Preliminary Checklist of the Names of the Worldwide Genus Antheraea HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816") (Lepidoptera: Saturniidae)

Part I

ULRICH PAUKSTADT, ULRICH BROSCH

&

LAELA HAYATI PAUKSTADT

galathea - Berichte des Kreises Nürnberger Entomologen e. V Supplement 9

Nürnberg August 2000

©Kreis Nürnberger Entomol Contents ter www.biologiezentrum.at

Zusammenfassung	3
Key Words	
Introduction	
	5
Chapter I	
Checklist of names above generic-group names	
Checklist of generic-group names	
This bugging miner and mobile R, 1017 (1010)	7
Second Subgenus Antheraeopsis WOOD-MASON, 1886	9
	9
Checklist of species-group names 1	0
First subgenus Antheraea HUBNER, 1819 ("1816") 1	
The mylitta/frithi-group 1	1
The mylitta-subgroup1	
The frithi-subgroup 1	
The cordifolia-subgroup1	
The rosieri-group	
The <i>helferi</i> -group	
The <i>helferi</i> -subgroup	
The yamamai-subgroup1	
The <i>pernyi</i> -group	
Second subgenus Antheraeopsis WOOD-MASON, 1886	
The castanea-group	
The youngi-group	
Third subgenus Telca HUBNER, 1819 ("1816")	
Chapter II	_
Taxa erroneously placed in Antheraea HUBNER, 1819 ("1816")2	-
Indo-Australian Region	
African Region	
American Region	6
Chapter III	
Hybrid names in the genus Antheraea HUBNER, 1819 ("1816")	8
Inter-generic pairings	
Inter-specific pairings	
Inter-subspecific pairings	
Inter-sexual pairings	
more becam pulliport to the transferrent to th	~

CKreis Nümberger Entomologen: download unter www.biologiezentrum.at Zusammenfassung: Im folgenden Beitrag präsentieren wir erstmals komplette Listen der uns für das weltweit verbreitete Genus Antheraea HUBNER, 1819 ("1816") (Lepidoptera: Saturniidae) aus der Literatur und anderen Quellen bekannten Namen. Die Zusammenstellungen basieren auf unsere intensiven Studien der Gattung Antheraea (Eichenseidenspinner) mit ihren Untergattungen Antheraea HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816"), Antheraeopsis WOOD-MASON, 1886 und Telea HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816"). Wir möchten an dieser Stelle darauf hinweisen, dass uns bis heute trotz mehrjähriger intensiver Recherchen nicht sämtliche Schriften zu den Antheraea vorliegen. Weitere Namen. insbesondere auch Namen auf Etiketten in Museumssammlungen und Manuskriptnamen, könnten deshalb in Zukunft noch gefunden werden. In diesem Beitrag werden Zitate, zu denen uns bisher keine Primärliteratur vorlag, mit einem Sternchen '*' gekennzeichnet. Die Publikationsdaten zu Herrich-Schäffer (1850-1858) wurden wegen fehlender Einbände aus Sekundärliteratur übernommen, vgl. Fletcher, in Nye (1979). Bei einigen wenigen der hier zitierten Namen scheint die Zugehörigkeit zur angegebenen Untergattung und/oder einer der vorläufig eingerichteten Artengruppen, gelegentlich sogar selbst zur Gattung absolut sicher zu sein. Unsere diesbezüglichen Antheraea nicht Untersuchungen sind noch nicht abgeschlossen; die Ergebnisse werden in weiteren Einzelpublikationen und abschließend in einer in Arbeit befindlichen Monographie über das Genus Antheraea veröffentlicht.

Die vorliegende Checkliste gliedert sich zur besseren Übersicht in zwei Teile mit insgesamt fünf Abschnitten. Der Teil I beinhaltet drei Abschnitte. Im Abschnitt I werden alle Gattungs- und Untergattungsnamen, Gruppenund Untergruppennamen, sowie Art- und Unterartnamen aufgelistet, die heute der Gattung Antheraea zugeordnet werden. Die in diesem Beitrag von uns durchgeführte Einteilung in Arten-Gruppen und Arten-Untergruppen geschieht zur besseren Übersicht und stellt nur eine vorläufige Einteilung dar. Wir folgen hier mit kleinen Modifikationen weitgehend Nässig (1991). Ebenso wie bei Nässig (1991) basieren die Gruppenbildungen in der Regel auf keine validen Beschreibungen gemäß den Anforderungen des ICZN (1999). Neben einer Auflistung der uns aus der Literatur oder anderen Quellen bekannten Namen werden zusätzliche Bemerkungen zum augenblicklichen taxonomischen Status gemacht. Bei Zitaten von Erstbeschreibungen wird auf Irrtümer im Publikationsjahr und bei Autorennamen hingewiesen: insbesondere werden auch die zahlreich vorhandenen falschen Schreibweisen von wissenschaftlichen Namen, sowie die der Autorennamen aufgelistet. Im Abschnitt II werden sämtliche Namen

präsentiert, die jemals irrtümlich der Gattung Antheraea zugeordnet wurden. Die Scheckliste ist nach den heute gebräuchlichen Gattungsnamen, sowie der geographischen Verbreitung der Taxa gegliedert. Infrasubspezifische Namen werden ebenso aufgelistet, wie alle uns aus der Literatur bekannten falschen Schreibweisen von Namen. Ferner werden auch hier Bemerkungen zum augenblicklichen taxonomischen Status der Namen gemacht. Sämtliche bekannten Hybridnamen der Gattung Antheraea werden im Abschnitt III genannt. Es werden Angaben zu allen uns aus der Literatur oder sonstigen inter-generischen, inter-spezifischen Ouellen bekannten und inter-Paarungen gemacht. Für Hvbridnamen subspezifischen treffen die Regelungen des ICZN nicht zu, vergl. ICZN (1999) Art. 1.3. Der in Arbeit befindliche Teil II dieses Beitrages zur Kenntnis der Gattung Antheraea befasst sich mit den Volksmundnamen, sowie einer umfassenden Liste mit Antheraea-relevanter Literatur.

Die in diesem Beitrag gemachten taxonomischen Änderungen und Bemerkungen wurden aus unserer in Arbeit befindlichen Monographie 'An Introduction to the Genus Antheraea HOBNER, 1819 ("1816") (Lepidoptera: Saturniidae)' zusammengestellt und vorab publiziert, um sie verfügbar zu machen, um noch vorhandene Lücken im Kenntnisstand aufzuzeigen und um auch die Gelegenheit zu weiteren diesbezüglichen Diskussionen zu geben. Weitere Artikel zur Kenntnis der Gattung Antheraea, einschließlich der Beschreibungen neuer Taxa, sind durch uns in Vorbereitung. Für die Fertigstellung der Monographie sind wir weiterhin auf die Hilfe von Institutionen und Entomologen angewiesen; jede gewährte Hilfe wird von uns dankbar anerkannt.

Wir möchten an dieser Stelle besonders darauf hinweisen, dass die in diesem Beitrag durchgeführte Einteilung der Taxa der Gattung Antheraea in Untergattungen, Artengruppen und Unterartengruppen nur vorläufig zur besseren Übersicht geschieht und deren Errichtung in der Regel nicht mit den Regeln und/oder Empfehlungen des ICZN (1999) zur gültigen Beschreibung von Gruppen-Namen übereinstimmt. In der Anwendung der Gruppen-Namen folgen wir in leicht abgewandelter Form weitgehend Nässig (1991).

Key Words: Lepidoptera, Saturniidae, Antheraea, Telea, Antheraeopsis, imeldae, philippirissa, yunnanensis, tuxtlasensis, sergestus, Gonimbrasia, Nudaurelia, checklist, hybrid names, taxonomy, nomenclatural acts.

In the following checklist all names, which are presently known from literature for the worldwide genus Antheraea HUBNER, 1819 ("1816") (Lepidoptera: Saturniidae) are presented. This contribution based on our studies on the genus Antheraea (wild oak silkmoths) with its subgenera Antheraea HUBNER, 1819 ("1816"), Antheraeopsis WOOD-MASON, 1886, and Telea HUBNER, 1819 ("1816"). We have to point out that some important literature on the genus Antheraea is not present in our library thus far. Therefore further names from literature, pin-labels in museum collections, and manuscript names could be found in future. In this contribution all citations which were not based on primary literature are marked with an asterix "*" Publication dates of Herrich-Schäffer (1850-1858) were selected from secondary literature due to missing wrappers in German libraries, cf. Fletcher, in Nye (1979). A few names still might be cited in the wrong subgenus or in one of the temporary established speciesgroup, or even might be still erroneously combined with the genus Antheraea. Our studies are still not completed, further results are finally published in our monograph on the genus Antheraea.

The checklist is subdivided into four parts. In Part I of the checklist all names are listed which are presently applied to the genus Antheraea. The list is subdivided into generic and subgeneric names, collective-group names, and species-group names. We have to point out that collective-group names used in this contribution were established tentative for certain assemblages of taxonomic convenience, they mostly do not comply with the requirements for a valid description according to the provisions of the ICZN (1999). In the application of group names we follow NASSIG (1991) with small modifications by us. Additionally to the listing of names known from literature and other sources, remarks on the present taxonomic status of the names are made. Incorrect subsequent spellings of scientific names are listed. Errors in publication date and authorship, as well as misspellings of author's names are noted. Part II deals with those names which were ever erroneously applied to the genus Antheraea. The names in this checklist are subdivided under the generic names presently in use for the taxon in question and its continental distribution. Infrasubspecific names are listed, as well as complete incorrect subsequent spellings of names, as far as they were applied to the genus Antheraea. Furthermore remarks on the present taxonomic status are made. Hybrid names are listed in Part III of this contribution. Complete data on inter-generic, inter-specific and inter-subspecific pairings

of which at least one of the parents belongs to the genus Antheraea are presented. We have to point out that names proposed for hybrids are excluded from the provisions of the ICZN (1999) Art. 1.3.3. A list of presently available literature, which is in the private library of U. BROSCH and in the private library of U. & L. H. PAUKSTADT, dealing with taxa in the genus Antheraea is presented in Part II.

Taxonomic revisions and remarks, which are included here were selected from the monograph 'U. PAUKSTADT, BROSCH & L. H. PAUKSTADT: An Introduction to the Genus Antheraea HUBNER, 1819 ("1816") (Lepidoptera: Saturniidae)', which is in preparation. We have decided to publish the checklist prior to the monograph due to a considerable delay of the latter. Therefore our nomenclatural acts and taxonomic remarks become available and all information on the names in the genus Antheraea is provided for further discussions. Further contributions on the genus Antheraea, including descriptions of new taxa are in preparation by us. To complete on the monograph of the Antheraea further assistance by institutions and entomologists is required and any help is highly appreciated by us.

We have to point out that the collective-group names used in this contribution were established tentative for certain assemblages of taxonomic convenience only, they do not comply with the requirements for a valid description according to the provisions of the ICZN (1999). In the application of group-names we mostly follow NASSIG (1991) with small modifications by us.

©Kreis Nümberger Entorchen; download unir www.biologiezentrum

Names above generic-group names, generic-group names, and species-group names applied to the genus *Antheraea* HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816")

Checklist of names above generic-group names

Superfamily Bombycoidea LATREILLE, 1802 Family Saturniidae BOISDUVAL, 1837 ("1834") Attacidae: Grote (1902) [erroneous combination with Antheraea HUBNER, 1819 ("1816")] Syssphingidae: Draudt, in Seitz (1930) [erroneous combination with Antheraea HUBNER, 1819 ("1816")] Subfamily Saturniinae BOISDUVAL, 1837 ("1834") Plectropteroninae HUTTON, 1869 [proposed subfamily for the genera Antheraea HUBNER, 1819 ("1816") and Actias LEACH, 1815] Attacinae: Grote (1902) [erroneous combination with Antheraea HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816")] Arsenurinae JORDAN: Draudt, in Seitz (1930) [erroneous combination with Antheraea HUBNER, 1819 ("1816")] Syssphinginae DRAUDT: Testout (1941) [erroneous combination with Antheraea HUBNER, 1819 ("1816")] Tribe Saturniini BOISDUVAL, 1837 ("1834")

Checklist of generic-group names

Antheraea HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816")

 Antheraea HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816") [considered to be a subgenus of Antheraea HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816")]
 Antheraea HÜBNER, 1822; Karsch (1892) [error in publication date]
 Antheraea HÜBNER, 1822?; Kirby (1897) [error in publication date]
 Antheraea HÜBNER, 1818-25; Moore (1883) [error in publication date]
 Antheraea; Naumann (1995) [incorrect subsequent spelling] Anteraea; Sonthonnax (1904) [incorrect subsequent spelling]

Anthaera; Watkins (1881) [incorrect subsequent spelling]

Anthaerea; Fallou (1883) [incorrect subsequent spelling]

Anthela; D'Abrera (1974) [incorrect subsequent spelling; misinterpretation]

Antheraeae; Gosse (1879) [incorrect subsequent spelling]

Antherarea; Schüssler, in Strand (1934) [incorrect subsequent spelling]

Antherea; Herrich-Schäffer (1858 ["1850-1858"]) [incorrect subsequent spelling]

Antheroea; Walker (1862)*, cf. Bouyer (1999) [incorrect subsequent spelling]

Antheraea [Saturnia]; Simmonds (1869) [misinterpretation] Anthraea; Jolly (1980) [incorrect subsequent spelling] Artheraea; Kirby (1892) [incorrect subsequent spelling]

Attacus; Cramer (1776)

Attacus; Walker (1855) [erroneous combination]

Athacus; Chu & Wang (1993) [citation, incorrect subsequent spelling]

Bombyx [part.]; Seba (1765)

Bombix; Guérin-Méneville (1855) [erroneous combination; incorrect subsequent spelling]

Caligula; Kirby (1892) [erroneous combination]

Carmenta WEYMER, 1906 [junior homonym of Carmenta EDWARDS, 1881 - Lepid., Sesiidae; Carmenta was rejected as a junior synonym of Antheraea HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816") by Holloway (1987), no new substitute name to be established, cf. ICZN (1999) Art. 60.1.]

Cricula [part.]; Walker (1855) [erroneous combination]

Loepa [part.]; Walker (1855) [erroneous combination]

Loepa; Hutton, in Wailly (1881) [erroneous combination]

Loepantheraea TOXOPEUS, 1940 [junior synonym]

Loepantheraea ROEPKE; Holloway (1987) [error in authorship] Phalaena Attacus; Moore (1862) [erroneous combination] Phalaena Bombyx; Linnaeus (1758)

Phalena; Linnaeus (1758) [incorrect subsequent spelling] Phalaena Bombyx A.[ttacos]; Gmelin (1790)

Phalaena (Attacus) (Saturnia); Roxburgh (1804)

Phalaena (Saturnia); Hutton (1856) [erroneous combination]

Salassa [part.]; Walker (1855) [erroneous combination]

Saturnia; Westwood (1848) [erroneous combination] Syntheraea [part.]; Walker (1855) [erroneous combination]

Antheraeopsis WOOD-MASON, 1886 [established on generic level, now considered to be a subgenus of Antheraea HUBNER, 1819 ("1816")]

Antheraeopsis WOOD-MASON, 1866; Nässig (1991) [error in publication date]

Antheraeopsis WODD-MASON, 1866; Vinciguerra & Racheli (1996) [misspelling of Wood-Mason; error in publication date]

- Anthaeraeopsis; Lemaire, in Heppner (ed.) (1996) [incorrect subsequent spelling]
- Anthaeraeopsis; Heppner (ed.) (1996) [incorrect subsequent spelling]
- Antheraeaopsis; Nässig, Lampe & Kager (1996) [incorrect subsequent spelling]

Attacus; Wardle (1881) [erroneous combination]

Bombyx; Guérin-Méneville (1855) [erroneous combination]

Caligula; Kirby (1892) [erroneous combination]

Saturnia; Helfer (1837) [erroneous combination]

Saturmia; Sonan (1937) [erroneous combination; incorrect subsequent spelling]

Telea HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816") [established on generic level, now considered to be a subgenus of Antheraea HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816")]

Telea HUBNER, (1822?); Kirby (1892) [error in publication date] Telea HUBNER, 1816 (1822?); Grote (1896) [error in publication date]

Telea HERRICH-SCHÄFFER; Boisduval (1869) [error in authorship]

Thelea: Gauckler (1898) [incorrect subsequent spelling]

Attacus; Cockerell, in Packard (1914) [erroneous citation of Cramer (1776)]

- Phalaena Arcuata PERRY, 1811*, cf. Kirby (1892) [junior objective synonym of Attacus LINNAEUS, 1767]
- Bombyx [part.]; Fabricius (1781)
- Callosamia [part.]; Thomson (1892) [erroneous combination]

Metosamia DRUCE, 1892 [junior synonym of Telea HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816")]

Phalaena; Catesby (1743) [pre-1758 name; not available] Phalaena Attac.[us?]; Cramer (1776) Phalaena-Bombyx Attacus; Walker (1855) [erroneous citation of Cramer (1776)]
Phalaena Bombyx A.[ttacos]; Gmelin (1790) [incorrect subsequent spelling of Attacus LINNAEUS, 1767]
Phalaena-Bombyx Attacus; Walker (1855) [erroneous citation of Gmelin (1790)]
Samia [part.]; Druce (1886) [erroneous combination]
Saturnia; Helfer (1837) [erroneous combination]

Checklist of species-group names

First subgenus: Antheraea HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816")

Verzeichniss bekannter Schmettlinge: p. 152.

Type-species: *Phalaena mylitta* DRURY, 1773 by subsequent designation by Kirby (1892).

The type-species was considered to be a junior synonym of A. paphia (LINNAEUS, 1758) by nearly all authors.

I. mylitta/frithi-group (sensu U. Paukstadt, Brosch & L. H. Paukstadt 1999)

paphia/frithi-group (sensu Nässig 1991) [senior synonym]

I.a) mylitta-subgroup (sensu U. Paukstadt, Brosch & L. H. Paukstadt 1999)

paphia-subgroup (sensu Nässig 1991) [senior synonym]

I.b) frithi-subgroup (sensu Nässig 1991)

I.c) cordifolia-subgroup (sensu Holloway, Naumann & Nässig 1996)

II. rosieri-group (sensu Nässig 1991)

Loepantheraea-group NASSIG, 1991 [published in synonymy of an invalid collective group-name]

III. helferi-group (sensu Nässig 1991)

III.a) helferi-subgroup (sensu U. Paukstadt, L. H. Paukstadt & Brosch 1998)

2nd unnamed subgroup (sensu Holloway, Nässig & Naumann 1995)

III.b) yamamai-subgroup (sensu U. Paukstadt, L. H. Paukstadt & Brosch 1998)

1st unnamed subgroup (sensu Holloway, Nässig & Naumann 1995) IV. pernyi-group (sensu Nässig 1991)

I. The mylitta/frithi-group

I.a) The mylitta-subgroup of the mylitta/frithi-group

Antheraea (A.) mylitta (DRURY, 1773)

mvlitta FABRICIUS: Guérin-Méneville (1855) [error in authorship] mylitta GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE; Stone (1991) [error in authorship] anylitta; Grote (1903) [incorrect subsequent spelling] melytha; Schneider (1785) [incorrect subsequent spelling] militta; Kato (1994) [incorrect subsequent spelling] mulitta; Gmelin (1790) [incorrect subsequent spelling] myiitta; Jolly (1980) [incorrect subsequent spelling] myletta; Sykes (1834) [incorrect subsequent spelling] mylilta; Wailly (1881) [incorrect subsequent spelling] mylitte; Voigt (1840) [incorrect subsequent spelling] myllita; Anonym (1856); Journ. Agric. Hort. Soc. India, IX (2): 63. [incorrect subsequent spelling] mylissa; Dohrn (1876) [incorrect subsequent spelling] mylittae; C. Felder & R. Felder (1861) [incorrect subsequent spelling] lutea VON FROREICH, 1942 [infrasubspecific] sivalensis HUTTON, 1861 [nomen mudum] sivaiica; Jolly (1980) [incorrect subsequent spelling] sivalica: Hutton. in Wailly (1881) [likely incorrect subsequent spelling, cited as species of Loepa; further research on the status of *sivalica* is necessary] sivalica; Moore (1888) [incorrect subsequent spelling] savalica; Swinhoe & Cotes (1889) [incorrect subsequent spelling] nebulosa HUTTON, 1869 [junior synonym] nebulosa HUTTON, MOORE; Hampson, in Blanford (1893 ["1892"]) [error in authorship] fraterna MOORE, 1888 [junior synonym] lobifera (Moore i.l.) SONTHONNAX, 1897 [published in synonymy] duplexa (Moore i.l.) SONTHONNAX, 1897 [published in synonymy] distorta (Moore i.l.) SONTHONNAX, 1897 [published in synonymy] modesta (Moore i.l.) SONTHONNAX, 1897 [published in synonymy] ochripicta MOORE, 1892 [junior synonym] olivescens MOORE, 1892 [junior synonym] pulchra MOORE, 1892 [junior synonym] fasciata MOORE, 1892 [junior synonym] versicolor MOORE, 1892 [junior synonym]

paphia LINNAEUS, 1767 [partim] [junior homonym of paphia LINNAEUS, 1758 partim] paphia LINNAEUS, 1767; Cramer (1777) [error in publication] paphia sensu auctorum, nec LINNAEUS, 1758 [misinterpretation] pahia; Seitz (1928) [incorrect subsequent spelling] raphia; Guérin-Méneville, (1855) [incorrect subsequent spelling] tussah; Rondot (1887) [misinterpretation (vernacular name)] Antheraea (A.) cingalesa MOORE 1883 ("1882–1883") [unclear status, ?subspecies of mylitta] cingalesa MOORE 1882–1883; Arora & Gupta (1979) [error in publication date]

singhalesa; Staudinger, in Romanoff (1892) [incorrect subsequent spelling]

cinyalesa; Schüssler, in Strand (1936) [incorrect subsequent spelling]

1b) The frithi-subgroup of the mylitta/frithi-group

Antheraea (A.) frithi frithi MOORE, 1859
frithii; Moore (1860) [incorrect subsequent spelling]
frithi MOORE, 1858; Moore (1859) [error in publication date]
frithi MOORE 1858-1859; Arora & Gupta (1979) [error in publication date]
frithil; Jolly (1980) [incorrect subsequent spelling]
frythi; Paukstadt, U., Paukstadt, L. H. & Naumann (2000) [incorrect subsequent spelling]
Antheraea (A.) frithi falloui SCHÜSSLER in Strand 1933 [species inquirenda]
Antheraea (A.) frithi confusa NIEPELT, 1932 [species inquirenda]
Antheraea (A.) frithi tonkinensis BOUVIER, 1936 [likely a distinct species]
Antheraea (Antheraea) pedunculata BOUVIER, 1936 [unclear status] pedunculatus; Bouvier (1936) [incorrect original spelling, second of a multiple original spelling]
Antheraea (A.) crypta CHU & WANG, 1993 [doubtful] caypta; Chu & Wang (1993) [incorrect subsequent spelling]
Antheraea (A.) perrottetii (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1843) [species inquirenda]

perotteti; Moore (1859) [incorrect subsequent spelling] perotteti; Wardle (1879) [incorrect subsequent spelling] perottetti; Arora & Gupta (1979) [incorrect subsequent spelling]

Antheraea (A.) larissoides BOUVIER, 1928 [unclear status]

Antheraea (A.) ulrichbroschi U. PAUKSTADT & L. H. PAUKSTADT, 1999

celebensis sensu Lampe nec Watson, 1915 (1984) [unclear identity, eventually more than one taxon, [unclear identity, the subordination to *ulrichbroschi* is preliminary, our studies are not yet completed]

Antheraea (A.) steinkeorum U. PAUKSTADT, BROSCH & L. H. PAUKSTADT, 1999

Antheraea (A.) harndti NAUMANN, 1999

Antheraea (A.) rumphii rumphii C. Felder, 1861 rumphii BOISDUVAL, 1834 [nomen nudum] rumphii BOISDUVAL, 1834; Schüssler, in Strand (1933) [error in authorship] "an insect very nearly allied to *paphia*" (unnamed); Moore (1859) "figure of Rumphius" (unnamed); Kirby (1892) rumphi; W Rothschild, 1895 [incorrect subsequent spelling] rumphei; Seitz (1928) [incorrect subsequent spelling] ceramensis BOUVIER, 1930 [junior synonym] buruensis BOUVIER, 1928 [unclear status; presently considered a junior synonym of rumphii C. FELDER, 1861] Antheraea (A.) celebensis WATSON, 1915 [unclear status; likely a subspecies of rumphii C. FELDER, 1861] celebensis W. & S.; Jolly, Sen, Sonwalkar & Prasad (1979) [error in authorship] Antheraea (A.) pelengensis BRECHLIN, 2000 [most probably a junior synonym of celebensis WATSON, 1915] Antheraea (A.) semperi semperi C. & R. FELDER, 1861 semperi FELDER, 1861; Kirby (1892) [error in authorship] Antheraea (A.) semperi noeli Nässig & TREADAWAY, 1998 Antheraea (A.) gulata NÄSSIG & TREADAWAY, 1998 Antheraea (A.) brunei ALLEN & HOLLOWAY, 1986 ("1985") [publication date needs further investigation] brunei ALLEN & HOLLOWAY, 1985; Holloway (1987) [error in publication date]

Antheraea (A.) platessa platessa W. ROTHSCHILD, 1903
 platesse; Schüssler (1933) [incorrect subsequent spelling]
 fusca W ROTHSCHILD, 1903 [presently treated as junior synonym; status needs further research]
 dempoensis Toxopeus, [i.l.?] [?nomen nudum; name found on various pin-label (type label) in different museums, original description not found thus far; Toxopeus erroneusly combined dempoensis as subspecies with lugubris (pernvi-group), but

the museum material clearly belongs to the *platessa*-complex]

Antheraea (A.) platessa ornata BOUVIER, 1929 [unclear status]

Antheraea (A.) raffrayi BOUVIER, 1928 [unclear status]

Antheraea (A.) ranakaensis U. PAUKSTADT, L. H. PAUKSTADT & SUHARDJONO, 1997

"rumphii subspec. nov. ?" (unnamed); van Eecke (1933)

Antheraea (A.) schroederi U. PAUKSTADT, BROSCH & L. H. PAUKSTADT, 1999

Antheraea (A.) andamana MOORE, 1877 [unclear status]

Antheraea (A.) insularis WATSON, 1914 [unclear status]

Antheraea (A.) gschwandneri gschwandneri NIEPELT, 1918

- Antheraea (A.) gschwandneri zwicki NÄSSIG & TREADAWAY, 1998 [combination with gschwandneri NIEPELT, 1918 doubtful]
 - celebensis sensu Holloway nec Watson, 1915 (1987) [unclear identity, the subordination to zwicki is preliminary, our studies are not yet completed]

samarindana Toxopeus, [i. 1.?] [?nomen nudum, name on pin-label of proposed type material found in different museums, description not found thus far, the subordination to zwicki is preliminary, our studies are not yet completed]

Antheraea (A.) myanmarensis U. PAUKSTADT, L. H. PAUKSTADT & BROSCH, 1998 [unclear status, ?subspecies of gschwandneri NIEPELT, 1918]

Antheraea (A.) moultoni WATSON, 1927 [unclear status]

Antheraea (A.) jana (STOLL, 1782) jana CRAMER; Guérin-Méneville (1855) [error in authorship] jana STOLL, 1872; Naumann (1995) [error in publication date] jana HOLLAND; Bouvier 1928 [error in authorship] surakarta MOORE, 1892 [junior synonym] surakarta MOORE, 1862 [nomen mudum] surakarta MOORE, 1862; Nässig (1992) [error in original description]

Antheraea (A.) sumatrana NIEPELT, 1926 prelarissa BOUVIER, 1928 [junior synonym] mylittoides BOUVIER, 1928 [junior synonym]

Antheraea (A.) subcaeca BOUVIER, 1928 [unclear status] subcaeca AURIVILLIUS; Leefmans (1930) [error in authorship]

Antheraea (A.) pasteuri BOUVIER, 1928 niepelti BOUVIER, 1928 [nomen nudum]

Antheraea (A.) alleni HOLLOWAY, 1987 alleni HOLLOWAY, 1989; Naumann (1995) [error in publication date]

Antheraea (A.) billitonensis MOORE, 1878 [unclear status]

Antheraea (A.) gephyra NIEPELT, 1926 [species inquirenda]

Antheraea (A.) kelimutuensis U. PAUKSTADT, L. H. PAUKSTADT & SUHARDJONO, 1997

lainnya; U. PAUKSTADT, L. H. PAUKSTADT & SUHARDJONO (1997) [nomen nudum]

Antheraea (A.) larissa larissa (WESTWOOD, 1847)

larissa (WESTWOOD, 1848); Pagenstecher (1890) [error in publication date]

larissa (LEMAIRE, 1978); Balcázar Lara (1991) [error in authorship] larisa; Silbermann (1897) [incorrect subsequent spelling]

latissa; Walker (1855) [incorrect subsequent spelling]

javanensis BOUVIER, 1928 [junior synonym of larissa and senior homonym of Antheraea helferi javanensis BOUVIER, 1930] javaensis; Niepelt (1932) [identity not clear; incorrect subsequent

spelling]

javensis; Leefmans (1930) [incorrect subsequent spelling]

Antheraea (A.) larissa ridlyi MOORE, 1892 ridlyi [sic]; Nässig & Treadaway, (1998) [erroneously cited as incorrect subsequent spelling] ridleyi; Seitz (1928) [incorrect subsequent spelling] delegata SWINHOE, 1893 [junior synonym]

Antheraea (A.) mindoroensis BROSCH & PAUKSTADT, in Paukstadt & Brosch, 1996

philippirissa TREADAWAY & NÄSSIG, 1997 syn. nov. [new synonym of mindoroensis; this taxonomic act resulted on comparative studies of plenty of material in different collections originated from different locations of Southeast Asia, including several Philippine islands. We found that the variability of *philippirissa* is within the range of the variability of *mindoroensis*. Significant constant differences are lacking.] "Antheraea unnamed" (Mentawai Isl.); Nässig, Lampe & Kager (1996)

I.c) The cordifolia-subgroup of the paphia/frithi-group

Antheraea (A.) cordifolia WEYMER, 1906 Antheraea fickei WEYMER, 1909 [junior synonym] fickey; Holloway, Naumann & Nässig (1996) [citation of misspelling on pin-label] Antheraea (A.) minahassae NIEPELT, 1926 minnahassae; Bouvier (1929) [incorrect subsequent spelling] Antheraea (A.) paukstadtorum NAUMANN, HOLLOWAY & NÄSSIG in Holloway, Naumann & Nässig, 1996 "spec. nov. 1" [part.] (unnamed): Naumann (1995) Antheraea (A.) kageri U. PAUKSTADT, L. H. PAUKSTADT & SUHARDJONO, 1997 "spec. nov. 1" [part.] (unnamed); Naumann (1995) Antheraea (A.) taripaensis NAUMANN, NÄSSIG & HOLLOWAY in Holloway, Naumann & Nässig, 1996 "spec. nov. 2" (unnamed); Naumann (1995) Antheraea (A.) viridiscura HOLLOWAY, NÄSSIG & NAUMANN in Holloway, Naumann & Nässig, 1996 "spec. nov. 3" (unnamed); Naumann (1995) Antheraea (A.) exspectata BRECHLIN, 2000 Antheraea (A.) "female species of unclear status" (unnamed); Naumann (1995) [unclear status of the female specimens, there is no proof that the females belong to exspectata]

II. The *rosieri*-group^r Entomologen; download unter www.biologiezentrum.a The *Loepantheraea*-group NÄSSIG, 1991

Antheraea (A.) rosieri rosieri TOXOPEUS, 1940

rosierae; Nässig & Treadaway 1998 [proposed hypothetical emendation for the case that further studies might reveal that the taxon was described in honour of a women instead of a man]
imeldae NÄSSIG & TREADAWAY, 1998 syn. nov. [junior synonym of rosieri. This taxonomic act resulted on comparative studies of plenty of material in different collections originated from different locations of Southeast Asia. We found that the variability of imeldae is within the range of the variability of rosieri. Significant constant differences are lacking.]
"rosieri ssp.?" (unnamed subspecies); Nässig & Treadaway (1998)

III. The *helferi*-group III.a) The *helferi*-subgroup of the *helferi*-group

Antheraea (A.) helferi helferi MOORE, 1859

helferi MOORE, 1858; Moore (1859) [error in publication date] helferi MOORE in Horsfield & Moore, [1860] 1858/59; Nässig, Lampe & Kager (1996) [error in publication date]

helferi MOORE, 1858-1859; Arora & Gupta (1979) [error in publication date]

helferi MOORE, 1892; Naumann & Nässig (1998) [error in publication date]

Antheraea (A.) helferi borneensis MOORE, 1892 [species inquirenda, presently borneensis is considered to be a subspecies of helferi]

Antheraea (A.) imperator WATSON, 1913

imperater; Toxopeus (1940) [incorrect subsequent spelling] *javanensis* BOUVIER, 1930 [junior homonym of *Antheraea frithi javanensis* BOUVIER, 1928, Lepid., Saturniidae; the junior homonym *javanensis* BOUVIER, 1930 was rejected as a junior synonym of *helferi imperator* WATSON, 1913 by Toxopeus (1940), no new substitute name to be established, cf. ICZN (1999) Art. 60.1.] javaensis; Niepelt (1932) [identity not clear; incorrect subsequent spelling]

javanensis BOUWIER, 1928; Chu & Wang (1996) [misspelling of Bouvier]

javanensis MOORE; Chu & Wang (1996) [error in authorship] subcaeca BOUVIER, 1936 [infrasubspecific]

Antheraea (A.) halconensis U. PAUKSTADT & BROSCH in U. Paukstadt & Brosch, 1996

aureorubescens NÄSSIG & TREADAWAY, 1998 [not available, published in synonymy]

Antheraea (A.) rosemariae HOLLOWAY, NÄSSIG & NAUMANN, 1995 Antheraea species (unnamed); U. Paukstadt & L. H. Paukstadt (1991) roemaeiae; L. H. Paukstadt & U. Paukstadt (1996) [incorrect subsequent spelling]

Antheraea (A.) hollowayi NässiG & NAUMANN, 1998 "dark reddish brown male from Seram" (unnamed); Holloway, Nässig & Naumann (1995)

Antheraea (A.) cihangiri NAUMANN & NÄSSIG, 1998 [unclear status, the taxonomic status needs further research]

"singleton from Banggai" (unnamed); Holloway, Nässig & Naumann (1995)

?rosemariae sp. n.; Holloway, Nässig & Naumann (1995) [considered of unclear status by Holloway et al. (1995)]

rosemariae n. sp., Holloway, Nässig & Naumann (1995) [misinterpretation]

"Antheraea specimen" (unnamed); U. Paukstadt & Naumann (1996)

Antheraea (A.) pratti BOUVIER, 1928

III.b) The yamamai-subgroup of the helferi-group

Antheraea (A.) yamamai yamamai (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861) jamamai; Shakhbazov (1953) [incorrect subsequent spelling] jamamay; Roo van Westmaas (1864) [incorrect subsequent spelling] yamaami; Chu & Wang (1993) [incorrect subsequent spelling] yamamai B. et G., Leefmans (1930) [error in authorship] yamamai GUERI-MENEVILL; Chu & Wang (1993) [misspelling of Guérin-Méneville]

- yamamai GUERIN-MENEVILL, Chu & Wang (1993) [misspelling of Guérin-Méneville]
- yamamai A.; Chu & Wang (1996) [error in authorship]
- yamamai GUERIN-MANÉVILLE; Chu & Wang (1996) [misspelling of Guérin-Méneville]
- yamamai GUREIN-MENEVILLE; Chu & Wang (1996) [misspelling of Guérin-Méneville]
- yama-mai; Guérin-Méneville (1861) [incorrect subsequent spelling] yama mai; Müller-Beeck (1980) [incorrect subsequent spelling]
- ya-ma-mai; Girard (1868) [incorrect subsequent spelling]
- yama-maiu; Seitz (1918) [incorrect subsequent spelling]
- yama maiu; Danner (1870) [incorrect subsequent spelling]
- yama maiu; anonym (1870) [incorrect subsequent spelling]
- yama-maja; Dékány (1870)*; cf. Schüssler, in Strand (1933) p. 223
- yama-may; Delondre (1869) [incorrect subsequent spelling]
- yamamay; Silbermann (1897) [incorrect subsequent spelling]
- yamamayi; Toxopeus (1940) [incorrect subsequent spelling]
- yama-mayu; van der Hoeven et al.* (1866) [incorrect subsequent spelling]
- yamamei; Weidemann & Köhler (1996) [incorrect subsequent spelling]
- vamamai; Chu & Wang (1996) [incorrect subsequent spelling] yo-mai; Keferstein (1880) [incorrect subsequent spelling]
- hazina BUTLER, 1881 [junior synonym]
 - hazina BUTT.; Jolly, Sen, Sonwalkar & Prasad (1979) [misspelling of Butler]
- fentoni BUTLER, 1881 [junior synonym]
 - feltoni; Wardle (1881) [incorrect subsequent spelling]
- calida BUTLER, 1881 [junior synonym]
- morosa BUTLER, 1881 [junior synonym]
 - marosa; Silbermann (1897) [incorrect subsequent spelling]
- sergestus WESTWOOD, 1881 stat. rev. [junior synonym of yamamai GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861; sergestus was re-used in species rank by Jolly, Sen, Sonwalkar & Prasad (1979)]
 - sergustus; Jolly, Sen, Sonwalkar & Prasad (1979) [incorrect subsequent spelling]
- ornata VON FROREICH, 1942 [infrasubspecific]
- bergmani BRYK, 1949 [unclear status]

Antheraea (A.) yamamai ussuriensis SHAKBAZOV, 1953 [unclear status, doubtful]

Antheraea (A.) yamamai yoshimotoi INOUE, 1965 [unclear status, doubtful]

Antheraea (A.) yamamai titan MELL, 1958 [unclear status]

Antheraea (A.) superba INOUE, 1965 [unclear status, ?junior synonym of titan MELL, 1958]

superba INOUE, 1964; Holloway, Nässig & Naumann (1995) [error in publication date]

Antheraea (A.) diehli LEMAIRE, 1979 dielhi; Lemaire (1979) [lapsus calami; incorrect original spelling; second of a multiple original spelling] diehlii; Heppner (1993) [incorrect subsequent spelling]

IV. The pernyi-group

Note: The super-species concept concerning *pernyi* and *roylii* by Nässig (1996) was not based on the ICZN (1985). With the new edition of the ICZN (1999) the Code regulates the treatment of super-species; cf. ICZN (1999) Art. 6.2, Rec. 6B, Art. 10.4. Presently we believe that the taxa *pernyi* and *roylii* do not fit into the super-species concept sensu Nässig 1996, because the status of both taxa is not fully investigated thus far. Further research is considered necessary.

Antheraea (A.) pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855)

pernyi QUÉR., Leefmans (1930) [misspelling of Guérin-Méneville] ernyi; Zhang Guo, in Hui Chui et al. (ed.) (1991) [incorrect subsequent spelling]

perni; Park, Sung-Soo Kim, Tshistjakov & Young-Dae Kwon, in Park (ed.) (1999)

pernii; Wallace (1870) [incorrect subsequent spelling]

- perny; Bollow, in Seitz (ed.) (1932) [incorrect subsequent spelling]
- perugi; Jordan, in Seitz (ed.) (1911) [incorrect subsequent spelling]
- peruyi; Chu & Wang (1996) [incorrect subsequent spelling]
- tussah; Chu & Wang (1993) [misinterpretation (vernacular name); citation as junior synonym]

bignaulti CLÉMENT, 1880 [infrasubspecific]

fantoni RONDOT, 1887 [unclear status, likely a junior synonym] fantomi; Chu & Wang (1996) [incorrect subsequent spelling] constans STAUDINGER, 1892 [most likely a junior synonym]

lugubris NIEPELT. 1928 [infrasubspecific] melaina JOHN, 1928 [infrasubspecific] cinnamomea NIEPELT, 1929 [infrasubspecific] hartii MOORE, 1892 [presently treated as a junior synonym] harti: Jordan, in Seitz (ed.) (1911) [incorrect subsequent spelling] Antheraea (A.) rovlii rovlii MOORE, 1859 [unclear status] roylei: Moore (1860) [incorrect subsequent spelling] rovlei MOORE, 1858-1859; Arora & Gupta (1979) [error in publication date: incorrect subsequent spelling] roylel; Jolly (1980) [incorrect subsequent spelling] rovlei SHIRAKI, 1913 (nec Moore): Sonan (1937) [error in authorship] royeli: Jordan, in Seitz (ed.) (1911) [incorrect subsequent spelling] confuci MOORE, 1874 [most likely a junior synonym] confucia; Seitz (1928) [incorrect subsequent spelling] confucii; Silbermann (1897) [incorrect subsequent spelling] francki WATSON, 1928 [unclear status] provlei JOLLY, 1973 [erroneously treated as a species-group name; hybrid name, excluded from the provisions of the Code] Note: names usually used as hybrid names as such and not explicitly as taxa names as in proylei by Jolly (1973) are listed in chapter III of this contribution. Antheraea (A.) rovlii korintiiana BOUVIER, 1928 [unclear status] Antheraea (A.) lampei NASSIG & HOLLOWAY, 1989 Antheraea (A.) knyvetti HAMPSON in Blanford, 1893 ("1892") [species

Antheraea (A.) knyvetti HAMPSON in Blanford, 1893 ("1892") [species inquirenda; further research is necessary on the status, knyvetti might be a taxon of the frithi-subgroup instead of the pernyi-group]

tumida SWINHOE, 1894 [published in synonymy] knywetti; Seitz (1928) [incorrect subsequent spelling] kyvetti; Steg (1939) [incorrect subsequent spelling] knyvetti HAMPSON, 1892; Swinhoe (1894) [error in publication date] knyvedti [i. l.]; [incorrect subsequent spelling on pin-label in Coll. Laboratoire de la Soie (Lyon)] kniveti [i. l.]; [incorrect subsequent spelling on pin-label in Coll.

Laboratoire de la Soie (Lyon)]

Second subgenus: Antheraeopsis WOOD-MASON, 1886

Annual Report Indian Mus.: p. 21.

Type-species: Antheraea assama WESTWOOD, 1847 by monotypy. The type-species now considered a junior synonym of A. assamensis (HELFER, 1837).

a) castanea-group (sensu U. Paukstadt, L. H. Paukstadt & Brosch, 1998)

assamensis-group (sensu Nässig, 1991) [senior synonym] b) youngi-group (sensu Nässig, 1991)

a) The castanea-group:

Antheraea (Ao.) assamensis (HELFER, 1837) [uncertain identity] assamensis WESTWOOD; Seitz (1928) [error in authorship] assamesis; Chu & Wang (1993) [incorrect subsequent spelling] assamensii; Helfer (1837) [incorrect subsequent spelling] assamentis; Guérin-Méneville (1855) [incorrect subsequent spelling] mooga; Chu & Wang (1993) [vernacular name; erroneously used as species-group name] assama (WESTWOOD, 1847) [likely a junior synonym] assama HELFER, 1837; Moore (1859) [error in authorship] mezankooria MOORE, 1862 [incertae sedis (description based on the silk only), likely a junior synonym] mesankooria; Hampson, in Blanford (1893 ["1892"]) [incorrect subsequent spelling] biedermanni NIEPELT, 1932 [likely a junior synonym] Antheraea (Ao.) castanea JORDAN, 1910 castenea; Nässig, Lampe & Kager (1996) [incorrect subsequent spelling] Antheraea (Ao.) subvelata BOUVIER, 1930 [unclear status] Antheraea (Ao.) mezops BRYK, 1944

"undescribed taxon from Mt. Fan-si-pan, Vietnam"; U. Paukstadt & L. H. Paukstadt (1998)

b) The youngi-group: Entomologen; download unter www.biologiezentrum.at

Antheraea (Ao.) youngi WATSON, 1915 yongei; Seitz (1928) [incorrect subsequent spelling] brunnea VAN EECKE, 1922 [junior synonym] brunnea VAN ECKE, 1921; Bouvier (1930) [misspelling of Van Eecke; error in publication date] brunnea VAN EECKE, 1920; Nässig, Lampe & Kager (1996) [error in publication date] rubiginea TOXOPEUS, 1940 [unclear status] rubigenea; Allen (1981) [incorrect subsequent spelling] "Antheraea assamensis HELFER new subspecies" (unnamed); Toxopeus (1940)

Antheraea (Ao.) chengtuana WATSON, 1923

Antheraea (Ao.) yunnanensis CHU & WANG, 1993 stat. nov., stat. rev.
[species inquirenda (yunnanensis was described as a subspecies of A. (A.) pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) but the male genitalia, which is figured in the original description definitively not belongs to a taxon of the pernyi-group but to a taxon of the subgenus Antheraeopsis WOOD-MASON, 1886. Chu & Wang (1996) figured a male of yunnanensis (holotype?) which clearly belongs to the platessa species-group). Presently we include yunnanensis into the subgenus Antheraeopsis due to the figured genitalia structures, which are considered to be the only clear diagnostic mark in the original description.]

Antheraea (Ao.) paniki NÄSSIG & TREADAWAY, 1998 "unnamed no. 1"; U. Paukstadt, L. H. Paukstadt & Brosch (1998)

Antheraea (Ao.) paniki sahi NÄSSIG & TREADAWAY (1998) [combination with paniki NÄSSIG & TREADAWAY, 1998 doubtful; more likely sahi is a taxon close to youngi WATSON, 1915] "unnamed no. 2"; U. Paukstadt, L. H. Paukstadt & Brosch (1998)

Antheraea (Ao.) formosana SONAN, 1937 [unclear status, ?conspecific with chengtuana Watson, 1923]

Third subgenus: Telea HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816")

Verzeichniss bekannter Schmettlinge: p. 154. Type-species: *Phalaena polyphemus* CRAMER, 1775 by monotypy.

Antheraea (T.) polyphemus polyphemus (CRAMER, 1775) polypheme (Cramer, 1775) [incorrect original spelling, second of a multiple original spelling] polyphemus (HÜBNER, CRAMER); Silbermann (1897) [error in authorship] polyphemus CRAMER, 1776; Ferguson, in Ferguson, Franclemont, Hodges, Munroe, Dominick, & Edwards (1983) [error in publication date] polyphemus RAMER; Bouvier (1936) [lapsus, misspelling of Cramer] polypheme: Hübner 1819 ("1816") [incorrect subsequent spelling] polypheme RAY, 1788; Hübner (1820) [misinterpretation] ingens CATESBY, 1743 [pre-1758 name; not available] fenestra PERRY, 1811* Junior homonym of fenestra LINNAEUS, 1758 -Lepid., Arctiidae; the name fenestra was rejected as a junior synonym of Antheraea polyphemus (CRAMER, 1775) by Kirby (1892), no new substitute name to be established, cf. ICZN (1999) Art. 60.1.] flava GROTE, 1902 [infrasubspecific] flava GROTE, 1903; Ferguson, in Dominick (1971-72) [error in publication date] fumosus WURSTER, 1930 [infrasubspecific] olivacea (DRAUDT, 1930) [junior synonym] "var. c" (unnamed); Cockerell, in Packard (1914) olivacea COCKERELL; Draudt 1930, in Seitz (ed.) (1940) [error in authorship] olivacea FERGUSON, 1972; Lemaire (1995) [error in authorship] wilfriedi SAGEDER, 1933 [infrasubspecific] brunnea SAGEDER, 1933 [infrasubspecific] nigra SCHÜBLER in Strand 1936 [infrasubspecific] "melanic specimens, wholly black" (unnamed); Holland (1903) albida BOUVIER, 1936 [nomen nudum] nigrescens SCHUBLER in Strand, 1936 [infrasubspecific] "var. a" (unnamed); Cockerell, in Packard (1914) vinacea SCHÜBLER in Strand, 1936 [infrasubspecific] "var. b" (unnamed); Cockerell, in Packard (1914)

Antheraea (T.) polyphemus mexicana HOFFMANN, 1942
"mexican race" (unnamed); Cockerell, in Packard (1914)
mexicana SCHUSSLER, 1936 [infrasubspecific]
mexicana SCHUESSLER; Hoffmann, C. C. (1942) [error in authorship]
mexicana HOFFMAN; Vinciguerra & Racheli (1996) [misspelling of Hoffmann]
intermedia BOUVIER, 1936 [nomen mudum]
Antheraea (T.) polyphemus tuxtlasensis BALCÁZAR & VÁZQUEZ, 1994

[doubtful; Poole, *in* Poole & Gentili (1996) cited *tuxtlasensis* as a junior synonym of *A. polyphemus*, while Heppner (ed.) et al. (1996), Lemaire, *in* Heppner (ed.) (1996), and Vinciguerra & Racheli (1996) treated *tuxtlasensis* as a subspecies of *polyphemus*. We temporary place *tuxtlasensis* in subspecific rank to *polyphemus*. Further studies on the status of *tuxtlasensis* are considered necessary.]

"polyphemus n. ssp." (unnamed); Balcázar Lara (1991) reinstated

Antheraea (T.) oculea (NEUMOEGEN, 1883)

aurelia DRUCE, 1892 [junior synonym]

aurelia DRUCE, 1982; Balcázar Lara (1991) [error in publication date]

Antheraea (T.) godmani (DRUCE, 1892) columbiana DRAUDT in Seitz 1930 [junior synonym]

Antheraea (T.) montezuma (SALLÉ, 1856)

Antheraea (T.) compta W. ROTHSCHILD, 1899 [combination with Telea HUBNER, 1819 ("1816") needs further research; a separate paper on compta is in preparation]

compta ROTHSCHILD & JORDAN; Conte (1919) [error in authorship]



Taxa erroneously placed in the genus Antheraea HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816")

Indo-Australian Region

Cricula WALKER, 1855

Antheraea zuleika (WESTWOOD, 1847); Walker (1855) [Saturnia zuleika WESTWOOD, 1847 = junior homonym of Saturnia zuleika HOPE, 1843]

Loepa MOORE, 1859

Antheraea katinka (WESTWOOD, 1847); Walker (1855) [part., misinterpretation]
Antherea kathinka?; Ribbe (1886) [incorrect subsequent spellings of Antheraea HUBNER 1819 ("1816") and katinka (WESTWOOD, 1847)]
Antherea kathinka; Pagenstecher (1886) [incorrect subsequent spellings of Antheraea HUBNER, 1819 ("1816") and katinka (WESTWOOD, 1847)]
Antheraea miranda (ATKINSON in Moore, 1865); Moore (1865)
Antheraea sikkima (MOORE, [1866] 1865); Sonthonnax (1904) [error-in authorship; the authorship of sikkima should be cited as follows: ATKINSON in Moore, 1866 ("1865"), which was proposed by Dr. Tubbs/ICZN at the 19th of January 2000]

Lemaireia NÄSSIG & HOLLOWAY in Holloway, 1987

Antheraea loepoides BUTLER, 1880

Opodiphthera WALLENGREN, 1858^{ad unter www.biologiezentrum.at}

Antheraea astrophela WALKER, 1855 Antheraea banksii (Leach i.l.) LUCAS, T.P (1892) [nomen nudum] Antheraea engaea TURNER, 1921 [nomen nudum] Antheraea engaea TURNER, 1922 Antheraea engea TURNER, 1922; Bouvier (1936) [incorrect subsequent spelling of engaea; misspelling of Turner] Antheraea eucalypti SCOTT, 1864 Antheraea helena (WHITE, 1843); Walker (1855) Antheraea banksi (Leach i.l.) SEITZ, 1928 [published in synonymy of helena (WHITE, 1843)] Antheraea intermedia T. P LUCAS, 1890 [junior synonym of helena (White, 1843)] Antheraea joicevi (BOUVER, 1928); Niepelt (1934) Antheraea loranthi T. P LUCAS, 1892 Antheraea carnea SONTHONNAX, 1897 [junior synonym of loranthi T. P LUCAS 1892] Antheraea cornea ab. subcostimacula STRAND, 1917 [incorrect subsequent spelling of carnea SONTHONNAX, 1897; subcostimacula is considered to be an infrasubspecific name] Antheraea pluto SONTHONNAX, 1897 [published in synonymy of helena (WHITE, 1843)] Antheraea pristina WALKER, 1865*; cf. Swinhoe (1892), Bouvier (1936) Antheraea saccopoea TURNER, 1924 Antheraea sciron (WESTWOOD, 1881); Kirby (1892) Antheraea inversa ROTHSCHILD, 1896 [junior synonym of sciron (WESTWOOD, 1881)] Antheraea alephostra SWINHOE, 1892 [probably a junior synonym of sciron (WESTWOOD, 1881)] Antheraea monacha STAUDINGER in Staudinger & A. Bang-Haas, 1897 [nomen nudum] Antheraea monacha (Maassen i.l.) SONTHONNAX, 1897 [published in synonymy of sciron (WESTWOOD, 1881)] Antheraea aenicnia (Maassen i.l.) SONTHONNAX, 1897 [published in synonymy of sciron (WESTWOOD, 1881)] Antheraea sciron strandi NIEPELT, 1934 Antheraea sciron gazella NIEPELT, 1934 Antheraea simplex WALKER, 1855 [junior synonym of astrophela WALKER, 1855]

Salassa MOORE; 1859er Entomologen; download unter www.biologiezentrum.at

Antheraea lola (WESTWOOD, 1847); Walker (1855) Antheraea thespis LEECH, 1890 [unclear identity] Antheraea episcopalis KAISER, 1917 [junior synonym of mesosa JORDAN, 1910] Antheraea nordheimi KAISER, 1917 [published in synonymy of episcopalis KAISER, 1917] Salassa royi ELWESS (Anteraea royi); Sonthonnax (1904) [incorrect subsequent spelling of Antheraea HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816")]

Samia HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816")

Antherea cynthia (DRURY, 1773); Müller-Beeck (1979) [incorrect subsequent spelling of Antheraea HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816")]

Saturnia SCHRANK, 1802

(Rinaca WALKER, 1855)

Antheraea simla (WESTWOOD, 1847); Walker (1855) Antheraea thibeta (WESTWOOD, 1853 ["1854"]); Walker (1855) Antheraea bonhourei LE MOULT, 1933 [presently considered to be a subspecies of Saturnia (Rinaca) thibeta WESTWOOD, 1853; further studies on the status are considered necessary]

Syntherata MAASSEN in Maassen [& Weymer], 1873

Antheraea janetta (WHITE, 1843); Walker (1855) Antheraea purpurascens WALKER, 1865* [likely a junior synonym of janetta (WHITE, 1843)] Antheraea disjuncta WALKER, 1865* [likely a junior synonym of janetta (WHITE, 1843)] Antheraea insignis WALKER, 1869 [likely a junior synonym of janetta (WHITE, 1843)]

Bombycidae LATREILLE in Sonnini, 1802 biologiezentrum at

Antheraea pernyi roylei ab. shervillei MOORE; W Rothschild (1895) [cited in infrasubspecific sense by W Rothschild] Notes: Presently we are unable to decide whether our application of the name shervillei sensu Rothschild (1895) to the family Bombycidae is correct. Thus far only the name Bombyx sherwilli MOORE, 1865 was found in literature, which however, does not exclude that no further similar name for a taxon of a different family exists. The name shervillei is considered to be of doubtful identity needing further investigation.

African region

Antherina SONTHONNAX, 1901

Antheraea suraka (BOISDUVAL, 1833); Walker (1855)

Aurivillius PACKARD, 1902

Antheraea arata (WESTWOOD, 1849); Walker (1855) [error, justified emendation = aratus] Note: Westwood's original citation is correctly "Saturnia arata", because the genus Saturnia is feminine. When arata is applied to either Antheraea or Aurivillius (both names are masculine), the correct name is aratus (justified emendation). Telea arata; Herrich-Schäffer, 1856 ("1850-1858") [erroneous combination]

Bunaea HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816") vnload unter www.biologiezentrum.at

Antheraea arabella AURIVILLIUS, 1893

arabella (AURIVILLIUS, 1893) (Antherea); Bouyer (1999) [incorrect subsequent spelling of Antheraea HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816")]

- B.[Bunaea] (Antheraea) arabella (AURIV.[AURIVILLIUS]); Grünberg (1910) [erroneous combination (Antheraea is cited in subgeneric rank of Bunaea)]
- Telea caffraria (STOLL, 1790); Herrich-Schäffer, 1856 ("1850–1858") [junior primary homonym of *Phalaena caffraria* LINNAEUS, 1767 - Lepidoptera, Geometridae; the objective replacement name is *caffra* HUBNER, 1819 ("1816"), which is a form and therefore a junior subjective synonym of *Phalaena alcinoe* STOLL, 1780]

Bunaeopsis BOUVIER, 1927

Antheraea hersilia (WESTWOOD, 1847); Walker (1855) Telea hersilia; Herrich-Schäffer, 1856 ("1850-1858")* Antheraea hersiliae; Kirby (1881) [incorrect subsequent spelling of hersilia] Antheraea licharbas MAASSEN in Maassen & Weyding, 1885 licharbas (MAASSEN & WEYDING, 1885) (Antherea); Bouyer (1999) [incorrect subsequent spelling of Antheraea HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816") and error in authorship, citation] Antheraea oubie (GUERIN-MENEVILLE, 1849); Kirby (1892) Antheraea zaddachi (DEWITZ, 1879); Kirby (1892) Antheraea macrophthalmus KIRBY, 1881 [species inquirenda] Antheraea thyene WEYMER, 1896 [doubtful] thyene (WEYMER, 1896) (Antherea); Bouyer (1999) [incorrect subsequent spelling of Antheraea HUBNER, 1819 ("1816"), citation] Antheraea (Nudaurelia) aurantiaca W ROTHSCHILD, 1895; Distant (1897) Cinabra SONTHONNAX, E1901 gen; download unter www.biologiezentrum.at

Antheraea bracteata DISTANT, 1897 [bona species, cf. Bouyer (1999); citation]

bracteata (DISTANT, 1897) (Antherea); Bouyer (1999) [incorrect subsequent spelling of Antheraea HUBNER, 1819 ("1816"); citation]

Epiphora WALLENGREN, 1860

Antheraea bauhiniae (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1829); Silbermann (1897) Antheraea faidherbii (RONDOT, 1887); Silbermann (1897) [incorrect subsequent spelling of faidherbi (RONDOT, 1887), originally published in synonymy of bauhiniae]

Imbrasia HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816")

(Gonimbrasia BUTLER, 1878) comb. rev. [considered to be a subgenus of Imbrasia Hübner, 1819 ("1816")] Note: Presently we do not follow Bouyer (1999), who treated Gonimbrasia as a genus being distinct from Imbrasia. Bouyer (1999) did not explain his taxonomic act in detail and missed to mark the nomenclatural act as such. Therefore we considered to reinstate the former status of Gonimbrasia and Nudaurelia. Antheraea anna MAASSEN in Maassen & Weyding, 1885 anna (MAASSEN & WEYDING, 1885) (Antherea); Bouyer (1999) [incorrect subsequent spelling of Antheraea HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816"); error in authorship; citation] Antheraea belina (WESTWOOD, 1849); Walker (1855) Telea belina; Herrich-Schäffer, 1856 ("1850-1858")* [error in combination] Antheraea sardane; Staudinger & A. Bang-Haas, 1897 [nomen nudum] Antheraea sardane [Maassen i. l.] SONTHONNAX, 1901 [junior synonym of belina (Westwood, 1849), cf. Bouyer (1999)] Antheraea hübneri KIRBY, 1877 [subspecis of belina (WESTWOOD, 1849), cf. Bouyer (1999), incorrect subsequent spelling of huebneri KIRBY, 1877] Antheraea osiris DRUCE, 1896 [subspecies of belina (WESTWOOD, 1849), cf. Bouyer (1999)] Antheraea (Thvella) hoehnelii ROGENHOFER, 1891

Antheraea hoehneli (ROGENHOFER, 1891); Kirby (1892) [incorrect subsequent spelling of hoehnelii (ROGENHOFER, 1891]

hoehnelii (ROGENHOFFER, 1891) (Antherea); Bouyer (1999) [incorrect subsequent spelling of Antheraea HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816") and misspelling of Rogenhofer, citation]

Antheraea tyrrhea (CRAMER, 1775); Walker (1855) [Walker erroneously credited authorship to Westwood]

Antherea tyrrhea; Herrich-Schäffer 1858 ("1850-1858")* [incorrect subsequent spelling of Antheraea HUBNER, 1819 ("1816")]

Antheraea zambesina (WALKER, 1865); Staudinger & A. Bang-Haas, 1897

Antheraea zambesia (R. FELDER in C. Felder & Rogenhofer, 1874); Maassen & Weyding (1881) [junior synonym of zambesina (WALKER, 1865)]

Antheraea zambezina MAASSEN & WEYMER [error]; Sonthonnax (1901) [incorrect subsequent spelling and error in authorship of Antheraea zambesina (WALKER, 1865); error in citing the spelling in Maassen & Weymer, 1881 and error in authorship]

Antheraea barcas MAASSEN in Maassen & Weymer, 1881 [junior synonym of zambesina (WALKER, 1865), cf. Bouyer (1999)]

barcas (MAASSEN & WEYMER, 1881) (Antherea); Bouyer (1999) [incorrect subsequent spelling of Antheraea HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816") and error in authorship in citation]

Antheraea said (OBERTHUR, 1878), Kirby (1892) [junior subjective synonym of barcas MAASSEN, 1881]

Antheraea ringleri WICHGRAF, 1906 [synonym of zambesina WALKER, 1865]

Antheraea felderi BOISDUVAL, Fallou (1883): Bull. Soc. Acclim.. 3 (10) (30) [nomen nudum; Nudaurelia felderi was described by W. Rothschild (1895)]

(Nudaurelia W. ROTHSCHILD, 1895) comb. rev. [considered to be a subgenus of Imbrasia HUBNER, 1819 ("1816")]

Antheraea anthina KARSCH, 1892

Antheraea benguelensis OBERTHÜR, 1921 [junior synonym of macrops REBEL, 1917, cf. Bouyer (1999)]

Antheraea benguelae OBERTHÜR, 1921 [incorrect original spelling of benguelensis OBERTHÜR, 1921]

Antheraea cytherea (FABRICIUS, 1775); Walker (1855) Telea cytherea; Herrich-Schäffer, 1856 ("1850–1858") Antheraea capensis (CRAMER in Stoll, 1780); Crowley (1886) [junior synonym of cytherea (FABRICIUS, 1775), cf. Bouyer (1999)]

Telea capensis; Herrich-Schäffer, 1856 ("1850-1858")

Antheraea dione (FABRICIUS, 1793); Walker (1855)

- [Antheraea] petiveri (GUERIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1845)*; [Walker (1855) Addenda*]; cf. Kirby (1892) [synonym of dione (FABRICIUS, 1793)]
- Antheraea butleri (Aurivillius [i.l.]) SONTHONNAX, 1901 [synonym of petiveri (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1845)]
- Antherea HV [= Hübner Verzeichnis] Telea HV dione WESTW. [WESTWOOD]; Herrich-Schäffer, 1856 ("1850–1858") [incorrect subsequent spelling of Antheraea HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816")]
- Antherea HV [= Hübner Verzeichnis] Telea HV paphia L.[LINNAEUS] ex part. [cited in subordination of dione WESTWOOD [sic!]]; Herrich-Schäffer, 1854 ("1850–1858") [incorrect subsequent spelling of Antheraea]
- Antherea HV [= Hübner Verzeichnis] Telea HV guineensis PETIV.[PETIVER] [cited in subordination of dione WESTWOOD; Herrich-Schäffer, 1856 ("1850–1858") [the name guineensis PETIVER, 1711 (cf. Weiss, 1927) is originally a pre-1758 name, which was republished in 1767; presently guineensis is treated as a synonym of dione (FABRICIUS, 1793); error in authorship of dione (FABRICIUS, 1793)]
- Antheraea simplicia MAASSEN in Maassen & Weymer, 1872 [synonym of dione (FABRICIUS, 1793)]
- simplicia (MAASSEN & WEYMER, 1872) (Antherea); Bouyer (1999) [incorrect subsequent spelling of Antheraea Hübner, 1819 ("1816"); error in authorship of simplicia MAASSEN in Maassen & Weymer, 1872, citation]

Antheraea emini BUTLER, 1888

Antheraea gueinzii STAUDINGER, 1872

- Antheraea persephone (Staudinger, [i. l.]) SONTHONNAX, 1901 [synonym of anthina KARSCH, 1892; published in synonymy] Antheraea preussi (Staudinger, [i. l.]) SONTHONNAX, 1901 [synonym of anthina KARSCH, 1878; published in synonymy]
- Antheraea rhodophila WALKER in Chapman, 1869 [junior synonym of Imbrasia (Nudaurelia) alopia WESTWOOD, 1849]
 - Antheraea intermiscens WALKER in Chapman, 1869 [consubspecific with rhodophila WALKER in Chapman, 1869; both taxa were

described in the same publication, *intermiscens* was treated as a junior synonym of *rhodophila* by revising authors] Antheraea wahlbergi (BOISDUVAL, 1847)*; Kirby (1892) [incorrect citation of Herrich-Schäffer, 1858 ("1850-1858")] Antherea HV - Telea HV dione WESTW [WESTWOOD] var. wahlbergii BD. [BOISDUVAL]; Herrich-Schäffer, 1858 ("1850-1858") [error in authorship of dione (FABRICIUS, 1793), incorrect subsequent spelling of Antheraea] Telea wahlbergi; Herrich-Schäffer, 1856 ("1850-1858") [incorrect subsequent spelling of wahlbergii (BOISDUVAL, 1847)]

Lobobunaea PACKARD, 1901

Antheraea laestrygon MABILLE, 1878 [junior synonym of phaedusa DRURY, 1780]
lestrygon (MABILLE, 1887) (Antherea); Bouyer (1999) [incorrect subsequent spellings of laestrygon MABILLE, [1878] and
Antheraea Hübner, 1819 ("1816"); error in publication year; citation]
loestrygon [sic]; Viette & Fletcher (1968) [incorrect subsequent spelling of laestrygon MABILLE, 1878; citation of the name on a pin-label of the female holotype]
loestrygonia [sic]; Viette & Fletcher (1968) [incorrect subsequent spelling of laestrygon MABILLE, 1878; citation of the name on a pin-label of the female holotype]

Melanocera SONTHONNAX, 1901

Antheraea sufferti WEYMER, 1896 sufferti (Weymer, 1896) (Antherea); Bouyer (1999) [incorrect subsequent spelling of Antheraea HUBNER, 1819 ("1816"); citation] Antheraea menippe (Westwood, 1849); Walker (1855) Telea menippe; Herrich-Schäffer, 1856 ("1850-1858") Antheraea (Nudaurelia) menippe fumosa W ROTHSCHILD, 1895; [Bouyer (1999) listed fumosa as an "incertae sedis" name in the genus Melanocera SONTHONNAX, 1901; citation]

Pselaphelia AURIVILLIUS, 1904

Antheroea [sic!] flavivitta WALKER, 1862*; cf. Bouyer (1999)

Pseudantheraea WEYMER, 1892

Antheraea arenosa PACKARD, 1914 [nomen nudum] Antheraea arnobia (WESTWOOD, 1881); Niepelt (1934) [junior synonym of discrepans (BUTLER, 1878)]

Thyella WALLENGREN, 1858 [junior subjective synonym of Bunaea HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816")]

Antheraea (Thyella); Rogenhofer, 1891 [erroneously used as subgenus of Antheraea HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816")]
Note: Type species of Thyella WALLENGREN, 1858 is Thyella nyctalops WALLENGREN, 1858, which is treated as a junior subjective synonym of Attacus alcinoe STOLL, [1780]. Type species of Bunaea HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816") is Phalaena caffraria STOLL, 1790, which is a junior primary homonym of Phalaena caffraria LINNAEUS, 1767 - Lepidoptera, Geometridae. Bunaea caffra HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816") was choosen as the objective replacement name, however, caffra is a form and therefore a junior subjective synonym of Phalaena alcinoe STOLL, 1780.

Ubaena KARSCH, 1900

Antheraea dolabella DRUCE, 1886 Antheraea dolubella (DRUCE, 1886); Kirby (1892) [incorrect subsequent spelling of dolabella DRUCE, 1886] dolabella (DRUCE, 1886) (Antherea); Bouyer (1999) [incorrect subsequent spelling of Antheraea HUBNER, 1819 ("1816"); citation]

Names with unclear identity (African fauna) international statements of the second statement of the se

Antheraea laurenti SILBERMANN, 1897 [only silk and cocoon were described; species inquirenda] A.[Antheraea] rubricunda; Crowley (1886) [species inquirenda]

American region

Ancistrota HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816")

Antheraea [part.]; Herrich-Schäffer 1856 ("1850–1858") Antheraea plagia (HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816"); Herrich-Schäffer 1856 ("1850– 1858") Ancistrota plagia HÜBNER [Antherarea]; Schüssler, in Strand (1934) [incorrect subsequent spelling of Antheraea HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816")]

Callosamia PACKARD, 1864

Telea promethea DRURY, 1773; Watkins (1881)

Copaxa WALKER, 1855

Antheraea lavendera (WESTWOOD, 1854); Sonthonnax (1897) Antheraea chapata (WESTWOOD, 1854); Druce (1886) [synonym of C. lavendera (WESTWOOD, 1854)] Antheraea simson ([Schilde, i. l.] MAASSEN & WEYMER, 1881); Sonthonnax (1897) Antheraea canella WALKER, 1855; Sonthonnax (1897) [Sonthonnax cited "Boisduval, in litt." as first author] Antheraea decrescens (WALKER, 1855); Sonthonnax (1897)
[Antheraea decrescens] trotschi [sic!] DRUCE, 1886; Sonthonnax (1897) [Sonthonnax cited Copaxa trotschi [sic!] in subordination = synonym of Antheraea decrescens (DRUCE, 1886). Copaxa troetschi presently is considered to be a bona species] Antheraea denda (DRUCE, 1894); Sonthonnax (1897) [Antheraea paukstadtorum]; Izersky (1999) [erroneusly figured under the name of Copaxa rufinans (SCHAUS, 1906))

Hemileuca WALKER, 1855

Telea proserpina F., Herrich-Schäffer, 1856 ("1850-1858") [junior subjective synonym of Hemileuca maia (DRURY, 1773)]

Hyperchiria HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816")

Antheraea [?] cypria (GMELIN, 1788); Walker (1855)

Pseudohazis GROTE & ROBINSON, 1866

Telea eglanterina; Herrich-Schäffer 1856 ("1850–1858") Telea eglanteria; Boisduval (1869) [erroneous note on an incorrect subsequent spelling of eglanterina BOISDUVAL, 1852 by Herrich-Schäffer (1856)] Telea eleganteriae; Herrich-Schäffer 1858 ("1850–1858") [incorrect

subsequent spelling of eglanterina BOISDUVAL, 1852]

Names with uncertain identity (American fauna?)

Antheraea orbicularis HERRICH-SCHÄFFER, 1856 ("1850–1858") [nomen nudum]

Hybrid names in the genus Antheraea HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816")

Introduction: What is a hybrid as such and how are hybrid names regulated by the Code? The progeny of two individuals belonging to different taxa is called a hybrid, cf. ICZN (1999) Glossary. The Code fully regulates the names for taxa only between and including the ranks of superfamily and subspecies but names proposed for hybrid specimens as such are excluded from the provisions of the Code, cf. ICZN (1999) Art. 1.3.3. The availability of a name is not affected even if it is applied to a taxon known, or later found, to be of hybrid origin, cf. ICZN (1999) Art. 17.2. A species-group name established for an animal later found to be a hybrid must not be used as the valid name for either of the parental species, even if it is older than all other available names for them. Such a name may enter into homonymy, cf. ICZN (1999) Art. 23.8.

Inter-generic pairings

Antheraea pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) x Actias selene (HÜBNER, 1806)

'Gardiner (1982): The Amateur Entomologist, 12: p. 49.

Actias luna (LINNAEUS, 1758) x Antheraea polyphemus (CRAMER, 1775)

Weast (1998): N. Lepid. Soc., 40 (3): p. 46.¹

Notes:

¹ Weast (1998) reported that a wild *Actias luna* (LINNAEUS, 1758) male entered a walk-in cage and mated with a *Antheraea polyphemus* (CRAMER, 1775) female, instead of mating with a *luna* female in the same cage. The eggs proved to be infertile.

Loepa katinka (WESTWOOD, 1847) x Antheraea polyphemus (CRAMER, 1775)

Gardiner (1982): The Amateur Entomologist, 12: p. 49.

Antheraea pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) x Hyalophora cecropia (LINNAEUS, 1758)

Wallace (1870)*; Ent. Mo. Mag., VI: p. 267; cf. Tutt (1906).

Tutt (1906): A Natural History of the British Lepidoptera, Vol. V p. $25.^{1}$

Notes:

¹ According to a note in Tutt (1906) the pairing between Antheraea pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) and Hyalophora cecropia (LINNAEUS, 1758) was obtained by Wallace in 1869 but no larvae hatched from eggs.

Antheraea polyphemus (CRAMER, 1775) x Hyalophora cecropia (LINNAEUS, 1758)

Collins & Weast (1961): Wild silk moths of the United States: p. 117.¹

Notes:

¹ Collins & Weast (1961) noted that a Hyalophora cecropia (LINNAEUS, 1758) female was in a moth trap with a caged female of Antheraea polyphemus (CRAMER, 1775). A wild polyphemus male mated with the female cecropia. A few infertile eggs were laid.

Hyalophora cecropia (LINNAEUS, 1758) x Antheraea polyphemus (CRAMER, 1775)

Wailly (1880)* Entom., XIII: pp. 154 ff.; cf. Tutt (1906)

Tutt (1906): A Natural History of the British Lepidoptera, Vol. V p. 25^{1}

Sweadner (1937): Annals of the Carnegie Museum, Vol. XXV[.] p. 242.² Collins & Weast (1961): Wild silk moths of the United States: p. 117³

Notes:

¹ Tutt (1906) remarked that Wailly (1880): Entom., XIII: pp. 154 ff., has obtained crossings between Hyalophora cecropia (LINNAEUS, 1758) and

©Kreis Nürnberger Entomologen; download unter www.biologiezentrum.at

Antheraea polyphemus (CRAMER, 1775) but that the eggs had proved infertile.

² Sweadner (1937) figured a cross mating between *Hyalophora cecropia* (LINNAEUS, 1758) and *Antheraea polyphemus* (CRAMER, 1775) (Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania).

³ Collins & Weast (1961) reported on a hand mating between Hyalophora cecropia (LINNAEUS, 1758) and Antheraea polyphemus (CRAMER, 1775) with negative results. No further details were given.

Inter-specific pairings in Antheraea HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816")

Antheraea mylitta (DRURY, 1773) x Antheraea pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) and reciprocals

Rondot (1887): l'Art de la Soie: p. 148.¹

Jolly, Sen, Sonwalkar & Prasad (1979): non-mulberry silks: pp. 74, 83.²

Jolly (1980): Distribution and Differentiation in Antheraea species: p. 11.

Notes:

¹ Rondot (1887) noted that the hybrids were used on the Baleares for the silk production.

 2 Jolly et al. (1979) noted that either eggs did not hatch or the few larvae hatched failed survive beyond the first instar.

Antheraea pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) x Antheraea mylitta (DRURY, 1773)

Watson (1893)** Ent., XXVI: p. 176; cf. Tutt (1906)

Tutt (1906): A Natural History of the British Lepidoptera, Vol. V pp. 26.¹

Notes:

¹ Tutt (1906) remarked that Watson (1893) cited a crossing of *pernyi* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) x mylitta (DRURY, 1773) but gives no details of eggs or larvae.

Antheraea mylitta (DRURY, 1773) x Antheraea roylii MOORE, 1859 and reciprocals

Moore (1862): Trans. Entomol. Soc. London. ser. 3, vol. 1 (4): p. 319.¹ Jolly, Sen, Sonwalkar & Prasad (1979): non-mulberry silks: pp. 74, 83.² Jolly (1980): Distribution and Differentiation in Antheraea species: p. 11.

Notes:

¹ Moore (1862) noted on non-artificial crosses between roylii MOORE, 1859 and paphia (LINNAEUS, 1758) [= mylitta (DRURY, 1773)], which were observed and reported to him by Capt. Hutton. Fifty larvae hatched out of about 600 eggs but unfortunately died at the end of the first larval instar. Another non-artificial cross between rovlii and paphia was mentioned by Moore. The latter was reported to him by Capt. Boys, Kemaon.

² Jolly et al. (1979) noted that either eggs did not hatch or the few larvae hatched failed survive beyond the first instar.

Antheraea mylitta (DRURY, 1773) x Antheraea frithi MOORE, 1859 and reciprocals

Jolly, Sen, Sonwalkar & Prasad (1979): non-mulberry silks: pp. 74, 76, 83 1

Jolly (1980): Distribution and Differentiation in Antheraea species: p. 11. Notes:

¹ Jolly et al. (1979) noted that crosses produce healthy F_1 progenies, the adults were sterile. Attempts are being made to overcome the sterility barrier through amphidiploidy, back crossing or parthenogensis. The chromosome configuration of the F1 hybrid was found to be 62, exactly the sum of the haploid numbers of the parental species (n = 31 each). Jolly et al. explained that this is attributed to the lack of synaptic appitude. As some cells show a pairing tendency, the cause of sterility in the hybrid is a lack of genetic compability.

Antheraea mylitta (DRURY, 1773) x Antheraea assamensis (HELFER, 1837) and reciprocals

Jolly, Sen, Sonwalkar & Prasad (1979): non-mulberry silks: pp. 83, 135.¹ Jolly (1980): Distribution and Differentiation in *Antheraea* species: p. 11.

Notes:

¹ Jolly et al. (1979) noted that the cross combination *mylitta* x *assamensis* and reciprocals was found steril. In *assamensis* (HELFER, 1837) x *mylitta* (DRURY, 1773) the oviposition was observed to be quite normal, but the eggs failed to hatch. The reciprocal cross resulted in extremely poor hatching, but the larvae could not survive.

Antheraea mylitta (DRURY, 1773) x Antheraea yamamai (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861)

Watson (1893)* Ent., XXVI: p. 176; cf. Tutt (1906)

Rondot (1887): l'Art de la Soie: p. 230.

Tutt (1906): A Natural History of the British Lepidoptera, Vol. V pp. 25, 26.^{1,2}

Notes:

¹ Tutt (1906) noted that the Bombay silk-spinners are said to have successfully hybridised *mylitta* (DRURY, 1773) with *yamamai* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861) in about 1878. No further details were given.

² Tutt (1906) remarked that Watson (1893): Ent., XXVI: p. 176, cited a crossing of *mylitta* (DRURY, 1773) x *yamamai* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861), but Watson gives no details of eggs or larvae.

Antheraea pernyi (Guérin-Méneville, 1855) x Antheraea yamamai (Guérin-Méneville, 1861)

Wallace (1870)* Ent. Mo. Mag., VI: p. 267; cf. Tutt (1906).

Bond (1871): The Entomol. monthly Mag., VII: p. 263. [note]

Berce & Goossens (1875)* Bull. Soc. Ent. France, (5) IV p. 154; cf. Cotes (1891)

Cotes (1891). Indian Mus. Notes, II (2): p. 79. [note]

Tutt (1906): A Natural History of the British Lepidoptera, Vol. V p. 25.^{1,2,3}

Jordan, in Seitz (ed.) (1911): Gross-Schmett. Erde, II: p. 217

Seitz (1918): Die Seidenzucht in Deutschland: p. 64.⁴

Baxter (1992): Rearing wild silkmoths: p. 21.5

¹ Tutt (1906) reported that eggs of the pairing *pernyi* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) x *yamamai* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861) by Wallace proved fruitful. Part of these eggs were handed to Chapman who obtained the only adult of this pairing, a female. This was given to Bond in 1876 and exhibited by him (Ent. Mo. Mag., VII: p. 263; the remark that it was reared by Wallace being an error). Tutt noted that this female being the first hybr. *perny-yama* successfully reared.

² Tutt (1906) noted that in about 1870 the French sericulturist Bigot also obtained the *Antheraea* hybr. *perny-yama (pernyi* (GUÉRIN-Méneville, 1855) x *yamamai* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861)) and its reciprocal cross, *Antheraea* hybr. *inversa (yamamai* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861) x *pernyi* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855)), the progeny being magnificent moths.

³ Tutt (1906) noted that in 1878 Bourdier obtained further fruitful pairings between *pernyi* (GUÉRIN-Méneville, 1855) and *yamamai* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861) (*Antheraea* hybr *perny-yama*).

⁴ Seitz (1918) mentioned the vernacular name Sakusan for *pernyi* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) from Japan.

⁵ Baxter (1992) noted that the eggs of this hybrid will not overwinter but the cocoons. The larvae are reported to be similar to *pernyi* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) but have the green heads of *yamamai* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861) and spin yellowish cocoons. This is a not fertile hybrid as all females are barren and the males are reluctant to pair.

Antheraea pernyi (Guérin-Méneville, 1855) x Antheraea yamamai (Guérin-Méneville, 1861) and reciprocals

- Wallace (1870)* Ent. Mo. Mag., VI: p. 267; cf. Tutt (1906).¹
- Bond (1871): The Entomol. monthly Mag., VII: p. 263. [note]
- Berce & Goossens (1875): Bull. Soc. Ent. France, (5) IV: p. 154; cf. Cotes (1891), and cf. Tutt (1906)
- Cotes (1891). Indian Mus. Notes, II (2): p. 79. [note]
- Tutt (1906): A Natural History of the British Lepidoptera, Vol. V p. 25.²
- Jordan, in Seitz (ed.) (1911): Gross-Schmett. Erde, II: p. 217
- Seitz (1918): Die Seidenzucht in Deutschland: p. 64.
- Baxter (1992): Rearing wild silkmoths: p. 21.

¹ Wallace (1870) obtained this pairing in 1869 but no larvae hatched from eggs.

² Tutt (1906) noted that in about 1870 the French sericulturist Bigot also obtained the Antheraea hybr. perny-yama (pernyi (GUÉRIN-Méneville, 1855) x yamamai (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861)) and its reciprocal cross, Antheraea hybr. inversa (yamamai (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861) x pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855)), the progeny being magnificent moths.

perny-yama [pernyi (Guérin-Méneville, 1855) x yamamai (Guérin-Méneville, 1861)]

Tutt (1906): A Natural History of the British Lepidoptera, Vol. V p. 25.^{1,2,3,4}

Notes:

¹ Tutt (1906) reported that eggs of the pairing *pernyi* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) x *yamamai* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861) by Wallace proved fruitful. Part of these eggs were handed to Chapman who obtained the only adult of this pairing, a female. This was given to Bond in 1876 and exhibited by him (Ent. Mo. Mag., VII: p. 263; the remark that it was reared by Wallace being an error). Tutt noted that this female being the first hybr. *perny-yama* successfully reared.

² Tutt (1906) noted that in about 1870 the French sericulturist Bigot also obtained the Antheraea hybr. perny-yama (pernyi (GUERIN-Méneville, 1855) x yamamai (GUERIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861)) and its reciprocal cross, Antheraea hybr. inversa (yamamai (GUERIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861) x pernyi (GUERIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855)), the progeny being magnificent moths.

³ Tutt (1906) noted that in 1878 Bourdier obtained further fruitful pairings between *pernyi* (GUÉRIN-Méneville, 1855) and *yamamai* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861) (*Antheraea* hybr. *perny-yama*).

⁴ Names proposed for hybrids are excluded from the provisions of the ICZN (1999) Art. 1.3.3.

perny-yama TUTT [pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) x yamamai (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861)] [the authorship of pernyyama remains unknown; error in authorship]

Bollow, in Seitz (ed.) (1932): Gross-Schmett. Erde, Suppl. 2: p. 130.1

¹ Names proposed for hybrids are excluded from the provisions of the ICZN (1999) Art. 1.3.3.

pernyama [pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) x yamamai (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861)] ["misspelling" of pernyyama] Bourdier (1876)* Entomol. Monthly Mag., VII: p. 263.¹

Notes:

¹ Names proposed for hybrids are excluded from the provisions of the ICZN (1999) Art. 1.3.3. We have to point out that the citation of Bourdier (1876): Entomol. Monthly Mag., 7⁻ p. 263, in secondary literature is erroneous because this page does not contains any contribution on the genus *Antheraea* HUBNER, 1819 ("1816").

perny-yama BOUDIER [yamamai (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861) x pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855)] [the authorship of pernyyama remains unknown, likely error in authorship, most likely the author of pernyyama is Bourdier (1875* or 1876*)]

Bollow, in Seitz (ed.) (1932): Gross-Schmett. Erde, Suppl. 2: p. 130.¹

Notes:

¹ Names proposed for hybrids are excluded from the provisions of the ICZN (1999) Art. 1.3.3.

perny-yama BOURD.[BOURDILLON] [yamamai (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861) x pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855)] [the authorship of pernyyama remains unknown, most likely the author of pernyyama is Bourdier (1875* or 1876*)]

von Froreich (1942): Zeitschr. d. Wr. E. V., XXVII: p. 269.1

Notes:

¹ Names proposed for hybrids are excluded from the provisions of the ICZN (1999) Art. 1.3.3.

perny-yama BOURD. [BOURDIER] [pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) x yamamai (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861)] [the authorship of pernyyama presently remains unknown, most likely the author of pernyyama is Bourdier (1875* or 1876*)]

Cockerell, *in* Packard (1914): Mem. Natl. Acad. Sci. (Washington), 12 (1): p. 201.¹

Notes:

¹ Names proposed for hybrids are excluded from the provisions of the ICZN (1999) Art. 1.3.3.

perny-yama BOURDILLON [pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) x yamamai (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861)] [the authorship of pernyyama presently remains unknown, most likely error of authorship, most likely the author of pernyyama is Bourdier (1875* or 1876*)]

Gardiner (1982): The Amateur Entomologist, 12: p. 50.1

Notes:

¹ Names proposed for hybrids are excluded from the provisions of the ICZN (1999) Art. 1.3.3.

perny-yama Bourdier f. *ornatrix* VON FROREICH, 1942 [infrasubspecific; the authorship of *pernyyama* presently remains unknown, most likely the author of *pernyyama* is Bourdier (1875* or 1876*)]

von Froreich (1942): Zeitschr. d. Wiener Entomologen-Vereins, XXVII: pp. 270, 272, pl. XXVI fig. 3 [female allotype] [recte female, no type specimen].¹

Notes:

¹ The description based on a yellow color variant, which was known to von Froreich in a single female specimen only. According to the ICZN (1999) Art. 45.6.4. the name *ornatrix* is considered of infrasubspecific rank and therefore excluded from zoological nomenclatur according to the ICZN (1999) Art. 1.3.4. Von Froreich erroneously designated a female allotype, which is illustrated on pl. 26, fig. 3. The provisions and recommendations of the ICZN (1999) Chapter 16 are not applicable. Furthermore the term "allotype" may be only used to designate among

paratypes a specimen of opposite sex to the holotype. Authors are recommended to avoid using the term "allotype" for specimens other than paratypes, cf. ICZN (1999) Art. 72. Rec. 72A. and Glossary. Names proposed for hybrids are excluded from the provisions of the ICZN (1999) Art. 1.3.3.

Antheraea yamamai (Guérin-Méneville, 1861) x Antheraea pernyi (Guérin-Méneville, 1855)

Tutt (1906): A Natural History of the British Lepidoptera, Vol. V p. 25.¹

Jordan, in Seitz (ed.) (1911): Gross-Schmett. Erde, II: p. 217

Seitz (1918): Die Seidenzucht in Deutschland: pp. vii, 64, 128-130.²

Bock & Pigorini, in Herzog & Oberlies (edits.) (1938): Technologie der Textilfasern, Vol. VI.. p. 20.

Notes:

¹ Tutt (1906) noted that in about 1870 the French sericulturist Bigot also obtained the Antheraea hybr. perny-yama (pernyi (GUÉRIN-Méneville, 1855) x yamamai (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861)) and its reciprocal cross, Antheraea hybr. inversa (yamamai (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861) x pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855)), the progeny being magnificent moths.

² Seitz (1918) mentioned the vernacular name Sakusan for Antheraea pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) from Japan.

Antheraea yamamai (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861) x Antheraea pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) and reciprocals

Wardle (1880): Journ. R. Soc. Arts, 1879–1880: p. 218.¹ Jolly, Sen, Sonwalkar & Prasad (1979): non-mulberry silks: pp. 83, 116.² Jolly (1980): Distribution and Differentiation in *Antheraea* species: p. 11.

Notes:

¹ Wardle (1880) remarked that a cross between *yamamai* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861) and *pernyi* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) is a great success in France. It is so hardy that hatching is said to take place at freezing point.

² Jolly et al. (1979) noted that crosses produce healthy F_1 progenies, the adults were sterile. The authors remarked that the partial fertility of *yamamai* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861) x *pernyi* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861) and reciprocals indicates a close relationship between these species

and a parallel course of evolution. The number of chromosomes is given (p. 119) in the F_1 hybrid of *pernyi* x *yamamai* were 30, 60, 63, 68, and 69. This configuration has not been explained, since in case of sterility due to total lack of pairing the number of chromosomes at F_1 would have been 80.

inversa [yamamai (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861) x pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855)]

Tutt (1906): A Natural History of the British Lepidoptera, Vol. V p. 25.^{1,2}

Notes:

¹ Tutt (1906) noted that in about 1870 the French sericulturist Bigot also obtained the Antheraea hybr. perny-yama (pernyi (GUÉRIN-Méneville, 1855) x yamamai (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861)) and its reciprocal cross, Antheraea hybr. inversa (yamamai (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861) x pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855)), the progeny being magnificent moths.

² Tutt (1906: 25) noted that Berce also obtained examples of the *Antheraea* hybr. *inversa*, and nineteen of theese cocoons came into the possession of Wailly in 1875 (Entom., XIII: pp. 154 ff.), only one female emerged, which was paired with a male of *pernyi* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855).

inversa **TUTT** [*yamamai* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861) x *pernyi* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855)]

Gardiner (1982): The Amateur Entomologist, 12: p. 50.¹

Notes:

¹ Names proposed for hybrids are excluded from the provisions of the ICZN (1999) Art. 1.3.3.

inversa TUTT [pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) x yamamai (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861)] [misinterpretation]

Bollow, *in* Seitz (ed.) (1932): Gross-Schmett. Erde, Suppl. 2: p. 130.¹ Notes:

¹ Names proposed for hybrids are excluded from the provisions of the ICZN (1999) Art. 1.3.3.

inversa TUTT. [sic]] [pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) x yamamai (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861)] [misinterpretation]

Cockerell, in Packard (1914); Mem. Natl. Acad. Sci. (Washington), 12 (1): p. 201.¹

Notes:

¹ Names proposed for hybrids are excluded from the provisions of the ICZN (1999) Art. 1.3.3.

pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) x inversa [pernyi (GUÉRIN-

MÉNEVILLE, 1855) x (yamamai (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861) x pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855))]

Wailly (1880): Entom., XIII: pp. 154 ff.; cf. Tutt (1906)

Tutt (1906): A Natural History of the British Lepidoptera, Vol. V p. 25^{1}

Notes:

¹ Tutt (1906) noted that Berce also obtained examples of the Antheraea hybr. inversa, and nineteen of theese cocoons came into the possession of Wailly in 1875 (Entom., XIII: pp. 154 ff.), only one female emerged, which was paired with a male of pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855). The first instar larvae is reported to be entirely black like those of pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) and bearing no resemblance to those of vamamai (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1861). No further descriptions were given by Wailly.

Antheraea adamtziki (yamamai (Guérin-Méneville, 1861 x pernyi melaina JOHN, 1928)

Niepelt (1931): Intern. Entom. Zeitschr. Guben XXIV (48): p. 504.¹ Notes:

¹ Names proposed for hybrids are excluded from the provisions of the ICZN (1999) Art. 1.3.3.

Antheraea polyphemus (CRAMER, 1775) x Antheraea pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855)

Voelschow (1902): Die Zucht der Seidenspinner pp. 47, 55. Collins & Weast (1961): Wild silk moths of the United States: p. 115.

Antheraea pernyi (GUERIN-MENEVILLE, 1855) x Antheraea polyphemus (CRAMER, 1775)

Girard (1822): Traité d'Entom., III (1): pp. 79, 535.¹ Wallace (1870)* Ent. Mo. Mag., VI: p. 267; cf. Tutt (1906) Wailly (1880)* Entom., XIII: pp. 154 ff., cf. Tutt (1906) Tutt (1906): A Natural History of the British Lepidoptera, Vol. V p. 25.^{2,3}

Notes:

¹ Girard (1822) reported on a copula between *pernyi* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) and *polyphemus* (CRAMER, 1775).

² Tutt (1906) remarked that Wallace (1870): Ent. Mo. Mag., VI: p. 267, records a pairing obtained in 1869 between *pernyi* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) and *polyphemus* (CRAMER, 1775).

³ Tutt (1906) noted that in 1880 Wailly observed (Entom., XIII: pp. 154 ff.), that, for several years he has obtained crossings between *pernyi* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) and *polyphemus* (CRAMER, 1775), but that, in every case, the eggs had proved infertile.

Antheraea polyphemus (CRAMER, 1775) x Antheraea oculea (NEUMOEGEN, 1883) and reciprocals

- Collins & Weast (1961): Wild silk moths of the United States: pp. 51, 114-115.¹
- Tuskes, Tuttle & Collins (1996): The wild silk moths of North America: p. 179.²

Notes:

¹ Collins & Weast (1961) reported on a crossing of a male *polyphemus* (CRAMER, 1775) (New Jersey stock) with a wild female *polyphemus* form *oculea* (NEUMOEGEN, 1883) [misinterpretation] in Pima County, Arizona. The resulting females were mated to wild Wiscounsin males [no taxon name given, but most likely *polyphemus*] and produced viable ovae. The *oculea* traits were lost in the first generation.

² Tuskes et al. (1996) reported on extensive hybridization experiments. On the basis of these experiments the authors interpreted the reduced fertility in 17 consecutive hybrid crosses between nominate *polyphemus* (CRAMER, 1775) and *oculea* (NEUMOEGEN, 1883) as supporting the elevation of *oculea* from subspecies to species status.

Inter-subspecific pairings in Antheraea HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816")

Antheraea pernyi (Guérin-Méneville, 1855) x Antheraea roylii Moore, 1859

Wailly (1882): Society of Arts, London: p. 131.

Kirby (1882)*: Proc. Ent. Soc. London: p. vii; cf. Tutt (1902): p. 293.

Rondot (1887): l'Art de la Soie, I: pp. 148, 230.

Moore [recte Wailly] (1896)* Ent., XXIX: p. 237; cf. Tutt (1906): p. 26 [error in authorship of Wailly (1896)]

Voelschow (1902): Die Zucht der Seidenspinner: p. 47.

Tutt (1902): A Natural History of the British Lepidoptera, Vol. III: p. 293, 294.¹

Leefmans (1930): De Tropische Natuur, (5/6): p. 94.

Baxter (1992): Rearing wild silkmoths: p. 21.

Notes:

¹ Tutt (1902) noted that Kirby (1882): Proc. Ent. Soc. London, XXIX: p. VII, described the hybrid between *pernyi* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) and *roylii* MOORE, 1859. Kirby's description of the hybrid was repeated by Tutt (1902).

Antheraea kirbyi, TUTT [pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) x roylii MOORE, 1859]

Tutt (1902): A Natural History of the British Lepidoptera, Vol. III: pp. 293-294.^{1,2}

Notes:

¹ We have to point out that according to the ICZN (1999) Glossary, the progeny of two individuals belonging to different taxa are hybrids. Names proposed for hybrids are excluded from the provisions of the ICZN (1999) Art. 1.3.3. The taxonomic status of the Indian *roylii* MOORE, 1859 and the Chinese *pernyi* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) is considered not determined thus far.

² Tutt (1902) refered to Kirby (1882): Proc. Ent. Soc. London, p. vii, who described the hybrid *pernyi* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) x *roylii* MOORE, 1859.

Antheraea kirbyi TUTT, 1902 [pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) x roylii MOORE, 1859]

Jordan, *in* Seitz (ed.) (1911): Gross-Schmett. Erde, II: p. 217¹ Seitz (1918): Die Seidenzucht in Deutschland: pp. vii, 65, 131–132.^{1,2} Gardiner (1982): The Amateur Entomologist, 12: p. 50.¹

Notes:

¹ We have to point out that according to the ICZN (1999) Glossary, the progeny of two individuals belonging to different taxa are hybrids. Names proposed for hybrids are excluded from the provisions of the ICZN (1999) Art. 1.3.3. The taxonomic status of the Indian *roylii* MOORE, 1859 and the Chinese *pernyi* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) is considered not determined thus far.

 2 Seitz (1918) already noted that the crossing probably not resulted in hybrids since the parents belong to the same species.

Antheraea kirbyi TUTT. [pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) x roylii MOORE, 1859] [misspelling of Tutt]

Cockerell, *in* Packard (1914): Mem. Natl. Acad. Sci. (Washington), 12 (1): p. 201.¹

Notes:

¹ We have to point out that according to the ICZN (1999) Glossary, the progeny of two individuals belonging to different taxa are hybrids. Names proposed for hybrids are excluded from the provisions of the ICZN (1999) Art. 1.3.3. The taxonomic status of the Indian *roylii* MOORE, 1859 and the Chinese *pernyi* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) is considered not determined thus far.

Antheraea proylei Jolly, 1973 [pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) x roylii MOORE, 1859] and reciprocals

- Arora & Gupta (1979): Mem. Zool. Survey of India, Vol. 16 (pt. 1): p. 1.^{1, 2}
- Jolly, Sen, Sonwalkar & Prasad (1979): non-mulberry silks: pp. 5, 30, 91, 94, 96, 98-99, 101-107, 110-112, 114-116, 118-119, 130-131, 133; figs. (either line drawings or pictures) fig. 2 [territorial spread of non-mulberry sericulture in India], fig. 100 [follicular imprints], fig. 101a [pattern on head capsule], fig. 102a [cocoon], fig.

103a [fore and hind wings of male adults], fig. 104a [fore and hind wings of female adults], fig. 105a [ocelli], and fig. 110 [chromosome pairing].^{1,3}

Jolly (1980): Distribution and Differentiation in Antheraea species: 11¹ Notes:

¹ We have to point out that the taxonomic status of the Indian roylii MOORE, 1859 and the Chinese pernvi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) is considered not determined thus far Names proposed for hybrids are excluded from the provisions of the ICZN (1999) Art. 1.3.3.

² Arora & Gupta (1979) erroneously remarked that the old hybrid names kirbyi TUTT, 1902 and moorei TUTT, 1902 have precedence over proylei JOLLY, 1973. We have to point out that names proposed for hybrids are excluded from the provisions of the ICZN (1999) Art. 1.3.3. and therefore one hybrid name cannot have precedence over another hybrid name of the same parental taxa.

³ Jolly et al. (1979) discussed on general aspects of the non-mulberry silk industry, part of the manual deals with the economically important successful hybridization of the unecological indigenous Indian roylii MOORE, 1859 with the Chinese pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855). The resulting hybrid, Antheraea provlei JOLLY, 1973, proved to excel both parents in all economic characters and thus gave rise to temperate tasar culture in India. Jolly noted that the hybrid provlei has already undergone over twenty successful generations without registering any noteworthy deterioration and has become established as a population. Erroneously he continued that in view of this, the provisional nomenclature for the hybrid population, provlei, could be confirmed as a distinct species. Jolly et al. (1979) noted that crosses produce fully fertile progenies, despite the disparity in the chromosome numbers of its parental species. Cytological investigations of the cross revealed 30 chromosomal units at F1, 32, 42, 44, and 48 at F₂ and 34, 42, 46 and 49 in the back cross (roylii x pernyi) x pernyi.

Antheraea proylei JOLLY

Peigler (1993): Am. Entomol., 39 (3): p. 154.¹

Notes:

¹ Peigler (1993) noted that despite of the different chromosome numbers of pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) and roylii MOORE, 1859, crosses from both reciprocals have been reared through many generations. The

hybrid has been dubbed Antheraea proylei JOLLY, but this name has no standing in zoological nomenclature.

Antheraea "proylei" [pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) x roylii MOORE, 1859]

Peigler & Wang (1996): Saturniid Moths of Southeastern Asia: p. 227¹ Notes:

¹ Peigler & Wang (1996) remarked that the hybrid produce silk called "oak tasar" or "temperate tasar" in the sub-Himalayan belt.

Antheraea bikirbyi von FROREICH, 1938 [& (pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE,

1855) x *roylii* MOORE, 1859) x φ(*pernyi* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) x *roylii* MOORE, 1859)]

von Froreich (1938): Entomologische Rundschau mit Societas entomologica (Stuttgart), 55 (38): pp. 437-441, 479-483; 12 figs. on 2 monochr.-pls.^{1, 2, 3}

Brosch, Naumann, L. H. Paukstadt, U. Paukstadt, Tcherniak & Beeke (1999): galathea Suppl. 6: p. 43.^{4,5}

Notes:

¹ von Froreich (1938) remarked that according to his rearing experiments the taxa *pernyi* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) and *roylii* MOORE, 1859 most probably be true hybrids and therefore *pernyi* and *roylii* are to be considered to be distinct species.

² Two males in Zoologisches Museum der Humboldt-Universität (ZMHU), Berlin, are erroneously labeled "cotype" and "Antheraea bikirby Fruhs." Von Froreich erroneously designated as type specimens each one male and female specimen.

³ We have to point out that according to the ICZN (1999) Glossary, the progeny of two individuals belonging to different taxa are hybrids. Names proposed for hybrids are excluded from the provisions of the ICZN (1999) Art. 1.3.3. The taxonomic status of the Indian *roylii* MOORE, 1859 and the Chinese *pernyi* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) is considered not determined thus far.

⁴ Brosch et al. (1999) remarked that the hybrid name *bikirby* is not available according to the provisions of the ICZN (1985), even if later a

description of *bikirby* is found. The description of *bikirby* was not before the authors when the article was in preparation.

⁵ We have to point out that according to the ICZN (1999) Art. 1.3.3. names proposed for hybrid specimens as such are excluded from the provisions of the Code but the availability of a name is not affected even if it is applied to a taxon known, or later found, to be of hybrid origin, cf. ICZN (1999) Art. 17.2.

Antheraea bikirbyi f. royloides VON FROREICH, 1938

von Froreich (1938): Entomologische Rundschau mit Societas entomologica (Stuttgart), 55 (38): p. 481.^{1, 2}

Notes:

¹We have to point out that according to the ICZN (1999) Glossary, the progeny of two individuals belonging to different taxa are hybrids as such. Names proposed for hybrids are excluded from the provisions of the ICZN (1999) Art. 1.3.3. The taxonomic status of the Indian *roylii* MOORE, 1859 and the Chinese *pernyi* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) is considered not determined thus far.

² The name *royloides* was given for specimens of hybrid origin which are close to *roylii* MOORE, 1859.

Antheraea bikirbyi f. pernyoides VON FROREICH, 1938

von Froreich (1938): Entomologische Rundschau mit Societas entomologica (Stuttgart), 55 (38): p. 481.^{1, 2}

Notes:

¹ We have to point out that according to the ICZN (1999) Glossary, the progeny of two individuals belonging to different taxa are hybrids. Names proposed for hybrids are excluded from the provisions of the ICZN (1999) Art. 1.3.3. The taxonomic status of the Indian *roylii* MOORE, 1859 and the Chinese *pernyi* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) is considered not determined thus far.

² The name *pernyoides* was given for specimens of hybrid origin which are close to *pernyi* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855).

Antheraea roylii MOORE, 1859 x Antheraea pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855)

- Wailly (1884?)* Ent. Mo. Mag., XXI: p. 115; cf. Tutt (1906)
- Wailly (1881)*: Ent., XIV⁻ p. 246; cf. Cotes (1891)
- Wailly (1896)* Ent., XXIX: pp. 235 ff., cf. Tutt (1902): p. 293, and cf. Tutt (1906): p. 26.
- Moore [recte Wailly] (1896)* Ent., XXIX: p. 237; cf. Tutt (1902): p. 293 [error in authorship of Wailly (1896)].
- Wailly (1881): Bull. Soc. d'Acclim., p. 13.
- Rondot (1887): l'Art de la Soie: p. 230.
- Cotes (1891). Indian Mus. Notes, II (2): p. 79. [note]
- Tutt (1902): A Natural History of the British Lepidoptera, Vol. III: pp. 293, 294.¹
- Tutt (1906): A Natural History of the British Lepidoptera, Vol. V p. 26.^{2,3}
- Lampe (1985): Malayan Saturniidae. From the Cameron & Genting Highlands. A guide for collectors: p. 14.

Notes:

¹ Tutt (1902) noted that Wailly (Ent., XXIX: pp. 235 ff.) records the rearing of hybrids between *roylii* MOORE, 1859 and *pernyi* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855). The hybrid *roylii* x *pernyi* was described by Moore [**recte** Wailly (1896)] (Ent., XXIX: p. 237). Wailly's description of the hybrid was repeated by Tutt (1902).

² Tutt (1906) remarked that in 1884 Wailly exhibited (Ent. Mo. Mag., XXI: p. 115) hybrids between *roylii* MOORE, 1859 and *pernyi* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855).

³ Tutt (1906) noted that Wailly (Ent. XXIX: pp. 235 ff.) gives a full account to the *Antheraea* hybr. *roylii* MOORE, 1859 x *pernyi* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855), stating that the hybrids are perfectly fertile, and notes that, at the end of three years, they show no signs of degeneracy.

Antheraea moorei, TUTT [roylii MOORE, 1859 x pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855)]

Tutt (1902): A Natural History of the British Lepidoptera, Vol. III: pp. 294.^{1,2}

¹ We have to point out that according to the ICZN (1999) Glossary, the progeny of two individuals belonging to different taxa are hybrids. Names proposed for hybrids are excluded from the provisions of the ICZN (1999) Art. 1.3.3. The taxonomic status of the Indian *roylii* MOORE, 1859 and the Chinese *pernyi* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) is considered not determined thus far.

² Tutt (1902) refered to Moore [recte Wailly (1896)] (1896): Ent., xxix, p. 237, who described the hybrid *roylii* MOORE, 1859 x *pernyi* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855).

Antheraea moorei TUTT, 1902 [roylii MOORE, 1859 x pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855)]

Jordan, *in* Seitz (ed.) (1911): Gross-Schmett. Erde, II: p. 217¹ Seitz (1918): Die Seidenzucht in Deutschland: pp. vii, 65, 131.^{1, 2, 3} Gardiner (1982): The Amateur Entomologist, 12: p. 50.¹ Arora & Gupta (1979): Mem. Zool. Survey of India, Vol. 16 (pt. 1): p.

Notes:

¹ We have to point out that according to the ICZN (1999) Glossary, the progeny of two individuals belonging to different taxa are hybrids. Names proposed for hybrids are excluded from the provisions of the ICZN (1999) Art. 1.3.3. The taxonomic status of the Indian *roylii* MOORE, 1859 and the Chinese *pernyi* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) is considered not determined thus far.

 2 Seitz (1918) noted that the crossing probably not resulted in hybrids since the parents belong to the same species.

³ We have to point out that according to the ICZN (1999) Glossary, the progeny of two individuals belonging to different taxa are hybrids. That means, contrary to the ICZN (1985), that the progeny are hybrids even if the parents belong to different subspecies.

Antheraea moorei TUTT. [roylii MOORE, 1859 x pernyi (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855)] [misspelling of Tutt]

Cockerell, in Packard (1914): Mem. Natl. Acad. Sci. (Washington), 12 (1): p. 201.¹

¹ We have to point out that according to the ICZN (1999) Glossary, the progeny of two individuals belonging to different taxa are hybrids. Names proposed for hybrids are excluded from the provisions of the ICZN (1999) Art. 1.3.3. The taxonomic status of the Indian *roylii* MOORE, 1859 and the Chinese *pernyi* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855) is considered not determined thus far.

Antheraea [pernyi] form hartii MOORE, 1892 x Antheraea roylii MOORE, 1859 [misinterpretation]

Baxter (1992): Rearing wild silkmoths: p. 21.1

Notes:

¹ We have to point out that the name *hartii* presently is considered to be a junior synonym of *pernyi* (GUÉRIN-MÉNEVILLE, 1855).

```
Antheraea mylitta (DRURY, 1773) x Antheraea sivalensis HUTTON, 1861
and reciprocals
```

Jolly, Sen, Sonwalkar & Prasad (1979): non-mulberry silks: pp. 74, 83.^{1,2}

Jolly (1980): Distribution and Differentiation in Antheraea species: p. 11:²

Notes:

¹ Jolly et al. noted that crosses produce fully fertile progenies. *A. mylitta* (Drury, 1773) and *sivalensis* Hutton, 1861 resemble each other in so many aspects, including chromosome number, that *sivalensis* is often considered a variant or synonym of *mylitta*.

² We have to point out that *sivalensis* HUTTON, 1861 presently is considered a junior synonym of *mylitta* (DRURY, 1773).

Inter-sexual pairings in Antheraea HÜBNER, 1819 ("1816")

Antheraea mylitta (DRURY, 1773) & x Antheraea mylitta (DRURY, 1773) &

Chaudhuri & Sinha (1997): News of the Lep. Soc., 39 (3): p. 39.¹

Notes:

¹ Chaudhuri & Sinha (1997) reported on an unusual male-male pairing in *mylitta* (DRURY, 1773).

Verfasser:

Ulrich Paukstadt & Laela Hayati Paukstadt Knud-Rasmussen-Strasse 5 D-26389 Wilhelmshaven, Germany phone +49 4421 82451 fax +49 4421 87208 e-mail: ulrich.paukstadt@t-online.de www.saturniidae-mundi.de

Ulrich Brosch Mühlenstrasse 22 D-32479 Hille, Germany e-mail: ulrich.brosch@saturniidae-mundi.de www.saturniidae-mundi.de

ZOBODAT - www.zobodat.at

Zoologisch-Botanische Datenbank/Zoological-Botanical Database

Digitale Literatur/Digital Literature

Zeitschrift/Journal: Galathea, Berichte des Kreises Nürnberger Entomologen e.V.

Jahr/Year: 2000

Band/Volume: 9_Supp

Autor(en)/Author(s): Paukstadt Ulrich, Brosch Ulrich, Paukstadt Laela Hayati

Artikel/Article: <u>Preliminary Checklist of the Names of the Worldwide Genus</u> Antheraea Hübner, 1819 ("1816") (Lepidoptera: Saturniidae) 1-59