

## Research article

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:7C993859-23E0-4380-B9CF-2C1E20EE5898

# Review of the *Panorpa wormaldi* group (Mecoptera: Panorpidae), with descriptions of two new species

Ji-Shen WANG<sup>1,\*</sup> & Tomoya SUZUKI<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Agriculture and Biological Sciences, Dali University, Dali 671003, P.R. China.

<sup>1</sup>Tianlong Entomological Institute, Dali 671003, P.R. China.

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Science, Shinshu University, Matsumoto, Nagano 390-8621, Japan.

\*Corresponding author: wangjishen826@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Email: 10.3.t.suzuki@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup>urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:0C4FE92F-97C9-427C-B5F4-762A93A837EC

<sup>2</sup>urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:148BE82B-581C-4B5C-A4C9-A4C6D01A35C0

**Abstract.** *Panorpa* Linnaeus, 1758 is the largest genus in the scorpionfly family Panorpidae. In this paper, a taxonomic review of the *Panorpa wormaldi* group is provided, with two new species described from China: *Panorpa fengyang* Wang & Suzuki, sp. nov. from Zhejiang, and *Panorpa zhuohengi* Wang & Suzuki, sp. nov. from Guangdong. The male of *Panorpa implicata* Cheng, 1957 is discovered and described for the first time. A distributional map and keys to species are also provided for this group. Species number in this group is updated from 17 to 19. In addition, their biogeographical and evolutionary implications are briefly discussed.

**Keywords.** Biodiversity, fauna, Oriental Region, scorpionflies, new species.

Wang J.-S. & Suzuki T. 2022. Review of the *Panorpa wormaldi* group (Mecoptera: Panorpidae), with descriptions of two new species. *European Journal of Taxonomy* 794: 18–39. <https://doi.org/10.5852/ejt.2022.794.1651>

## Introduction

Members of the family Panorpidae Latreille, 1802 are commonly called ‘scorpionflies’ due to their males’ enlarged and recurved genitalia, which give a resemblance to the scorpion’s stinger. *Panorpa* Linnaeus, 1758 is the largest genus in this family, with approximately 260 species distributed among Europe, Asia and North America. Recently, this genus is considered a paraphyletic group and probably deserves further split (Wang & Hua 2021).

The *Panorpa wormaldi* group was firstly introduced by Issiki (1933) for six species from Japan (Honshu and Kyushu) and China (Taiwan). Members of this group are mainly characterized by: wings held roof-like over abdomen at repose, male gonostyli (= dististylus) very short, approximately half as long as gonocoxites, and female medigynium with a greatly sclerotized dorsal plate, greatly elongated posterior arms and axis, and poorly developed main plate. Subsequently, twelve similar species were described from China (Issiki 1927; Carpenter 1945; Issiki & Cheng 1947; Cheng 1957; Byers 1970, 2002; Chou

*et al.* 1987) and Japan (Issiki 1929; Miyamoto 1984, 1985; Nakamura 2009), and can be assigned into this group under Issiki's criteria. However, these species lack a comprehensive review, impeding a further revision of the genus *Panorpa* at a global scale.

In this study, we present a taxonomical revision of the *P. wormaldi* group, with two new species described from Guangdong and Zhejiang, China. The male of *Panorpa implicata* Cheng, 1957 is firstly discovered and described. A distributional map and keys to species are also provided. In addition, the biogeographical and evolutionary implications of this group are briefly discussed.

## Material and Methods

All the material examined in this study is deposited in the Biological Science Museum, Dali University, Dali (DALU), or Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou (SYSU). Adult scorpionflies were caught with a collecting net, and preserved in 95% ethanol or pinned. Photographs were taken with a Nikon D7000 digital camera in conjunction with a Nikkor AF-S Micro 105 mm f/2.8 lens (Figs 1F, 2A–B, 3A–B, 4, 5A–B), a Sony Alpha 77 II digital camera in conjunction with a Sony 50 mm f/2.8 macro lens (Fig. 1A–E, G–I), or a Nikon D7000 digital camera in conjunction with a Canon MP-E 65 mm f/2.8 1-5X macro lens with a handmade mount adapter (other images). Measurements follow Wang & Hua (2021). The female habitus images were modified to omit the left antennae, wings and legs. The map was obtained from SimpleMappr (<http://www.simplemappr.net>) and modified in Adobe Illustrator ver. CC to add distributional information. All pictures were adjusted and grouped with Adobe Photoshop ver. CC.

## Abbreviations for morphological terms used in the main text

Terminology follows Mickoleit (1975, 1976) and Willmann (1989).

- A1 = first abdominal segment
- AbL = abdomen length
- AtL = antenna length
- BL = body length
- FL = forewing length
- FW = forewing width
- HL = hindwing length
- HW = hindwing width
- ORs = origin of Rs
- S1 = first sternum
- T1 = first tergum (and so forth for other segments)

## Abbreviations for morphological terms used in the figures

The male abdominal segments are indicated by Roman numerals.

- Ae = aedeagus
- Ap = apodeme of axis
- Ax = axis
- Ce = cercus
- DPI = dorsal plate
- DPr = dorsal process
- DV = dorsal valve
- Ep = epandrium
- Gcx = gonocoxite
- Gs = gonostylus

Hv = hypovalve  
LPr = lateral process  
MP = main plate of medigynium  
PA = posterior arm  
Pm = paramere  
StH = stalk of hypandrium  
StP = stalk of paramere  
VV = ventral valve

## Results

### *Taxonomy*

Class Insecta Linnaeus, 1758  
Order Mecoptera Packard, 1886  
Suborder Pistillifera Willmann, 1987  
Superfamily Panorpoidea Latreille, 1802  
Family Panorpidae Latreille, 1802  
Subfamily Panorpinae Latreille, 1802

Genus *Panorpa* Linnaeus, 1758

### The *Panorpa wormaldi* group sensu Issiki, 1933

Figs 1–6

### Diagnosis

This group of species was originally recognized by the following characters: 1) rostrum relatively short and stout; 2) wings held roof-like over abdomen at repose; in males, 3) gonostyli (= dististyli) very short, approximately half as long as gonocoxites; 4) parameres often furcated and bearing long stout spines; 5) aedeagus with lateral processes greatly elongated; and in females, 6) medigynium with a greatly sclerotized dorsal plate, greatly elongated posterior arms and axis, and poorly developed main plate.

### Distribution

Oriental Region: China and Japan (Fig. 6).

*Panorpa wormaldi* MacLachlan, 1875

Figs 1A, 6

*Panorpa Wormaldi* (sic!) MacLachlan, 1875: 186 (type locality: Yokohama, Japan).

*Panorpa Wormaldi* (sic!) – Miyaké 1908: 1; 1910: 187; 1913: 358, pl. 31, fig. 14; pl. 36, fig. 18; text fig. 2m.

*Panorpa wormaldi* – Esben-Petersen 1915: 221; 1921: 37, figs 35–36; pl. 1, fig. 11. — Penny & Byers 1979: 381. — Miyamoto 1985: 201, fig. 2g–h.; 1988: 42, fig. 13.

### Diagnosis

This species is characterized by the following features: 1) wings with several slender transverse stripes arranged into two reversed Y-shaped patterns; and 2) male hypovalves slender with a blunt process at middle portion of inner margin, and tapering in distal half.

## Distribution

Japan: Honshu (Kanagawa, Nagano, Nara, Tokyo, and Yamanashi) (Fig. 6).

### *Panorpa striata* Miyaké, 1908 Figs 1B, 6


*Panorpa striata* Miyaké, 1908: 6, pl. 1, figs 1, 1a–b (type locality: Japan).

*Panorpa striata* – Miyaké 1910: 187; 1913: 359, pl. 36, fig. 19; text fig. 2s. — Esben-Petersen 1915: 221; 1921: 38. — Penny & Byers 1979: 380.

*Aulops striata* – Enderlein 1910: 390. — Miyamoto 1988: 42; 1994: 223.

## Diagnosis

This species is similar to *Panorpa wormaldi* MacLachlan, 1875, but can be differentiated from the latter by the following characters: 1) wings with an additional short stripe between two reversed Y-shaped



**Fig. 1.** Habitus of the *Panorpa wormaldi* group, males. **A.** *Panorpa wormaldi* MacLachlan, 1875. **B.** *P. striata* Miyaké, 1908. **C.** *P. multifasciaria* Miyaké, 1910. **D.** *P. kiusiuensis* Issiki, 1929. **E.** *P. tsunekatanis* Issiki, 1929. **F.** *P. gressitti* Byers, 1970. **G.** *P. amamiensis* Miyamoto & Makihara, 1984. **H.** *P. okinawaensis* Nakamura, 2009. **I.** *P. tokunoshimaensis* Nakamura, 2009. ©Ji-Shen Wang (F) and Tomoya Suzuki (A–E, G–I).

patterns along hind margin (cf. lacking such a stripe); and 2) male hypovalves greatly shortened and shorter than basal stalk of hypandrium (cf. longer).

### Distribution

Japan: Shikoku (Ehime and Tokushima) (Fig. 6).

***Panorpa multifasciaria* Miyaké, 1910**  
Figs 1C, 6

*Panorpa multifasciaria* Miyaké, 1910: 196 (type locality: Gifu, Japan).

*Panorpa multifasciaria* – Miyaké 1913: 360, pl. 36, fig. 20. — Esben-Petersen 1915: 221; 1921: 38, figs 37–38; pl. 1, fig. 10. — Penny & Byers 1979: 379. — Miyamoto 1988: 42. — Suzuki & Suzuki 2015: 51, figs 1, 3.

### Diagnosis

This species is very similar to *Panorpa striata* Miyaké, 1908 by the greatly shortened male hypovalves, but can be readily differentiated from the latter by the lack of two reversed Y-shaped patterns on the wings.

### Distribution

Japan: Honshu (Gifu, Hiroshima, Hyōgo, Nagano, and Toyama) (Fig. 6).

***Panorpa ochraceocauda* Issiki, 1927**  
Fig. 6

*Panorpa ochraceocauda* Issiki, 1927: 3, fig. 3 (type locality: Tattaka (= Sungkang), Formosa (= Taiwan), China).

*Panorpa ochraceocauda* – Issiki & Cheng 1947: 2. — Penny & Byers 1979: 379. — Hsu *et al.* 1999: 153. — Byers 2002: 253. — Wang & Hua 2018: 292, fig. 3-145-1.

### Diagnosis

This species can be recognized by the following characters: 1) wings with greatly reduced markings represented by a spot-like pterostigmal band; and 2) male parameres trifurcated and concealed in gonocoxital cavity.

### Distribution

China: Taiwan (Nantou) (Fig. 6).

***Panorpa peterseana* Issiki, 1927**  
Fig. 6

*Panorpa peterseana* Issiki, 1927: 2, fig. 2a–b (type locality: Shinsuiei (= Jinshuiying), Formosa (= Taiwan), China).

*Panorpa peterseana* – Issiki & Cheng 1947: 11, figs 51–52. — Penny & Byers 1979: 380. — Hsu *et al.* 1999: 153. — Wang & Hua 2018: 296, figs 3-148-1, 3-148-2. — Bicha *et al.* 2020: 1001, fig. 2.



### Diagnosis

This species is characterized by the following combination of features: 1) yellow occiput with a trident-shaped dark brown pattern; 2) wing markings reduced with an oblique and often unbranched pterostigmal band; and 3) male parameres trifurcated, with dorsal branch greatly elongated beyond middle portion of gonostylus, and bearing numerous long spines along inner margin.

### Distribution

China: Taiwan (Chiayi, Nantou, Pingtung) (Fig. 6).

#### *Panorpa kiusiuensis* Issiki, 1929

Figs 1D, 6

*Panorpa kiusiuensis* Issiki, 1929: 302, fig. 19 (type locality: Gokanoshō, Kiusiu (= Kyushu), Japan).

*Panorpa kiusiuensis* – Penny & Byers 1979: 378. — Miyamoto 1988: 42, fig. 15. — Miyamoto & Nakamura 2008: 232, pl. 88, fig. 1359.

### Diagnosis

This species is superficially similar to *Panorpa multifasciaria* Miyaké, 1910, but can be readily differentiated from the latter by the following characters: 1) wing markings more reduced; and 2) male hypovalves long, almost as long as basal stalk of hypandrium (cf. shorter than basal stalk).

### Distribution

Japan: Kyushu (Fukuoka, Kagoshima, Kumamoto, Oita, Saga) (Fig. 6).

#### *Panorpa tsunekatanis* Issiki, 1929

Figs 1E, 6

*Panorpa tsunekatanis* Issiki, 1929: 299, figs 17–18 (type locality: Kamikōchi, Shinano, Honsiu (= Honshu), Japan).

*Panorpa tsunekatanis* – Penny & Byers 1979: 381. — Miyamoto 1988: 42. — Miyamoto & Nakamura 2008: 232, pl. 88, fig. 1360.

### Diagnosis

This species can be differentiated from its relatives by the following characters: 1) wing markings very dense with a small circular spot near ending of CuP; 2) male hypandrium with a pair of very long hypovalves nearly three times as long as basal stalk; and 3) male parameres bifurcated, with dorsal branch stout and ventral branch extremely delicate and clubbed apically.

### Distribution

Japan: Honshu (Aichi, Ishikawa, Nagano, Niigata, Shinano, Toyama, and Yamanashi) (Fig. 6).

#### *Panorpa obliqua* Carpenter, 1945

Fig. 6

*Panorpa obliqua* Carpenter, 1945: 70, figs 2–3, 8; pl. 11, fig. 9 (type locality: Hong San (= Xiangshan), southeast Kiangsi (= Jiangxi), China).

*Panorpa obliqua* – Cheng 1957: 36, figs 44–45, 97–98. — Penny & Byers 1979: 379.

### Diagnosis

This species can be differentiated from its relatives by the following characters: 1) wing markings dense, with elongated marginal spot connected to oblique pterostigmal band and forming a distinct V-shaped pattern; 2) male parameres greatly curved inward at apex; and 3) female medigynium with apodemes of axis short and slightly exceeding dorsal plate proximally.

### Distribution

China: Jiangxi (Xunwu) (Fig. 6).

### *Panorpa longiramina* Issiki & Cheng, 1947

Fig. 6

*Panorpa longiramina* Issiki & Cheng, 1947: 6, figs 9–11, 49–50 (type locality: Musha (= Wushe), Formosa (= Taiwan), China).

*Panorpa longiramina* – Issiki 1965: 245. — Penny & Byers 1979: 379. — Hsu *et al.* 1999: 152. — Byers 2002: 253. — Wang & Hua 2018: 284.

### Diagnosis

This species can be easily recognized by the following characters: 1) wing markings greatly reduced with only a small spot near pterostigma; 2) male parameres trifurcated and extending beyond apex of gonocoxites; and 3) female medigynium with posterior arms diamond-shaped and broadest approximately at middle.

### Distribution

China: Taiwan (Chiayi, Nantou, Taitung) (Fig. 6).

### *Panorpa implicata* Cheng, 1957

Figs 2, 6

*Panorpa implicata* Cheng, 1957: 37, figs 99, 103, 280 (type locality: Ta-chu-Lan (= Dazhulan), Shaowu Hsien (= Shaowu County), Fukien (= Fujian), China).

*Panorpa implicata* – Penny & Byers 1979: 378. — Wang & Hua 2018: 273, fig. 3-132-1.

### Diagnosis

This species is superficially similar to *Panorpa obliqua* Carpenter, 1945, especially in the V-shaped marginal spot and pterostigmal band, but can be readily differentiated by the following characters: 1) wings with less dense markings, and basal band with a small anterior spot not reaching anterior margin (cf. more dense markings, and basal band with a large anterior spot reaching anterior margin); 2) male parameres bifurcated with a delicate and short ventral branch, and a strong dorsal branch reaching middle of gonostylus (cf. unfurcated and only reaching apex of gonocoxites); and 3) female medigynium with axis longer than posterior arms (cf. shorter).

# Material examined

CHINA • 4 ♂♂, 4 ♀♀; Jiangxi, Mt. Matou (Horse Head), Zixi County; alt. 1000 m; 10 May 2020; Dan-Chen Zhu leg.; DALU.

# Distribution


China: Fujian (Shaowu) and Jiangxi (Zixi) (Fig. 6).

## *Panorpa gressitti* Byers, 1970

Figs 1F, 4A–B, 6

*Panorpa gressitti* Byers, 1970: 390, figs 10–13 (type locality: Tao-Kok-Wan (= Caojiaowan), Lungtau Shan (= Mt. Longdou), Kwangtung (= Guangdong), China).

*Panorpa gressitti* – Penny & Byers 1979: 378. — Wang & Hua 2018: 268, fig. 3-129-1, 3-129-2.



**Fig. 2.** *Panorpa implicata* Cheng, 1957. **A, C–F.** ♂ (DALU). **B, G–H.** ♀ (DALU). **A–B.** Habitus, dorsal view. **C.** Abdomen, left-lateral view. **D–E.** Genital bulb, ventral and dorsal views, respectively. **F.** Aedeagal complex, ventral view. **G.** Subgenital plate, ventral view. **H.** Medigynium, ventral view.



### Diagnosis

This species is similar to *Panorpa implicata* Cheng, 1957 and *Panorpa obliqua* Carpenter, 1945 in the V-shaped pattern formed by marginal spot and pterostigmal band, but can be readily differentiated from the latter two by the following characters: 1) scutella yellowish and distinctly paler than nota (cf. dark); 2) male gonostylus with basal process bifurcated (cf. unfurcated); and 3) female axis extremely short without distinct apodemes (cf. well-developed with distinct apodemes).

### Distribution

China: Guangdong (Conghua, Shaoguan, Shixing) (Fig. 6).

***Panorpa amamiensis*** Miyamoto & Makihara, 1984  
Figs 1G, 6

*Panorpa amamiensis* Miyamoto & Makihara in Miyamoto, 1984: 2, figs 5–7, pl. 3, fig. c (type locality: Mt. Yuwan-dake, Amami-Oshima, Japan).

*Panorpa amamiensis* – Miyamoto 1988: 42, fig. 3. — Nakamura 2009: 334, figs 1, 4–10.

### Diagnosis

This species is similar to *Panorpa longiramina* Issiki & Cheng, 1947 in the greatly reduced wing markings, but can be readily differentiated from the latter by the following characters: 1) wings with reduced basal and pterostigmal bands and marginal spot (cf. only a small spot near pterostigma); and 2) male parameres bifurcated (cf. trifurcated).

### Distribution

Japan: Kagoshima (Amami) (Fig. 6).

***Panorpa hiurai*** Miyamoto, 1985  
Fig. 6

*Panorpa hiurai* Miyamoto, 1985: 199, figs 1–2 (type locality: Bôbukitadani, Mt. Hira, Shiga Prefecture, Japan).

*Panorpa hiurai* – Miyamoto 1988: 42, fig. 14.

### Diagnosis

This species is characterized by the following characters: 1) wing markings dense, with two reversed Y-shaped patterns and a transverse band between them; 2) male hypovalves with intensely narrowed and truncated apex; and 3) female medigynium with tapering and slightly divergent posterior arms.

### Distribution

Japan: Honshu (Shiga) (Fig. 6).

***Panorpa obliquifascia*** Chou & Wang, 1987  
Fig. 6

*Panorpa obliquifascia* Chou & Wang in Chou *et al.*, 1987: 206, fig. 7 (type locality: Mangshan Mountains, Yizhang, Hunan, China).

*Panorpa obliquifascia* – Wang & Hua 2018: 291, figs 3-144-1, 3-144-2.

### Diagnosis

This species can be recognized by the following characters: 1) wing markings slightly reduced with an oblique pterostigmal band; 2) male hypandrium with long and broad hypovalves exceeding apex of gonocoxites; 3) male parameres bifurcated with a short glabrous ventral branch and a long bristle-bearing dorsal branch; and 4) female medigynium with a very broad dorsal plate and a greatly elongated axis.

### Distribution

China: Guangdong (Nanling Mountains) and Hunan (Mangshan Mountains) (Fig. 6).

### *Panorpa nudiramus* Byers, 2002

Fig. 6

*Panorpa nudiramus* Byers, 2002: 253, figs 1–4 (type locality: Lo-ma-wang Shan, Hualien, Taiwan, China).

### Diagnosis

This species can be readily recognized by the following characters: 1) wings nearly devoid of markings; 2) male hypandrium short and not reaching middle of gonocoxites; and 3) male paramere bifurcated with a slender and glabrous ventral branch and a long bristle-bearing dorsal branch.

### Distribution

China: Taiwan (Hualien, Nantou) (Fig. 6).

### *Panorpa okinawaensis* Nakamura, 2009

Figs 1H, 6

*Panorpa okinawaensis* Nakamura, 2009: 337, figs 2, 11–17 (type locality: Oku, Kunigami Village, Okinawa-jima, Ryukyu Islands, Japan).

### Diagnosis

This species is superficially resembling *Panorpa amamiensis* Miyamoto & Makihara, 1984, but can be readily differentiated from the latter by the following characters: in males, 1) gonostylus with basal process bearing two small teeth on mesial edge; 2) paramere bifurcated with a long and stout dorsal branch; 3) aedeagus with developed lateral process of dorsal valves; and in females, and 4) medigynium with axis twice longer than posterior arms.

### Distribution

Japan: Okinawa (Okinawa-jima) (Fig. 6).

### *Panorpa tokunoshimaensis* Nakamura, 2009

Figs 1I, 6

*Panorpa tokunoshimaensis* Nakamura, 2009: 340, figs 3, 18–23 (type locality: Tete Path, Amagi Town, Tokuno-shima, Kagoshima Prefecture, Japan).

## Diagnosis

This species is superficially similar to *Panorpa amamiensis* Miyamoto & Makihara, 1984 and *Panorpa okinawaensis* Nakamura, 2009, but can be readily differentiated from the latter two by the following characters: 1) male paramere bifurcated with both branches not exceeding apex of gonocoxites (cf. exceeding); and 2) female medigynium with axis shorter than half length of posterior arms (cf. longer).

## Distribution

Japan: Ryukyu Islands (Tokuno-shima) (Fig. 6).


### *Panorpa fengyanga* sp. nov.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:ED6669D2-9620-40C1-8B7C-4543B4A79B00

Figs 3, 6

## Diagnosis

This species is very similar to *Panorpa obliquifascia* Chou & Wang, 1987 in the oblique pterostigmal band, but can be easily differentiated from the latter by the following characters: 1) meso- and metanotum each with a yellowish mesal stripe (cf. lacking such a stripe); 2) male paramere with dorsal branch bearing very long bristles directing meso-basad (cf. shorter and directing meso-caudad); and 3) female



**Fig. 3.** *Panorpa fengyanga* sp. nov. A, C–G. Holotype, ♂ (DALU). B, H–I. Paratype, ♀ (DALU). A–B. Habitus, dorsal view. C. Abdomen, left-lateral view. D–E. Genital bulb, ventral and dorsal views, respectively. F. Aedeagal complex, ventral view. G. Right paramere, right-lateral view. H. Subgenital plate, ventral view. I. Medigynium, ventral view.

medigynium with axis slightly shorter than posterior arms (cf. longer). The mesal stripes in meso- and metanotum are unique among its relatives.

### Etymology

This species is named after the type locality, Mount Fengyang. Noun in apposition.

### Material examined

#### Holotype

CHINA • ♂; Zhejiang, Mt. Fengyang; alt. 1400 m; 20 Jun. 2020; Dan-Chen Zhu leg.; DALU.

#### Paratypes

CHINA • 1 ♂, 1 ♀; same collection data as for holotype; DALU.

### Description

#### Male

**HEAD** (Fig. 3A). Vertex black medially and yellowish brown near compound eyes. Ocellar triangle black. Rostrum yellowish brown, long and stout. Scape yellowish brown basally and dark brown distally, pedicel dark brown, flagellum black with approximately 35 flagellomeres.

**THORAX** (Fig. 3A). Pronotum black, bearing 6–8 stout setae along anterior margin. Meso- and metanotum black, each with yellowish, gourd-shaped mesal stripe. Pleura and legs light greyish brown with distal tarsomeres blackish.

**WINGS** (Fig. 3A). Membrane hyaline with markings dark brown. In forewing, apical band slightly reduced and usually with hyaline spot; pterostigmal band oblique, with an intact basal branch and detached apical branch; marginal spot inconspicuous; basal band and basal spot fused along hind margin with rounded hyaline spot near ending of CuP; Sc extending approximately at middle of anterior margin; Rs five-branched; 1A ending far beyond origin of Rs. In hindwing, markings similar but more reduced than those of forewing.

**ABDOMEN** (Fig. 3A, C). T1–T5 dark brown, S1–S5 light brown. Notal organ on posterior margin of T3 slightly protruded, semicircular and bearing dense setae posteriorly; postnotal organ on anterior portion of T4 acute and curved dorso-cephalad. A6 black, cylindrical and slightly longer than T5. A7 reddish brown, approximately half as long as A6, cylindrical and slightly beveled apically; A8 similar but slightly longer, thinner, and greatly beveled apically.

**GENITALIA** (Fig. 3D–G). Genital bulb reddish brown, long oval. Epandrium long trapezoidal, with deep terminal emargination and a pair of finger-like processes laterally. Cercus long clavate and blackish. Hypandrium with long and broad basal stalk, and distally split into a pair of hypovalves; hypovalves longer than basal stalk of hypandrium, widely divergent basally and slightly convergent distally, and nearly reaching apex of gonocoxites. Gonocoxites beveled apically; gonostylus approximately half as long as gonocoxites, and bearing an obtuse triangular median tooth and large trapezoidal basal process; small acute process on dorso-apical margin of basal process. Parameres with reduced and detached dorsal bridge, and bifurcated into slender and glabrous ventral branch and bristle-bearing dorsal branch; ventral branch protruding ventrad at basal half and turning caudad in distal half, approximately  $\frac{2}{3}$  as long as dorsal branch, and tapering into thin and pointed apex; dorsal branch stout, bearing dense bristles on ventral margin; bristles in apical portion extraordinary long and stout, and directing meso-basad. Aedeagus with ventral valves sclerotized, short and subtriangular; dorsal valves very short and inconspicuous; dorsal processes longer and stout; lateral processes elongated and curled mesad.

### Female

Similar to males in general appearance, but with slightly denser wing markings (Fig. 3B).

GENITALIA (Fig. 3H–I). Subgenital plate oval and slightly emarginated terminally, with subtriangular process on distal  $\frac{3}{5}$  of lateral margin; distal  $\frac{2}{5}$  of subgenital plate narrow, bearing long stout setae. Medigynium with broad dorsal plate; dorsal plate enclosing main plate of medigynium and emarginated in V-shape terminally; main plate poorly developed; posterior arms slightly elbow-shaped and tapering apically; axis slightly shorter than posterior arms with a pair of slightly divergent apodemes; decorated area of axis greatly elongated posteriorly, subtranslucent and subtriangular; between two apodemes acicular process protruded and slightly exceeding apodemes proximally.


### Distribution

China, Zhejiang (Mt. Fengyang) (Fig. 6).

### *Panorpa zhuohengi* sp. nov.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:D216769D-9C58-4725-8856-E6C4D0D10516

Figs 4C–D, 5–6



**Fig. 4.** Habitus of the *Panorpa wormaldi* group. **A–B.** *P. gressitti* Byers, 1970, ♂, dorsal and left-lateral views, respectively. **C–D.** *P. zhuohengi* sp. nov., holotype, ♂ (DALU) dorsal and left-lateral views, respectively.



### Diagnosis

This species is superficially similar to *Panorpa gressitti* Byers, 1970, but can be readily differentiated from the latter by the following characters: in the living insects, 1) body sides light yellow and wing markings dark (cf. body sides greyish and wing markings lighter); in males, 2) gonostylus with basal process stout with a pointed apex (cf. bifurcated); 3) parameres enlarged and bearing long bristles subapically (cf. slender and bearing short bristles); and in females, 4) medigynium with distinct apodemes (cf. lacking). It is also similar to *Panorpa obliqua* Carpenter, 1945, but can be readily differentiated by the structure of the male parameres.


### Etymology

The new species is named after my friend Mr Zhuo-Heng Jiang, who collected the type specimens. Noun in the genitive case.

### Material examined

#### Holotype

CHINA • ♂; Guangdong, Fengkai, Heishiding (Dark Rock Peak); alt. 200 m; 15 Apr. 2019; Zhuo-Heng Jiang leg.; DALU.



**Fig. 5.** *Panorpa zhuohengi* sp. nov. **A, C–G.** Holotype, ♂ (DALU). **B, H–I.** Paratype, ♀ (SYSU). **A–B.** Habitus, dorsal view. **C.** Abdomen, left-lateral view. **D–E.** Genital bulb, ventral and dorsal views, respectively. **F.** Aedeagal complex, ventral view. **G.** Left paramere, ventral view. **H.** Subgenital plate, ventral view. **I.** Medigynium, ventral view.

### Paratypes

CHINA • 3 ♂♂; same collection data as for holotype; DALU • 1 ♂; same locality as for preceding; 17–18 Apr. 2004; Chun-Tian Zhang leg.; SYSU • 2 ♀; same locality as for preceding; 10 Apr. 1985; Zhen-Yao Chen leg.; SYSU.

### Description

#### Male

HEAD (Fig. 5A). Vertex black medially and yellowish brown near compound eyes and antennal sockets. Ocellar triangle black. Rostrum yellowish brown, long and stout. Scape yellowish brown basally and dark brown distally, pedicel dark brown, flagellum black with approximately 38 flagellomeres.

THORAX (Fig. 5A). Pronotum black, bearing 6–8 stout setae along anterior margin. Meso- and metanotum black, each with yellowish, gourd-shaped mesal stripe. Pleura light yellow. Legs yellowish brown with distal tarsomeres blackish.

WINGS (Fig. 5A). Membrane hyaline, slightly tinged with yellowish brown. Markings dense and dark brown. In forewing, apical band broad and usually with series of hyaline spots along apical cross-veins; pterostigmal band oblique, with an intact basal branch and detached apical branch; apical branch fused with posterior portion of apical band; marginal spot elongated posteriorly and fused with pterostigmal band and forming V-shaped pattern; basal band broad; basal spot fused with basal band along hind margin with few hyaline spots along basal cross-veins; Sc extending approximately at middle of anterior margin; Rs five-branched; 1A ending far beyond origin of Rs. In hindwing, markings similar but more reduced than those of forewing.




Fig. 6. Distribution of the *Panorpa wormaldi* group.

ABDOMEN (Fig. 5A, C). T1–T5 dark brown, S1–S5 brown, pleural membrane yellow. Notal organ on posterior margin of T3 slightly protruded, semicircular and bearing dense setae posteriorly; postnotal organ on anterior portion of T4 acute and curved dorso-cephalad. A6 black, cylindrical and slightly longer than T5. A7 yellowish brown and blackish on apical margin, approximately half as long as A6, cylindrical and slightly beveled apically; A8 similar but slightly longer, thinner, and greatly beveled apically.

GENITALIA (Fig. 5D–G). Genital bulb yellowish brown, oval. Epandrium long trapezoidal, greatly constricted in distal portion, with deep terminal emargination and a pair of finger-like processes laterally. Cercus long clavate and blackish. Hypandrium with long and broad basal stalk, and distally split into a pair of hypovalves; hypovalves slightly shorter than basal stalk of hypandrium, widely divergent basally and slightly convergent distally, and not reaching lateral processes of aedeagus. Gonocoxites beveled apically; gonostylus approximately half as long as gonocoxites, and bearing an obtuse triangular median tooth and stout basal process. Parameres each with slender basal stalk, and greatly enlarged basally and subapically; four long stout bristles on outer margin of basally enlarged portion; and dozens of longer bristles on inner side of subapically enlarged portion; apex of paramere pointed and slightly curving laterad. Aedeagus with ventral valves sclerotized and columnar; dorsal valves very short and inconspicuous; dorsal processes longer and finger-like; lateral processes slightly elongated, stout and nearly truncated with an indistinct terminal emargination.

#### Female

Similar to males in general appearance, but with slightly denser wing markings (Fig. 5B).

GENITALIA (Fig. 5H–I). Subgenital plate subtriangular with shallow terminal emargination, and bearing sparse long setae marginally. Medigynium with broad dorsal plate emarginated in V-shape terminally; posterior arms slightly arcuate and tapering distally; axis shorter than posterior arms with short and stout apodemes proximally; decorated area of axis greatly elongated posteriorly, subtranslucent and subtriangular.

#### Distribution

China: Guangdong: Fengkai (Fig. 6).

#### Key to species of the *Panorpa wormaldi* group

##### Males

19 species

1. Wing markings greatly reduced and covering less than  $\frac{1}{5}$  area of wing ..... 2
  - Wing markings well-developed and covering at least  $\frac{1}{5}$  area of wing ..... 7
2. Hypandrium at least extending to middle of gonocoxites apically; parameres trifurcated ..... 3
  - Hypandrium greatly shortened and not reaching middle of gonocoxites apically; parameres bifurcated ..... 4
3. Paramere concealed in gonocoxital cavity, with dorsal branch bearing short microtrichia along inner margin ..... *P. ochraceocauda* Issiki, 1927
  - Paramere extending beyond apex of gonocoxites, with dorsal branch bearing long spines along inner margin ..... *P. longiramina* Issiki & Cheng, 1947
4. Paramere with ventral branch glabrous ..... 5
  - Paramere with ventral branch bearing long bristles ..... 6



5. Paramere with dorsal branch greatly curved and S-shaped ..... *P. amamiensis* Miyamoto & Makihara, 1984  
 – Paramere with dorsal branch straight ..... *P. nudiramus* Byers, 2002
6. Paramere with dorsal branch greatly elongated, twice longer than ventral branch and exceeding apex of gonocoxites ..... *P. okinawaensis* Nakamura, 2009  
 – Paramere with dorsal branch shorter than ventral branch and not exceeding apex of gonocoxite ..... *P. tokunoshimaensis* Nakamura, 2009
7. Wings with marginal spot greatly elongated, fused with pterostigmal band and forming a distinct V-shaped pattern ..... 8  
 – Wings lacking a V-shaped pattern ..... 11
8. Genital bulb long oval (approximately twice as long as wide); hypovalves longer than basal stalk of hypandrium ..... *P. implicata* Cheng, 1957  
 – Genital bulb bold oval (approximately  $1.7 \times$  as long as wide); hypovalves shorter than basal stalk of hypandrium ..... 9
9. Gonostylus with basal process bifurcated into two small teeth; paramere S-shaped ..... *P. gressitti* Byers, 1970  
 – Gonostylus with basal process stout and simple; parameres relatively straight ..... 10
10. Parameres curved inward and bearing short bristles subapically ..... *P. obliqua* Carpenter, 1945  
 – Parameres curved laterad and bearing long bristles subapically ..... *P. zhuohengi* sp. nov.
11. Pterostigmal band with detached apical branch and forming a distinct oblique band ..... 12  
 – Pterostigmal band with apical branch intact ..... 15
12. Vertex yellow with a trident-shaped black pattern; paramere trifurcated ..... *P. peterseana* Issiki, 1927  
 – Vertex brown to black, and lacking a trident-shaped black patter; paramere bifurcated ..... 13
13. Meso- and metanotum each with a yellowish mesal stripe ..... *P. fengyanga* sp. nov.  
 – Meso- and metanotum lacking a yellowish mesal stripe ..... 14
14. Hypovalves exceeding apex of gonocoxites; parameres with dorsal branch extending to middle of gonostylus ..... *P. obliquifascia* Chou & Wang, 1987  
 – Hypovalves extending to  $\frac{2}{3}$  of gonocoxites; parameres with dorsal branch slightly exceeding apex of gonocoxites ..... *P. kiusiuensis* Issiki, 1929
15. Hypovalves with intensely narrowed and truncated apex ..... *P. hiurai* Miyamoto, 1985  
 – Hypovalves with tapering and relatively rounded apex ..... 16
16. Hypandrium short, with apex of hypovalves not extending to apex of gonocoxites; hypovalves shorter than basal stalk of hypandrium ..... 17  
 – Hypandrium long, with apex of hypovalves extending to apex of gonocoxites; hypovalves longer than basal stalk of hypandrium ..... 18
17. Wing markings slightly reduced with two reversed, separated Y-shaped pattern ..... *P. multifasciaria* Miyaké, 1910  
 – Wing markings dense and reticulate, with two reversed Y-shaped pattern connected by an additional transverse stripe ..... *P. striata* Miyaké, 1908

18. Wings with pterostigmal band represented by two anteriorly connected Y-shaped pattern; parameres unfurcated and short, not exceeding apex of gonocoxites ..... *P. wormaldi* MacLachlan, 1875  
 – Wings with marginal spot fused with pterostigmal band and forming an H-shaped pattern; parameres bifurcated with dorsal branch extending to middle of gonostylus ..... *P. tsunekatanis* Issiki, 1929

### Females

14 species; *P. kiusiuensis*, *P. ochraceocauda*, *P. multifasciaria*, *P. nudiramus* and *P. wormaldi* unknown.

1. Wing markings greatly reduced and covering less than  $\frac{1}{5}$  area of wing ..... 2  
 – Wing markings well-developed and covering at least  $\frac{1}{5}$  area of wing ..... 5
2. Axis extremely shortened, shorter than half length of posterior arms .....  
 ..... *P. tokunoshimaensis* Nakamura, 2009  
 – Axis in medigynium longer than half length of posterior arms ..... 3
3. Axis in medigynium greatly elongated, longer than posterior arms .....  
 ..... *P. okinawaensis* Nakamura, 2009  
 – Axis approximately as long as or shorter than posterior arms ..... 4
4. Axis in medigynium shorter than posterior arms ..... *P. amamiensis* Miyamoto & Makihara, 1984  
 – Axis in medigynium approximately as long as posterior arms *P. longiramina* Issiki & Cheng, 1947
5. Wings with marginal spot greatly elongated, fused with pterostigmal band and forming a distinct V-shaped pattern ..... 6  
 – Wings lacking a V-shaped pattern ..... 9
6. Axis in medigynium lacking apodemes ..... *P. gressitti* Byers, 1970  
 – Axis in medigynium bearing apodemes ..... 7
7. Axis longer than posterior arms ..... *P. implicata* Cheng, 1957  
 – Axis shorter than posterior arms ..... 8
8. Separated spot anterior to ORs; posterior arms of medigynium narrow .....  
 ..... *P. obliqua* Carpenter, 1945  
 – Continuous band across ORs; posterior arms of medigynium broad at base and tapering towards acute apex ..... *P. zhuohengi* sp. nov.
9. Pterostigmal band with detached apical branch and forming a distinct oblique band ..... 10  
 – Pterostigmal band with apical branch intact ..... 12
10. Vertex yellow with a trident-shaped black pattern ..... *P. peterseana* Issiki, 1927  
 – Vertex brown to black, and lacking a trident-shaped black pattern ..... 11
11. Meso- and metanotum each with a yellowish mesal stripe ..... *P. fengyanga* sp. nov.  
 – Meso- and metanotum lacking a yellowish mesal stripe ..... *P. obliquifascia* Chou & Wang, 1987
12. Wing markings reticulate and lacking recognizable Y-shaped patterns .....  
 ..... *P. tsunekatanis* Issiki, 1929  
 – Wing markings with two reversed Y-shaped patterns and a transverse band between them ..... 13
13. Posterior arms with broad lateral projection in distal half; apodemes slightly divergent .....  
 ..... *P. striata* Miyaké, 1908  
 – Posterior arms lacking lateral projection; apodemes greatly divergent .... *P. hiurai* Miyamoto, 1985



## Discussion

By describing two new species, the species number of the *Panorpa wormaldi* group is updated from 17 to 19. According to recent molecular phylogenetic analyses (Whiting 2002; Hu *et al.* 2015), *Panorpa striata* Miyaké, 1908, a member of this group is closely related to members of several eastern Asian groups, such as the *Panorpa japonica* group sensu Issiki, 1933 and the *Panorpa nikkoensis* group (= the *P. leucoptera* group sensu Issiki, 1933, because *Panorpa nikkoensis* Miyaké, 1908 was synonymized with *Panorpa leucoptera* Uhler, 1858 due to misidentification, but recently revalidated by Miyamoto & Nakamura 2008). However, other species in this group lack comprehensive phylogenetic analysis, and their systematic position in the Panorpidae remains unresolved to date.

Presumably, members in the *P. wormaldi* group likely form a monophyletic group, supported by some synapomorphies, such as the greatly elongated lateral processes in the male aedeagus, and a dorsal plate in the female medigynium. In addition, the living insects in this species group hold their wings roof-like over the abdomen (different from the more common V-shape, Ennos & Wootton 1989; Wang & Hua 2018, 2021), giving a great resemblance to *Furcatopanorpa longihypovalva* (Hua & Cai, 2009) and *Panorpa bicornuta* MacLachlan, 1887. However, more or less, some distantly related species in this family also hold wings roof-like, such as *Panorpa cornigera* MacLachlan, 1887, *Panorpa lugubris* Swederus, 1787, and *Neopanorpa salai* Navás, 1929. These facts suggest that the roof-like wing posture is likely nonhomologous and independently developed several times in Panorpidae.

In the *P. wormaldi* group, several species were observed active among dense bushes and grasses (the first author's observations, *P. striata*, *P. gressitti*, and *P. zhuohengi* sp. nov.), and *P. peterseana* was reported foraging among tree-canopy blossoms (Bicha *et al.* 2020). These species are different from many other scorpionflies which hold their wings in a V-shape, and usually sit on the top surface of ground vegetations or the ground (Bicha *et al.* 2020). When captured, some species (*P. gressitti*, *P. striata*, and *P. zhuohengi* sp. nov.) prefer to rapidly crawl towards the light in the net, rather than fly away as many other scorpionflies do to escape. By holding their wings roof-like, members in the *P. wormaldi* group have their bodies greatly narrowed, and likely received a great flexibility when they are crawling back and forth among the dense vegetation.

The distributional pattern of the *P. wormaldi* group provide us a valuable point of view to study the relationship of panorpid fauna between China and Japan. According to Tojo *et al.* (2017), the geological history played an important role for the high biodiversity and endemism of Japan. The southwestern part of Japan (Kyushu, Shikoku and western Honshu) was considered to begin to split from the Korean Peninsula around 20 Ma (Otofuji *et al.* 1985). Members of the *P. wormaldi* group are ranged in southeastern mainland China, Taiwan, Ryukyu Islands, and three main Japanese islands, but are not yet recorded from the Korean Peninsula and the island of Hokkaido. The southwestern parts of Japan and the southeastern coast of China were once connected due to the lowered sea level, thus provided a land bridge for the faunal dispersal during the Last Glacial Maximum (Tojo *et al.* 2017). More studies are required to decipher the evolutionary and biogeographical history of the *P. wormaldi* group.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Messrs Zhuo-Heng Jiang and Dan-Chen Zhu for collecting and donating the specimens. We also thank Prof Hong Pang and Dr Bing-Lan Zhang for arranging the loan of the specimens in SYSU. Our appreciation also goes to two anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments on the manuscript. Special thanks are due to Dr Hao Xu for interpreting the type locality of *Panorpa obliqua*. This research was financially supported by the Tianlong Entomological Foundation, and the Starting Foundation for the High-level Talents, Dali University (Grant No. KY2096124040).

## References

- Bicha W., Chiu Y., Nakamura T. & Almquist D. 2020. Unusual scorpionfly (Mecoptera: Panorpidae) collecting techniques. *Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington* 122 (4): 1001–1004. <https://doi.org/10.4289/0013-8797.122.4.1001>
- Byers G.W. 1970. New and little known Chinese Mecoptera. *Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society* 43 (4): 383–394.
- Byers G.W. 2002. Mecoptera from Taiwan. *Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society* 75 (4): 252–258.
- Carpenter F.M. 1945. Panorpidae from China (Mecoptera). *Psyche* 52 (1–2): 70–78. <https://doi.org/10.1155/1945/69797>
- Cheng F.Y. 1957. Revision of the Chinese Mecoptera. *Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard College* 116 (1): 1–118.
- Chou I., Wang S.-M., Li Z.-D. & Tong X.-W. 1987. New species of Mecoptera from Hunan Province (I) – Studies on the classification of Chinese Mecoptera (III). *Entomotaxonomia* 9 (3): 201–212.
- Enderlein G. 1910. Über die Phylogenie und die Klassifikation der Mecopteren unter Berücksichtigung der fossilen Formen. *Zoologischer Anzeiger* 35: 385–399.
- Ennos A.R. & Wootton R.J. 1989. Functional wing morphology and aerodynamics of *Panorpa germanica* (Insecta: Mecoptera). *Journal of Experimental Biology* 143: 267–284. <https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.143.1.267>
- Esben-Petersen P. 1915. A synonymic list of the order Mecoptera together with descriptions of new species. *Entomologiska Meddelelser* 10: 216–242.
- Esben-Petersen P. 1921. Mecoptera. Monographic revision: Collections Zoologiques du Baron Edm. de Selys Longchamps. *Catalogue Systématique et Descriptif* 5: 1–172.
- Hsu T.-C., Wu W.-J. & Chou L.-Y. 1999. A check list of Mecoptera (Insecta) of Taiwan. *Journal of Agricultural Researches of China* 48 (2): 149–155.
- Hu G.-L., Yan G., Xu H. & Hua B.-Z. 2015. Molecular phylogeny of Panorpidae (Insecta: Mecoptera) based on mitochondrial and nuclear genes. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 85: 22–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2015.01.009>
- Issiki S. 1927. New and rare species of Mecoptera from Corea, Formosa and Japan. *Insecta Matsumurana* 2 (1): 1–12.
- Issiki S. 1929. Descriptions of new Mecoptera from the Japanese Empire. *Transactions of the Natural History Society of Formosa* 19: 260–314.
- Issiki S. 1933. Morphological studies on the Panorpidae of Japan and adjoining countries and comparison with American and European forms. *Japanese Journal of Zoology* 4 (4): 315–416.
- Issiki S. 1965. Notes on some Mecoptera from Formosa. *Special Bulletin of the Lepidopterological Society of Japan* 1: 245.
- Issiki S. & Cheng F.Y. 1947. Formosan Mecoptera with descriptions of new species. *Memoirs of the College of Agriculture, National Taiwan University* 1 (4): 1–17.
- MacLachlan R. 1875. A sketch of our knowledge of the neuropterous fauna of Japan (excluding Odonata and Trichoptera). *Transactions of the Entomological Society of London* 23 (2): 167–190. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2311.1875.tb01906.x>

- Mickoleit G. 1975. Die Genital- und Postgenitalsegmente der Mecoptera-Weibchen (Insecta, Holometabola) I. Das Exoskelet. *Zeitschrift für Morphologie der Tiere* 80: 97–135.
- Mickoleit G. 1976. Die Genital- und Postgenitalsegmente der Mecoptera-Weibchen (Insecta, Holometabola) II. Das Dach der Geritalkammer. *Zoomorphologie* 85: 133–156.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00995408>
- Miyaké T. 1908. A list of Panorpidae of Japan, with descriptions of ten new species. *Bulletin of the College of Agriculture, Tokyo Imperial University* 8: 1–13.
- Miyaké T. 1910. A further contribution towards the knowledge of the Panorpidae of Japan. *Journal of the College of Agriculture of the Imperial University of Tokyo* 2: 183–205.
- Miyaké T. 1913. Studies on the Mecoptera of Japan. *Journal of the College of Agriculture, Imperial University of Tokyo* 4: 265–400.
- Miyamoto S. 1984. New species and subspecies of the Mecoptera in Japan (Insecta). *Journal of Chikushi Jogakuen Junior College* 19: 1–16.
- Miyamoto S. 1985. Description of a new species of the genus *Panorpa* Linnaeus (Mecoptera, Panorpidae). *Kontyû* 53: 199–201.
- Miyamoto S. 1988. A checklist of the Panorpidae. *Panorpodes* 8/9: 39–49.
- Miyamoto S. 1994. Scorpion-flies of the Shikoku Mountains, with descriptions of two new species of the genus *Panorpa* Linnaeus (Mecoptera: Panorpidae). *Transactions of the Shikoku Entomological Society* 20: 3–4.
- Miyamoto S. & Nakamura T. 2008. Mecoptera. In: Hirashima Y. & Morimoto K. (eds), *Iconographia Insectorum Japonicorum Colore Naturali Edit. III*: 229–233. Hokuryukan, Tokyo, Japan.
- Nakamura T. 2009. A redescription of *Panorpa amaniensis* and descriptions of its closely allied two new species from the Ryukyu Islands, Japan (Mecoptera, Panorpidae). *Japanese Journal of Systematic Entomology* 15: 333–342.
- Otofuji Y.-I., Matsuda T. & Nohda S. 1985. Opening mode of the Japan Sea inferred from the palaeomagnetism of the Japan Arc. *Nature* 317 (6038): 603–604. <https://doi.org/10.1038/317603a0>
- Penny N.D. & Byers G.W. 1979. A check-list of the Mecoptera of the world. *Acta Amazonica* 9 (2): 365–388. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1809-43921979092365>
- Suzuki T. & Suzuki N. 2015. The distribution range of the scorpion fly, *Panorpa multifasciaria* Miyake, 1910, in Nagano Prefecture. *New Entomologist* 64 (3, 4): 51–53.
- Tojo K., Sekiné K., Takenaka M., Isaka Y., Komaki S., Suzuki T. & Schoville S.D. 2017. Species diversity of insects in Japan: Their origins and diversification processes. *Entomological Science* 20 (1): 357–381. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ens.12261>
- Wang J.-S. & Hua B.-Z. 2018. *A Color Atlas of the Chinese Mecoptera*. Henan Science and Technology Press, Zhengzhou.
- Wang J.-S. & Hua B.-Z. 2021. Morphological phylogeny of Panorpidae (Mecoptera: Panorpoidea). *Systematic Entomology* 46 (3): 526–557. <https://doi.org/10.1111/syen.12474>
- Whiting M.F. 2002. Mecoptera is paraphyletic: multiple genes and phylogeny of Mecoptera and Siphonaptera. *Zoologica Scripta* 31 (1): 93–104. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0300-3256.2001.00095.x>
- Willmann R. 1989. Evolution und phylogenetisches System der Mecoptera (Insecta: Holometabola). *Abhandlungen der Senckenbergischen Naturforschenden Gesellschaft* 554: 1–153.

*Manuscript received: 28 April 2021*

*Manuscript accepted: 6 December 2021*

*Published on: 11 February 2022*

*Topic editor: Nesrine Akkari*

*Desk editor: Eva-Maria Levermann*

Printed versions of all papers are also deposited in the libraries of the institutes that are members of the *EJT* consortium: Muséum national d'histoire naturelle, Paris, France; Meise Botanic Garden, Belgium; Royal Museum for Central Africa, Tervuren, Belgium; Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, Brussels, Belgium; Natural History Museum of Denmark, Copenhagen, Denmark; Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Leiden, the Netherlands; Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales-CSIC, Madrid, Spain; Real Jardín Botánico de Madrid CSIC, Spain; Zoological Research Museum Alexander Koenig, Bonn, Germany; National Museum, Prague, Czech Republic.

# ZOBODAT - [www.zobodat.at](http://www.zobodat.at)

Zoologisch-Botanische Datenbank/Zoological-Botanical Database

Digitale Literatur/Digital Literature

Zeitschrift/Journal: [European Journal of Taxonomy](#)

Jahr/Year: 2022

Band/Volume: [0794](#)

Autor(en)/Author(s): Wang Ji-Shen, Suzuki Tomoya

Artikel/Article: [Review of the \*Panorpa wormaldi\* group \(Mecoptera: Panorpidae\), with descriptions of two new species 18-39](#)