Description of the intertidal aleocharine

*Halorhadinus sawadai* sp.n. from Japan,
with notes on the genus *Halorhadinus* SAWADA, 1971
(Coleoptera: Staphylinidae)
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Abstract

*Halorhadinus sawadai* sp.n. (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae: Aleocharinae) is described. It was collected from gravel beaches of the Sea of Japan (Honshū). A revised key of *Halorhadinus* SAWADA, 1971 is presented based on its general appearance. *Halorhadinus* species are confined to gravel beaches in western Japan and southern Korea. Currently, *Halorhadinus* (SAWADA, 1971) is classified as Liparocephalini, but the monophyly of the tribe is doubtful. *Halorhadinus* might be closely related to *Bryothisma*, and is tentatively regarded as a member of Myllaenini.
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Introduction

The diverse habitats of the Japanese coasts, which extend from the sub-arctic northeast (Etorofu-tō [Iturup], Hokkaidō) to the sub-tropic southwest (Yonaguni-jima, Okinawa), host a rich intertidal insect fauna (KAWAI & TANIDA 2005, SAWADA 1995). Since SAWADA’s (1955, 1956, 1971, 1991) initial systematic frameworks, additional taxa of the intertidal aleocharine fauna in Japan have been discovered (AHN & ASHE 1995, AHN et al. 1999, MARUYAMA & AHN 2000a, 2000b, ASSING & MARUYAMA 2002, MARUYAMA 2002, MARUYAMA et al. 2008) and it is suspected that there are further discoveries to be made.

Recently, M. Hayashi collected a particularly large (>5.0 mm) specimen of the genus *Halorhadinus* (SAWADA, 1971); it is one of the largest intertidal aleocharine species in the world. It was found underground, on gravel beaches of the Sea of Japan, a habitat unexplored by researchers of intertidal insects. Until now, two intertidal species of *Halorhadinus*, *H. aequalis* (SAWADA, 1971) and *H. inaequalis* (SAWADA, 1971), were known from Japan and Korea.

This paper describes the new species and presents a revised key and the bionomics of *Halorhadinus*. While it has been classified as either Myllaenini (MOORE & LEGNER 1976) or Diglottini (PACE 1999), *Halorhadinus* was most recently placed in Liparocephalini based on morphological data (AHN 2001). However, the monophyly of Liparocephalini is supported by several characteristics (AHN 2001, 2004, AHN & ASHE 1996, LESCHEN et al. 2002) that are considered to be highly homoplastic and observed in many other aleocharines. In addition, we discuss the systematic position of *Halorhadinus* in the light of phylogenetic problems regarding Liparocephalini.
Material, methods, and abbreviations

The specimens discussed in this paper were obtained during faunistic research conducted in Shimane-ken, Japan (KAWAKAMI & HAYASHI 2007) and from material submitted by our colleagues. The terminology of the microstructures largely follows SAWADA (1972) and MARUYAMA (2006). The technical procedures are adapted from those used by MARUYAMA (2006). The number of setae and pores in the descriptions refer to one side of the body. The specimens examined have been deposited in the following collections.

cSaw Private collection of Kohei Sawada, Osaka
HOWP Hoshizaki Institute for Wildlife Protection, Izumo
KUM The Kyûshû University Museum, Fukuoka
NHM The Natural History Museum, London
NMW Naturhistorisches Museum Wien

Halorhadinus SAWADA, 1971


SUPPLEMENTARY DESCRIPTION: Body length 2.9–5.5 mm. Lateral setae of epipharynx long, almost as long as lateral setae on labrum [illustrated on dorsal side of labrum in AHN (2001)]. Labial apodeme long, as long as palpus, and its lateral lobes connected at base. Median lobe of aedeagus with “athetine bridge” (SEEVERS 1978), internal sac with complicated sclerites that are partly exposed from apex of median lobe.

REMARKS: AHN (2001) redescribed the genus in detail based on the two known species of the genus. He regarded the contiguous mesocoxal cavities as an important character state of the genus, but in H. sawadai the mesocoxal cavities are well separated by the process of the mesoventrite. Therefore, the contiguous mesocoxal cavities should be excluded from the generic diagnosis.

AHN (2001) provided a key to the species of the genus based on mouthparts and genital characteristics. However, the members of the genus, including the present new species, are easily distinguished by states of general appearances. A revised key of the genus is presented, and each species is diagnosed with more facile distinguishing characters.

Key to species of Halorhadinus based on general appearance

1 Fore body brown. Antennae shorter than fore body length; antennal segments VIII–X as long as wide or slightly longer than wide. Elytra much wider than pronotum. ........ inaequalis (Figs. 5–6)
– Fore body pale brown. Antennae longer than fore body length; antennal segments VIII–X twice as long as wide. Elytra almost as wide as pronotum......................................................... 2

2 Body ca. 3.5–3.8 mm. Head semicircular, with frons gently rounded ............... aequalis (Figs. 3–4)
– Body ca. 4.6–5.5 mm. Head elongate, with frons strongly protruding.............. sawadai (Figs. 1–2)

Halorhadinus sawadai sp.n.
(Figs. 1–2, 7–20)

Type locality: Japan, Honshû, Shimane-ken.

**Halorhadinus sawadai** sp.n. from Japan (STAPHYLINIDAE)

**DIAGNOSIS:** Body ca. 4.6–5.5 mm; fore body and apex of abdomen pale brown; head flattened above, elongate, with frons protruding; antennae long, longer than fore body length; antennal segments VIII–X twice as long as wide; labrum long, almost circular, its anterior margin rounded; left and right mandibles with two teeth and no tooth respectively; lacinial spines irregular in shape and size; elytra almost as wide as pronotum.

**DESCRIPTION:** Body (Figs. 1–2) elongate, flattened. Fore body and apex of abdomen pale brown; antennae, mouthparts and legs yellowish brown. Head flattened above, elongate, with frons protruding; antennae long, longer than fore body length; antenna with all segments elongate; segments II–V almost equal in length; segment X twice as long as wide. Labrum (Fig. 7) hypertrichious, long, almost circular, its anterior margin rounded, moderately covered with minute pseudopores; epipharynx with six long lateral setae. Left mandible (Fig. 8) with two teeth, crenulate between teeth and apex; right mandible (Fig. 9) without tooth, crenulate. Lacinia (Fig. 10) with spines irregular in shape and size. Mentum (Fig. 11) trapezoidal and its anterior margin deeply emarginate, hypertrichious, densely covered with pseudopores except for lateral margins. Labium (Figs. 12–14): prementum with one setal pore and two real pores, no pseudopores; segment I of palpus (combined actual I and II, Fig. 13) with setae situated basally; segment II of palpus (actual III) with three pores; ligula (Fig. 14) as long as 2/3 of segment I, with three pairs of sensilla. Pronotum (Fig. 1) as long as wide, widest near anterior margin, finely and densely punctate, densely covered with long decumbent setae, and with 8–10 macrosetae on anterior and lateral margins. Elytra parallel-sided, widest at middle, narrowed apically, almost as wide as pronotum, punctuation and setation as on pronotum, but setae longer, and with five or six macrosetae laterally. Abdomen widest at segment IV; tergites III–VI densely and somewhat roughly punctate except for basal depressions.

**Male:** Tergite VIII (Fig. 15) slightly narrowed posteriorly, with 10–13 macrosetae; sternite VIII with posterior margin rounded, with 30–35 macrosetae. Median lobe of aedeagus (Figs. 17–18) with apical lobe sinuate in lateral view and apex protruding. Apical lobe of paramere (Fig. 19) with two long setae near base and two short setae at apex of inner side.

**Female:** Tergite VIII (Fig. 16) narrowed posteriorly, with 8–10 macrosetae; sternite VIII with posterior margin roundly emarginate medially, with 20–25 macrosetae. Spermatheca (Fig. 20) coiled at base.

**MEASUREMENTS:** Head width, 0.66–0.71 mm; pronotal length, 0.77–0.83 mm; pronotal width, 0.77–0.83 mm; elytral width, 0.81–0.88 mm; hind tibial length, 0.96–1.02 mm.

**DISTRIBUTION:** Shimane-ken, western Japan (Fig. 21).

**ETYMOLOGY:** Dedicated to Dr. Kohei Sawada for his invaluable contributions to the systematics and morphology of Aleocharinae including Asian intertidal species.

*Halorhadinus aequalis* SAWADA, 1971

(Figs. 3–4)


**DIAGNOSIS:** Body ca. 3.5–3.8 mm; fore body and apex of abdomen pale brown; head flattened above, semicircular, with frons gently rounded; antennae long, longer than fore body length; antennal segments VIII–X twice as long as wide; labrum transverse, its anterior margin truncate;...
left and right mandibles with one tooth and two teeth respectively; lacinial spines almost same in shape and length; elytra almost as wide as pronotum.

DISTRIBUTION: Western Japan (Fig. 21), southern Korea.

_Halorhadinus inaequalis_ SAWADA, 1971
(Figs. 5–6)


DIAGNOSIS: Body ca. 2.9–3.5 mm; body brown; head slightly convex above, semicircular, with frons generalized; antennae short, shorter than fore body length; antennal segments VIII–X as long as wide or slightly longer than wide; labrum semicircular, its anterior margin rounded; left and right mandibles with one tooth and no tooth respectively; lacinial spines irregular in shape and size; elytra much wider than pronotum.

DISTRIBUTION: Western Japan (Fig. 21), southern Korea.

**Bionomics of Halorhadinus**

Three species of _Halorhadinus_ were collected from the intertidal zones of gravel beaches (Figs. 22–25). This zone of the shoreline is characterized by unsorted gravel, cobblestone, and pebbles that are supported by a matrix composed of very coarse sand and granules. At a gravel beach in Fukuura, where only _H. inaequalis_ was found, the ground is rich in shells. _Halorhadinus_ beetles live in spaces between the gravel and matrix. Most intertidal staphylinids, such as _Bryothinusa_ CASEY, 1904, _Diaulota_ CASEY, 1904, and _Thinobius_ KIESENWETTER, 1844 species, are minute, measuring 1.5–2.5 mm in body length. They live under deeply settled stones on sandy or muddy tidal flats, or in small, dead barnacles on rocks where the spaces are very small. This could be a constraint on their body size. By contrast, the members of _Halorhadinus_ are generally large, particularly _H. sawadai_. In their habitat, the open spaces of the matrix are much larger than those under stones on tidal flats or dead barnacles, which allows for the larger body size of _Halorhadinus_ species.

In Shimane, _Halorhadinus_ beetles are often found together with centipedes (Geophilomorpha), the earwig _Anisolabis maritima_, the bug _Speovelia maritima_, the cricket _Caconemobius sazanami_, and _Luciogobius_ fishes, although the microhabitat of each species varies slightly. In Nagashima, Yamaguchi, _H. aequalis_ was found together with many _Bryothinusa tsutsuji_ (Aleocharinae), a few _Thinobius_ sp. (Oxytelinae), and _Luciogobius_ gobies (Nakase, personal communication). These intertidal animals are considered indicators of natural shores, and some _Luciogobius_ species are found only on clean gravel beaches.

Current records show that _Halorhadinus_ species are scattered throughout western Honshu (Fig. 21). Although _Halorhadinus_ beetles may be confined to well-preserved environments, research on intertidal insects has not yet focused on gravel beaches as collecting sites; a focus on such sites will likely result in the discovery of further localities in western Japan, as well as in Shikoku and Kyûshû. AHN (2001) reported _Halorhadinus_ species that were collected from a sandy beach together with _Cafius_ (Staphylininae), but this may be a misinterpretation or accidental record. In Japan, no specimen of _Halorhadinus_ has been found in sandy beaches, which are considered an unsuitable habitat for them.
Figs. 1–6: Habitus, dorsal (left) and ventral (right) views, 1–2) Halorhadinus sawadai sp.n., holotype, 3–4) H. aequalis, 5–6) H. inaequalis. Scale bar: 1.0 mm.
Figs. 7–10: Halorhadinus sawadai sp.n., mouthparts, 7) labrum, setae and pseudopores in left and right sides respectively, 8) left mandible, apical half, dorsal view, 9) right mandible, apical half, dorsal view, 10) lacinia, apical part, ventral view. Scale bars: 0.1 mm.
Figs. 11–14: Halorhadinus sawadai sp. n., mouthparts, 11) mentum, dorsal view, 12) labium, dorsal view, 13) part of prementum and base of labial palpus, 14) ligula. Scale bars: 0.1 mm.
Figs. 15–20: *Halorhadinus sawadai* sp.n., 15) male tergite VIII, dorsal view, 16) female tergite VIII, dorsal view, 17) median lobe of aedeagus, holotype, lateral view, 18) same, parameral view, 19) apical lobe of paramere, 20) spermatheca. Scale bars: 0.1 mm.
Fig. 21: Distribution of Halorhadinus species in Japan, *H. sawadai* (black star), *H. aequalis* (black circle), *H. inaequalis* (black diamond).

Systematic position of Halorhadinus

Based on the resemblance of their mouthparts, SAWADA (1971) postulated that Halorhadinus is closely related to the genus Bryothinusa (CASEY, 1904) of the tribe Myllaenini. MOORE & LEGNER (1976) followed SAWADA (1971) and placed Halorhadinus in Myllaenini. PACE (1999) reclassified the genus as a Diglottini, along with Bryothinusa and others, indicating that he also regarded Halorhadinus as closely related to Bryothinusa. By contrast, AHN (2001) transferred Halorhadinus to the tribe Liparocephalini.

In their first attempt to describe the phylogeny of Liparocephalini, AHN & ASHE (1996) listed four character states as autapomorphies of the tribe: 1) the absence of seta V on the mentum; 2) the uniform distribution of setae on the lacinia; 3) the presence of one medial seta on the labium; and 4) contiguous mesocoxal cavities. However, these characteristics are not unique to Liparocephalini, and even tend to vary within tribes of Aleocharinae, including Homalotini, a possible relative of Liparocephalini (AHN & ASHE 1996). Subsequent analyses by AHN (2001, 2004) and LESCHEN et al. (2002) changed the autapomorphies of the tribe on using different outgroups. Consequently, their phylogenetic evidence appears to be unreliable and the monophyly of Liparocephalini is doubtful.

AHN (2001) placed Halorhadinus in Liparocephalini using the following similarities: contiguous mesocoxal cavities, galea with setae only on the mesal surface, and apex with setae. However, these characteristics are homoplastic. The new species, H. sawadai, is characterized by clearly separated mesocoxal cavities, while the other states agree well with the genus concept. The separation of the mesocoxal cavities is probably related to the length or thickness of the legs. In Aleocharinae, long- and stout-legged species tend to have large coxae and their mesocoxal cavities tend to be contiguous or very narrow (Maruyama, unpublished data). Intertidal aleocharines generally have long, stout legs (and long tarsal claws) that are well-adapted to intertidal habitats with tide changes and wave turbulence, and their mesocoxal cavities tend to be contiguous.

AHN (2001) also mentioned that the distribution of the lacinial setae, the ligular shape, the mentum shape, and the gland opening on tergite VII in Halorhadinus are not observed in either Myllaenini or Diglottini. However, we believe that the distribution of the lacinial setae, ligular shape, and gland opening on tergite VII are unreliable diagnostics and even vary within some tribes of Aleocharinae; the mentum shape of Halorhadinus is more similar to that of Bryothinusa than to the core members of Liparocephalini, namely the species of the type genus Liparocephalus and its obvious relative Diaulota.

Like SAWADA (1971), we consider Halorhadinus to be more closely related to Bryothinusa than to the core members of Liparocephalini. This is supported by the following characteristics: 1) fused labial palpomeres I and II elongate and 2) narrowing apically; 3) setae are distributed in the basal part of the labial palpus; and 4) the lacinial spines are distributed separately. However, many convergent characteristics are observed in intertidal and coastal aleocharines. This is especially evident in the mouthparts, which are considered the most definitive characteristics for the systematics of Aleocharinae. Therefore, phylogenetic analyses based on morphological data have a number of limitations. Ultimately, phylogenetic analyses based on molecular data will help to give a better idea of the systematic position of Halorhadinus. We tentatively regard Halorhadinus as a member of Myllaenini, as first suggested by SAWADA (1971).
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