Corrigenda


After publishing our above mentioned article, we came across two unfortunate errors in the text.

1. *Nyctemera kishidai* nom. nov., page 175, 3rd paragraph: “Female imago (Fig. 28),” should read “Female imago” only. The depicted specimen of *kishidai* (in Fig. 28) is a male. The male and female of this species are very much alike, so there is no need for another distinguishing picture.

2. *Nyctemera luzonensis squalida* subspec. nov., page 178, 6th paragraph: “Uncus of the only male available .”, should read “Uncus of the only dissected male .” There are two males, of which one is the holotype and was used for dissection.
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