### Concentration of nitrogen in some plant galls

By

Juraj PACLT & Jozef Hässler

Slovak Academy of Sciences, Institute of Experimental Phytopathology and Entomology, Ivanka pri Dunaji, Czechoslovakia

#### Received February 28, 1967

When healthy and tumorous tissues of an *infected plant* were tested for total nitrogen content, the latter tissue was always found to act as a nitrogen trap. This statement is based largely on the pioneer work done on the chemistry of bacterial tumours of both sugar-beet roots and stems of various plants, e. g. tomato (KLEIN & KEYSSNER 1932). While relative increase of nitrogen may characterize plant tumours, it cannot serve as a chemical character of plant galls. In plant galls, the total nitrogen content was determined to vary greatly according to the species of gall-inducing animal involved, and to be often substantially lower or higher than, rarely equal to that of corresponding leaf tissue (MOLLIARD 1913). An improved knowledge of these relative differences being fundamental to an elementary understanding of the physiology of galls, we carried out two series of similar tests on cecidia of the following four insect species: Andricus curvator HTG., Neuroterus quercusbaccarum (L.) (both Hymenoptera : Cynipidae), Mikiola fagi (HTG.) (Diptera: Cecidomyiidae), and Schizoneura lanuginosa HTG. (Homoptera : Pemphigidae).

Fresh galls used in our investigation were dissected, their inhabitants and all impurity removed. The control host material consisted of leaf tissues sub-sampled from the more or less injured organs of *Quer*cus robur (bearing galls of both Andricus and Neuroterus), Fagus sylvatica (bearing galls of Mikiola), and Ulmus carpinifolia var. suberosa (bearing galls of Schizoneura). Each leaf sample was subdivided to obtain two separate categories of material: a) laminae deprived of midribs; b) petioles, including blade midribs. In addition, healthy catalpa leaf sub-samples of .both material categories were tested for comparison. Samples for the May series (except those of Catalpa erubescens) were collected at the foot of the Small Carpathians near Bratislava, whereas sample collections for the July series were made in the manor-house park at Ivanka pri Dunaji.

#### 174

(plus midribs)

The total nitrogen was determined by the unmodified Kjeldahl procedure; nitrogen of the nitro compounds, disregarded almost completely by MOLLIARD 1913, was not estimated. The tests (Tables 1 and 2) showed that, in two cases (*Mikiola fagi, Schizoneura lanuginosa*), the total N contents of galls were considerably lower than those of the corresponding leaves. The reverse was true in the case of *Andricus curvator*. In the case of *Neuroterus quercusbaccarum*, the N content of galls was only slightly higher than that of the corresponding leaves.

	Test series I (M	(ay, 1966)		
Tissue analysed	Fresh weight g	•••	N content % fresh wt	N content % dry wt
Galls of <i>Mikiola fagi</i> Beech leaf laminae	1.63	0.39	0.17	0.72
(less midribs) Beech leaf petioles	1.70	0.99	1.44	2.46
(plus midribs) Galls of Andricus	2.40	1.08	0.60	1.34
curvator ♀ ♂ Galls of Neuroterus	1.10	0.31	1.20	4.35
quercusbaccarum ♀♂ Oak leaf laminae	1.15	0.12	0.18	1.64
(less midribs) Oak leaf petioles	1.91	0.96	lost	lost
(plus midribs) <i>Catalpa</i> leaf laminae	1.80	0.84	0.69	1.47
(less midribs) Catalpa leaf petioles	5.00	1.41	lost	lost
(plus midribs)	5.00	0.86	0.32	1.88
	Table			
	Test series II (J			
Tissue analysed	Fresh weight g	Dry weight g	N content % fresh wt	N content % dry wt
Galls of				
S <i>chizoneura lanuginosa</i> Elm leaf laminae	2.00	0.33	0.21	1.28
(less midribs) Elm leaf petioles	2.00	0.67	1.07	3.19
(plus midribs) Oak leaf laminae	2.00	0.68	0.49	1.42
(less midribs) Dak leaf petioles	2.00	0.69	0.51	1.47
(plus midribs) Catalpa leaf laminae	2.00	0.62	0.49	1.55
less midribs) Catalpa leaf petioles	2.00	0.64	0.80	2.50

2.00

0.55

0.30

1.08

т	a	b	1	е	1	

Of the galls mentioned in Tables 1 and 2, MOLLIARD 1913 analysed already those of *Neuroterus quercusbaccarum* and *Schizoneura lanuginosa*. The relative differences of values obtained by him and us respectively are in a more or less close agreement, as illustrated in Table 3.

Confrontation of the res	N content % dry wt				
Tissue analysed	Moll	IARD 1913	Present investigation		
Galls of Neuroterus quercusbaccarum	Q 07	2.94	1.64		
Oak leaves		2.73	1.47		
Galls of Schizoneura lanuginosa	I	2.64	1.28		
	II	2.23			
Elm leaves	I	2.83	3.19		
	II	3.06			

## Table 3

An interpretation of the variety of relative differences existing in the concentrations of N in different plant galls has not been presented till now. The factor of the physiological age of tissue, however important it may be (SMITH 1962, MERRILL & COWLING 1966), cannot, in fact, explain that diversity. As a rule, the most active plant organs require more nitrogen than physiologically less important ones (MENGEL 1961). Thus laminae were found to have a higher nitrogen level than petioles in leaves of all species examined, except oak (Tables 1 and 2). There is now reason to believe that the criterion of N concentration may be used as a sensitive indicator of both the physiological activity and phytopathological importance of a plant gall.

Comparably to plant galls, some Phanerogamic parasites (NICOLOFF 1923), whether heterotrophic (*Cuscuta, Orobanche*) or autotrophic (*Viscum*), may be divided into two or three groups with reference to the relative accumulation of nitrogen in their body.

For priority reasons, a short German Summary of the present paper appears elsewhere (PACLT & HÄSSLER 1967).

The authors acknowledge the valuable assistance of Miss Darina VITÁLOŠOVÁ.

#### Summary

The total nitrogen concentration of fresh cecidia induced by Andricus curvator HTG. (Cynipidae) was found to be substantially higher, and that of fresh galls of *Mikiola fagi* (HTG.) (*Cecidomyiidae*), and *Schizoneura lanuginosa* HTG. (*Pemphigidae*), to be considerably lower than the corresponding leaf-N levels. On the contrary, no marked difference in total N content could be detected between fresh cecidia of

#### 176

Neuroterus quercusbaccarum (L.) (Cynipidae) and the corresponding elm leaves.

It is assumed that the criterion of N concentration may be used as a sensitive indicator of the phytopathological importance of a plant gall.

#### References

KLEIN G. & KEYSSNER E. 1932. Beiträge zum Chemismus pflanzlicher Tumoren. I. Mitteilung: Stickstoffbilanz. — Biochem. Z. 254: 251—255.

MERRILL W. & COWLING E. B. 1966. Role of nitrogen in wood deterioration: amount and distribution of nitrogen in fungi. — Phytopathology 56: 1083—1090.

MOLLIARD M. 1913. Recherches physiologiques sur les galles. 7. Substances azotées. — Rev. gén. Bot. 25: 290—301.

NICOLOFF T. 1923. Contribution à la physiologie de la nutrition des parasites végétaux supérieurs. — Rev. gén. Bot. 35: 545-554, 593-601.

PACLT J. & HÄSSLER J. 1967. Verteilung von Stickstoff in einigen histioiden Zoocecidien. — Naturwissenschaften 54: 349—350.

SMITH P. F. 1962. Mineral analysis of plant tissues. — Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. 13: 81—108.

# **ZOBODAT - www.zobodat.at**

Zoologisch-Botanische Datenbank/Zoological-Botanical Database

Digitale Literatur/Digital Literature

Zeitschrift/Journal: Phyton, Annales Rei Botanicae, Horn

Jahr/Year: 1967

Band/Volume: 12\_1\_4

Autor(en)/Author(s): Paclt Juraj, Hässler Jozef

Artikel/Article: Concentration of nitrogen in some plant galls. 173-176