



Corrigendum

The following corrections have been made to paper no. 310 (<https://doi.org/10.5852/ejt.2017.310>)

Morphometry and DNA barcoding reveal cryptic diversity in the genus *Enteromius* (Cypriniformes: Cyprinidae) from the Congo basin, Africa – Corrigendum

Marjolein VAN GINNEKEN^{1,†}, Eva DECRU^{2,*,†}, Erik VERHEYEN³ & Jos SNOEKS⁴

¹Department of Biology, Systemic Physiological and Ecotoxicological Research,
University of Antwerp, Groenenborgerlaan 171, 2020 Antwerpen, Belgium.

^{2,4}Royal Museum for Central Africa, Section Vertebrates, Ichthyology,
Leuvensesteenweg 13, 3080 Tervuren, Belgium.

^{2,4}Department of Biology, Laboratory of Biodiversity and Evolutionary Genomics,
KU Leuven, Charles Deberiotstraat 32, 3000 Leuven, Belgium.

³Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, OD Taxonomy and Phylogeny, Vautierstraat 29, 1000
Brussels, Belgium; Department of Biology, Evolutionary Ecology Group, University of Antwerpen,
Campus Drie Eiken, building D, room D.150 Universiteitsplein 1, 2610 Antwerpen, Belgium.

† Equally contributing authors

* Corresponding author: eva.decru@africamuseum.be

¹Email: marjolein.vanginneken@uantwerpen.be

³Email: everheyen@naturalsciences.be

⁴Email: jos.snoeks@africamuseum.be

Van Ginneken M., Decru E., Verheyen E. & Snoeks J. 2017. Morphometry and DNA barcoding reveal cryptic diversity in the genus *Enteromius* (Cypriniformes: Cyprinidae) from the Congo basin, Africa – Corrigendum. *European Journal of Taxonomy* 314: 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.5852/ejt.2017.314>

In the original publication, Figs 3–9 were accidentally published in low resolution. The high resolution figures with their captions are published below. Figs 8–9 showed a white rectangle for ‘Ituri 8’, which should have been a black rectangle, herewith updated. The captions have not changed.




Fig. 3. Scatterplot of PC2 against PC1 for a PCA on 17 log-transformed measurements ($n = 177$) of *Enteromius* Cope, 1867: *E. cf. miolepis* (Boulenger, 1902) ($\diamond$), *E. cf. brazzai* (Pellegrin, 1901) ($\blacklozenge$), *E. cf. pellegrini* (Poll, 1939) (Δ), and *E. cf. atromaculatus* (Nichols & Griscom, 1917) ($\blacktriangle$). Also shown are the type specimens examined of: *E. miolepis* (Boulenger, 1902) ($\circ$), *E. holotaenia* (Boulenger, 1904) ($\bullet$), *E. eutaenia* (Boulenger, 1904) ($\square$), *E. kerstenii* (Peters, 1868) ($\blacksquare$), *E. brazzai* (Pellegrin, 1901) (∇), *E. tshopoensis* (De Vos, 1991) ($\blacktriangledown$), *E. pellegrini* (Poll, 1939) (+), and *E. atromaculatus* (Nichols & Griscom, 1917) (*).




Fig. 4. Scatterplot of PC2 against PC1 for a PCA on 10 meristics (n = 177) of *Enteromius*: *E. cf. miolepis* (Boulenger, 1902) (◇), *E. cf. brazzai* (Pellegrin, 1901) (◆), *E. cf. pellegrini* (Poll, 1939) (Δ), and *E. cf. atromaculatus* (Nichols & Griscom, 1917) (▲). Also shown are the type specimens examined of: *E. miolepis* (Boulenger, 1902) (○), *E. holotaenia* (Boulenger, 1904) (●), *E. eutaenia* (Boulenger, 1904) (□), *E. kerstenii* (Peters, 1868) (■), *E. brazzai* (Pellegrin, 1901) (▽), *E. tshopoensis* (De Vos, 1991) (▼), *E. pellegrini* (Poll, 1939) (+), and *E. atromaculatus* (Nichols & Griscom, 1917) (*).




Fig. 5. Scatterplot of PC2 against PC1 for a PCA on 10 meristics ($n = 36$) of *E. cf. miolepis* specimens from the Lower Congo: Inkisi ($\diamond$), Luki 1 ($\blacklozenge$) and Luki 2 ($\triangle$). Also shown are the type specimens examined of: *E. miolepis* (Boulenger, 1902) ($\circ$), *E. holotaenia* (Boulenger, 1904) ($\bullet$), *E. eutaenia* (Boulenger, 1904) ($\square$) and *E. kerstenii* (Peters, 1868) ($\blacksquare$).




Fig. 6. Scatterplot of PC2 against PC1 for a PCA on 8 meristics ($n = 60$) of *E. cf. miolepis* (Boulenger, 1902) specimens from the Congo basin (excluding types): ‘Kisangani region’ 1 ($\diamond$), Ituri 1 ($\blacklozenge$), Itimbiri (Δ), Léfini ($\blacktriangle$), Epulu 1 ($\circ$), Inkisi ($\bullet$), Luapula 1 ($\square$), Luki 1 ($\blacksquare$), Luapula 2 (∇), Luapula 3 ($\blacktriangledown$), Ituri 2 ($+$), and Luki 2 ($*$). Specimens from Luapula 1 and Luapula 2 can be separated from each other based on a PCA on the log-transformed measurements; specimens of Luki 2 fall separated when barbel lengths are included; specimens from ‘Kisangani region’ 1 and Itimbiri can be distinguished based on colour pattern.




Fig. 7. Scatterplot of PC2 against PC1 for a PCA on 10 meristics ($n = 22$) of *E. cf. brazzai* (Pellegrin, 1901): ‘Kisangani region’ 2 ($\diamond$), Ituri 3 ($\blacklozenge$) and ‘Kisangani region’ 3 ($\triangle$). Also shown are the type specimens examined of *E. brazzai* (Pellegrin, 1901) ($\circ$) and *E. tshopoensis* (De Vos, 1991) ($\bullet$).




Fig. 8. Scatterplot of PC2 against PC1 for a PCA on 10 meristics ($n = 42$) of *E. cf. atromaculatus* (Nichols & Griscom, 1917): Ituri 5 ($\diamond$), Ituri 6 ($\blacklozenge$), Ituri/'Kisangani region' (Δ), Epulu 2 ($\blacktriangle$), and Ituri 8 ($\blacksquare$). Also shown are the type specimens of *E. atromaculatus* (Nichols & Griscom, 1917) ($\circ$).




Fig. 9. Scatterplot of PC2 against PC1 for a PCA on 10 meristics ($n = 36$) of *E. cf. atromaculatus* (Nichols & Griscom, 1917): Epulu 2 (▲), and Ituri 8 (■). Also shown are the type specimens of *E. atromaculatus* (Nichols & Griscom, 1917) (○).

Published on: 28 April 2017

Printed versions of all papers are also deposited in the libraries of the institutes that are members of the EJT consortium: Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris, France; Botanic Garden Meise, Belgium; Royal Museum for Central Africa, Tervuren, Belgium; Natural History Museum, London, United Kingdom; Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, Brussels, Belgium; Natural History Museum of Denmark, Copenhagen, Denmark; Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Leiden, the Netherlands; Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales-CSIC, Madrid, Spain; Real Jardín Botánico de Madrid CSIC, Spain.

ZOBODAT - www.zobodat.at

Zoologisch-Botanische Datenbank/Zoological-Botanical Database

Digitale Literatur/Digital Literature

Zeitschrift/Journal: [European Journal of Taxonomy](#)

Jahr/Year: 2017

Band/Volume: [0314](#)

Autor(en)/Author(s): van Ginneken Marjolein, Decru Eva, Verheyen Erik, Snoeks Jos

Artikel/Article: [Morphometry and DNA barcoding reveal cryptic diversity in the genus *Enteromius* \(Cypriniformes: Cyprinidae\) from the Congo basin, Africa - Corrigendum 1-8](#)