Identification of regional chorotypes of vascular plants by means of hierarchical cluster analysis based on Floristic Mapping of Austria

Angelika Billensteiner¹ & Harald Niklfeld^{2†}

1 Hauptplatz 10, 3150 Wilhelmsburg, Austria; e-mail: angelika@billensteiner.com

2 Department of Botany and Biodiversity Research, University of Vienna, 1030 Vienna, Austria

† Deceased

A b s t r a c t: This study aims to identify regional chorotypes (floristic elements) of the vascular flora of Austria using quantitative methods. The analysis is based on more than 2 million validated observation records of the project "Floristic Mapping of Austria" and was performed by hierarchical cluster analysis. Species of similar distribution were grouped into clusters and for each cluster of similar species, the main area of distribution was identified and the binding degree of each assigned species was evaluated. A subsequent characterisation of the revealed regional chorotypes was performed by calculating statistical key figures and other parameters. At the cutlevel of 30 identified clusters, the assigned species of 24 clusters showed a satisfactory degree of binding to the clusters. The chorotypes are presented and visualised.

K e y w o r d s : vascular flora of Austria; identification of regional chorotypes; distribution patterns; floristic elements; hierarchical cluster analysis; Floristic Mapping of Austria

Zusammenfassung: Identifizierung von regionalen Arealtypen der Gefäßpflanzen mittels hierarchischer Clusteranalyse auf Grundlage der Floristischen Kartierung Österreichs

Das Ziel dieser Studie ist die Identifizierung von regionalen Arealtypen der Gefäßpflanzenflora Österreichs mittels quantitativer Methoden. Die Analyse basiert auf über 2 Millionen validierten Kartierungsangaben der "Floristischen Kartierung Österreichs" und wurde mittels hierarchischer Clusteranalyse durchgeführt. In ihrer Verbreitung ähnliche Arten wurden zu Clustern gruppiert. Es wurde der Verbreitungsschwerpunkt jedes Clusters identifiziert und es wurde der Bindungsgrad aller zum Cluster zugewiesenen Arten berechnet. Eine darauffolgende Charakterisierung der so ermittelten regionalen Arealtypen erfolgte mittels statistischer Kennzahlen und anderer Parameter. Bei einem Cutlevel von 30 identifizierten Clustern zeigten die Arten von 24 Clustern einen zufriedenstellenden Bindungsgrad an den jeweiligen Cluster. Die solcherart identifizierten Arealtypen werden vorgestellt und visualisiert.

Introduction

In floristic plant geography, the identification of floristic regions and floristic elements (or chorotypes) is a major objective (McLAUGHLIN 1994). In biogeography, the terms "floristic element" and also "chorotype" have different meanings. In this study, the use of the term "regional chorotype" follows the proposal of S. Fattorini and indicates a group of species with similar distributions within a certain region (FATTORINI 2015).

46 A. BILLENSTEINER & H. NIKLFELD

Previously, the identification of chorotypes was done manually by comparing and grouping distribution maps based on their similarity. In the 1970s, H. Haeupler calculated the similarity of occurrences between species utilizing the chi-square method and presented the result in a constellation diagram and a dendrogram (HAEUPLER 1974). BIRKS (1976) delimited floristic regions and floristic elements by ordination (principal component analysis) and minimum-variance cluster analysis with a modified Jaccard's coefficient as dissimilarity measurement based on distribution data in a 5° latitude and 6° longitude grid reference system of 144 European pteridophyte species. POLDINI & MARTINI (1995) carried out a typification of distribution patterns using quantitative analyses in north-eastern Italy. FINNIE & al. (2007) identified floristic elements in the European vascular flora based on data derived from the "Atlas Florae Europaeae", using a 50×50 km UTM grid system, of approximately 2,800 species. The clusters of species were identified by complete linkage clustering and the Jaccard's coefficient as similarity measurement. Small clusters were eliminated by forcing them to link with bigger clusters and reallocation of the species to the redefined clusters. WASOWICZ & al. (2014) analysed the distribution patterns of vascular plants native to Iceland in a 10×10 km reference grid system based on approximately 500,000 floristic observation records. The clusters were calculated with the statistical programme Spherikm (available from https://www.brc.ac.uk/biblio/spherikm-computer-program-clustering-columns-and -rows-occurrence-matrix-using-weighted), which uses spherical k-means clustering (HILL & al. 2013). PRESTON & al. (2011, 2013a) identified the distribution patterns of British and Irish liverworts, hornworts, and mosses and followed the methods of FINNIE & al. (2007). PRESTON & al. (2013b) also classified distribution patterns of the British and Irish vascular flora on a 10 km square scale based on 1,405 species and 1,510,290 observation records by perpendicular spherical k-means clustering as implemented in Spherikm. GATTO & COHN-HAFT (2021) used spatial analyses for the identification of chorotypes and proposed spatial congruence analysis (SCAN), which is based on polygons (shape files) rather than on grid cells as species range maps.

Further methods have been used for pattern recognition in biogeographical data. For example, VILHENA & ANTONELLI (2015) used network clustering to reveal biogeographical regions and compared the results with a similarity approach (β -similarity, UPGMA cluster method). NAM (network analysis method) was used to analyse sympatry networks (Dos Santos & al. 2008, 2012) and areas of endemism (TORRES-MIRANDA & al. 2013). C. Szumik and colleagues determined areas of endemism based on an optimality criterion (SZUMIK & al. 2002, SZUMIK & GOLOBOFF 2004).

Hitherto, typification of chorotypes of the vascular plant flora in Austria was based on expert judgement. A first quantitative identification of regional chorotypes of the vascular plant flora of Austria based on data from the "Floristic Mapping of Austria" was performed in 2020 (BILLENSTEINER 2020) by means of hierarchical cluster analysis with subsequent assessment of the clusters and is repeated in the present study with an enhanced and updated data set. The recognized chorotypes will be presented and characterized.

Data and Methods

Biogeographical analysis requires reliable distribution data. The current study is based on the data of the "Floristic Mapping of Austria". This project aims to comprehensively record vascular plants in Austria (NIKLFELD & al. 2008, 2021) and was initiated by F. Ehrendorfer and U. Hamann in the 1960s (EHRENDORFER & HAMANN 1965). It is embedded in a Central European framework and is based on grid cells (3' longitude, 5' latitude, average area of 35 km²) as a topographic reference unit (NIKLFELD 1971, 1997). The analysis included all native (incl. archaeophytic) species that are recorded from at least 1% of all grid cells in Austria (at least 26 grid cells). Thus, more than 2 million validated observation records of 1,932 vascular plant species (infraspecifica taxa were merged into the respective species) were included in this investigation (data as of January 2021).

The taxonomy and nomenclature follow the checklist "Liste der Gefäßpflanzen Österreichs" (EHRENDORFER 1967, GUTERMANN & NIKLFELD 1973) and later revisions (GILLI & al. 2019).

Based on the observation records, the distribution of each species was determined (presence/absence in grid cells). To recognize regional chorotypes, species with similar distributions were grouped into clusters. For this purpose a hierarchical agglomerative cluster analysis with the statistical software R (R CORE TEAM 2021) was performed using the fastcluster package (MÜLLNER 2013). As cluster algorithm, the Ward method (minimum-variance method) was applied by using Euclidean distance as similarity measurement. For comparison, the complete linkage method (furthest-neighbour method) was applied to the same dataset by using the Dice index (Sørenson index), the Jaccard index, and the Euclidean distance (JOHNSTON 1976, BACHER & al. 2010).

The main area of distribution was calculated for each cluster: In a first step the cell(s) with the most species (n_{max}) were identified. In a second step the main area of distribution of a cluster was defined as the set of all grid cells that harbour at least 50% of the species found in the n_{max} cell.

Subsequently, a binding degree f_{link} was calculated for all 1,932 species to indicate how strongly a species is linked to a cluster. The regional chorotype is defined as the group of species with binding degree f_{link} of at least 50 and is visualised as map.

The binding degree f_{link} is defined as follows:

$$f_{\text{link}} = \frac{p_{\text{tax}} \cdot p_{\text{main}}}{100}$$
$$p_{\text{tax}} = \frac{cnt_{\text{taxmain}}}{cnt_{\text{tax}}}$$
$$p_{\text{main}} = \frac{cnt_{\text{taxmain}}}{cnt_{\text{main}}}$$

48 A. Billensteiner & H. Niklfeld

$f_{ m link}$	Binding degree of species X to a cluster (scale from 0 to 100).
$p_{\rm tax}$	Percentage of grid cells occupied by species X that belong to the main area of the cluster compared to the total distribution of the species in Austria.
$p_{ m main}$	Percentage of grid cells occupied by species X that belong to the main area of the cluster compared to the total number of grid cells in the main distribution area.
$cnt_{taxmain}$	Number of grid cells occupied by species X that belong to the main area of the cluster.
cnt_{tax}	Number of grid cells occupied by species X in Austria, i. e. the total distribution of the species in Austria.
cnt_{main}	Number of grid cells in main distribution area of the cluster.

The following parameters ("key figures") are used for the characterisation of the clusters:

Maximum b. d. of speciesMaximum binding degree (b. d.) of the species as- signed to a cluster.Minimum b. d. of speciesMinimum binding degree of the species assigned to a cluster.Average b. d. of speciesAverage binding degree of the species assigned to a cluster.Standard deviation b. d. of speciesStandard deviation of binding degree of the species assigned to a cluster.Number of grid cellsNumber of grid cells with at least one species assigned to a cluster.Number of grid cellsNumber of grid cells in main area of a cluster.Number of species (b. d. ≥ 50) in main areaNumber of species with binding degree of at least 50 of a cluster.	Number of species	Total number of species assigned to a cluster.
Minimum b. d. of speciesMinimum binding degree of the species assigned to a cluster.Average b. d. of speciesAverage binding degree of the species assigned to a cluster.Standard deviation b. d. of speciesStandard deviation of binding degree of the species assigned to a cluster.Number of grid cellsNumber of grid cells with at least one species as- signed to a cluster.Number of grid cellsNumber of grid cells in main areaNumber of species (b. d. ≥ 50) in main areaNumber of species with binding degree of at least 50 of a cluster.	Maximum b. d. of species	Maximum binding degree (b. d.) of the species as- signed to a cluster.
Average b. d. of speciesAverage binding degree of the species assigned to a cluster.Standard deviation b. d. of speciesStandard deviation of binding degree of the species 	Minimum b. d. of species	Minimum binding degree of the species assigned to a cluster.
Standard deviation b. d. of speciesStandard deviation of binding degree of the species assigned to a cluster.Number of grid cellsNumber of grid cells with at least one species as- signed to a cluster.Number of grid cellsNumber of grid cells in main area of a cluster.Number of species (b. d. ≥ 50) in main areaNumber of species with binding degree of at least 50 of a cluster.	Average b. d. of species	Average binding degree of the species assigned to a cluster.
Number of grid cellsNumber of grid cells with at least one species assigned to a cluster.Number of grid cellsNumber of grid cells in main area of a cluster.Number of species (b. d.Number of species with binding degree of at least 50 in main area of a cluster.Percentage of speciesPercentage of species with binding degree of at least 50 of a cluster.	Standard deviation b.d. of species	Standard deviation of binding degree of the species assigned to a cluster.
Number of grid cellsNumber of grid cells in main area of a cluster.in main areaNumber of species (b. d. ≥ 50) in main areaNumber of species with binding degree of at least 50 in main area of a cluster.Percentage of speciesPercentage of species with binding degree of at least 50 of a cluster.	Number of grid cells	Number of grid cells with at least one species as- signed to a cluster.
Number of species (b. d.Number of species with binding degree of at least 50 in main area ≥ 50) in main area50 in main area of a cluster.Percentage of species b. d. ≥ 50 Percentage of species with binding degree of at least 50 of a cluster.	Number of grid cells in main area	Number of grid cells in main area of a cluster.
Percentage of speciesPercentage of species with binding degree of at leastb. $d. \ge 50$ 50 of a cluster.	Number of species (b. d. ≥ 50) in main area	Number of species with binding degree of at least 50 in main area of a cluster.
	Percentage of species $b. d. \ge 50$	Percentage of species with binding degree of at least 50 of a cluster.

The parameter "overall assessment" (*oa*) of a cluster takes the following figures into account: percentage of species of a cluster with a minimum binding degree of 50 (ps_{50}), the maximum (cf_{max}) and the average (cf_{avg}) binding degree of the species assigned to a cluster. This parameter has a scale from 0 to 100 and is calculated as the mean of these three values:

$$oa = (cf_{max} + cf_{avg} + ps_{50}) / 3$$

For each regional chorotype, the main areas, the main altitudinal zones and the characteristic habitats were determined based on the distribution of the species by bibliographical references (NIKLFELD 1973, 1979, 2013, BOBEK 1975, MEUSEL & al. 1978, SAUBERER & GRABHERR 1995a, 1995b, ELLENBERG 1996, WILMANNS 1998, BORSDORF 2005, MOSER & al. 2005, FISCHER & al. 2008, SAUBERER & al. 2017, MÜLLER & al. 2021), topographical and geological maps and literature (GEOLOGISCHE BUNDESANSTALT 2013, SCHUSTER 2015, BRÜGGEMANN-LEDOLTER & al. 2018, LINNER & al. 2018, BUNDESAMT FÜR EICH- UND VERMESSUNGSWESEN 2024).

The comparison of the clustering methods and similarity measurements was mainly done based on the binding degree of the species. The best clustering method and similarity index is the one with the largest number of species assigned to a cluster with a binding degree of at least 50.

To test the stability of the recognized regional chorotypes, the cluster analysis was repeated with a reduced data set. Only native species with a distribution in at least 5% of the grid cells (at least 130 grid cells) were included, which resulted in a reduced dataset of 1,367 species (71%). It was verified, that this change in the input dataset does not have a massive impact on the result (BACHER & al. 2010).

Results and Discussion

Different cluster methods and distance measures resulted in quite similar chorotypes, nevertheless, the comparison of the assigned species and the binding degrees proved the better suitability of the Ward method than the complete linkage method, as most species with a binding degree of at least 50 were provided by the Ward method in combination with the Euclidean distance. The better performance of the Ward method was also observed for biogeographical regionalisation on the same dataset (BILLENSTEINER & NIKLFELD 2021) and different datasets by H. Kreft and W. Jetz, who compared ordination, different clustering methods and similarity measures with the aim of identifying biogeographical regions (KREFT & JETZ 2010). Subsequently, the results of the Ward method are described.

At cutlevel 30, 601 of 1,932 species were assigned to clusters with a binding degree of at least 50 (see electronic supplement: Fig. S1). The clusters were relatively equally sized apart from 3 clusters, to which many species with a low binding degree were as-

signed (see electronic supplement: Fig. S2). At cutlevel 30, 24 clusters contained species with a minimum binding degree of 50. For 6 clusters no species with a binding larger than 50 could be found.

Stability tests, which were carried out on a reduced set of data with 71% of the species, provided satisfying results. At cutlevel 30, 27 regional chorotypes (visualised as map) were matching, and 536 of 601 species with a minimum binding degree of 50 were assigned to the same cluster.

The results were compared with the former master thesis (BILLENSTEINER 2020), which was based on fewer floristic observation records (data as of December 2017). At cutlevel 30, 26 regional chorotypes were matching and out of the matching chorotypes, 73% of the species with a minimum binding degree of 50 were assigned to the same cluster. The concordance increases with the binding degree. For example, 85% of the species were assigned to the same cluster, if considering species with a minimum binding degree of 75.

Plate 1 to Plate 19 visualise and describe the cluster main areas, the resulting regional chorotypes and the cluster key figures at cutlevel 30 with an overall assessment of at least 40 scores by using the Ward method in combination with the Euclidean distance. The maps of the regional chorotypes are presented as cumulative distribution maps of the assigned species. The natural regions are outlined according to the natural landscape classification of Austria by SAUBERER & GRABHERR (1995a). The main altitudinal zones are marked with dark blue arrows in the section "Altitude", whereas light blue arrows indicate zones with less frequent occurrences.

Regional chorotype A (Plate 1) includes common species that are widely distributed in Austria. Many of these species occur in ruderal or otherwise anthropogenically influenced habitats. Widely distributed and common species from the colline to the montane zone are assigned to chorotype B (Plate 2), whereas chorotype C (Plate 3) includes common species of various habitats from the colline to the subalpine zone. Many species of chorotype D (Plate 4) are calcicolous, distributed from the colline to the montane zone of the Northern and the Southern Calcareous Alps, the Klagenfurt Basin, the eastern Waldviertel (most eastern part of the Northern Granite and Gneiss Highland) and other regions to a lower extent. Chorotype E (Plate 5) includes substrate-indifferent species of various habitats with main distribution area in all ranges of the Alps, whereas species of moist to wet, mostly acid habitats from the submontane to the subalpine zone are assigned to chorotype F (Plate 6). The main distribution of chorotype G (Plate 7) is the subalpine to alpine zone. The assigned species occur on various substrates and have various moisture requirements. Chorotype H (Plate 8) includes species of primary grasslands over calcareous bedrock, scree vegetation, nutrient-poor grassland and snowbed communities from the subalpine to the alpine and partly subnival zone. Species of mostly acid habitats in the Central Alps are assigned to chorotype I (Plate 9), with main distribution from the subalpine to the alpine zone, and to chorotype J (Plate 10) with main distribution in the alpine to partly the subnival zone. The main areas of chorotype K (Plate 11) are the Northern and Southern Alps from the montane to the alpine zone, and the

assigned species are specific to calcareous bedrock. Species of chorotype L (Plate 12) are mainly distributed in the Northern and Southern Alps as well. They are calcicolous and are distributed mainly in the montane to subalpine zone, but compared to chorotype D only to a lower extent in the colline and the submontane zone. Chorotype M (Plate 13) includes many ruderal species that are widely distributed from the planar-colline to montane zone like in the Prealpine Forelands, Klagenfurt Basin, Pannonian region and mainly the eastern part of the Northern Granite and Gneiss Highland. Species assigned to chorotype N (Plate 14) are mainly distributed in the planar-colline to montane zone in the Prealpine Forelands, in the Klagenfurt Basin and in the Pannonian region, but less present in the Northern Granite and Gneiss Highland except for the easternmost part. Species of chorotype O (Plate 15) are mostly calcifuges, distributed from the colline to montane zone of the Prealpine Forelands, the Klagenfurt Basin and the Northern Granite and Gneiss Highland. The main area of chorotype P (Plate 16) is the Prealpine Foreland, the Klagenfurt Basin and the Pannonian plains. The species are distributed mainly in the planar-colline zone, often in anthropogenic habitats, reaching into the montane belt. Species of chorotype Q (Plate 17) are distributed from the planar-colline to montane zone, often in more or less calcareous habitats in the Calcareous Alps, in parts of the Forelands, the Pannonian region and the easternmost part of the Northern Granite and Gneiss Highland. The main area of chorotype R (Plate 18) is the colline to montane zone of the Calcareous Alps, parts of the Forelands, and easternmost part of the Northern Granite and Gneiss Highland on the border to the Pannonian region. Out of the presented chorotypes, the cluster of chorotype R has the lowest overall assessment. However, this chorotype includes species that mainly occur over limestone in deciduous forests ("Edellaubwälder"), indicating nutrient-rich and calcareous soils. Chorotype S (Plate 19) includes thermophilic species adapted to the Pannonian climate. The main areas are the Pannonian region and surroundings, including the Danube Valley up to Linz.

Conclusions

As a matter of fact, hierarchical cluster analysis groups all species based on their distribution into a predefined number of clusters. Therefore, a subsequent assessment of the assigned species with a binding degree to the cluster is necessary. When carrying out manual classification by comparing and grouping distribution maps based on their similarity a residual set of apparently indifferently distributed species remains. With this statistical approach, a residual number of species with a low binding degree is left over. The binding degree of species to the recognized cluster increases with the number of clusters, therefore a further analysis with an increased number of clusters would result in more chorotypes of higher binding degrees. The cumulative distribution maps of the regional chorotypes and the main areas of the clusters coincide, which confirms the suitability of the assessment method of the assigned species by binding degree.

Regional Chorotype A (Cluster 4): Widely distributed



Plate 1: Regional Chorotype A: Map and characteristics. — **Tafel 1:** Regionaler Arealtyp A: Kartendarstellung und Kenndaten.

Regional Chorotype B (Cluster 20): From colline to montane



Plate 2: Regional Chorotype B: Map and characteristics. — **Tafel 2:** Regionaler Arealtyp B: Kartendarstellung und Kenndaten.

Regional Chorotype C (Cluster 10): From colline to subalpine



Plate 3: Regional Chorotype C: Map and characteristics. — **Tafel 3:** Regionaler Arealtyp C: Kartendarstellung und Kenndaten.

Regional Chorotype D (Cluster 1): Calcareous Alps, Klagenfurt Basin, parts of the Forelands and eastern Waldviertel – from colline to montane (subalpine)



Altitude	Cluster key figures		Ranking by binding degree ((b. d.)
subnival	Number of species	38	Paris quadrifolia	80
alpine	Maximum b. d. of species	80	Salvia glutinosa	80
➡ subalpine	Minimum b. d. of species	58	Actaea spicata	79
montane	Average b. d. of species	70	Fagus sylvatica	78
submont.	Standard deviation b. d. of species	6.0	Melica nutans s. str.	76
colline	Number of grid cells	2549	Daphne mezereum	76
planar	Number of grid cells in main area	1879	Origanum vulgare s. str.	75
	Number of species in main area	38	Petasites hybridus	75
	Percentage of species b. d. ≥ 50	100%	Alnus incana	75
	Overall assessment	83	Mercurialis perennis s. str.	75
Regions			Habitat / Comments	
Main area from and Southern C lower-mountain viertel (most ea Highland); to a land. In the Par chorotype C).	the colline to the montane zone of the alcareous Alps, in the Klagenfurt Ba part of the Central Alps and the easi stern part of the Northern Granite as lower extent in the Southeastern Preal monian region only in the highest pa	Northern sin, in the tern Wald- nd Gneiss pine Fore- arts (as in	Many species are calcicol occur in deciduous forests o ings over limestone.	es and or clear-

Plate 4: Regional Chorotype D: Map and characteristics. — **Tafel 4:** Regionaler Arealtyp D: Kartendarstellung und Kenndaten.

Regional Chorotype E (Cluster 26): Alps – from submontane to alpine



Plate 5: Regional Chorotype E: Map and characteristics. — **Tafel 5:** Regionaler Arealtyp E: Kartendarstellung und Kenndaten.

Regional Chorotype F (Cluster 30): Alps – from submontane to subalpine



Altitude	Cluster key figures		Ranking by binding degree ((b.d.)
subnival	Number of species	28	Luzula luzulina	60
alpine	Maximum b. d. of species	60	Pinguicula vulgaris	58
subalpine	Minimum b. d. of species	34	Willemetia stipitata	57
montane	Average b. d. of species	47	<i>Carex flava</i> s. str.	56
submont.	Standard deviation b.d. of species	7.5	Blechnum spicant	55
colline	Number of grid cells	2313	Thelypteris limbosperma	55
planar	Number of grid cells in main area	958	Moneses uniflora	55
	Number of species in main area	12	Carex davalliana	54
	Percentage of species b. d. ≥ 50	43%	Lactuca alpina	53
	Overall assessment	50	Carex echinata	51
Regions	·		Habitat / Comments	
Distributed mai grid cell in parts ent in the North	nly in the Alps. Highest number of s s of the Central Alps. Most species are ern Granite and Gneiss Highland.	pecies per e less pres-	Moist to wet, mostly acidic like forests on acidic soils, meadows, fens, and spring Few calcicoles like <i>Carex daw</i>	habitats marshy mires. <i>valliana</i> .

Plate 6: Regional Chorotype F: Map and characteristics. — **Tafel 6:** Regionaler Arealtyp F: Kartendarstellung und Kenndaten.

Regional Chorotype G (Cluster 16): Alps – from (montane) subalpine to alpine



 Regions
 Habitat / Comments

 Mainly distributed in subalpine and alpine regions of the Central Alps, the Northern and the Southern Alps.
 Species occurring on various substrates and having different moisture requirements. Also including pH-indifferent species.

Plate 7: Regional Chorotype G: Map and characteristics. — **Tafel 7:** Regionaler Arealtyp G: Kartendarstellung und Kenndaten.

Regional Chorotype H (Cluster 5): Alps – from subalpine to alpine (subnival)



- Subinvai	Number of species	чJ	restaca pumita	/ -
alpine	Maximum b. d. of species	74	Salix retusa s. str.	70
subalpine	Minimum b. d. of species	31	Myosotis alpestris	69
montane	Average b. d. of species	56	Silene acaulis	69
submont.	Standard deviation b. d. of species	9.2	Hornungia alpina	67
colline	Number of grid cells	1360	Minuartia gerardii	66
planar	Number of grid cells in main area	560	Veronica aphylla	65
	Number of species in main area	35	<i>Carex atrata</i> s. str.	64
	Percentage of species b. d. ≥ 50	78%	Helianthemum alpestre s. str.	64
	Overall assessment	69	Saxifraga androsacea	63
Regions			Habitat / Comments	
Main distribution Lechtal Alps to Hohe Tauern, R gebirge to Totes Southern Calcar	on in the following mountain groups: o Karwendel, Samnaun, eastern Stu adstädter Tauern, Berchtesgaden Alps o Gebirge, Ennstal Alps to Rax-Schneo reous Alps.	: Rätikon, ıbai Alps, s, Tennen- eberg and	Primary grasslands over calca bedrock, scree vegetation, nu poor grassland, snowbed com ties.	trient- muni-

Plate 8: Regional Chorotype H: Map and characteristics. — **Tafel 8:** Regionaler Arealtyp H: Kartendarstellung und Kenndaten.

Regional Chorotype I (Cluster 22): Central Alps – from (montane) subalpine to alpine



Plate 9: Regional Chorotype I: Map and characteristics. — Tafel 9: Regionaler Arealtyp I: Kartendarstellung und Kenndaten.

Regional Chorotype J (Cluster 7): Central Alps – alpine (to subnival)



Plate 10: Regional Chorotype J: Map and characteristics. — **Tafel 10:** Regionaler Arealtyp J: Kartendarstellung und Kenndaten.

Regional Chorotype K (Cluster 12): Northern and Southern Alps – from montane to alpine



Plate 11: Regional Chorotype K: Map and characteristics. — **Tafel 11:** Regionaler Arealtyp K: Kartendarstellung und Kenndaten.

Regional Chorotype L (Cluster 15): Northern and Southern Alps – from (colline/submontane) montane to subalpine



		· —	0.0000	, -
subalpine	Minimum b. d. of species	24	Moehringia muscosa	71
montane	Average b.d. of species	52	Valeriana tripteris	70
▶ submont.	Standard deviation b.d.	13.6	Gymnocarpium robertianum	69
➡ colline	Number of grid cells	2435	Polygala chamaebuxus	69
planar	Number of grid cells in main area	969	Asplenium viride	67
	Number of species in main area	21	Epipactis atrorubens	65
	Percentage of species b. d. ≥ 50	60%	Buphthalmum salicifolium	63
	Overall assessment	61	Carex alba	63
Regions			Habitat / Comments	
Alpine regions of Alps and the co southeastern par Grazer Bergland	of the Northern and Southern Calc entral-alpine Mesozoic, as well as rt of the Central Alps over limeston l or Nockberge) and in the Northern	areous in the e (like n Preal-	Calcicoles of various habitats, moisture requirements, e.g. scr tation, limestone grassland, ca fens, damp-shady rocky slope	various ee vege- lcareous es, rock

Plate 12: Regional Chorotype L: Map and characteristics. — **Tafel 12:** Regionaler Arealtyp L: Kartendarstellung und Kenndaten. Regional Chorotype M (Cluster 18): Prealpine Forelands, Klagenfurt Basin, Pannonian region – from planar-colline to montane



Altitude	Cluster key figures		Ranking by binding degree	(b.d.)
subnival	Number of species	63	Chelidonium majus	84
alpine	Maximum b. d. of species	84	Fallopia convolvulus	83
subalpine	Minimum b. d. of species	42	Lapsana communis	83
montane	Average b. d. of species	71	Myosotis arvensis	83
submont.	Standard deviation b. d. of species	8.8	Convolvulus arvensis	83
colline	Number of grid cells	2502	Daucus carota	82
planar	Number of grid cells in main area	1796	Sonchus oleraceus	81
	Number of species in main area	62	Pastinaca sativa	81
	Percentage of species b. d. ≥ 50	98%	Viola arvensis	81
	Overall assessment	84	Calamagrostis epigejos	81
Regions			Habitat / Comments	
Widely distribut alpine Forelands in the valleys, mainly in the ea tributed in the lo	ed from planar to montane zone like is and Klagenfurt Basin. In the Central A in the Northern Granite and Gneiss I astern part. Compared to chorotype B owlands of the Pannonian Plains.	n the Pre- Alps only Highland also dis-	Many species are ruderal, e. ent-rich arable land, rudera fringes, gardens, and moist places.	g. nutri- l shrub ruderal

Plate 13: Regional Chorotype M: Map and characteristics. — **Tafel 13:** Regionaler Arealtyp M: Kartendarstellung und Kenndaten.

Regional Chorotype N (Cluster 2): Prealpine Forelands, Klagenfurt Basin, Pannonian region and surroundings – from planar-colline to montane



alpine	Maximum b. d. of species	84	Cornus sanguinea	82
subalpine	Minimum b. d. of species	55	Ligustrum vulgare	78
montane	Average b. d. of species	68	Quercus robur	76
submont.	Standard deviation b.d. of species	6.8	Prunus spinosa s. str.	75
colline	Number of grid cells	2345	Calystegia sepium s. str.	75
🕨 planar	Number of grid cells in main area	1483	Rubus caesius	75
	Number of species in main area	41	Clematis vitalba	74
	Percentage of species b. d. >= 50	100%	Viburnum opulus	73
	Overall assessment	84	Polygonatum multiflorum	72
Regions			Habitat / Comments	
Main distributio dry valleys, in gion. Compared Northern Granit	n in the Prealpine Forelands, in the in the Klagenfurt Basin and in the Pan to chorotype P fewer species are pres te and Gneiss Highland, occurring at	ner-alpine nonian re- sent in the lower ele-	Various habitats, mostly with scrub cover.	tree or

Plate 14: Regional Chorotype N: Map and characteristics. — **Tafel 14:** Regionaler Arealtyp N: Kartendarstellung und Kenndaten. **Regional Chorotype O (Cluster 17): Prealpine Forelands, Klagenfurt Basin, Northern Granite and Gneiss Highland – from colline to montane**



RegionsHabitat / CommentsDistributed in the Prealpine Forelands, in the inner-alpine val-
leys, in the Klagenfurt Basin and the Northern Granite and
Gneiss Highland. Less present in the Pannonian region.Mostly calcifuge species of various
habitats.

Plate 15: Regional Chorotype O: Map and characteristics. — **Tafel 15:** Regionaler Arealtyp O: Kartendarstellung und Kenndaten.

Regional Chorotype P (Cluster 28): Prealpine Forelands, Klagenfurt Basin, Pannonian Plains – from planar-colline to submontane



Main distribution in the planar-colline zone, rising up to the montane belt. Mainly distributed in the Prealpine Forelands, some inner-alpine valleys, the Klagenfurt Basin and the Pannonian Plains.

Plate 16: Regional Chorotype P: Map and characteristics. — Tafel 16: Regionaler Arealtyp P: Kartendarstellung und Kenndaten. Regional Chorotype Q (Cluster 29): Calcareous Alps, parts of the Forelands, Pannonian region and surroundings – from planar-colline to montane



Altitude	Cluster key figures		Ranking by binding degree (l	b. d.)
subnival	Number of species	20	Viburnum lantana	72
alpine	Maximum b. d. of species	72	Centaurea scabiosa	71
subalpine	Minimum b. d. of species	51	Sanguisorba minor	69
montane	Average b. d. of species	61	Viola hirta	68
submont.	Standard deviation b. d. of species	6.4	Bromus erectus s. str.	66
colline	Number of grid cells	2367	Medicago falcata	66
planar	Number of grid cells in main area	1391	Convallaria majalis	65
	Number of species in main area	20	Salvia pratensis s. str.	65
	Percentage of species b. d. ≥ 50	100%	Vincetoxicum hirundinaria	62
	Overall assessment	78	Neottia nidus-avis	61
Regions			Habitat / Comments	
Main area in the eastern South Alps, in the Klagenfurt Basin, in the valleys of the lower-mountain part of the Central Alps, in the Grazer Bergland, in the Northern Alps, in the Pannonian region and surroundings, in the Northern Prealpine Foreland, particu- larly on the low terraces of the rivers Traun, Enns and Ybbs, as well as in the inner-alpine dry valleys.		Often more or less calcareou tats, e. g. dry meadows, nutrier grassland, sparse forests.	is habi- nt-poor	

Plate 17: Regional Chorotype Q: Map and characteristics. — **Tafel 17:** Regionaler Arealtyp Q: Kartendarstellung und Kenndaten.

Regional Chorotype R (Cluster 3): Calcareous Alps, parts of the Forelands, and other regions – from colline to montane



Altitude	Cluster key figures		Ranking by binding degree	(b.d.)
subnival	Number of species	30	Euphorbia amygdaloides	61
alpine	Maximum b. d. of species	61	Cyclamen purpurascens	55
subalpine	Minimum b. d. of species	29	Atropa bella-donna	55
montane	Average b. d. of species	44	Galium odoratum	54
submont.	Standard deviation b.d. of species	7.4	Euphorbia dulcis	52
colline	Number of grid cells	2442	Hypericum hirsutum	52
planar	Number of grid cells in main area	864		
	Number of species in main area	6		
	Percentage of species b. d. ≥ 50	20%		
	Overall assessment	42		
Regions			Habitat / Comments	
Main distribution Alps, lower registern Prealpine For In the Northern to the Pannonian highest regions	on in the following regions: Northern C ions of Vorarlberg, Grazer Bergland, oreland (Steirisches Hügelland), Günse Granite and Gneiss Highland only on n region and in the Pannonian region c like Leithagebirge, and Leiser Berge.	Calcareous Southeast- er Gebirge. the border only in the	Various habitats, species wit binding degree occur over li in deciduous forests with e.g. and <i>Acer</i> sp. ("Edellaubwäld with <i>Fagus sylvatica</i> .	h higher mestone <i>Tilia</i> sp. ler"), or

Plate 18: Regional Chorotype R: Map and characteristics. — **Tafel 18:** Regionaler Arealtyp R: Kartendarstellung und Kenndaten.

Regional Chorotype S (Cluster 9): Sub-Pannonic: Pannonian region and surroundings, Danube Valley



alpine	Maximum b. d. of species	82	Falcaria vulgaris	79
subalpine	Minimum b. d. of species	21	Mercurialis annua	70
montane	Average b. d. of species	51	Consolida regalis	70
submont.	Standard deviation b. d. of species	13.6	Berteroa incana	66
colline	Number of grid cells	1800	Centaurea stoebe s.lat.	64
planar	Number of grid cells in main area	438	Lepidium draba	61
	Number of species in main area	15	Scabiosa ochroleuca	60
	Percentage of species b. d. ≥ 50	47%	Lathyrus tuberosus	58
	Overall assessment	60	Descurainia sophia	57
Regions			Habitat / Comments	
Main distributio eastern part of t the border to the Günser Gebirge rivers.	n in the following regions: Pannonia he Northern Granite and Gneiss Hig Pannonian region, Central Burgenlan , Danube valley up to Linz and along	an region, ghland on id, around ; tributary	Thermophilic species adapt Pannonian climate. Various e.g. xerothermic grassland dry meadows, waysides, are and ruderal places.	ed to the s habitats, d, (semi) able land,

Plate 19: Regional Chorotype S: Map and characteristics. — Tafel 19: Regionaler Arealtyp S: Kartendarstellung und Kenndaten. In this study, different hierarchical clustering methods and distance measures showed quite similar chorotypes and proved better suitability of the Ward method in combination with the Euclidean distance measurement based on the binding degree. Nonetheless, applying other pattern recognition methods like network clustering may offer additional insights into the detection of chorotypes.

The distribution of vascular plant species is generally influenced by internal and external factors, like ecological-abiotic, biotic and historical factors (MÜLLER & al. 2021). Conducting a further study on the ecological parameters, such as ecological indicator values adapted to Austria (KARRER 2024) of the regional chorotypes through quantitative analysis, would enhance the characterisation of the recognized chorotypes. This could provide additional insights into the analysis of the factors influencing regional chorotypes of the Austrian vascular plant flora, such as macroclimate, altitude, and edaphic factors.

As the natural landscape classification of Austria by SAUBERER & GRABHERR (1995a) is based on geology, climate, cultural landscape and biogeographical aspects, the chorotypes are related to the natural regions. For example, the chorotypes E to L reflect the association of the assigned species to the alpine regions. Species of the chorotypes M to R are at least partly distributed in the Prealpine Forelands. Chorotype S reflects the association of thermophilous species to the Continental-Pannonian climate and is mainly restricted to the Pannonian region.

Chorotypes A, B, C and M include common species in Austria distributed in nearly all natural regions, but their distribution differs in altitude. Species of chorotype A are distributed widely, whereas chorotype B ranges from the colline to the montane zone. Chorotype M ranges from the planar to the montane zone, and species of chorotype C occur from the colline to the subalpine zone, with the exception of the Pannonian region.

Chorotypes D, K and L include many calcicoles and reflect the association of the assigned species to calcareous bedrock, but differ in altitude. Species of chorotype D are distributed mainly from the colline to montane zone in all natural regions of Austria, whereas species of chorotype L are mainly found from the montane to the subalpine zone in the Alps and species of chorotype K are growing from the montane to alpine zone. In contrast, chorotypes J and O include many calcifuge species. Chorotype J reflects the distribution of calcifuge species in the alpine zone to acidic bedrock, whereas the main distribution of chorotype O ranges from the colline to the montane zone.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the countless contributors to the Floristic Mapping of Austria. This comprehensive overview of the vascular flora of Austria could only be created thanks to the many field surveys, despite all weathers, and the countless hours of data entry and validation. Many thanks to Luise Schratt-Ehrendorfer, Gerald M. Schneeweiss and two anonymous reviewers for their helpful and critical comments on the manuscript.

72 A. Billensteiner & H. Niklfeld

Electronic Supplement

The following data is provided within the electronic supplement:

Fig. S1: Number of species with binding degree of at least 50 at different cutlevels (Ward method and Euclidean distance).

Fig. S2: Cluster dendrogram (aggregation of similar species), branches at cutlevel 30 marked by colour and cluster number (Ward method and Euclidean distance).

The electronic supplement is accessible under following links: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13934418 https://www.zobodat.at/pdf/neil_supp/NEIL_15_FigS1-FigS2.zip

References

- BACHER J., PÖGE A. & WENZIG K. (2010): Clusteranalyse: Anwendungsorientierte Einführung in Klassifikationsverfahren. 3. Aufl. München: Oldenbourg Wissenschaftsverlag. https://doi.org/10.1524/ 9783486710236
- BILLENSTEINER A. (2020): Möglichkeiten statistischer Analysen zur Biogeographie der Gefäßpflanzenflora Österreichs im Rasternetz der Kartierung der Flora Mitteleuropas. – Masterarbeit Univ. Wien. https://doi.org/10.25365/thesis.63821
- BILLENSTEINER A. & NIKLFELD H. (2021): Biogeographical classification of Austria based on hierarchical cluster analysis of vascular plant distributions. – Neilreichia 12: 199–218. https://doi.org/10.5281/ zenodo.5818991
- BIRKS H. (1976): The distribution of European pteridophytes: A numerical analysis. New Phytol. 77: 257–287. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1976.tb01517.x
- BOBEK H. (1975): Österreichs Regionalstruktur im Spiegel des Atlas der Republik Österreich. Mitt. Österr. Geogr. Ges. 117: 3–50.
- BORSDORF A. (Ed.) (2005): Das neue Bild Österreichs: Strukturen und Entwicklungen im Alpenraum und in den Vorländern. 1. Aufl. Wien: Verlag der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften.
- BRÜGGEMANN-LEDOLTER M., BAYER I., RUTHNER J. & STÖCKL W. (2018): Geologische Übersichtskarte der Republik Österreich 1:1500000. https://www.geologie.ac.at/fileadmin/user_upload/dokumente/ Rocky Austria/Graphiken/profile uebersichtskarte oesterreich.pdf [accessed 16 Mar 2024]
- BUNDESAMT FÜR EICH- UND VERMESSUNGSWESEN (2024): Austrian Map Online. https://maps.bev.gv.at/ [accessed 16 Mar 2024]
- Dos SANTOS D. A., FERNÁNDEZ H. R., CUEZZO M. G. & DOMÍNGUEZ E. (2008): Sympatry inference and network analysis in biogeography. – Syst. Biol. 57: 432–448. https://doi.org/10.1080/10635150802172192
- Dos SANTOS D. A., CUEZZO M. G., REYNAGA M. C. & DOMÍNGUEZ E. (2012): Towards a dynamic analysis of weighted networks in biogeography. – Syst. Biol. 61: 240–252. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/ syr098
- EHRENDORFER F. (Ed.) (1967): Liste der Gefäßpflanzen Mitteleuropas. Wien: Verl. Notring d. Wiss. Verbände Österreichs.
- EHRENDORFER F. & HAMANN U. (1965): Vorschläge zu einer floristischen Kartierung von Mitteleuropa. Ber. Deutsch. Bot. Ges. 78: 35–50. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1438-8677.1965.tb02004.x
- ELLENBERG H. (1996): Vegetation Mitteleuropas mit den Alpen in ökologischer, dynamischer und historischer Sicht. – UTB für Wissenschaft: Große Reihe. 5., stark veränderte u. verb. Aufl. – Stuttgart [u. a.]: Ulmer.
- FATTORINI S. (2015): On the concept of chorotype. J. Biogeogr. 42: 2246–2251. https://doi.org/10.1111/ jbi.12589
- FINNIE T. J. R., PRESTON C. D., HILL M. O., UOTILA P. & CRAWLEY M. J. (2007): Floristic elements in

European vascular plants: an analysis based on Atlas Florae Europaeae. – J. Biogeogr. **34**: 1848–1872. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2007.01750.x

- FISCHER M. A., OSWALD K. & ADLER W. (2008): Exkursionsflora für Österreich, Liechtenstein und Südtirol. 3. Aufl. – Linz: Biologiezentrum der Oberösterreichischen Landesmuseen.
- GATTO C. A. F. R. & COHN-HAFT M. (2021): Spatial Congruence Analysis (SCAN): A method for detecting biogeographical patterns based on species range congruences. – PLoS ONE 16: e0245818 (19 pp.). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0245818
- GEOLOGISCHE BUNDESANSTALT (2013): Geologie Österreichs 1:500.000. https://www.data.gv.at/katalog/ dataset/km500-geologie [accessed 14 Mar 2021]
- GILLI C., GUTERMANN W., SCHRATT-EHRENDORFER L., BILLENSTEINER A. & NIKLFELD H. (2019): Liste der Gefäßpflanzen Österreichs. Version 1.0 (4. Februar 2019). https://plantbiogeography.univie.ac.at/ fileadmin/user_upload/p_plantbiogeography/documents/taxaliste_oe_v1.0.pdf [accessed 26 Feb 2019]
- GUTERMANN W. & NIKLFELD H. (Ed.: F. EHRENDORFER) (1973): Liste der Gefäßpflanzen Mitteleuropas. 2. Aufl. – Stuttgart: G. Fischer.
- HAEUPLER H. (1974): Statistische Auswertung von Punktrasterkarten der Gefäßpflanzenflora Süd-Niedersachsens. – Scripta Geobot. 8. – Göttingen: Erich Goltze.
- HILL M., HARROWER C. & PRESTON C. (2013): Spherical k-means clustering is good for interpreting multivariate species occurrence data. – Meth. Ecol. Evol. 4: 542–551. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12038
- JOHNSTON J. W. (1976): Similarity indices I: what do they measure. Richland, WA: Pacific Northwest Laboratories. https://doi.org/10.2172/7256702
- KARRER G. (2024): Ökologische Zeigerwerte der Gefäßpflanzen Österreichs. Stapfia 117: 1–146. https:// doi.org/10.2478/stapfia-2024-0001
- KREFT H. & JETZ W. (2010): A framework for delineating biogeographical regions based on species distributions. J. Biogeogr. 37: 2029–2053. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2010.02375.x
- LINNER M., PESTAL G., SCHUSTER R. & WESSELY G. (2018): Profile und lithotektonische Übersicht zur geologischen Übersichtskarte der Republik Österreich. https://www.geologie.ac.at/fileadmin/user_upload/dokumente/Rocky_Austria/Graphiken/profile_uebersichtskarte_oesterreich.pdf [accessed 24 Sept 2024]
- MCLAUGHLIN S. P. (1994): Floristic plant geography: the classification of floristic areas and floristic elements. – Progr. Phys. Geogr. 18: 185–208. https://doi.org/10.1177/030913339401800202
- MEUSEL H., JÄGER E., RAUSCHERT S. & WEINERT E. (1978): Vergleichende Chorologie der zentraleuropäischen Flora II. Text. – Jena: G. Fischer.
- MOSER D., DULLINGER S., ENGLISCH T., NIKLFELD H., PLUTZAR C., SAUBERER N., ZECHMEISTER H. G. & GRABHERR G. (2005): Environmental determinants of vascular plant species richness in the Austrian Alps. – J. Biogeogr. 32: 1117–1127. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2005.01265.x
- MÜLLER F., RITZ C. M., WELK E. & WESCHE K. (Eds.) (2021): Rothmaler Exkursionsflora von Deutschland. Gefäßpflanzen: Grundband. – Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-61011-4
- MÜLLNER D. (2013): fastcluster: Fast hierarchical, agglomerative clustering routines for R and Python. J. Statist. Software 53: 1–18. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v053.i09
- NIKLFELD H. (1971): Bericht über die Kartierung der Flora Mitteleuropas. Taxon 20: 545–571. https:// doi.org/10.2307/1218258
- NIKLFELD H. (1973): Über Grundzüge der Pflanzenverbreitung in Österreich und einigen Nachbargebieten. – Verh. Zool.-Bot. Ges. Wien **113**: 53–69.
- NIKLFELD H. (1979): Vegetationsmuster und Arealtypen der montanen Trockenflora in den nordöstlichen Alpen. Stapfia 4: 1–229.
- NIKLFELD H. (1997): Mapping the flora of Austria and the eastern Alps. Rev. Valdôtaine Hist. Nat. 51, Suppl.: 53–62.
- NIKLFELD H. (2013): Arealmuster von Pflanzen der Xerothermrasen und ihrer Kontaktgesellschaften im Raum von Wien (Österreich). In BAUMBACH H. & PFÜTZENREUTER S. (Eds.): Steppenlebensräume

74 A. BILLENSTEINER & H. NIKLFELD

Europas – Gefährdung, Erhaltungsmaßnahmen und Schutz: pp. 39–42. – Erfurt: Thüringer Ministerium für Landwirtschaft, Forsten, Umwelt und Naturschutz.

- NIKLFELD H., SCHRATT-EHRENDORFER L. & ENGLISCH T. (2008): Muster der Artenvielfalt der Farn- und Blütenpflanzen in Österreich. – In SAUBERER N., MOSER D. & GRABHERR G. (Eds.): Biodiversität in Österreich: Räumliche Muster und Indikatoren der Arten- und Lebensraumvielfalt: 87–102. – Bern: Haupt.
- NIKLFELD H., SCHRATT-EHRENDORFER L., BILLENSTEINER A., GILLI C. & HOFBAUER M. (2021): Zum aktuellen Stand der Floristischen Kartierung Österreichs. In LUMETSBERGER T. & HÖLTL A. (Eds.): Tagungsband 19. Österreichische Botanik-Tagung: p. 41. Krems: Edition Donau-Universität Krems. https://doi.org/10.48341/wr38-dj17
- POLDINI L. & MARTINI F. (1995): Distribution pattern analysis of the flora of Friuli-Venezia Giulia (North-Eastern Italy). Webbia 49: 193–211. https://doi.org/10.1080/00837792.1995.10670582
- PRESTON C. D., HARROWER C. A. & HILL M. O. (2011): Distribution patterns in British and Irish liverworts and hornworts. – J. Bryol. 33: 3–17. https://doi.org/10.1179/1743282010Y.0000000001
- PRESTON C. D., HARROWER C. A. & HILL M. O. (2013a): A comparison of distribution patterns in British and Irish mosses and liverworts. – J. Bryol. 35: 71–87. https://doi.org/10.1179/1743282012Y.0000000045
- PRESTON C. D., HILL M. O., HARROWER C. A. & DINES T. D. (2013b): Biogeographical patterns in the British and Irish flora. New J. Bot. **3**: 96–117. https://doi.org/10.1179/2042349713Y.000000024
- R CORE TEAM (2021): R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org [accessed 14 Mar 2021]
- SAUBERER N. & GRABHERR G. (1995a): Naturraumzonen. https://www.data.gv.at/katalog/dataset/60a1fd0d -f545-4165-93ff-5f2c223685bf [accessed 23 Jan 2018]
- SAUBERER N. & GRABHERR G. (1995b): Fachliche Grundlagen zur Umsetzung der FFH-Richtlinie in Österreich. Schwerpunkt Lebensräume (Anhang I). – Umweltbundesamt Report R-115. – Vienna: Umweltbundesamt. http://www.umweltbundesamt.at/fileadmin/site/publikationen/R115.pdf [accessed 22 Jan 2018]
- SAUBERER N., PRINZ M. & ESSL F. (2017): Österreichs Klima, Geographie und Landbedeckung im Überblick. Denisia **39**: 27–34.
- SCHUSTER R. (2015): Zur Geologie der Ostalpen. Abh. Geol. Bundesanst. Wien 64: 143–165.
- SZUMIK C. A. & GOLOBOFF P. A. (2004): Areas of endemism: an improved optimality criterion. Syst. Biol. **53**: 968–977. https://doi.org/10.1080/10635150490888859
- SZUMIK C. A., CUEZZO F., GOLOBOFF P. A. & CHALUP A. E. (2002): An optimality criterion to determine areas of endemism. – Syst. Biol. 51: 806–816. https://doi.org/10.1080/10635150290102483
- TORRES-MIRANDA A., LUNA-VEGA I. & OYAMA K. (2013): New approaches to the biogeography and areas of endemism of red oaks (*Quercus* L., section *Lobatae*). Syst. Biol. **62**: 555–573. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syt021
- VILHENA D. A. & ANTONELLI A. (2015): A network approach for identifying and delimiting biogeographical regions. – Nature Commun. 6: 6848 (9 pp.). https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms7848
- WASOWICZ P., PASIERBIŃSKI A., PRZEDPELSKA-WASOWICZ E. M. & KRISTINSSON H. (2014): Distribution patterns in the native vascular flora of Iceland. – PLoS ONE 9: e102916 (14 pp.). https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0102916
- WILMANNS O. (1998): Ökologische Pflanzensoziologie. UTB 269 6. Aufl. Wiesbaden: Quelle & Meyer.

Received 3 April 2024 Revision received 24 September 2024 Accepted 1 October 2024 Published 30 April 2025 © 2025, A. Billensteiner & H. Niklfeld, CC BY 4.0

ZOBODAT - www.zobodat.at

Zoologisch-Botanische Datenbank/Zoological-Botanical Database

Digitale Literatur/Digital Literature

Zeitschrift/Journal: <u>Neilreichia - Zeitschrift für Pflanzensystematik und Floristik</u> Österreichs

Jahr/Year: 2024

Band/Volume: 15

Autor(en)/Author(s): Billensteiner Angelika, Niklfeld Harald

Artikel/Article: Identification of regional chorotypes of vascular plants by means of hierarchical cluster analysis based on Floristic Mapping of Austria 45-74