

Electrophoretic techniques as a systematic research on Lepidoptera

Emilio Balletto & Giovanni G. Toso

Istituto di Zoologia, Università di Genova, Via Balbi 5, I-16126, Genova, Italy.

Introduction

Species is now often defined as a function of the genetic flow existing between groups of populations. Particularly in the case of 'feeble', allopatric taxa, this formulation, however, can reach a truly operational value only through the determination of the amount of genetic diversity existing between the populations involved. Many of the difficulties for achieving this task have been overcome with the development of electrophoretic techniques. Phenotype, in fact, is many steps apart from the genes and often may represent the action of several of them; proteins, on the contrary, are the main product of gene activity. The study of gene-enzyme systems can obviously match, therefore, the theoretical requirements needed to develop experimental research in this direction. Allozymes, i. e. each of the proteins that are codified by the different alleles of the same locus, have been extensively studied with electrophoretic techniques and are now known to segregate in crosses in a Mendelian manner; electrophoretically detectable enzyme variants are, therefore, strictly correlated to structural variations of the codifying genes.

Literature on this subject is now by far too rich to attempt here a general survey; some extensive reviews have been published, however, by Selander & Johnson (1973) for Vertebrate animals, by Ayala (1975) and by Throckmorton (1977) for *Drosophila*, etc.; the general argument has been treated by Johnson (1973), Gottlieb (1971), Lewontin (1974), Avise (1975), Powell (1975), Selander (1976) etc.

Lepidoptera, as a whole, figure among the less studied groups, by this point of view. The works of Jelnes (1975 a, b), on some *Aricia* sibling species, are, however to be recalled, as well as those of McKechnie et. al. (1975) on Californian *Euphydryas*, of Vawter and Brussard (1975) on *Phyciodes tharos*, of Johnson, & Burns (1966), Burns & Johnson (1967), Johnson (1971, 1976 a, b, c, d, 1977 a) on some species of the genus *Colias*, of Burns & Johnson (1971) on *Hemiargus isola*, of Handford (1973 a, 1973 b) on *Maniola jurtina*, of Lokki et. al. (1975) on *Solenobia*, of Jelnes (1971) on *Ephestia kueniella* of Felnes (1975) on *Thera*, of Eguchi et al. (1965), on *Bombyx*

mori, of Bullini et al. (1976), on some lepidopteran species, of Sbordoni et al. (1976) on *Amata* and of Bianco et al. (1976), on the same genus.

Techniques

The general principle of electrophoretic separation of enzymes is rather simple: proteins, when placed in an electric field, migrate towards one of the poles, with a migration rate which is largely dependent upon the protein's net charge and to a lesser extent on the protein's molecular size and shape. This migration is accomplished in an electrophoretic medium soaked with an ionized buffer solution. The pH of the buffer and its ionic strength are chosen according to the molecular characteristics of the proteins to be studied, as their net charge will obviously depend on the proportion of charged (ionized) amino and carboxyl groups. After a suitable time, proteins will migrate different distances from the deposition point: the higher the charge, the farther a protein will move toward an electrode. The electrophoretic medium being generally represented by a gel (starch gel or acrylamide), whose pore size is rather similar to that of protein molecules, the migration rate (distance) will depend also upon 'molecular sieving'.

As enzymes are not, generally, purified prior to performing electrophoretic separation, many proteins will migrate simultaneously in one or another direction when electric field is applied. In order to recognize allozymes of a particular protein, highly selective enzyme stainings are therefore employed, in the great majority of cases. This is not, however, the place for minutely describing electrophoretic and staining procedures; very detailed information may be found, for many enzyme systems, in the papers of Brewer (1970), Shaw & Prasad (1970) etc.

Quantification of results

Electrophoretic studies over a range of enzyme systems may provide, in some instances, very reliable 'taxonomic characters' at the molecular level; as it was recently shown for *Amata* (Sbordoni et al., 1976), these characters may eventually provide sufficient ground also for the distinction of larval instars of sibling species, or for recognition of hybrid specimens.

In other instances, however, a statistical expression of genetic divergence may be preferable. A larger number of gene-enzyme systems is therefore to be chosen, in order to obtain an unbiased sample of the entire genome of the populations involved.

The analysis of the divergence degree, between closely related taxa, has received considerable attention in very recent years, particularly after the formulation of rather simple quantification methods.

Although a variety of coefficients of this kind is available in the current literature, Nei's (1972) indexes of genetic identity I and genetic distance D are now among the most employed.

The normalized probability that two alleles of each population X and Y are identical at a given locus K expressed by the relation:

$$I_K = \frac{\sum x_i y_i}{(\sum x_i^2 \cdot \sum y_i^2)^{1/2}}$$

where x_i and y_i are the frequencies of the allele i respectively in population X and Y . This probability ranges, of course, from 1, in the case of identical frequencies of the allele i in the two populations, down to zero if the allele i (or y) is absent in one of the two populations.

The normalized (mean) genetic identity between the populations X and Y with respect to all studied loci is therefore defined as:

$$I = \frac{I_{xy}}{(I_x \cdot I_y)^{1/2}}$$

where I_x , I and I_y are the arithmetic means of $\sum x_i^2$, $\sum y_i^2$ and $\sum x_i y_i$ respectively, over all studied loci, including monomorphic ones. The genetic distance between the two populations X and Y is finally defined as:

$$D = \log_e I$$

The calculation of I and D values over a wide range of animal species (see Nei, 1975) demonstrated that a 50 % genetic distance ($D = 0.5$) is often representative of a species-rank divergence between two groups of populations. This statement, however, is only to be taken very prudently, because, particularly in some Insects, species level divergence may also imply, besides higher values ($D = 0.9$ or more), also very low genetic distances ($D = 0.2$). This fact, moreover, seems rather independent from how distinctive the investigated taxa may be from each other. Besides other considerations (see the next paragraph), a thorough knowledge of genetic-distance values which are to be expected for species or subspecies-rank divergence in the group studied, should therefore precede critical evaluations.

The possibility of calculating the time of divergency of closely related taxa, is also to be considered. Nei (1975) postulated that genetic distance values are linked to time by the relation:

$$t = \frac{D}{2a}$$

where t is the number of elapsed years and λ is a constant, whose value was assumed to be about 10^{-7} . Mutation (aminoacidic substitution) rate, however, may easily vary with time and a good deal of experimental work has also accumulated to demonstrate that evolution, in this respect, is often a rather saltational phenomenon (see Trockmorton, 1977 for *Drosophila*). As also other factors, as the eventual environmental fitness of mutant allozymes, may contribute to raise error in the calculation of t values, it is rather evident that this kind of evaluations should be considered only as row estimates. at the most.

It is, however, to be emphasized that Nei's I and D values cannot be considered as a measurement of true phyletic relations between studied taxa, but only of some biochemical affinities, in the very sense of 'phenetical' relations of Sokal & Sneath (1963) (see also Sneath & Sokal, 1973). Species is, in this respect, a multidimensional entity and real distances from one another cannot be represented by a simple normalized mean. A multivariate statistical approach should, eventually, be more correct (see Kendall, 1966).

Operational difficulties

Although electrophoresis of proteins, by itself, is rather easily accomplished, some difficulties may arise at various steps of the procedure.

Specimens to be tested with electrophoretic techniques should be, possibly, be brought alive in the laboratory. Many enzyme systems, in fact, do not tolerate freezing which, for instance, may result in a very lowered activity of some LDH fractions.

Thermal shock, however, represents just one of the possible sources of error in electrophoretic procedures. Other enzymes, as FbPase (Fructose 1,6-Biphosphatase) must be essayed only on unstressed specimens, because hyperthermic conditions, unsufficient feeding etc., may cause a remarkable raise in 'free' proteolytic activity, which, in turn, results in the appearance of a second, slow moving, band of FbPase (Pontremoli et. al., 1973) Besides artifact production, however, other difficulties may arise, also when electrophoresis has been correctly performed.

The application of Nei's I and D indexes, for instance, requires an exact determination of the number of codifying loci that are responsible for the genetic variation observed, but in some instances the literal application of Nei's index could easily bring to misestimating the level of genetic diversity between two populations, particularly when cases of gene duplication are involved.

Apart from this kind of technical difficulties, there are other, more theoretical, aspects of the problem.

Electrophoretically undetectable enzyme variants, for instance, may represent a major problem: 'hidden' enzyme heterogeneity, in fact, was often demonstrated by both studies on protein thermal stability, isoelectric point determination with electrophocusing techniques and by simply varying the pore size of electrophoretic gels. Johnson (1977), working on *Colias meadii*, demonstrated that on 14 loci examined, only 40 variant enzyme classes should be observed with standard electrophoretic procedures, out of 103 variants detectable with more sophisticated techniques. The degree of undetected variation, moreover, may strongly affect similarity evaluations in between-species investigations. The genetic analysis of four species of the genus *Colias* (*C. meadii*, *C. alexandra*, *C. scudleri*, *C. philodice*) (Johnson, 1977) demonstrated that although the electrophoretic mobilities of the most common allozymes of the different species are very similar to each other, the physical characteristics of the same allozymes are very different and indicate that most of the alleles of one species do not occur in the others.

Conclusions

As it has been briefly outlined in this paper, electrophoretic techniques certainly represent a very important tool and are to be expected to bring, in the next future, a considerable amount of clarification in the taxonomy of several groups. It is, however, to be emphasized that, although this procedure does not imply, by itself, excessive operational difficulties, its incorrect application may easily be misleading.

References

- Avise J. C., 1975 — Systematic value of electrophoretic data. *Syst. Zool.*, 23: 465–481
- Ayala F. J., 1975 — Genetic differentiation during the speciation process. in: *Evolutionary Biology*, 8: 1–78
- Bianco P. & Sbordoni V., Bullini L., 1976 — Caratterizzazione trofica della nicchia ecologica in condizioni di simpatria in due specie gemelle del genere *Amata* (Lep. Ctenuchidae). *Boll. Zool.*, 43: 350–351
- Brewer J. & Sing C. F., 1970 — An introduction to enzyme techniques. Academic Press (New York), pp. xii + 186, 21 figs., 6 tab.
- Bullini L. & Cianchi R., Nascetti G., Renna L., 1976 — Phosphoglucose mutase polymorphism in seven lepidoptera species. *Accad. Naz. Lincei*, 59: 814–821
- Burns J. M. & Johnson F. M., 1967 — Esterase polymorphism in natural populations of the sulphur-butterfly *Colias euritheme*. *Science*, 156 (3771): 93–96

Burns J. M. & Johnson F. M., 1971 — Esterase polymorphism in the butterfly *Hemiargus isola*: stability in a variable environment. Proc. nat. Acad. Sci., 68: 34—37

Dobzhansky T., 1972 — Species of *Drosophila*. Science, 177: 664—669
Eguchi M., Yoshitake N., Kai H., 1965 — Types and inheritance of blood esterase in the silkworm, *Bombix mori*. Japan. J. Genet., 40: 15—19

Gottlieb L. D., 1971 — Gel electrophoresis: new approach to the study of evolution. Bio-Science, 21 : 939—944

Guillaumin M., Descimon H., 1975 — La notion d'espèce chez les lepidoptères. In: Les problèmes de l'espèce dans le règne animal. Soc. zool. France, Mém. n° 38: 129—201

Handford P. T., 1973 a — Patterns of variation in a number of genetic systems in *Maniola jurtina*: The Isles of Scilly. Proc. R. Soc. London, B, 183: 235—300

Handford P. T., 1973 b — Patterns of variation in a number of genetic systems in *Maniola jurtina*: the boundary region. Proc. R. Soc. London, B, 183: 265—284

Jelnes J. E., 1971 — The genetics of three isoenzyme systems in *Ephestia kueiella* Z. Hereditas, 1971: 138—140

Jelnes J. E., 1975 a — Electrophoretic studies on two sibling species *Thera variata* and *Thera obeliscata* (Lepidoptera Geometridae), with special reference to phosphoglucumutase and phosphoglucose-isomerase. Hereditas, 79: 67—72

Jelnes J. E., 1975 b — A comparative electrophoretic study on Danish species of *Aricia* (Lepidoptera Rhopalocera). Hereditas, 79: 61—66

Johnson G. B., 1971 — Analysis of enzyme variation in natural populations of the butterfly *Colias eurytheme*. Proc. natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 68: 997—1001

Johnson G. B., 1973 — Enzyme polymorphism and biosystematics: The hypothesis of selective neutrality. Ann.Rev.Ecol.Syst., 4: 93—116

Johnson G. B., 1976 a — Hidden alleles at the -glycerophosphate dehydrogenase locus in *Colias* butterflies. Genetics, 83: 149—167

Johnson G. B., 1976 b — Polymorphism and predictability at the -glycerophosphate dehydrogenase locus in *Colias* butterflies; Gradients in allele frequencies within a single population. Biochem. Genet., 14: 403—426

Johnson G. B., 1976 c — Enzyme polymorphism and adaptation in alpine butterflies. Ann. Mo. Bot. Garden, 63: 248—261

Johnson G. B., 1976 d — Localized patterns of enzyme polymorphism within a single population of alpine butterflies. Hereditas

Johnson G. B., 1977 a — Characterization of electrophoretically cryptic variation in the alpine butterfly *Colias meadii*. Biochem. Genet. 15: 66—693.

Johnson G. B., 1977 b — Assessing electrophoretic similarity: The problem of hidden heterogeneity. *Ann. Rev. ecol. Syst.*, 8: 309–328

Johnson G. B., Burns J. M., 1966 — Electrophoretic variation in esterases of *Colias eurytheme* (Pieridae). *J. Lepidopterist's Soc.*, 20: 207–212

Lewontin R. C., 1974 — The genetic basis of evolutionary change. Columbia, New York, 346 pp.

Lokki J., Suomalainen E., Saura A., Lankinen P., 1975 — Genetic polymorphism and evolution in parthenogenetic animals. II. Diploid and polyploid *Solenobia triquetrella* (Lepidoptera Psychidae). *Genetics* 79: 513–525

McKechnie S. W., Erlich P. R., White R., 1975 — Population genetics of *Euphydryas* butterflies. I. Genetic variations and the neutrality hypothesis. *Genetics*, 81: 571–594

Nei M., 1972 — Genetic distance between populations. *Amer. Nat.*, 106: 283–292

Nei M., 1975 — Molecular population genetics and evolution. North Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam.

Pontremoli S., Melloni E., Salamino F., Franzi A.T., de Flora A., Horecker B., 1973 — Changes in rabbit-liver lysosomes and Fructose 1,6 — Biophosphatase induced by cold and fasting. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, 70: 3674–3678

Powell J. R., — Protein variation in natural populations of animals. *Evolutionary Biol.*, 8: 79–119

Remington O. L., 1974 — The genetics of *Colias* (Lepidoptera). *Adv. Genet.*, 6: 403–450

Sbordoni V., Bullini L., Bianco P., Cianchi R., Forestiero S., Scarpelli G., 1976 — Isolamento riproduttivo ibridazione in popolazioni simpatiche di *Amata phegea* e *A. regazzii*. *Boll. Zool.*, 43: 401–402

Selander R. K., 1976 — Genic variation in natural populations. In: *Molecular Evolution*, ed. F. J. Ayala, pp. 21–45. Sunderland, Mass: Sinauer.

Selander R. K., Johnson W. E., 1973 — Genetic variation among vertebrate species. *Ann. Rev. ecol. Syst.*, 4: 75–116
evolution. *Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst.*, 8: 235–254

Shaw C. R., Prasad R., 1970 — Starch gel electrophoresis of enzymes
Throckmorton L. H., 1977 — *Drosophilla* systematics and biochemical — a compilation of recipes. *Biochem. Genet.*, 4: 297–320

Vawter A. T., Brussard P. F., 1975 — Genetic stability of populations of *Phyciodes tharos* (Nymphalidae, Meliteinae). *J. Lepid. Soc.*, 29: 15–22

ZOBODAT - www.zobodat.at

Zoologisch-Botanische Datenbank/Zoological-Botanical Database

Digitale Literatur/Digital Literature

Zeitschrift/Journal: [Nota lepidopterologica](#)

Jahr/Year: 1980

Band/Volume: [3](#)

Autor(en)/Author(s): Balletto Emilio, Toso Giovanni G.

Artikel/Article: [Electrophoretic techniques as a systematic research on Lepidoptera 25-31](#)