



Entomofauna

ZEITSCHRIFT FÜR ENTOMOLOGIE

Band 38, Heft 13: 301-312

ISSN 0250-4413

Ansfelden, 2. Januar 2017

**Morphology of appendix bursae as an auxiliary criterion
in the determination of females of genus
Eupithecia CURTIS, 1825
(Lepidoptera, Geometridae)**

Vladimír KUBÍN & Josef PROCHÁZKA

Abstract

The authors examined 79 species of females of the genus *Eupithecia* CURTIS, 1825 and divided the material studied into 10 groups according to the shape of appendix bursae. This classification can be used for determination purposes when examining the genus and/or group specificity. Predominantly, the study has been carried out with the scanning electron microscope. In this case, the use of a stereoscopic light microscope with appropriate lighting is quite sufficient.

Zusammenfassung

Unsere Untersuchung umfasst 79 Arten der Weibchen der Gattung *Eupithecia* CURTIS, 1825. Nach der Form und Größe des Appendix bursae wird dieses Material in 10 Gruppen geteilt. Diese Einteilung kann für die Determination der Weibchen der Gattung *Eupithecia* Verwendung finden. Die Form und die Größe des Appendix bursae ist für einzelne Arten beziehungsweise Artengruppen ganz spezifisch. Die Studie wurde überwiegend mit dem Rasterelektronenmikroskop durchgeführt, wobei für Determinationszwecke die Verwendung des Lichtstereoskopischen Mikroskopes auch geeignet war.

Introduction

The morphological diversity of the genitalia of various genera and species does not enable to universalize successfully the attributes of a larger group of genera of Lepidoptera generally (WILLIAMS 1943, DOUGDALE 1974). In our study, therefore, we have paid attention only to the situation of females of the genus *Eupithecia*. When determining the females of this genus, the morphology of bursa copulatrix with its internal structures serves as the basic criterion (MIRONOV 2003, PETERSEN 1910, BOLTE 1990, WEIGT 1987, 1988, 1990, 1991, 1993). With genus *Eupithecia*, a protuberance of appendix bursae leaving bursa copulatrix at various locations of its surface (BOLTE 1990, Mc DUNNOUGH 1949, SCOBLE 1995, HAUSMANN 2001, KRISTENSEN 2003) forms a typical part of its anatomy. Exceptionally, the appendix bursae is connected with ductus bursae (group 10). Ductus seminalis, a hair-thin tube, is attached to the peripheral end of the appendix.

Material and methods

Information on the material used can be found in the list of localities and collectors included in an earlier study (KUBÍN & PROCHÁZKA 2012). After the preparation of the female abdomens with a hot solution of NaOH and subsequent intensive washing, the bursae were separated mechanically from the genitalia (BENNET 1929, KUBÍN & PROCHÁZKA 2014) and fixed with 70% ethanol. After dehydration with an ascending line of acetone (90%, 96%, 100%) they were dried out in the POLARON apparatus in the CO₂ atmosphere. The coating was accomplished with a gold or palladium electrode (KUBÍN 2003). For photographs, the FOMA 100 film was used in the TESLA BS300 scanning electron microscope. After an analogous preparation, some photos were taken digitally with a HITACHI SU 8010 scanning electron microscope. In the optical stereoscopic microscope, the appendices bursae were very clearly visible when a matte black of the microscope table and oblique lighting in an almost horizontal level with the surface of the table were used.

Results

Our study includes 79 females of genus *Eupithecia* species. The length, shape and thickness of appendices bursae were compared. According to its morphology, the females were sorted into ten groups. Quite comfortably, the appendices were discernible not only in the scanning electron microscope, but – after a necessary adjustment of lighting – also in the light stereoscopic microscope. The linking of appendix bursae into ductus seminalis was characteristic generically – either fully continuous, or ductus seminalis was variously immersed into the body of the appendix (Figs 2-5). The form of the linking did not influence the group classification in any way. In some genus, the site of the link was determined in a larger magnification (1000-2000). In the captions of the report proper, to simplify describing individual photographs we do not state specifically that this particular detail is dealt with.

Further identification of the species in individual groups must be performed conventionally by means of a morphological analysis of bursa copulatrix. The method was verified on 92 specimens of 18 species of the genus *Eupithecia*. Intentionally, the check – up was performed with a light stereoscopic microscope (see Methods). In all cases, the species in question could be classified in one of the ten described groups, defined according to the morphology of bursa copulatrix.

Discussion

The anatomical structure of the genitalia of females of the genus *Eupithecia* used for determination is simpler than with the males, where there exist a number of main and affiliated signs, the combination of which enables a reliable determination of the species. In females, such signs are substantially less numerous (MIRONOV 2003). Most frequently, the determination is limited to the shape of the bursa and layout or size of spines and the shape of apophysae or ostium bursae, respectively. Our study draws attention to the possibility of using the length, shape and thickness of appendix bursae (BOLTE 1990) to the categorization into ten groups containing different numbers of species. The final determination of species involves using conventional techniques. A scanning electron microscope was used to depict the appendices bursae. For most entomologists, however, this technique is hard – to – get – at, and – on top of that – rather lengthy. The similar results may be achieved with a light stereoscopic microscope (see Methods). The morphology of appendices bursae is relatively very constant, representing a reliable secondary sign in determining females. As with all natural objects, a certain degree of variability must be taken into account. The same stands also for appendices bursae, but potential variability seems to be negligible and does not affect the group determination. Classification into groups was not influenced by the variability of linking. The physiological significance of appendix bursae has not been clarified in detail yet, and its examination was beyond our possibilities.

Classification according to the size of appendix bursae

1. Long appendix bursae Figs 5-14

- | | |
|--|---|
| <i>E. cocciferata</i> MILLIÖRE, 1864 | <i>E. subfuscata</i> (HAWORTH, 1809) |
| <i>E. denticulata</i> (TREITSCHKE, 1828) | <i>E. subumbrata</i> (DENIS & SCHIFFERMÜLLER, 1775) |
| <i>E. icterata</i> (VILLERS, 1789) | <i>E. succenturiata</i> (LINNAEUS, 1758) |
| <i>E. orphnata</i> W. PETERSEN, 1909 | |
| <i>E. pauxillaria</i> BOISDUVAL, 1840 | |
| <i>E. semigraphata</i> BRUAND, 1850 | |

2. Medium bent appendix bursae Figs 15-33

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| <i>E. absinthiata</i> (CLERCK, 1759) | <i>E. insigniata</i> (HÜBNER, 1790) |
| <i>E. antalica</i> MIRONOV, 2001 | <i>E. intricata</i> (ZETTERSTEDT, 1839) |
| <i>E. cauchiata</i> (DUPONCHEL, 1831) | <i>E. millefoliata</i> RÖSSLER, 1866 |
| <i>E. denotata</i> (HÜBNER, 1813) | <i>E. reisserata</i> PINCER, 1976 |
| <i>E. dodoneata</i> GUENÉE, 1857 | <i>E. satyrata</i> (HÜBNER, 1813) |
| <i>E. exiguata</i> (HÜBNER, 1813) | <i>E. tantillaria</i> BOISDUVAL, 1840 |
| <i>E. expallidata</i> DOUBLEDAY, 1856 | <i>E. valerianata</i> (HÜBNER, 1813) |

3. Medium straight appendix bursae Figs 34-39

- | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| <i>E. assimilata</i> DOUBLEDAY, 1856 | <i>E. vulgata</i> (HAWORTH, 1809) |
| <i>E. thalictrata</i> (PÜNGELER, 1902) | |

4. Medium spirally coiled appendix bursae Figs 40-47

- | | |
|---|---|
| <i>E. egenaria</i> HERRICH-SCHÄFFER, 1848 | <i>E. plumbeolata</i> (HAWORTH, 1809) |
| <i>E. immundata</i> (LIENIG & ZELLER, 1846) | <i>E. quercetica</i> PROUT, 1938 |
| <i>E. pimpinellata</i> (HÜBNER, 1813) | <i>E. selinata</i> HERRICH-SCHÄFFER, 1861 |

5. Short thick appendix bursae Figs 48-57

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| <i>E. gelidata</i> MÖSCHLER, 1860 | <i>E. ochridata</i> SCHÜTZE & PINKER, 1968 |
| <i>E. innotata</i> (HUFNAGEL, 1767) | <i>E. trisignaria</i> HERRICH-SCHÄFFER, 1848 |
| <i>E. nanata</i> (HÜBNER, 1813) | <i>E. veratraria</i> HERRICH-SCHÄFFER, 1850 |

6. Short bent appendix bursae Figs 58-69

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| <i>E. abbreviata</i> STEPHENS, 1831 | <i>E. irriguata</i> (HÜBNER, 1813) |
| <i>E. abietaria</i> (GOEZE, 1781) | <i>E. oxycedrata</i> (RAMBUR, 1833) |
| <i>E. breviculata</i> (DONZEL, 1837) | <i>E. pusillata</i> (DENIS & SCHIFFERMÜLLER, 1775) |
| <i>E. ericeata</i> (RAMBUR, 1833) | <i>E. simplicciata</i> (HAWORTH, 1809) |
| <i>E. graphata</i> (TREITSCHKE, 1828) | |
| <i>E. indigata</i> (HÜBNER, 1813) | |

7. Short straight appendix bursae Figs 70-82

- E. actaeata* WALDERDORFF, 1869
E. gemellata HERRICH-SCHÄFFER, 1861
E. haworthiata DOUBLEDAY, 1856
E. scalptata CHRISTOPH, 1885
- E. schiefereri* BOHATSCH, 1893
E. unedonata (MABILLE, 1868)
E. venosata (FABRICIUS, 1787)

8. Short tumid appendix bursae Figs 83-93

- E. centaureata* (DENIS & SCHIFFERMÜLLER, 1775)
E. distinctaria HERRICH-SCHÄFFER, 1848
E. extraversaria HERRICH-SCHÄFFER, 1852
- E. impurata* (HÜBNER, 1813)
E. silenicolata MABILLE, 1867
E. spissilineata (METZNER, 1846)

9. Filamentary – very small appendix bursae Figs 94-117

- E. alliaris* STAUDINGER, 1870
E. analoga DJAKONOV, 1926
E. dissertata (PÜNGELER, 1905)
E. gueneata MILLIÖRE, 1862
E. inturbata (HÜBNER, 1817)
E. lanceata (HÜBNER, 1825)
E. laquaearia HERRICH-SCHÄFFER, 1848
E. linariata (DENIS & SCHIFFERMÜLLER, 1775)
- E. phoeniceata* (RAMBUR, 1834)
E. pulchellata STEPHENS, 1831
E. pyreneata MABILLE, 1871
E. scopariata (RAMBUR, 1833)
E. silenata ASSMANN, 1848
E. sinuosaria (EVERSMANN, 1848)
E. virgaureata DOUBLEDAY, 1861

10. Odstupod ductus bursae Figs 118-124

- E. lariciata* (FREYER, 1841)
E. tenuiata (HÜBNER, 1813)
- E. tripunctaria* HERRICH-SCHÄFFER, 1852

List of the species in alphabetical classification

Species	Group	Figs
<i>E. abbreviata</i> STEPHENS, 1831	6	58
<i>E. abietaria</i> (GOEZE, 1781)	6	59 – 60
<i>E. absinthiata</i> (CLERCK, 1759)	2	15
<i>E. actaeata</i> WALDERDORFF, 1869	7	70 – 71
<i>E. alliaria</i> STAUDINGER, 1870	9	94
<i>E. analoga</i> DJAKONOV, 1926	9	95 – 96
<i>E. antalica</i> MIRONOV, 2001	2	16
<i>E. assimilata</i> DOUBLEDAY, 1856	3	34 – 35
<i>E. breviculata</i> (DONZEL, 1837)	6	61
<i>E. cauchiata</i> (DUPONCHEL, 1831)	2	17 – 18
<i>E. centaureata</i> (DENIS & SCHIFFERMÜLLER, 1775)	8	83 – 84
<i>E. cocciferata</i> MILLIÖRE, 1864	1	6
<i>E. denotata</i> (HÜBNER, 1813)	2	19
<i>E. denticulata</i> (TREITSCHKE, 1828)	1	7
<i>E. dissertata</i> (PÜNGELER, 1905)	9	97
<i>E. distinctaria</i> HERRICH-SCHÄFFER, 1848	8	85 – 86
<i>E. dodoneata</i> GUENÉE, 1857	2	20 – 21
<i>E. egenaria</i> HERRICH-SCHÄFFER, 1848	4	42
<i>E. ericeata</i> (RAMBUR, 1833)	6	62
<i>E. exiguata</i> (HÜBNER, 1813)	2	22
<i>E. expallidata</i> DOUBLEDAY, 1856	2	23
<i>E. extraversaria</i> HERRICH-SCHÄFFER, 1852	8	87 – 88
<i>E. gelidata</i> MÖSCHLER, 1860	5	48
<i>E. gemellata</i> HERRICH-SCHÄFFER, 1861	7	72 – 73
<i>E. graphata</i> (TREITSCHKE, 1828)	6	63 – 64
<i>E. gueneata</i> MILLIÖRE, 1862	9	98 – 99
<i>E. haworthiata</i> DOUBLEDAY, 1856	7	74 – 75
<i>E. icterata</i> (VILLERS, 1789)	1	8
<i>E. immundata</i> (LIENIG & ZELLER, 1846)	4	40

Species	Group	Figs
<i>E. impurata</i> (HÜBNER, 1813)	8	89 – 90
<i>E. indigata</i> (HÜBNER, 1813)	6	65
<i>E. innotata</i> (HUFNAGEL, 1767)	5	50 – 51
<i>E. insigniata</i> (HÜBNER, 1790)	2	24 – 25
<i>E. intricata</i> (ZETTERSTEDT, 1839)	2	26
<i>E. inturbata</i> (HÜBNER, 1817)	9	100 – 101
<i>E. irriguata</i> (HÜBNER, 1813)	6	66
<i>E. lanceata</i> (HÜBNER, 1825)	9	102
<i>E. laquaearia</i> HERRICH-SCHÄFFER, 1848	9	103 – 104
<i>E. lariciata</i> (FREYER, 1841)	10	118 – 119
<i>E. liniariata</i> (DENIS & SCHIFFERMÜLLER, 1775)	9	105 – 106
<i>E. millefoliata</i> RÖSSLER, 1866	2	27
<i>E. nanata</i> (HÜBNER, 1813)	5	52 – 53
<i>E. ochridata</i> SCHÜTZE & PINKER, 1968	5	54 – 56
<i>E. orphnata</i> W. PETERSEN, 1909	1	9
<i>E. oxycedrata</i> (RAMBUR, 1833)	6	67
<i>E. pauxillaria</i> BOISDUVAL, 1840	1	10
<i>E. phoeniceata</i> (RAMBUR, 1834)	9	107 – 108
<i>E. pimpinellata</i> (HÜBNER, 1813)	4	41
<i>E. plumbeolata</i> (HAWORTH, 1809)	4	44 – 45
<i>E. pulchellata</i> STEPHENS, 1831	9	109
<i>E. pusillata</i> (DENIS & SCHIFFERMÜLLER, 1775)	6	68
<i>E. pyreneata</i> MABILLE, 1871	9	110 – 111
<i>E. quercetica</i> PROUT, 1938	4	43
<i>E. reisserata</i> PINCER, 1976	2	28
<i>E. satyrata</i> (HÜBNER, 1813)	2	29 – 30
<i>E. scalptata</i> CHRISTOPH, 1885	7	76 – 77
<i>E. scopariata</i> (RAMBUR, 1833)	9	112
<i>E. selinata</i> HERRICH-SCHÄFFER, 1861	4	46 – 47

Species	Group	Figs
<i>E. semigraphata</i> BRUAND, 1850	1	11
<i>E. schiefereri</i> BOHATSCH, 1893	7	78 – 79
<i>E. silenata</i> ASSMANN, 1848	9	113 – 115
<i>E. silenicolata</i> MABILLE, 1867	8	91 – 92
<i>E. simpliciat</i> a (HAWORTH, 1809)	6	69
<i>E. sinuosaria</i> (EVERSMANN, 1848)	9	116
<i>E. spissilineata</i> (METZNER, 1846)	8	93
<i>E. subfuscata</i> (HAWORTH, 1809)	1	12
<i>E. subumbrata</i> (DENIS & SCHIFFERMÜLLER, 1775)	1	13
<i>E. succenturiata</i> (LINNAEUS, 1758)	1	14
<i>E. tantillaria</i> BOISDUVAL, 1840	2	31
<i>E. tenuiata</i> (HÜBNER, 1813)	10	120 – 121
<i>E. thalictrata</i> (PÜNGELER, 1902)	3	36 – 37
<i>E. tripunctaria</i> HERRICH-SCHÄFFER, 1852	10	122 – 124
<i>E. trisignaria</i> HERRICH-SCHÄFFER, 1848	5	49
<i>E. unedonata</i> (MABILLE, 1868)	7	80
<i>E. valerianata</i> (HÜBNER, 1813)	2	32 – 33
<i>E. venosata</i> (FABRICIUS, 1787)	7	81 – 82
<i>E. veratraria</i> HERRICH-SCHÄFFER, 1850	5	57
<i>E. virgaureata</i> DOUBLEDAY, 1861	9	117
<i>E. vulgata</i> (HAWORTH, 1809)	3	38 – 39

Acknowledgments

The authors are indebted to those who helped to bring together the material for this study: P. Číla, M. Dvořák, J. Jaroš, J. Liška, J. Marek, I. Novák, J. Skyva, B. Vodrlind, to the curators who gave us access to the museum collections and loaned some material: J. Šumpich and F. Krampfl, National Museum, Prague, Czech Republic. We are grateful to M. Jindra for the translation to English, to K. Mattasová from the Library of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic for the careful assistance with literature sources and finally to P. Kulich and J. Kudrna, Research Institute Brno, for their excellent technical help with the scanning electron microscope.

References

- BENNET N. (1929): The dissection and preparation of the genitalia of Lepidoptera. – *The Entomologist* **62**: 220-248.
- BOLTE K.B. (1990): Guide to the Geometridae of Canada. VI. Subfamily Larentiinae. 1. Revision of the genus *Eupithecia*. – *Memoirs of the Entomological Society of Canada* No. **151**: 1-253.
- DOUGDALE J.S. (1974): Female configuration in the classification of Lepidoptera. – *New Zealand Journal of Zoology* **1**: 127-146.
- DUNNOUGH Mc J.H. (1949): Revision of the North American Species of the Genus *Eupithecia* (Lepidoptera, Geometridae). – *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* **93**: 553-728.
- HAUSMANN A. (2001): *The Geometrid Moth of Europe. Introduction*. Apollo Books, Stenstrup, **1**: 281 pp.
- KRISTENSEN N.P. (2003): *Lepidoptera, moths and butterflies. Vol. 2: Morphology, physiology and development. 16. reproductive organs* pp. 427-447. – Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York.
- KUBÍN V. (2003): REM Untersuchungen an der Bursa copulatrix einiger *Eupithecia* Arten pp. 89-90. In: Ebert, G. (Ed.): *Die Schmetterlinge Baden-Württembergs* **9**. – Eugen Ulmer GmbH, Stuttgart.
- KUBÍN V. & J. PROCHÁZKA (2012): Uncus as an useful auxiliary device for the determination of the males of the genus *Eupithecia* CURTIS, 1825 (Lepidoptera, Geometridae). – *Entomofauna* **33**: 189-212.
- KUBÍN V. & J. PROCHÁZKA (2012): The importance of morphology of abdominal segment A8 for the determination of the males of genus *Eupithecia* CURTIS, 1825. – *Entomofauna* **33**: 213-272.
- KUBÍN V. & J. PROCHÁZKA (2014): The morphology of the aedeagus as a basic feature for the determination of the males of the genus *Eupithecia* CURTIS, 1825 (Lepidoptera, Geometridae). – *Entomofauna* **35**: 245-308.
- KUBÍN V. & J. PROCHÁZKA (2014): The processus ventralis and its applicability in the determination of the males of the genus *Eupithecia* CURTIS, 1825 (Lepidoptera, Geometridae). – *Entomofauna* **35**: 309-332.

- MIRONOV V. (2003): The Geometrid Moths of Europe, 4. Larentiinae II (Perizomini and Eupitheciini). – Apollo Books, Stenstrup Denmark, 463 pp.
- PETERSEN W. (1910): Ein Beitrag zur Kenntnis der Gattung *Eupithecia* CURT. – Deutsche Entomologische Zeitschrift *Iris* **22**: 203-313.
- SCOBLE M.J. (1995): The Lepidoptera form, function and diversity. 4. The adult abdomen: Segmentation and the genitalia. pp. 92-104. The Natural History Museum in association with Oxford University Press 404 pp.
- WEIGT H.J. (1987): Die Blütenspanner Mitteleuropas (Lepidoptera, Geometridae: Eupitheciini). Teil 1. Biologie der Blütenspanner – Dortmunder Beiträge zur Landeskunde **21**: 5-57.
- WEIGT H.J. (1988): Die Blütenspanner Mitteleuropas (Lepidoptera, Geometridae: Eupitheciini) Teil 2: *Gymnoscelis rufifasciata* bis *Eupithecia insigniata*. – Dortmunder Beiträge zur Landeskunde **22**: 5-81.
- WEIGT H.J. (1990): Die Blütenspanner Mitteleuropas (Lepidoptera, Geometridae: Eupitheciini) Teil 3: *Eupithecia sinuosaria* bis *pernotata*. – Dortmunder Beiträge zur Landeskunde **24**: 5-100.
- WEIGT H.J. (1991): Die Blütenspanner Mitteleuropas (Lepidoptera, Geometridae: Eupitheciini) Teil 4: *Eupithecia satyrata* bis *indigata*. – Dortmunder Beiträge zur Landeskunde **25**: 5-106.
- WEIGT H.J. (1993): Die Blütenspanner Mitteleuropas (Lepidoptera, Geometridae: Eupitheciini) Teil 5: *Eupithecia pimpinellata* bis *lanceata*. – Dortmunder Beiträge zur Landeskunde **27**: 5-108.
- WILLIAMS J.L. (1943): A new relationship of the Bursa copulatrix to the female reproductive system in Lepidoptera – Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington **45**: 45-50.

Authors' addresses:

Vladimir KUBÍN
V rovinách 14
Praha 4
140 00
Czech Republic
E-mail: morfologievk@seznam.cz

Josef PROCHÁZKA
Za Potokem 372
Nižbor
267 05
Czech Republic
E-mail: prochazka.nizbor@seznam.cz

Druck, Eigentümer, Herausgeber, Verleger und für den Inhalt verantwortlich:
Maximilian SCHWARZ, Konsulent f. Wissenschaft der Oberösterreichischen Landesregierung, Eibenweg 6,
A-4052 Ansfelden, Austria; maximilian.schwarz@liwest.at.

Redaktion: Fritz GUSENLEITNER, Biologiezentrum Linz, f.gusenleitner@landesmuseum.at
Roland GERSTMEIER, Lehrstuhl f. Zoologie, TU München, gerstmei@wzw.tum.de
Thomas WITT, Tengstraße 33, D-80796 München, thomas@witt-thomas.com
Berthold CLEWING, Akademischer Verlag München, avm@druckmedien.de
Harald SULAK, Museum Witt München, h.sulak@atelier-sulak.de

Mitarbeiter: Karin TRAXLER, Biologiezentrum Linz, bio.redaktion@landesmuseum.at
Heike REICHERT, Museum Witt München, heike_reichert66@web.de
Erich DILLER, Zool. Staatssammlung München, Erich.Diller@zsm.mwn.de

Adresse: Entomofauna, Redaktion und Schriftentausch Thomas WITT, c/o Museum Witt München,
Tengstr. 33, 80796 München, Deutschland, thomas@witt-thomas.com
Entomofauna, Redaktion c/o Fritz GUSENLEITNER, Lungitzerstr. 51, 4222 St. Georgen/Gusen,
Austria, f.gusenleitner@landesmuseum.at.

ZOBODAT - www.zobodat.at

Zoologisch-Botanische Datenbank/Zoological-Botanical Database

Digitale Literatur/Digital Literature

Zeitschrift/Journal: [Entomofauna](#)

Jahr/Year: 2017

Band/Volume: [0038](#)

Autor(en)/Author(s): Kubin Vladimir, Prochazka Josef

Artikel/Article: [Morphology of appendix bursae as an auxiliary criterion in the determination of females of genus Eupithecia Curtis, 1825 \(Lepidoptera, Geometridae\) 301-312](#)