### Filices Adansonianae.

Von

#### T. Nakai.

## 1. What is Dryopteris, Nephrodium, Polystichum and Aspidium?

There are three big genera of Ferns which are closely related and whose names were much disputed:

- 1. Stipes inarticulated. Indusium reniforme.
- 3. » Texture of frond more herbaceous. Indusium peltate, but more or less oblong.

To denote the first group, *Dryopteris* is chiefly used at present. *Dryopteris* was named by M. Adanson in his 'Familles des plantes', tome II. (1763) p. 20: «Paquets de fleurs ronds, disposés sur 2 rangs sous chaque division des feuilles. Enveloppe enparasal. Globules environés d'un anneau élastique.»

Above is all of his description. By \*enveloppe enparasal« one can better consider it as Aspidium or Polystichum. Schott used Dryopteris also in 1834 and A. Gray in 1856. Since then it has been long neglected till Otto Kuntze used it in 1894. Kuntze's combinations are often too much for even a generous botanist, hence, if Mr. Christensen had not used it again, and made the laborious combinations of his 'Index Filicum', Dryopteris would have been buried eternally in the dust of synonyms. No botanists have ever seen Adanson's specimens, and even French botanists thought the 'Familles des plantes' were almost descriptiones nudae. The specimens, however, were kept by his direct descendants, and his sons of 4th generation sold them to the 'Muséum national d'histoire naturelle de Paris'. Prof. H. Leconte of the Museum sent M. Metman, préparateur du Muséum to Baleine near Moulins (Allier), where is the mansion of Adanson-family, to receive them. He brought them back to Paris in November of 1923. This has given a light to the darkness of Adanson's

work. I could see his specimens of Ferns by the courtesy of Prof. LE-COMTE, and this is the first study of his specimens.

Dryopteris consists of 7 genera. The species are

- 1. Asplenium sp.? (very small sterile one).
- 2. Athyrium alpestre? (sterile).
- 3. Cystopteris montana.
- 4. Leptogramme africanum.
- 5. Dryopteris aemulina, D. Filix-mas, D. opposita, D. Oreopteris, D. Robertiana, D. spinulosa, D. Thelypteris, D. sp.? from America, D. sp.? from E. India.
- 6. Nephrolepis longifolia.
- 7. Polystichum aculeatum, P. Braunii, P. lobatum, P. Lonchitis, P. varium.

By the modern classification his *Dryopteris* has most numerous species of *Dryopteris* (in Christensen's sense): «Lorsqu'un genre est divisé en deux où plusieurs, le nom doit être conservé et il est donné à l'une des divisions principales.»

This is Article 45 of the rules of nomenclature, and *Dryopteris* compromises with it.

Nephrodium is another name for the 4st group. The generic description was at first given in Michaux 'Flora Boreali-Americana' Tome II in 4803. It is as simple as Dryopteris. «Fructif. Puncta in disco frondis sparsa seu seriata, primum obtecta membranula reniformi aut lunata, hinc (servato nexu laterali) dehiscente.»

This apparently covers several genera, especially *Dryopteris* (membrana reniformi) *Athyrium* and *Cheilanthes* (lunata, servato nexu laterali). The specimens are in the Paris Museum; they are

	podium in Specimens)	My view
Nephrodium	a crostichoides	Polystichum acrostichoides
» ·	Thelypteroides	Dryopteris noveborascens
»	marginale	» marginalis
»	punctilobulum	Dennstaedtia punctiloba
»	bulbiferum	Cystopteris bulbifera
»	Filix-foemina	Athyrium Filix-foemina
* »	asplenioides	* <b>*</b>
»	cristatum	Dryopteris spinulosa
*	tenue -	Cystopteris fragilis
<b>»</b>	rufidulum	Woodsia ilvensis
» ·	lanosum	Cheilanthes lanosum
» · ·	Dryopteris	Dryopteris Linnaeana

So Nephrodium Michaux comprises also 7 genera as Dryopteris of Adanson. The numbers of species are greater in Dryopteris, yet the re-

288 T. Nakai.

spective ratio is weeker than in Adanson's *Dryopteris*. The delegates of the Brussels' congress have suggested to use *Nephrodium* instead of *Dryopteris*, but *Dryopteris* is more practical than *Nephrodium* at present, by the help of Christensen's Index Filicum.

One can not overlook the farther-going discussion on *Dryopteris* done by Mr. C. A. Weatherby in Rhodora (October 1919). His opinion is that C. C. Schmidel's *Thelypteris* in Icones Plantarum ed. 2. (1762) p. 45, Pl. 10 and 43 is an earlier valid name of *Dryopteris*. One must notice, at first, that Schmidel has described it botanically distinguishing genera and species. His figures are so nice as no one can mistake with other species than *Dryopteris Thelypteris*, but no generic characteristic has been given to that. Explicatio figurarum suffixed is the explanation of the figures of *Dryopteris Thelypteris* which is designated by him as "Thelypteris palustris non ramosa". So his *Thelypteris* is not a generic name. It is a vague significance of a group of plant. His species also can not be adopted by recent botany. Hill's *Filix* has the generic descriptions, so it is botanically better than *Thelypteris* of Schmidel.

Preceeding to Mr. Weatherby's discussion, Mr. Woynar has given a note under the title of 'Zur Nomenklatur einiger Farngattungen II. Filix' in 'Hedwigia' Vol. LVI. (1945) p. 381—387, in which he explained why Thelypteris of Schmidel should not be considered as a generic name. Messers H. Schinz and A. Thellung had also the same view as Mr. H. Woynar (see Vierteljahresschrift der Naturforschenden Gesellschaft in Zürich LXVI. [1921]).

Aspidium is used to express the second group. This genus was described by O. Swartz in 1801, and contains 70 species; i. e. 30 Dryopteris, 13 Polystichum, 6 Cystopteris, 6 Nephrolepis, 4 Athyrium, 3 Aspidium, 2 Oleandra, 1 Hemitelia, 1 Asplenium, 1 Cyclopeltis, and 1 Didymochlaena. The number of Aspidium is only three as to the number of Dryopteris thirty. So, Aspidium should be pertained in Dryopteris. The best name to denote this second group is Bathmium which was used by Link in his 'Filicum species in Horto regio botanico Berolinensi cultae' in 1841.

Polystichum denotes the third group, now. The genus was published by Rотн, both in 4799 and 4800. It involves the following species.

Polystichum	Lonchitis	О. К.	
»	Phegopteris	Dryopteris	Phegopteris
<b>&gt;</b>	montanum	» ·	Oreopteris
»	Thelypteris	» ·	Thelypteris
» ·	aculeatum	O. K.	<i>*/</i>
>>	Dryopteris	Dryopteris	Linnaeana
· »	Filix-mas	»	Filix-mas

Polystichum	cristatum	Dryopteris	s cristata
>	strigosum	»	strigosa
»	multiflorum	»	dilatata
»	spinulosum	» ·	spinulosa
Polystichum	? Marantae	Woodsia	ilvensis.

This is 9 Dryopteris, 2 Polystichum, and 1 Woodsia. Polystichum of Roth, therefere, should be the synonym of Dryopteris. Polystichum became valid after Schott (Genera Filicum, 1834) and contained Polystichum acrostichoides, P. aculeatum, P. Bridgesi, P. caespitosum, P. coriaceum, P. marginatum, P. ocellatum, P. rufobarbatum and P. setosum. Fifteen years before Schott, Josephius Raddius described and figured Rumohra aspidioides in 'Synopsis Filicum Brasiliensium' and also in 1825 in his 'Plantarum Brasiliensium nova genera et species novae vel minus cognitae'. That is real Polystichum of the present sense.

### 2. Fern-collections of Adanson.

Adamson had good collections of plants, and the specimens of Ferns are also rich. The collectors are quite unknown, but the specimens cover the Ferns of Europe, Canary Islands, Cape, Bourbons, Ceylon, East-Indies, Java, West-Indies, and North- and South-America. It is pity that his specimens were kept untouched, when his genera were often the foci of systematic discussions. The genera proposed by him are Thelypteris, Adiantum, Scolopendrium, Ceterac, Filix, Dryopteris, Polypodium, Hemionitis, Blechnum, Osmunda, Angiopteris, Ophioglossum, Palmafilix, Pilularia, and Lemma. Among these Thelypteris, Scolopendrium, Ceterac, Dryopteris, Angiopteris, and Palmafilix are the first generic names appeared after 4753 (the year in which the epoch-making 'Species Plantarum' of Linnarus was published).

- I. Thelypteris (p. 20) comprises 5 genera of 3 families; the species are
  - 1. Alsophila microdonta.
  - 2. Antrophyllum lanceolatum.
  - 3. Dryopteris spinulosa (poorest and smallest specimen), D. Thelypteris, D. Linnaeana.
  - 4. Pteridium aquilinum (more than 10 specimens), P. capense (single pinnule).
  - 5. Pteris biaurita, P. caudata, P. ensiformis, P. gigantea, P. longifolia, P. podophylla, P. semipinnata.
  - 6. Gleichenia rufinervis.

Such a complicated genus make no use for taxonomy

- II. Adiantum (p. 20) has 7 genera of 3 families; the species are
  - 1. Adiantum Capillus-Veneris, A. caudatum, A. curvatum, A. flabellatum, A. glaucinum, A. latifolium, A. macrophyllum, A. pedatum, A. pulverulentum, A. rhizophorum.
  - 2. Davallia canariensis.
  - 3. Dennstaedtia cicutaria.
  - 4. Lindsaya orbiculata.
  - 5. Hymenophyllum ciliatum.
  - 6. Trichomanes digitatum.
  - 7. Lygodium scandens.
- III. Scolopendrium (p. 20) has 5 species of 2 families; the species are
  - 1. Asplenium Nidus.
  - 2. Phyllitis Hemionitis, P. scolopendrium, P. scolopendrium var. crispum, P. scolopendrium var. ramosum.
  - 3. Stenochlaena sorbifolia.
  - 4. Marattia fraxinea.

The recommendation of *Scolopendrium* is another failure of the Brussels' congress. Hill's *Phyllitis* is based on the single species *Phyllitis vulgaris* (*Scolopendrium vulgare*, or *Phyllitis scolopendrium*), and is clearly exhibited by a coloured plate. Altough *Scolopendrium* has been used more often than *Phyllitis*, ancient botanists used it in very broad sense. For example, Roth (Tentamen Florae Germanicae III.) meant

Scolopendrium	Phyllitis	Phyllitis scolopendrium
»	Ceterach	Ceterach officinalis
»	septentrionale	Asplenium septentrionale
	Ruta-muraria	» Ruta-muraria
	alternifolium	» germanicum

and Prest (Tentamen Pteridographiae) meant

We scientists should be always careful to adjust the former misleadings. If science gives in the habitual use, is better no science at all.

- IV. Filix (p. 20) is equally bad as Thelypteris. It has 44 genera of 2 families; the species are
  - 1. Alsophila glabra, A. infesta, A. leucolepis, A. sp.? (sterile).
  - 2. Asplenium forsiacum, A. fontanum.
  - 3. Athyrium Filix-foemina.

- 4. Cystopteris alpina, C. fragilis, C. sp.?
- 5. Dennstaedtia sp.?
- 6. Dryonteris Linnaeana, D. marginalis, D. Oreopteris, D. patens, D. spinulosa var. intermedia, D. syrmatica, D. sp.?, D. sp.?
- 7. Goniopteris tetragona.
- 8. Leptogramme africanum.
- 9. Matteuccia Struthiopteris.
- 10. Polystichum aristatum.
- 14. Pteridium aquilinum.
- V. Ceterac (p. 20) is written as Ceterach on the labels of specimens. It contains 3 genera; the species are
  - 1. Ceterach officinalis.
  - 2. Asplenium achilleifolium, A. forsiacum, A. lanceolatum, A. laserpitiifolium, A. marinum, A. Trichomanes.
  - 3. Stenochlaena sorbifolia.

So, Adanson's Ceterac is better considered as a synonym of Asplenium.

Two undescribed genera are suffixed to Ceterach. These are Diceterach and Triceterach which contains the following species:

Asplenium fontanum Diceterach | Ruta-muraria | Diplazium sp.? | Notochlaena Marantae

 $Triceterach \left\{ egin{array}{ll} Asplenium & fissum \\ & nigrum \\ Cystopteris & alpina \\ Dryopteris & Phegopteris \end{array} 
ight.$ 

It is very probable that he had distinguished these genera by the divisions of fronds.

- VI. Dryopteris (p. 20) is as shown in the preceeding chapter.
- VII. Polypodium (p. 20) includes 4 genera of 2 families; the species are
  - 1. Gleichenia linearis, G. polypodioides.
  - 2. Goniopteris vivipara.
  - 3. Meniscium reticulatum.
  - 4. Polypodium aureum, P. lycopodioides, P. parvulum, P. pectinatum, P. phyllitidis, P. repens, P. vulgare, P. vulgare var. cambricum, P. vulgare var. serratum.
- VIII. Hemionitis (p. 20) is represented by Diplazium proliferum.
- IX. Blechnum (p. 20) is represented by B. occidentale and B. spicant.

X. Osmunda (p. 20) is the miscellany of 11 different plants; they are Ficus scandens? (young creeper) — determined by Mr. Danguy of Paris' Museum.

Acrostichum aureum.

Asplenium septentrionale.

Blechnum spicant.

Cryptogramma crispa.

Diplazium parallelogramme.

Pellaea sp.?

Polypodium sp.?

Osmunda regalis.

Botrychium Lunaria.

XI. Angiopteris (p. 20) is represented by a single species Onoclea sensibilis.

XII. Ophioglossum (p. 20) is represented by a single species Ophioglossum vulgatum.

XIII. Palma-Filix (p. 21).

This genus does not present in the collections, but his descriptions accord with *Bathmium*. If *Palma-filix* is *Bathmium*, it is *Pleo-filix* of his specimens. The species is *Bathmium martinicense* (*B. macrophyllum* Link).

XIV. Pilularia (p. 24) is represented by Pilularia globulifera.

XV. Lemma (p. 21) comprises 2 species  $Marsilea\ minuta$  and  $Marsilea\ quadrifolia$ .

Besides these, there is one more unpublished genus *Pleopteris*. This is the miscellany of *Schixaea*, *Acrostichum*, *Matteuceia*, *Vittaria*, *Cyclophorus* etc. I will leave it out, for it has no relation to the systematic botany.

Adanson's specimens are historically interesting, yet his genera of Filices are mélanges des genres, and hardly applicable to the modern systematic botany. Scolopendrium has lesser value than Phyllitis of Hill, and Dryopteris is ratified when backed by Christensen's 'Index Filicum'.

In Filices, too many genera and species were hitherto confounded, and very few of generic names are precise in the present meanings. This is, however, an unavoidable result brought fourth from the former studies when no good microscope existed. Young generations might be shocked if they would read the descriptions of male flowers of Salvinia by great Linnaeus. But human efforts as such made the foundations of modern sciences. We must pay respects to the investigations of ancient botanists, yet excessive trusts on them make the science out of realities. The discussion without studying the type-specimens is often worse than nothing.

# ZOBODAT - www.zobodat.at

Zoologisch-Botanische Datenbank/Zoological-Botanical Database

Digitale Literatur/Digital Literature

Zeitschrift/Journal: Botanische Jahrbücher für Systematik,

Pflanzengeschichte und Pflanzengeographie

Jahr/Year: 1925

Band/Volume: 60

Autor(en)/Author(s):

Artikel/Article: Filices Adansonianae. 286-292