Sitzungsber. Abt. II (1998) 207: 71–82

Sitzungsberichte

Mathematisch-naturwissenschaftliche Klasse Abt. II Mathematische, Physikalische und Technische Wissenschaften

© Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften 1999 Printed in Austria

On Gauss-Pólya's Inequality

By

J. Pečarić, J. Šunde, and S. Varošanec

(Vorgelegt in der Sitzung der math.-nath. Klasse am 18. Juni 1998 durch das w. M. Peter Gruber)

Abstract

Let $g, h [a, b] \to \mathbf{R}$ be nonnegative nondecreasing functions such that g and h have a continuous first derivative and g(a) = h(a), g(b) = h(b). Let $p = (p_1, p_2)$ be a pair of positive real numbers p_1, p_2 such that $p_1 + p_2 = 1$.

a) If $f [a, b] \to \mathbf{R}$ be a nonnegative nondecreasing function, then for $f : f \in I$

$$M_{p}^{[r]} \left(\int_{a}^{b} g'(t) f(t) dt, \int_{a}^{b} b'(t) f(t) dt \right) \leq \int_{a}^{b} \left(M_{p}^{[s]} (g(t), b(t)) \right)' f(t) dt$$

holds, and for r, s > 1 the inequality is reversed.

b) If $f [a, b] \to \mathbf{R}$ is a nonnegative nonincreasing function then for r < 1 < s (1) holds and for r > 1 > s the inequality is reversed. Similar results are derived for quasiarithmetic and logarithmic means.

Key words and phrases: Logarithmic mean, quasiarithmetic mean, Pólya's inequality, weighted mean.

Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): 26D10.

1. Introduction

Gauss mentioned the following result in [2]:

If f is a nonnegative and decreasing function then

$$\left(\int_0^\infty x^2 f(x) \, dx\right)^2 \le \frac{5}{9} \int_0^\infty f(x) \, dx \int_0^\infty x^4 f(x) \, dx. \tag{2}$$

Pólya and Szegö classical book "Problems and Theorems in Analysis, I" [7] gives the following generalization and extension of Gauss' inequality (2).

Theorem A. (Pólya's inequality) Let a and b be nonnegative real numbers. a) If $f: [0, \infty) \to \mathbf{R}$ is a nonnegative and decreasing function, then

$$\left(\int_0^\infty x^{a+b} f(x) dx\right)^2 \le \left(1 - \left(\frac{a-b}{a+b+1}\right)^2\right) \int_0^\infty x^{2a} f(x) dx$$

$$\times \int_0^\infty x^{2b} f(x) dx \tag{3}$$

whenever the integrals exist.

b) If $f:[0,1) \to \mathbf{R}$ is a nonnegative and increasing function, then

$$\left(\int_{0}^{1} x^{a+b} f(x) dx\right)^{2} \ge \left(1 - \left(\frac{a-b}{a+b+1}\right)^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{1} x^{2a} f(x) dx$$

$$\times \int_{0}^{1} x^{2b} f(x) dx. \tag{4}$$

Obviously, putting a = 0 and b = 2 in (3) we obtain Gauss' inequality. Recently Pečarić and Varošanec [6] obtained a generalization.

Theorem B. Let $f[a,b] \to \mathbf{R}$ be nonnegative and increasing, and let $x_i[a,b] \to \mathbf{R}$ $(i=1,\ldots,n)$ be nonnegative increasing functions with a continuous first derivative. If p_i , $(i=1,\ldots,n)$ are positive real numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{b_i} = 1$, then

$$\int_{a}^{b} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i}(t))^{1/p_{i}} \right)' f(t) dt \ge \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\int_{a}^{b} x'_{i}(t) f(t) dt \right)^{1/p_{i}}$$
 (5)

If $x_i(a) = 0$ for all i = 1, ..., n and if f is a decreasing function then the reverse inequality holds.

The previous result is an extension of the Pólya's inequality. If we substitute in (5): $n = 2, p_1 = p_2 = 2, a = 0, b = 1, g(x) = x^{2n+1}, h(x) = x^{2\nu+1}$ where $u, \nu > 0$, we have (4).

In this paper we provide generalizations of Theorem B in a number of directions. In Section 2 we first provide the inequality for weighted means. We note that, as is suggested by notation for means, our result extends to the case when the ordered pair (p_1, p_2) is replaced by an n-tuple. We derive also a version of our theorem for higher derivatives.

Section 4 treats some corresponding results when M is replaced by quasiarithmetic mean. This can be done when the function involved enjoys appropriate convexity properties. A second theorem in Section 4 allows one weight p_1 to be positive and the others negative.

Section 5 addresses the logarithmic mean.

2. Results Connected with Weighted Means

 $M_p^{[s]}(a)$ denotes the weighted mean of order r and weights $p=(p_1,\ldots,p_n)$ of a positive sequence $a=(a_1,\ldots,a_n)$. The n-tuple p is of positive numbers p_i with $\sum_{1=i}^n p_i=1$. The mean is defined by

$$M_{p}^{[r]}(a) = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} a_{i}^{r}\right)^{1/r} & \text{for } r \neq 0\\ \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{p_{i}} & \text{for } r = 0. \end{cases}$$

In the special cases r = -1, 0, 1 we obtain respectively the familiar harmonic, geometric and arithmetic mean.

The following theorem, which is a simple consequence of Jensen's inequality for convex functions, is one of the most important inequalities between means.

Theorem C. If a and p are positive n-tuples and $s < t, s, t \in \mathbf{R}$, then

$$M_p^{[s]}(a) \le M_p^{[t]}(a) \quad \text{for} \quad s < t,$$
 (6)

with equality if and only if $a_1 = a_n$.

A well-known consequence of the above statement is the inequality between arithmetic and geometric means. Previous results and refinements can be found in [3].

The following theorem is the generalization of Theorem B.

Theorem 1. Let $g, h [a,b] \to \mathbf{R}$ be nonnegative nondecreasing functions such that g and h have a continuous first derivative and g(a) = h(a), g(b) = h(b). Let $p = (p_1, p_2)$ be a pair of positive real numbers p_1, p_2 such that $p_1 + p_2 = 1$.

a) If
$$f = [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$$
 be a nonnegative nondecreasing function, then for $r, s < 1$

$$M_{p}^{[r]} \left(\int_{a}^{b} g'(t) f(t) dt, \int_{a}^{b} b'(t) f(t) dt \right) \leq \int_{a}^{b} \left(M_{p}^{[s]} (g(t), b(t)) \right)' f(t) dt$$
(7)

b) If $f [a, b] \to \mathbf{R}$ is a nonnegative nonincreasing function then for r < 1 < s (7) holds and for r > 1 > s the inequality is reversed.

Proof: Let us suppose that r, s < 1 and f is nondecreasing. Using inequality (6) we obtain

$$\begin{split} M_{p}^{[r]} & \left(\int_{a}^{b} g'(t) f(t) dt, \int_{a}^{b} b'(t) f(t) dt \right) \\ & \leq M_{p}^{[1]} \left(\int_{a}^{b} g'(t) f(t) dt, \int_{a}^{b} b'(t) f(t) dt \right) \\ & = \int_{a}^{b} \left(p_{1} g'(t) + p_{2} b'(t) \right) f(t) dt \\ & = f(b) M_{p}^{[1]} (g(b), b(b)) - f(a) M_{p}^{[1]} (g(a), b(a)) \\ & - \int_{a}^{b} M_{p}^{[1]} (g(t), b(t)) df(t) \\ & \leq f(b) M_{p}^{[1]} (g(b), b(b)) - f(a) M_{p}^{[1]} (g(a), b(a)) \\ & - \int_{a}^{b} M_{p}^{[s]} (g(t), b(t)) df(t) \\ & = f(b) M_{p}^{[1]} (g(b), b(b)) - f(a) M_{p}^{[1]} (g(a), b(a)) \\ & - \left(f(b) M_{p}^{[s]} (g(b), b(b)) - f(a) M_{p}^{[s]} (g(a), b(a)) \right) \\ & - \int_{a}^{b} \left(M_{p}^{[s]} (g(t), b(t)) \right)' f(t) dt \right) \\ & = f(b) \left(M_{p}^{[1]} (g(a), b(a)) - M_{p}^{[s]} (g(a), b(a)) \right) \\ & + \int_{a}^{b} \left(M_{p}^{[s]} (g(t), b(t)) \right)' f(t) dt \\ & = \int_{a}^{b} \left(M_{p}^{[s]} (g(t), b(t)) \right)' f(t) dt. \end{split}$$

A similar proof applies in each of the other cases.

Remark 1. In Theorem 1 we deal with two functions g and h. Obviously a similar result holds for n functions x_1, \dots, x_n which satisfy the same conditions as g and h.

Remark 2. It is obvious that on substituting r = s = 0 into (7) we have inequality (5) for n = 2. The result for r = s = 0 is given in [1].

In the following theorem we consider an inequality involving higher derivatives.

Theorem 2. Let $f [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}, x_i [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ $(i=1,\ldots,m)$ be nonnegative functions with continuous n-th derivatives such that $x_i^{(n)}, (i=1,\ldots,m)$ are nonnegative functions and $p_i, (i=1,\ldots,m)$ be positive real numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^m p_i = 1$.

a) If $(-1)^{n-1} f^{(n)}$ is a nonnegative function, then for r, s < 1

$$M_{p}^{[r]} \left(\int_{a}^{b} x_{1}^{(n)}(t) f(t) dt, \dots, \int_{a}^{b} x_{m}^{(n)}(t) f(t) dt \right)$$

$$\leq \Delta + \int_{a}^{b} \left(M_{p}^{[s]}(x_{1}(t), \dots, x_{m}(t)) \right)^{(n)} f(t) dt$$
(8)

holds, where

$$\Delta = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-k-1} f^{(n-k-1)}(t)$$

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i x_i^{(k)}(t) - \left(M_p^{[s]}(x_1(t), \dots, x_m(t)) \right)^{(k)} \right) \Big|_{a}^{b}$$

If

$$x_i^{(k)}(a) = x_j^{(k)}(a) \text{ and } x_i^{(k)}(b) = x_j^{(k)}(b) \text{ for } i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$$
 (9)

and $k = 0, \ldots, n-1$, then

$$M_{p}^{[r]} \left(\int_{a}^{b} x_{1}^{(n)}(t) f(t) dt, \dots, \int_{a}^{b} x_{m}^{(n)}(t) f(t) dt \right)$$

$$\leq \int_{a}^{b} \left(M_{p}^{[s]}(x_{1}(t), \dots, x_{m}(t)) \right)^{(n)} f(t) dt.$$

$$(10)$$

If r, s > 1, then the inequalities (8) and (10) are reversed. b) If $(-1)^n f^{(n)}$ is a nonnegative function, then for r < 1 < s the inequalities (8) and (10) hold and for r > 1 > s they are reversed. *Proof:* a) Let r and s be less than 1. Integrating by part n-times and using (6), we obtain

$$M_{p}^{[r]} \left(\int_{a}^{b} x_{1}^{(n)}(t) f(t) dt, \qquad \int_{a}^{b} x_{m}^{(n)}(t) f(t) dt \right)$$

$$\leq M_{p}^{[1]} \left(\int_{a}^{b} x_{1}^{(n)}(t) f(t) dt, \dots, \int_{a}^{b} x_{m}^{(n)}(t) f(t) dt \right)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-k} f^{(n-k-1)}(t) \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i} x_{i}^{(k)}(t) \right) \Big|_{a}^{b}$$

$$- \int_{a}^{b} M_{p}^{[1]}(x_{1}(t), \dots, x_{m}(t)) (-1)^{(n-1)} f^{(n)}(t) dt$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-k} f^{(n-k-1)}(t) \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i} x_{i}^{(k)}(t) \right) \Big|_{a}^{b}$$

$$- \int_{a}^{b} M_{p}^{[s]}(x_{1}(t), \dots, x_{m}(t)) (-1)^{(n-1)} f^{(n)}(t) dt$$

$$= \Delta + \int_{a}^{b} \left(M_{p}^{[s]}(x_{1}(t), \dots, x_{m}(t)) \right)^{(n)} f(t) dt.$$

We shall prove that $\Delta = 0$ if x_i , i = 1, ..., m, satisfy (9). Let us use notation $A_k = x_i^{(k)}(a)$ for k = 0, 1, ..., n - 1. Then $\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i} x_{i}^{(k)}(a) = A_{k}.$ Consider the k-th order derivative of function y^{p} where y is an arbitrary function with k-th order derivative. First, there exists function $\phi_{k}^{[p]}$ such that

$$(y^p)^{(k)} = \phi_k^{[p]}(y, y', \dots, y^{(k)}).$$

This follows by induction on k. For k = 1 we have $(y^p)' = py^{p-1}y' = \phi_1^{[p]}(y, y')$. Suppose that proposition is valid for all j < k + 1. Then using Leibniz's rule we get

$$(y^{p})^{(k+1)} = (py^{p-1} y')^{(k)}$$

$$= p \sum_{j=0}^{k} {k \choose j} (y^{p-1})^{(j)} (y')^{(k-j)}$$

$$= p \sum_{j=0}^{k} {k \choose j} \phi_{j}^{[p-1]} (y, y', \dots, y^{(j)}) y^{(k-j+1)}$$

$$= \phi_{k+1}^{[p]} (y, y', \dots, y^{(k+1)}).$$
(11)

Suppose that $s \neq 0$ and use the abbreviated notation M(t) for the mean $M_p^{[s]}(x_1(t), \ldots, x_m(t))$. Then $M^s(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m P_i x_i^s(t)$. The statement " $M^{(k)}(a) = A_k$ " will be proved by induction on k. It is easy to check for k = 0 and k = 1.

Suppose it holds for all j < k + 1. Then

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i} x_{i}^{s}(t)\right)^{(k+1)} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i} \phi_{(k+1)}^{[s]} \left(x_{i}(t), x_{i}'(t), \dots, x_{i}^{(k+1)}(t)\right) \Big|_{t=a}$$

$$= \phi_{(k+1)}^{[s]} (A_{0}, A_{1}, \dots, A_{k+1})$$

$$= s \sum_{j=0}^{k} {k \choose j} \phi_{j}^{[s-1]} (A_{0}, A_{1}, \dots, A_{j}) A_{k-j+1}$$

$$+ \phi_{k}^{[s-1]} (A_{0}, A_{1}, \dots, A_{k}) A_{k+1}.$$

On the other hand, using (11) we get

$$(M^{s}(t))^{(k+1)}|_{t=a} = s \sum_{j=0}^{k} {k \choose j} \phi_{j}^{[s-1]}(M(a), M'(a), \dots, M^{(j)}(a))$$

$$\times M^{(k-j+1)}(a) + \phi_{k}^{[s-1]}(M(a), M'(a), \dots, M^{(k)}(a)) M^{(k+1)}(a)$$

$$= s \sum_{j=0}^{k} {k \choose j} \phi_{j}^{[s-1]}(A_{0}, A_{1}, \dots, A_{j}) A_{k-j+1} + \phi_{k}^{[s-1]}$$

$$(A_{0}, A_{1}, \dots, A_{k}) M^{(k+1)}(a).$$

Comparing these two results we obtain that $M^{(k+1)}(a) = A_{k+1}$, which is enough to conclude that $\Delta = 0$.

In the other cases the proof is similar, except in the case s=0 which is left to the reader. \square

3. Applications

Now we will restrict our attention to the case when r = 0 and the x_i are power functions.

The case when n = 1.

Set: $r = 0, n = 1, a = 0, b = 1, x_i(t) = t^{a_i p_i + 1}$ in (8), where $a_i > -\frac{1}{p_i}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m, p_i > 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{p_i} = 1$. We obtain that $\Delta = 0$ and

$$\int_{0}^{1} t^{a_{1}+\cdots+a_{m}} f(t) dt \geq \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{m} (a_{i}p_{i}+1)^{1/p_{i}}}{1+\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(\int_{0}^{1} t^{a_{i}p_{i}} f(t) dt\right)^{1/p_{i}}$$

if f is a nondecreasing function. It is an improvement of Pólya's inequality (4). Some other results related to this inequality can be found in [5] and [8].

For example, combining (12) and the inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i + 2 \ge \prod_{i=1}^{m} (a_i p_i + 2)^{1/p_i}$$

which follows from the inequality between arithmetic and geometric means, we obtain

$$\int_{0}^{1} t^{a_{1}+\cdots+a_{m}} f(t) dt \ge \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{m} ((a_{i}p_{i}+1)(a_{i}p_{i}+2))^{1/p_{i}}}{(1+\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i})(2+\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i})} \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(\int_{0}^{1} t^{a_{i}p_{i}} f(t) dt\right)^{1/p_{i}}$$
(13)

The case when n=2.

Set: $r = 0, n = 2, a = 0, b = 1, x_i(t) = t^{a_i p_i + 2}$ in (8), where $a_i > -\frac{1}{p_i}$ for $i = 1, ..., m, p_i > 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{p_i} = 1$. After some simple calculation, we obtain that $\Delta = 0$ and inequality (13) holds if f is a concave function. So inequality (13) applies not only for f nondecreasing, but also for fconcave.

4. Results for Quasiarithmetic Means

Definition 2. Let f be a monotone real function with inverse f^{-1} , p = $(p_1,\ldots,p_n)=(p_i)_i, a=(a_1,\ldots,a_n)=(a_i)_i$ be real *n*-tuples. The quasiarithmetic mean of *n*-tuple *a* is defined by

$$M_f(a; p) = f^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(a_i) \right),$$

where $P_n = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i$. For $p_i \ge 0$, $P_n = 1$, $f(x) = x^r (r \ne 0)$ and $f(x) = \ln x (r = 0)$ the quasiarithmetic mean $M_f(a; p)$ is the weighted mean $M_p^{[r]}(a)$ of order r.

Theorem 3. Let p be a positive n-tuple, x_i $[a,b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}(i=1,...,n)$ be nonnegative functions with continuous first derivative such that $x_i(a) = x_i(a), x_i(b) =$ $x_i(b), i, j = 1, \ldots, n$

a) If φ is a nonnegative nondecreasing function on [a,b] and if f and g are convex increasing or concave decreasing functions, then

$$M_f\left(\left(\int_a^b x_i'(t)\varphi(t)\,dt\right);p\right) \ge \int_a^b M_g'((x_i(t))_i;p)\varphi(t)\,dt. \tag{14}$$

If f and g are concave increasing or convex decreasing functions, the inequality is reversed.

b) If φ is a nonnegative nonincreasing function on [a,b], f convex increasing or concave decreasing function and g is concave increasing or convex decreasing, then (14) holds.

If f is concave increasing or convex decreasing function and g is convex increasing or concave decreasing, then (14) is reversed.

Proof: Suppose that φ is nondecreasing and f and g are convex functions. We shall use integration by parts and the well-known Jensen inequality for convex functions. The latter states that if (p_i) is a positive n-tuple and $a_i \in I$, then for every convex function f $I \to R$ we have

$$f\left(\frac{1}{P_n}\sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i\right) \le \frac{1}{P_n}\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(a_i).$$
 (15)

We have

$$M_{f}\left(\left(\int_{a}^{b} x_{i}'(t)\varphi(t) dt\right)_{i}^{s}; p\right) = f^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{P_{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}f\left(\int_{a}^{b} x_{i}(t)\varphi(t) dt\right)\right)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{P_{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\int_{a}^{b} x_{i}'(t)\varphi(t) dt = \int_{a}^{b} \frac{1}{P_{n}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}x_{i}'(t)\right)\varphi(t) dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{P_{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}x_{i}(t)\varphi(t)|_{a}^{b} - \int_{a}^{b} \frac{1}{P_{n}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}x_{i}(t)\right) d\varphi(t)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{P_{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}x_{i}(t)\varphi(t)|_{a}^{b} - \int_{a}^{b} g^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{P_{n}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}g(x_{i}(t)\right)\right) d\varphi(t)$$

$$= \frac{1}{P_{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}x_{i}(t)\varphi(t)|_{a}^{b} - \int_{a}^{b} M_{g}(x_{i}(t))_{i}; p) d\varphi(t)$$

$$= \frac{1}{P_{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}x_{i}(t)\varphi(t)|_{a}^{b} - M_{g}((x_{i}(t))_{i}; p)\varphi(t)|_{a}^{b}$$

$$+ \int_{a}^{b} M_{g}'((x_{i}(t))_{i}; p)\varphi(t) dt = \int_{a}^{b} M_{g}'((x_{i}(t))_{i}; p)\varphi(t) dt. \quad \Box$$

Theorem 4. Let x_i , i = 1, , n, satisfy assumptions of Theorem 4 and let p be a real n-tuple such that

$$p_1 > 0, \quad p_i \le 0 \quad (i = 2, \dots, n), \quad P_n > 0.$$
 (16)

a) If φ is a nonnegative nonincreasing function on [a,b] and if f and g are concave increasing or convex decreasing functions, then (14) holds, while if f and g are convex increasing or concave decreasing (14) is reversed.

b) If φ is a nonnegative nondecreasing function on [a,b], f is convex increasing or concave decreasing and g concave increasing or convex decreasing, then (14) holds.

If f is concave increasing or convex decreasing and g is convex increasing or concave decreasing, then (14) is reversed.

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4. Instead of Jensen's inequality, a reverse Jensen's inequality [3, p. 6] is used: that is, if p_i is real n-tuple such that (16) holds, $a_i \in I$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and $(1/P_n) \sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i \in I$, then for every convex function $f \mid I \rightarrow R$ (15) is reversed.

Remark 3. In Theorem 4 and 5 we deal with first derivatives. We can state an analogous result for higher-order derivatives as in Section 2.

Remark 4. The assumption that p is a positive n-tuple in Theorem 4 can be weakened to p being a real n-tuple such that

$$0 \le \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i \le P_n \quad (1 \le k \le n), \quad P_n > 0$$

and $(\int x_i'(t)\varphi(t) dt)_i$ and $(x_i(t))_i$, $t \in [a, b]$ being monotone *n*-tuples.

In that case, we use Jensen-Steffenen's inequality [3, p. 6]. instead of Jensen's in-equality in the proof.

In Theorem 5, the assumption on n-tuple p can be replaced by p being a real n-tuple such that for some $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i} \leq 0 (k < m) \text{ and } \sum_{i=k}^{n} p_{i} \leq 0 (k > m)$$

and $(\int x_i'(t)\varphi(t) dt)_i$, $(x_i(t))_i$, $t \in [a, b]$ being monotone *n*-tuples.

We use the reverse Jensen-Steffensen's inequality (see [3, p. 6] and [4]) in the proof.

5. Results for Logarithmic Means

We define the logarithmic mean $L_r(x, y)$ of distinct positive numbers x, y by

$$L_{r}(x,y) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{y-x} \frac{y^{r+1} - x^{r+1}}{r+1}\right)^{1/r} & r \neq -1, 0\\ \frac{1}{e} \left(\frac{y^{y}}{x^{x}}\right)^{\frac{1}{y-x}} & r = 0\\ \frac{\ln y - \ln x}{y-x} & r = -1 \end{cases}$$

and take $L_r(x, x) = x$. The function $r \mapsto L_r(x, y)$ is nondecreasing.

It is easy to see that $L_1(x, y) = \frac{x+y}{2}$ and using method similar to that of the previous theorems we obtain the following result.

Theorem 5. Let $g, h \quad [a, b] \mapsto \mathbf{R}$ be nonnegative nondecreasing functions with continuous first derivatives and g(a) = h(a), g(b) = h(b).

a) If f is a nonnegative increasing function on [a, b], and if $r, s \leq 1$, then

$$L_{r}\left(\int_{a}^{b} g'(t)f(t) dt, \int_{a}^{b} b'(t)f(t) dt\right) \leq \int_{a}^{b} L'_{s}(g(t), b(t)f(t) dt. \quad (16)$$

If $r, s \geq 1$ then the reverse inequality holds.

b) If f is a nonnegative nonincreasing function then for r < 1 < s (16) holds, and for r > 1 > s the reverse inequality holds.

Proof: Let f be a nonincreasing function and r < 1 < s. Using F = -f, integration by parts and inequalities between logarithmic means we get

$$L_{r}\left(\int_{a}^{b} g'(t)f(t) dt, \int_{a}^{b} b'(t)f(t) dt\right)$$

$$\leq L_{1}\left(\int_{a}^{b} g'(t)f(t) dt, \int_{a}^{b} b'(t)f(t) dt\right) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{a}^{b} (g(t) + b(t))'f(t) dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(g(t) + b(t))f(t)|_{a}^{b} + \int_{a}^{b} \frac{1}{2}(g(t) + b(t)) dF(t)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}(g(t) + b(t))f(t)|_{a}^{b} + \int_{a}^{b} L_{s}(g(t), b(t)) dF(t)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(g(t) + b(t))f(t)|_{a}^{b} - L_{s}(g(t), b(t))f(t)|_{a}^{b}$$

$$+ \int_{a}^{b} L'_{s}(g(t), b(t))f(t) dt = \int_{a}^{b} L'_{s}(g(t), b(t))f(t) dt.$$

References

- Alzer, H.: An Extension of an Inequality of G. Pólya, Buletinul Institutului Politehnic Din Iasi, Tomul XXXVI (XL), Fasc. 1–4, (1990) 17–18.
- [2] Gauss, C. F.: Theoria combinationis observationum, 1821., German transl. in *Abhandlungen zur Methode der kleinsten Quadrate*. Neudruck. Würzburg 1964, pp. 9 and 12.
- [3] Mitrinović, D. S., Pečarić, J. E., Fink, A. M.: Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis. Dordrecht, Kluwer Acad. Publishers, 1993.
- [4] Pečarić, J.: Inverse of Jensen-Steffensen's Inequality, Glas. Mat. Ser. III, Vol 16 (36), No 2, (1981), 229–233.
- [5] Pečarić, J., Varošanec, S.: Remarks on Gauss-Winckler's and Stolarsky's Inequalities, to appear in Util. Math.

- [6] Pečarić, J., Varošanec, S.: A Generalization of Pólya's Inequalities, Inequalities and Applications. World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, (1994), 501–504.
- [7] Pólya, G., Szegö, G.: Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysism I, II. Berlin, Springer Verlag, 1956.
- [8] Varošanec, S., Pečarić, J.: Gauss' and Related Inequalities. Z. Anal. Anwendungen, Vol 14. No 1, (1995), 175–183.

Authors' addresses: J. Pečarić, Fac. of Textile Techn. University of Zagreb, Pierottijeva 6, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia; J. Šunde, Def. Science and Tech. Org., Communication Division, PO Box 1500, Salisbury SA 5108, Australia; S. Varošanec; Dept. of Mathematics, University of Zagreb, Bijenička 30, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia.

¹This work was completed while author was at University of Adelaide.

ZOBODAT - www.zobodat.at

Zoologisch-Botanische Datenbank/Zoological-Botanical Database

Digitale Literatur/Digital Literature

Zeitschrift/Journal: <u>Sitzungsberichte der Akademie der Wissenschaften</u> mathematisch-naturwissenschaftliche Klasse

Jahr/Year: 1998

Band/Volume: 207_2

Autor(en)/Author(s): Pecaric J., Sunde J., Varosanec S.

Artikel/Article: On Gauss-Pólya's Inequality. 71-82