probability of Error
	(F.p. masked with dried $D.f.$) on day $10 > $ control	p < 0.001
	(F.p. masked with dried $D.a.$) on day $10 > $ control	p < 0.001
	(F.p. masked with dried $A.f.$) on day $10 > $ control	p < 0.001
	(Dried <i>D.f.</i> masked with f.p.) on day $3 > $ control	p < 0.001
	(Dried <i>D.a.</i> masked with f.p.) on day 3 > control	p < 0.040
	(Dried A.f. masked with f.p.) on day 3 > control	p < 0.001
	(Dried <i>D.f.</i> swelled) on day $3 >$ control	p < 0.001
	(Dried <i>D.a.</i> swelled) on day $3 > $ control	p < 0.001
	(Dried A.f. swelled) on day $3 > $ control	p < 0.001
0	(Dried f.p. swelled) on day $10 > $ control	p < 0.001
1	(F.p. masked with fresh $D.f.$) on day $10 > \text{control}$	n.s.
2	(F.p. masked with fresh $D.a.$) on day $10 > $ control	p < 0.002
3	(F.p. masked with fresh A.f.) on day $I0 > control$	p < 0.040
4	(Fresh <i>D.f.</i> masked with f.p.) on day 3 > control	p < 0.001
5	(Fresh <i>D.a.</i> masked with f.p.) on day 3 > control	p < 0.001
6	(Fresh A.f. masked with f.p.) on day $3 > $ control	p < 0.001
7	(Fresh $D.f.$ swelled) on day $3 >$ control	p < 0.001
8	(Fresh <i>D.a.</i> swelled) on day 3 > control	p < 0.001
9	(Fresh <i>A.f.</i> swelled) on day $3 > $ control	p < 0.001
0	(Fresh f.p. swelled)	no difference to control
1	(Fresh $D.f.$ untreated) on day $3 > $ control	p < 0.001
2	(Fresh $D.a.$ untreated) on day $3 > $ contro	p < 0.001
3	(Fresh A.f. untreated) on day $3 > $ control	n.s.

Michael SCHMITT & Sigrun BOPP: Leaf Beetles (Chrysomelidae) Feeding Fern

3b: Old larvae

Test No.		Probability of Error	
1,2,3	1 (f.p. masked with dried D.f.), 2 (f.p. masked with dried D.a.,	no differences to control	
	3 (f.p. masked with dried A.f.) on day 10		
4,5,6	4 (Dried D.f. masked with f.p.), 5 (Dried D.a. masked with	no differences to control	
	f.p.), 6 (Dried A.f. masked with f.p.) on day 3		1
7	(Dried <i>D.f.</i> swelled) on day $3 > $ control	p < 0.040	
8	(Dried D.a. swelled), 9 (Dried A.f. swelled) on day 3	no differences to control	
10	(Dried f.p. swelled) on day $10 > $ control	p < 0.040	
11	(F.p. masked with fresh <i>D.f.</i>), 12 (F.p. masked with fresh <i>D.a.</i>),	no differences to control	
	13 (F.p. masked with fresh A.f.) on day 10:		
14	(Fresh <i>D.f.</i> masked with f.p.) on day $3 > \text{control}$	p < 0.001	
15	(Fresh <i>D.a.</i> masked with f.p.) on day $3 > $ control	p < 0.040	
16	(Fresh <i>A.f.</i> masked with f.p.) on day $3 > $ control	n.s.	
17	(Fresh <i>D.f.</i> swelled) on day $3 > $ control	p < 0.001	
18	(Fresh D.a. swelled), 19 (Fresh A.f. swelled) on day 3:	no differences to control	
20	(Fresh f.p. swelled) on day $10 > $ control	n.s.	
21	(Fresh <i>D.f.</i> untreated) on day $3 > $ control	p < 0.030	
22	(Fresh <i>D.a.</i> untreated) on day $3 > $ control	p < 0.001	
23	(Fresh <i>A.f.</i> untreated) on day $3 >$ control	p < 0.001	

 Table 4. Comparison of the mortality rates of young and old larvae under different test conditions. Probabilities of error calculated with Chi² or Fisher's Exact

Test No.		Probability of Error
1	(F.p. masked with dried $D.f.$) young > old on day 10	p < 0.050
2	(F.p. masked with dried $D.a.$) young > old on day 10	n.s.
3	(F.p. masked with dried $A.f.$) young > old on day 10	p < 0.010
4	(Dried <i>D.f.</i> masked with f.p.) young $>$ old on day 3	p < 0.010
5	(Dried <i>D.a.</i> masked with f.p.) young $>$ old on day 3	n.s.
6	(Dried A.f. masked with f.p.) young $>$ old on day 3	n.s.
7	(Dried <i>D.f.</i> swelled) young $>$ old on day 3	p < 0.050
8	(Dried <i>D.a.</i> swelled) young $>$ old on day 3	p < 0.001
9	(Dried <i>A.f.</i> swelled) young $>$ old on day 3	p < 0.002
10	(Dried f.p. swelled) young > old on day 10	p < 0.010
11	(F.p. masked with fresh <i>D.f.</i>)	no difference between young and old
		on day 10
12	(F.p. masked with fresh <i>D.a.</i>)	no difference between young and old
		on day 10
13	(F.p. masked with fresh <i>A.f.</i>)	no difference between young and old
		on day 10
14	(Fresh <i>D.f.</i> masked with f.p.) young > old on day 3	p < 0.001
15	(Fresh <i>D.a.</i> masked with f.p.) young > old on day 3	p < 0.001
16	(Fresh <i>A.f.</i> masked with f.p.) young > old on day 3	p < 0.001
17	(Fresh <i>D.f.</i> swelled) young $>$ old on day 3	p < 0.001
18	(Fresh <i>D.a.</i> swelled) young $>$ old on day 3	p < 0.001
19	(Fresh <i>A.f.</i> swelled) young $>$ old on day 3	p < 0.001
20	(Fresh f.p. swelled) young > old on day 10	n.s.
21	(Fresh $D.f.$ untreated) young > old on day 3	p < 0.010
22	(Fresh <i>D.a.</i> untreated) young $>$ old on day 3	n.s.
23	(Fresh <i>A.f.</i> untreated) young > old on day 3	n.s.
24	(Fresh f.p. untreated) young $>$ old on day 10	p < 0.030

266

5a: Young larvae

Bonner zoologische Beiträge 54 (2005)

Test No.		Probability of Error
1	(F.p. masked with dried $D.f.$) > 2 (F.p. masked with dried $D.a.$) on day 10	n.s.
3	(F.p. masked with dried $A.f.$) > 1 (F.p. masked with dried $D.f.$) on day 10	n.s.
3	(F.p. masked with dried $A.f.$) > 2 (F.p. masked with dried $D.a.$) on day 10	n.s.
4	(Dried <i>D.f.</i> masked with f.p.) > 5 (Dried <i>D.a.</i> masked with f.p.) on day 3	p < 0.001
4	(Dried <i>D.f.</i> masked with f.p.) > 6 (Dried <i>A.f.</i> masked with f.p.) on day 3	p < 0.001
6	(Dried A.f. masked with f.p.) > 5 (Dried D.a. masked with f.p.) on day 3	n.s.
8	(Dried D.a. swelled) > 7 (Dried D.f. swelled) on day 3	p < 0.010
9	(Dried A.f. swelled) > 7 (Dried D.f. swelled) on day 3	p > 0.050
8	(Dried $D.a.$ swelled) > 9 (Dried $A.f.$ swelled) on day 3	p < 0.050
22	(Fresh <i>D.a.</i> untreated) > 21 (Fresh <i>D.f.</i> untreated) on day 3	n.s.
21	(Fresh D.f. untreated) > 23 (Fresh A.f. untreated) on day 3	p < 0.001
22	(Fresh <i>D.a.</i> untreated) > 23 (Fresh <i>A.f.</i> untreated) on day 3	p < 0.001

Table 5. Comparison of mortality rates on the three fern species. Probabilities of error calculated with Chi² or Fisher's Exact

5b:	Old	larvae

Test No.		Probability of Error
1	(F.p. masked with dried $D.f.$) > 2 (F.p. masked with dried $D.a.$) on day 10	n.s.
3	(F.p. masked with dried $A.f.$) > 1 (F.p. masked with dried $D.f.$) on day 10	n.s.
3	(F.p. masked with dried $A.f.$) > 2 (F.p. masked with dried $D.a.$) on day 10	p < 0.050
5	(Dried <i>D.a.</i> masked with f.p.) > 4 (Dried <i>D.f.</i> masked with f.p.) on day 3	p < 0.050
4	(Dried <i>D.f.</i> masked with f.p.) > 6 (Dried <i>A.f.</i> masked with f.p.) on day 3	n.s.
5	(Dried <i>D.a.</i> masked with f.p.) > 6 (Dried <i>A.f.</i> masked with f.p.) on day 3	n.s.
14	(Fresh <i>D.f.</i> masked with f.p.) > 15 (Fresh <i>D.a.</i> masked with f.p.) on day 3	p < 0.020
14	(Fresh <i>D.f.</i> masked with f.p.) > 16 (Fresh <i>A.f.</i> masked with f.p.) on day 3	p < 0.010
15	(Fresh <i>D.a.</i> masked with f.p.) > 16 (Fresh <i>A.f.</i> masked with f.p.) on day 3	n.s.
17	(Fresh <i>D.f.</i> swelled) > 18 (Fresh <i>D.a.</i> swelled) on day 3	p < 0.001
17	(Fresh $D.f.$ swelled) > 19 (Fresh $A.f.$ swelled) on day 3	p < 0.001
19	(Fresh A.f. swelled) > 18 (Fresh D.a. swelled) on day 3	n.s.
22	(Fresh $D.a.$ untreated) > 21 (Fresh $D.f.$ untreated) on day 3	p < 0.050
22	(Fresh <i>D.a.</i> untreated) > 23 (Fresh <i>A.f.</i> untreated) on day 3	n.s.
23	(Fresh A.f. untreated) > 21 (Fresh D.f. untreated) on day 3	n.s.

Comparisons of mortality of young and old larvae are given in Table 4. In some tests, it did not differ significantly, as long as only numbers of surviving larvae are counted. It was, however, evident that young larvae died more frequently from starvation because they refused to feed on certain food at all. Old larvae took up leaf matter in several tests but died afterwards, either due to intoxication or from starvation after refusal of further feeding.

There was no significant difference in mortality rates when tests with dried against fresh fern leaves were compared. Mortality was significantly higher on dried as compared to fresh food plant, and on normal food plant masked with extract from dried as compared to fresh *Dryopteris filix-mas* and *Athyrium filix-femina*.

In order to reveal possible differences between the three fern species, the respective settings have been compared. The results are given in Table 5. All comparisons not listed in Table 5 did not yield significant differences between the fern species.

3.2. Phratora vitellinae

As with *Agelastica alni*, those larvae who had taken up extract from dried or fresh *Athyrium filix-femina* produced conspicuously less defensive secretion when disturbed than those larvae fed on untreated normal food plant or on normal food plant masked with extract of the other two fern species. This applied especially to the first larval instar.

In addition, there occurred severe distortions of moulting, elytron formation and melanisation when the larvac were fed on willow leaves masked with extract from *Dryopteris filix-mas* (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1. Adult *Phratora vitellinae* showing massive wing deformation after having fed on willow leaves masked with extract of *Dryopteris-filix-mas*.

4. **DISCUSSION**

4.1. Agelastica alni

Young and old larvae fed on alder leaves even when they were covered with fern extract. This result is at odds with the generally held assumption that the foraging behaviour of herbivore insects is guided by olfactory and gustatory stimuli of the plants (e.g., DETHIER 1970; FRAENKEL 1959; HARBOURNE 1982; HSIAO 1969; SCHOONHOVEN 1972, 1973). JERMY (1966) stated that oligophagy is characterised rather by the avoidance of repcllent substances than by search for attractants. This is rather unlikely since in our experiments the larvae avoided untreated fern leaves and accepted masked alder leaves as well as masked fern leaves which they should have despised according to JERMY'S statement. Obviously, feeding was triggered by the presence of feeding stimulants from alder leaves.

Mortality after feeding on alder leaves masked with extract from dry fern leaves demonstrates that at least toxic substances – and most probably also possible repellents and attractants – were in the aqueous extract at effective concentrations.

Of course, the mortality of young larvae fed on fresh or soaked fern leaves (see Table 4) could be caused by starvation. This definitively does not apply to the old larvae feeding on fern leaves masked with extract from leaves of the normal food plant (test nos. 4, 5, 6), who fed on leaves of all three fern species. The dramatic mortality (all test larvae were dead after five days) must be due to incompatible or toxic compounds.

The significantly higher mortality of old larvae in the control situation (test no. 24) is most probably due to the higher damage of the leaves they fed on as a consequence of their chewing. The partly damaged leaves dried faster than those the young larvae fed on.

Masking alder leaves with extract from fresh fern leaves (tests no. 11, 12, 13) did not lead to significant differences of mortality rates in young and old larvae. Thus, the extract cannot contain effective repellents and the toxic components of the extract do not affect young and old larvae to different extents. However, since in tests no. 12 & 13 with young larvae mortality was significantly higher than in the control, some substance in the aqueous extract of *Dryopteris austriaca* and *Athyrium filix-femina* must have had an effect on the young larvae.

The most interesting results are those of tests 14, 15, and 16 (fresh fern leaves masked with extract from normal food plant): young larvae did not feed on these leaves at all, old larvae ingested leaf material, on day 3 mortality was significantly higher on Drvopteris filix-mas, while after seven days no larva had survived. This is clear evidence that (1) some stimulus from the normal food plant caused the larvae to feed on fern leaves, and (2) that the ingestion of fern material causes death either by starvation or through toxic components. This explanation is supported by the results of tests no. 21, 22, and 23, where untreated fern leaves - with one exception - have not been ingested at all. In test no. 21, young larvae took up material of D.f.-leaves, however at decreasing amounts per day until on day 4 no larva had survived. This strange behaviour was most probably caused by two factors, in contrast to the other two fcrn species: the glandular

268

trichomes are not exposed on the surface in *D.f.* and the larvae could, therefore, not that easily detect repellent or toxic substances from these glands, and young larvae possess probably less chemoreceptors than old ones. As SWAIN (1977) reports, the larvae of phytophagous insect are equipped with a lower number of chemoreceptors than adults. It seems plausible that the number of chemoreceptors increases during larval development. Since eggs are normally deposited on the larval food plant, and since first instar larvae are much less mobile than older ones, we can expect that young larvae are less choosy with respect to food plants than older ones.

4.2. Phratora vitellinae

Only a few larvae were available, so the results of the feeding experiments do not allow for statistical analyses. The obvious result that adult beetles from those larvae who ingested components of *Dryopterix filix-mas* showed elytral deformations points in the same direction as the results of *A. alni: D. filix-mas* either contains more or more toxic substances than the other two ferns tested, or these substances reach higher quantities in the aqueous extract.

The small sample size does not permit sound generalisations from these results. However, there is hardly any other explanation for the distorted moulting than that the aqueous extract of *D. filix-mas* contained effective amounts of phytoecdysone. This is surprising since the study of SELVARAJ et al. (2005) revealed that steroids were only in the chloroform and ethanol extracts and in the hexane fraction, but not in the aqueous fraction of *Pteridium aquilimun*.

4.3. Conclusions

The larvae in our experiments fed on ferns only if these leaves were covered with an aqueous extract of the original food plant, Aluus glutinosa or Salix spp., respectively (with the one mentioned exception of young Agelastica alui larvae ingesting - and dying - from untreated leaves of Drvopteris filix-mas. Whenever larvae took up fern leave material, they suffered conspicuously, by dying, by less production of defensive secretion, or by wing deformation during pupal development. This means that (1) phytoecdysones contained in the fern leaves are not effective as repellents but as toxins. They can, therefore, indeed act as defence against phytophagous insects, however not unless these insects have ingested leave material. Thus, both points of view apply – that phytoecdysteroids do not deter insects from feeding on a fern plant (JONES & FIRN 1978) and that phytoecdysones form an effective protection against attacks of phytophagous insects (e.g., RUSSELL 1977). (2) Feeding activity on a certain plant is most probably triggered by the presence of feeding stimulants rather

than prevented by the presence of repellents. (3) The differences found between the effectiveness of *Dryop-teris filix-mas* and the other two fern species provides a further caveat against uncritical generalisations of results from studies with bracken (*Pteridium aquilimmu*), which dominate the literature on fern-insect interaction.

Acknowledgements. We thank Gabriele Uhl, Bonn, for her help with the statistics and for critically commenting on the manuscript, and Bradley J. Sinclair, Bonn, for linguistic and substantial improvement of the text.

REFERENCES

- BALICK, M. J.; FURTH, D. G. & COOPER-DRIVER, G. 1978. Biochemical and evolutionary aspects of arthropod predation on ferns. Oecologia 35: 55-89.
- DETHIER, V. G. 1970. Chemical interactions between plants and insects. Pp. 83-102 in: SONDHEIMER, E. & SIMEONE, J. B. (eds.) Chemical Ecology. Academic Press, New York.
- D'ROZARIO, A. & BERA, S. 2003. Studies of glandular structures in *Pteridium aquilinum* (L.) Kuhn with special reference to aphid infestation from Lachen Valley, north Sikkim. Phytomorphology **53**: 37-42.
- FRAENKEL, G. S. 1959. The raison d'etre of secondary plant substances. Science 129: 1466-1470.
- GHATE, H.V.; Borowiec, L.; RANE, N. S.; RANADE, S. P. & PANDIT, S. 2003. Tortoise beetles and their host plants from Pune (Maharashtra State, India) and nearby places (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae: Cassidinae). Genus 14: 519-539
- GILMAN, A. V. & COOPER-DRIVER, G. 1998. Japanese beetle attacks bracken in Vermont. American Fern Journal 88: 47-48.
- HARBOURNE, J. B. 1982. Hormonal interactions between plants and animals. Pp. 83-102 in: HARBOURNE, J. B. (ed.) Introduction to Ecological Biochemistry. Academic Press, London.
- HENDRIX, S. D. 1980. An evolutionary and ecological perspective of the insect fauna of ferns. American Naturalist 115: 171-196.
- HILL, M. P. 1998. Herbivorous insect fauna associated with *Azolla* species (Pteridophyta: Azollaceae) in southern Africa. African Entomology **6**: 370-372.
- HSIAO, T. H. 1969. Chemical basis of host selection and plant resistance in oligophagous insects. Entomologia experimentalis et applicata **12**: 777-788.
- JERMY, T. 1966. Feeding inhibitors and food preference in chewing phytophagous insects. Entomologia experimentalis et applicata 9: 1-12.
- JONES, C. G. & FIRN, R. D. 1978. The role of phytoecdysteroids in bracken fern, Pteridium aquilinum (L.) Kuhn as a defense against phytophagous insect attack. Journal of Chemical Ecology 4: 117-138.
- MARTINS, R. P., LEWINSOHN, T. M. & LAWTON, J. H. 1995. First survey of insects feeding on *Pteridium* aquilinum in Brazil. Revista Brasileira de Entomologia 39: 151-156.
- MESIBOV, R. 2001. Bracken and bugs. The Understorey Network Newsletter No. 22: 7.

- MUKHOPADHYAY, A. & THAPA, D. 1994. Species richness of ferns and associated insects from Darjeeling plains. Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society **91**: 86-90.
- RUSSELL, G. B. 1977. Plant chemicals affecting insect development. The New Zealand Entomologist 6: 229-234.
- SCHOONHOVEN, L. M. 1972. Secondary plant substances and insects. Recent Advances in Phytochemistry 5: 197-224.
- SCHOONHOVEN, L. M. 1973. Plant recognition by lepidopterous larvae. Symposia of the Royal entomological Society London 6: 87-99.
- SELVARAJ, P., DE BRITTO, A. J. & SAHARAYAJ, K. 2005. Phytoecdysone of *Pteridium aquilimum* (L.) Kuhn (Dennstaedtiaceae) and its pesticidal property on two major pests. Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection **38**: 99-105.
- SPECK, T. 1992. Pflanzen. Pp. 44-95 in: SCHMITT, M. (ed.) Lexikon der Biologie vol. 10 (Biologie im Überblick). Herder Verlag, Freiburg im Breisgau etc.

- SWAIN, T. 1977. Secondary compounds as protective agents. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology 28: 479-501.
- SWEZEY, O.H. 1922. Insects attacking ferns in the Hawaiian Islands. Proceedings of the Hawaiian Entomological Society 5: 57-65.
- WEINTRAUB, J. D., LAWTON, J. H. & SCOBLE, M. J. 1995, Lithinine moths on ferns: A phylogenetic study of insect-plant interactions. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 55: 239-250.
- WINTERBOURN, M. J. 1987. The arthropod fauna of bracken (*Pteridium aquilinum*) on the Port Hills, South Island, New Zealand. New Zealand Entomologist 10: 99-104.

Authors' addresses: Michael SCHMITT (corresponding author), Zoologisches Forschungsmuseum Alexander Koenig, Adenauerallee 160, D-53113 Bonn, Germany, E-mail: m.schmitt@uni-bonn.de; Sigrun BOPP, Universität, Abteilung Systematische Botanik und Ökologie, Albert Einstein-Allee 11, 89081 Ulm, Germany, E-mail sigrun.bopp@uni-ulm.de.

ZOBODAT - www.zobodat.at

Zoologisch-Botanische Datenbank/Zoological-Botanical Database

Digitale Literatur/Digital Literature

Zeitschrift/Journal: Bonn zoological Bulletin - früher Bonner Zoologische Beiträge.

Jahr/Year: 2005/2006

Band/Volume: <u>54</u>

Autor(en)/Author(s): Schmitt Michael "Theo", Bopp Sigrun

Artikel/Article: Leaf Beetles (Insecta: Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) - Suffer From Feeding on Fern Leaves 261-269