Application of two molecular approaches (use of sex attractants and DNA barcoding) allowed to rediscover *Zygaenoprocris eberty* (Alberti, 1968) (Lepidoptera, Zygaenidae, Procridinae), hitherto known only from the female holotype
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**Abstract.** The hitherto unknown males of *Zygaenoprocris (Zygaenoprocris) eberty* (Alberti, 1968) were attracted by sex pheromones in Afghanistan. Conspecificity with the female holotype was confirmed by using DNA barcoding. A description of the male and data on the habitat of this species are provided. A key to the subgenera of the genus *Zygaenoprocris* Hampson, 1900, and the species of the subgenus *Zygaenoprocris* Hampson, 1900, is given.

**Introduction**

The subfamily Procridinae (Lepidoptera, Zygaenidae) includes some genera with externally very similar species, e.g. *Fuscartona* Efetov & Tarmann, 2012 (Efetov 1997a), *Chrysartona* Swinhoe, 1892 (Efetov 2006), *Illiberis* Walker, 1854 (Efetov 1997b; Efetov et al. 2004), *Hedina* Alberti, 1954 (Efetov 1997b; Efetov 2010; Efetov and Tarmann 2012), *Goe* Hampson, 1893 (Efetov 1998), *Adscita* Retzius, 1753 (Efetov 2001b; Efetov and Tarmann 2012), *Jordanita* Verity, 1946 (Efetov 2001b; Efetov and Tarmann 2012) etc. Species of the mentioned genera can often be identified only by examination of genitalic structures (Efetov and Tarmann 1999), chaetotaxy of the first instar larvae (Efetov et al. 2006; Efetov and Hayashi 2008), karyotypes (Efetov 2004; Efetov et al. 2004) or DNA analysis. Some species are known only from the type specimens and sometimes only one sex is known. The identification of material of the other sex needs to be verified by molecular methods. One such genus is *Zygaenoprocris* Hampson, 1900 (Efetov and Tarmann 1994; Efetov 1996; 2001a), which is currently represented by 13 species (Efetov and Tarmann 2012).

Hitherto *Zygaenoprocris eberty* (Alberti, 1968) was known only from the holotype, a female labelled ‘Z.-Afghanistan, Koh-i-Baba, S-Seite Shahtu-Pass, 3000 m, 17.–19.7.1966, G. EBERT leg.’ and collected on the south side of the pass known as Kotal-e Shahtu that crosses the Koh-i
Baba main chain between Panjao and Yakolang (Figs 4, 10). The discovery of the males of this species became possible by the use of two molecular methods: attraction by sex pheromones followed by confirmation of conspecificity of the collected males with the female holotype by DNA barcoding.

Two esters of fatty acids, 2-butyl (7Z)-dodecenoate and 2-butyl (9Z)-tetradecenoate, were found in the female pheromone glands of Illiberis (Primilliberis) rotundata Jordan, 1907 and both (R)- and (S)-enantiomers of each compound were synthesized (Subchev et al. 2010). These compounds and their mixtures were screened in the field and proved to be sex attractants for different species of the genera Illiberis Walker, 1854 (Subchev et al. 2012; 2013), Zygaenoprocris Hampson, 1900 (Efetov et al. 2011), Adscita Retzius, 1783, and Jordanita Verity, 1946 (Efetov et al. 2010; Subchev et al. 2010).

Methods

During an expedition to Afghanistan in 2011, Axel Hofmann, using baits containing the above-mentioned female sex attractants and their mixtures, collected a series of males of Zygaenoprocris eberti in the vicinity of the lakes of Band-i Amir (ca. 40 km north-east of the type-locality) in the central Koh-i Baba in Hazarajat in Afghanistan (Efetov et al. 2012). Male specimens were attracted to (2R)-butyl (7Z)-dodecenoate and the mixture of (2R)-butyl (7Z)-dodecenoate and (2R)-butyl (9Z)-tetradecenoate (Fig. 2). The pin-label data are as follows: “Afghanistan, Prov. Bamiyan, Band-i Amir, Jarkushan N, Canyon, 3130–3280 m, 5.VII. 2011, [15/11], leg. A. HOFMANN”. At this locality Z. eberti was syntopic with Z. chalcochlora Hampson, 1900 (Figs 3, 10). Amongst the 38 collected males 37 were Z. eberti and only one male was Z. chalcochlora. In other localities in Afghanistan which were visited during the same collecting tour by A. Hofmann in 2011, only Z. chalcochlora could be found. Males of the latter species were seen resting (Fig. 9) and actively flying but a clear preference to any of the presented sex attractants could not be verified.

DNA barcodes were obtained by sampling legs from dry specimens. Legs were prepared in the Department of Biological Chemistry of the Crimean State Medical University (Simferopol). All specimens were identified by K. A. Efetov & G. M. Tarmann. PCR amplification and DNA sequencing were performed at the Canadian Centre for DNA Barcoding following standard high-throughput protocols (which can be accessed at http://www.dnabarcoding.ca/page/research/protocols), where all obtained DNA extracts are stored now. All sequences were deposited in GenBank according to the iBOL data release policy. Complete specimen data (images, voucher deposition, geographic coordinates, sequence and trace files) can easily be accessed in the BOLD in public project ZYGMO [http://www.boldsystems.org/index.php/MAS_Management_OpenProject?code=ZYGMO]. Sequence divergences for the barcode region were calculated using the Kimura 2 Parameter model by the analytical tools on BOLD.

Results

The conspecificity of the collected males with the holotype of Zygaenoprocris eberti was confirmed by the analysis of the DNA barcode, 658-bp region of the cytochrome c oxidase I mitochondrial gene (Fig. 5). The treeless habitat at Band-i Amir, Jarkushan N, Hazarajat, 3130–3280
m (Fig. 3), was dominated by *Acantholimon* (Plumbaginaceae), *Cousinia, Artemisia* (Asteraceae) and *Astragalus* (Fabaceae) species. As we know from other *Zygaenoprocris* (*Zygaenoprocris*) species, *Acantholimon* and *Cousinia* may be the larval host-plants for the studied species. We provide below a description of the hitherto unknown male of *Z. eberti*.

**Zygaenoprocris eberti** (Alberti, 1968)

**Description of male** (Fig. 1). Length of body: 5.8–6.5 mm; length of forewing: 8.6–8.9 mm, width: 3.3–3.4 mm; length of antenna: 4.6–5.0 mm. Frons and occiput green with submetallic sheen. Antenna strongly clubbed, thickly covered with shining scales, bipectinate, length of pectination in middle part of antenna 0.7 mm, last segments of antenna with pectination reduced, antennal shaft strongly thickened distally, ratio of width of 4th segment from apex to width of 15th segment is 4. Proboscis well developed, yellow. Tegulae and patagia green with submetallic sheen. Thorax thickly covered with green shiny scales. Forewing upperside bright green with submetallic sheen, thickly covered with shiny scales; underside of forewing grey; fringe grey. Hindwing upper- and underside grey, fringe concolorous. Legs green, thickly covered with shiny scales, foreleg with long tibial epiphysis, hind tibia with one pair of spurs (apical). Abdomen greenish black, thickly covered with shiny scales. The long black hair covers the head, labial palpi, thorax, legs and abdomen.

**Male genitalia** (Figs 6, 8). Uncus heavily sclerotized, nearly equal in length to tegumen. Valva without any process. Juxta long, 1.5 times longer than uncus. Phal1lus slightly curved, long, approximately 3 times longer than uncus, with one long straight cornutus, its length 0.5 times length of phallus, distal part of cornutus very slender, with pointed apex.

**Differential diagnosis.** *Zygaenoprocris eberti* is syntopic and synchronous with *Zygaenoprocris* (*Zygaenoprocris*) *chalcochlora* Hampson, 1900, the type species of the subgenus *Zygaenoprocris* Hampson, 1900. Externally these two species cannot be distinguished but both differ significantly in their genitalia morphology (Figs 6–8; Efetov and Tarmann 1999, figs 108, 166). In the male the phallus is longer in *Z. eberti* and the cornutus is large with a characteristic shape.
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Figure 5. Neighbour-joining tree (K2P) of the DNA barcodes for the different *Zygaenoprocris* (*Zygaenoprocris*) species.

Figure 7. Female genitalia of the holotype of *Procris eberti* Alberti, 1968 (= *Zygaenoprocris eberti*), v – ventral view, d – dorsal view. ‘Z.-Afghanistan, Koh-i-Baba, S-Seite Shahtu-Pass, 3000 m, 17.–19.7.1966, G. EBERT leg.’

(with broad basal part and ending somewhat abruptly in a pointed tip distally). The female of *Z. eberti* has long, broad and strongly curved (twisted) ductus bursae, whereas *Z. chalcochlora* has short, narrow and straight ductus bursae.
Remarks. As shown earlier, males of Zygaenoprocris (Molletia) taftana (Alberti, 1939), were attracted by (2R)-butyl (7Z)-dodecenoate (Efetov et al. 2011). Males of Zygaenoprocris (Zygaenoprocris) eberti were attracted by (2R)-butyl (7Z)-dodecenoate (‘R12’) as well as by the mixture of (2R)-butyl (7Z)-dodecenoate and (2R)-butyl (9Z)-tetradecenoate (‘R12+R14’) (Fig. 2). Of the 38 males that were collected at both attractants 28 were taken around or on the R12 pheromone baits and 10 around or on the baits with the mixture of R12+R14. Of the 13 dissected specimens taken at R12, 12 were Z. eberti and only one Z. chalcochlora. All 10 specimens attracted to R12+R14 were Z. eberti. The collecting time was between 13.15–14.15 hours.

Key to the subgenera of the genus Zygaenoprocris Hampson, 1900

1 Valva extremely narrow (Efetov and Tarmann 1999: figs 113–115; Efetov 2001a: fig. 11) ................................................................. subgenus Molletia Efetov, 2001a
   – Valva broad (Efetov and Tarmann 1999: figs 108–112; Efetov 2001a: figs 10, 12) ........... 2

2 Apex of sacculus with triangular, pointed process (Efetov and Tarmann 1999: figs 111, 112; Efetov 2001a: fig. 12), ductus bursae with sclerotized spines (Efetov and Tarmann 1999: figs 169–171; Efetov 2001a: fig. 15) ....................................................... subgenus Keilia Efetov, 2001a

Figure 10. Distribution map of *Zygaenoprocris eberti* and *Z. chalcocloro* in Afghanistan. Blue dots: *Z. chalcocloro*, yellow dots: *Z. eberti* (at the northern locality of *Z. eberti* this species is syntopic with *Z. chalcocloro*).
Key to the species of the subgenus Zygaenoprocris Hampson, 1900

1 Forewing upperside green, bluish green or coppery, with submetallic sheen Figs 1, 9)..................................................................................................................2
2 Forewing upperside light brown, without submetallic sheen..............................5
3 Cornutus short, slightly sclerotized (Fig. 8d, Efetov and Tarmann 1994: figs 56–60; 1999: fig. 108; Efetov 2001a: fig. 10), ductus bursae not twisted (Efetov and Tarmann 1999: fig. 166; Efetov 2001a: fig. 13)..................................................................................................................3
4 Cornutus long, strongly sclerotized (Figs 6, 8b; Efetov and Tarmann 1999: fig. 109), ductus bursae twisted (Fig. 7; Efetov and Tarmann 1999: figs 167, 168)............................4
5 Papillae anales narrow, apophyses posteriores long (Mollet and Tarmann 2007: fig. 10) ..................................................................................................................Z. (Z.) chalcochlora Hampson, 1900
6 Papillae anales broad, apophyses posteriores short.............................................Z. (Z.) khorassana (Alberti, 1939)

4 Cornutus very long (2.5 times longer than uncus), juxta with sclerotized spines ventrally (Efetov 1996: figs 5, 6; Efetov and Tarmann 1999: figs 109, 109a), ductus bursae narrow, distal part of ductus bursae with smooth walls (Efetov 1996: fig. 7; Efetov and Tarmann 1999: fig. 167)..........................................................................................................................Z. (Z.) rjabovi (Alberti, 1938)
5 Cornutus shorter (only 1.8 times longer than uncus), juxta without sclerotized spines (Fig. 6), ductus bursae broad, distal part of ductus bursae with folded walls (Fig. 7; Efetov 1996: figs 8, 9; Efetov and Tarmann 1999: fig 168)..............................................................Z. (Z.) hofmanni Mollet & Tarmann, 2007
6 Cornutus 6 times shorter than phallus, apex of cornutus obtuse (Mollet and Tarmann 2007: fig. 6).............................................................................................Z. (Z.) efetovi Mollet & Tarmann, 2007
7 Cornutus only 3 times shorter than phallus, apex of cornutus pointed (Mollet and Tarmann 2007: fig. 5).............................................................................................Z. (Z.) efetovi Mollet & Tarmann, 2007
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