Inoculation Experiments with Synchytrium macrosporum.

By John S. Karling (Department of Biological Sciences, Purdue University, Lafayette, Indiana, U.S.A.)

With Plate XXIII.

Synchytrium macrosporum was created as a new species by the author (1956) for a parasite which Long collected on leaves of Xanthium strumarium at Austin, Texas in 1901. The type specimen of this fungus is deposited at the New York Botanical Garden, and additional material of Long's collection may be found in the herbarium of Cornell University. This species is a short-cycled member of the subgenus Pycnochytrium which causes unusually large composite galls on Xanthium and is characterized primarily by its large, brilliantly-yellow resting spores on the leaves of this host. On other organs, however, the spores may vary markedly in size and shape (figs. 2—6).

In 1954 Poole reported a Synchytrium species on Riccinus communis from Cameron, Texas which causes a red stem-gall disease, and in the same year Dr. Marvin D. Whitehead collected what appears to be the same fungus on the same and a large number of other hosts in the same locality. In personal correspondence he reported its occurrence on Helenium tenuifolium, Nandina domestica, Ambrosia psilostachya, A. aptera, Amaranthus berlandiera, Erigeron canadensis, Solanum elaegnifolium, Parthenium hysterophorus, Iva ciliata, Croton monanthogynus, Phyla incisa, Modiola caroliniana and Vigna sinensis in addition to Riccinus communis in nature, and kindly sent me herbarium specimens of his collection on Riccinus communis, Nandina domestica, Ambrosia aptera, A. psilo-

Part of this study was made in the Botany Department of the University College of the West Indies, Mona, Jamaica and I am pleased to express my sincere thanks to Professor A. D. Skelding and his staff for facilities and assistance during this time. Dr. Edith Roberton and Mrs. C. E. Allwood were particularly helpful in identifying the

Jamaican host plants.

^{*)} This study has been supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation. I am very grateful to Dr. M. D. Whitehead for the initial collection of S. macrosporum and to Drs. A. T. Guard, J. H. Lefforge, A. A. Lindsey, and G. L. Webster of Purdue University, B. L. Turner of the University of Texas, C. Heimsch of Miami University, and Duncan Clemente, Director of Atkins Garden in Cuba for collections of seeds and identification of host plants.

stachya, A. trifida, Amaranthus berlandieri and Vigna sinensis. I visited the same locality, Kelly's Nursery, on April 21, 1958, and found the fungus abundantly only on Ambrosia trifida and sparsely on Trifolium repens and Sonchus sp. Due to cultivation of the nursery plants and heavy rainfall in the spring of 1958 only a few of the other weed hosts reported by Whitehead were present in the infected area. However, when I visited the same locality again on May 7, 1959 the fungus was very abundant on Ambrosia trifida, Parthenium hysterophorus, Rubus trivialis, Oenothera laciniata, Vicia faba, Torilis japonica, Ammi majus, Ratibida pinnata and Specularia perfoliata. The Ambrosia seedlings and plants up to a foot high were so densely infected that their stems were twice the normal diameter and the leaves were malformed and distorted. However, neither Sorghum halpense, S. vulgare var. sudanense, Cyperus alternifolia nor several other grasses and sedges were infected although they were growing among the densely infected plants of A. trifida. Also, Oenothera speciosa in the same locality was free of infection, but several plants of O. laciniata were densely infected.

A study of Whitehead's and my own collections and a comparison of them with Long's specimens lead me to believe that Poole's and Whitehead's fungi are identical with S. macrosporum. This belief is based on (1) results of cross inoculation experiments which showed that each of the parasites on R. communis, N. domestica, Ambrosia aptera, A. psylostachia, A. trifida, Amaranthus berlandieri and V. sinensis will infect Xanthium strumarium and X. americanum and cause the same symptoms as S. macrosporum; (2) results from other tests showing that each of the parasites sent to me by Dr. Whitehead will in turn infect R. communis, Ambrosia trifida, Amaranthus retroflexus, Vigna sinensis and other hosts; (3) close similarity in type of galls induced by each parasite when transferred to Xanthium species; and (4) on the similarity in shape, structure and color of the resting spores and their method of germination. Not only do the resting spores germinate in the manner, but they do so readily and abundantly in approximately the same time. The readiness with which they germinate together with the preliminary discovery that their planospores might infect a large number of different plants led me to undertake a host range study of S. macrosporum as well as an investigation of the problem of whether or not the galls induced are constant in size, shape and structure on all hosts and can be used as taxonomic criteria.

The structure and type of the galls induced have been used by several investigators as adjuncts in identifying species of *Synchytrium*, and Cook (1945) in particular believed that such criteria are more reliable than the morphological characteristics of the etiological agents themselves. However, no controlled cross inoculation experi-

ments with a member of the subgenus Pycnochytrium have been made so far to determine the validity of this view. Also, with the exception of Rytz's (1932) limited tests on S. aureum, no intensive host range studies have been made with such species, and almost nothing is known about the number of hosts which a member of Pycnochytrium may infect. The present tendency of most collectors of Synchytrium is to create either a new species for each parasite found on a new host, or to identify it as S. aureum if it develops only resting spores in fairly large galls. As a consequence, this binomial has become a convenient dumping ground for Pycnochytrium species with pigmented resting spores which induce composite galls, and to date S. aureum has been reported to occur on 198 species in 123 genera of 34 families of flowering plants. Other collectors, as already noted, have created new species for their fungi with the result that a large number of questionable species have been added to Pycnochytrium. Quite likely, a number of these species will be found to be identical when their host ranges and the morphological variations they exhibit become known.

Members of this subgenus are reported to be distinguishable by the size, shape, color and structure of their resting spores, but as I (1953) pointed out previously these characteristics are not always distinctive and sharply-defined. Therefore, it has become necessary to determine whether or not these taxonomic criteria can be supplemented effectively by other differences such as host range, specificity, and host reaction for the purposes of identification and classification.

Synchytrium macrosporum is the only member of Pycnochytrium that I have found so far which lends itself readily to a study of this nature. In my experience, the resting spores of most species of this subgenus germinate only occasionally and sporadically under laboratory conditions, and it is very difficult to secure enough planospores for extensive inoculation purposes. Those of S. macrosporum, on the other hand, germinate in great numbers within a few days or weeks after being immersed in water and, thus, provide an abundant inoculum of planospores for host range studies.

In the present study a germinated spore on *Riccinus communis* was used as a source of planospores. After the preliminary tests had shown that the fungi on the various hosts referred to above were the same species, planospores from *one* germinated resting spore on *R. communis* was used as the initial inoculum. These were placed on *X. strumarium*, and within three months produced a fairly large number of mature resting spores which germinated readily. Planospores from these spores were used in turn to inoculate other host species, and by such means an abundant supply of resting spores was accumulated. Inasmuch as the initial resting spore from *R. com*-

munis arose from a planospore or zygote, it is obvious that the source of inoculum in these experiments was monosporic. As noted earlier, the initial spore on R, communis was collected by Whitehead at Cameron, Texas in May, 1954, and the present study was begun in the summer of 1957 when it was 3 years old.

After the supply of spores was built up on numerous hosts, bits of leaves heavily infected with spores were crumbled into a petri dish, flooded with charcoal-treated water, covered, and left either on the laboratory table or placed in a refrigerator for one to four days. Those which were refrigerated usually germinated earlier, but this was not consistently so. In some instances, the spores began to germinate within four days after becoming thoroughly soaked, and germination continued sporadically for three months or more. In this process the spore functions as a prosorus, as shown in figs. 7-11. Its content grows out through a pore in the wall and forms a yellowish-orange vesicle on the surface of the spore (fig. 7, 8). The content of this vesicle then undergoes cleavage (fig. 9, 10) and develops into hundreds of polyhedral sporangia which are held together as a sorus by the wall of the vesicle (fig. 11). These sori may be formed below, above, and occasionally at the side of the spore. In galls whose sheath cells are thick-walled and which do not degenerate readily, the expanding and maturing sorus may burst out of the infected cell and gall at the apical opening, and in such cases it sits like a yellowish-orange sphere on top of the gall. In soft and degenerating galls which expand more readily, the sorus usually remains within the infected cell and gall.

Although it is generally believed that most species of Pycnochytrium produce only one generation of resting spores per year, the number probably varies according to the length of the dormant period after maturity, necessary moisture present, and the presence of young susceptible hosts at the time of germination. In S. macrosporum, at least, the spores germinate shortly after they are mature if sufficient moisture is present, and I have produced four generations within a year. This is illustrated by the case of Persea americana which was inoculated with planospores on January 1, 1958. By February 20 the spores were mature, and on this day dried infected portions of the leaves were crumbled into a petri dish and covered with charcoal-treated water. The spore began to germinate on February 27 and by March 9 planospores were formed. Thus, the life cycle from planospores to planospores was completed in 68 days. In Molucella laevis which has a cup-like calyx that holds water for a long time, the mature spores germinated in the living calvx when the plants were watered frequently.

The resting spores of *S. macrosporum* retain their viability for several years, and this may be a contributing factor to its abundance

in nature. This longevity is indicated by the fact that the spores were already three years old when these experiments were begun and is substantiated by results obtained from germination tests under laboratory conditions. Cultures containing 100 resting spores were set up as described above in May of each year from 1957 to 1960, and records were kept of the number which germinated. The results in percentages are as follows: 1957—75%; 1958—59%; 1959—16%; 1960—2%. It's to be noted that the percentages of germination dropped rapidly after 1957, but even after 6 years of storage in the herbarium a few of the spores were still viable.

The sporangia from germinated spores of S. macrosporum do not form planospores at once but may remain dormant in the sorus for one to more than two months at room temperature and conditions. If fresh charcoal-treated water is added to the culture after the spores have germinated sporogenesis may occur to some extent. However, in these experiments it was found by repeated tests that the sori must be dissected apart and mounted under a cover glass before sporogenesis occurs. In such mounts planospores were formed within $^{3}/_{4}$ to 3 hours depending on the age of the culture. Sori which were dissected in a drop of water on a slide and set aside without the addition of a cover slip failed to form planospores over a period of 12 to 18 hours. At the end of these periods cover slips added, and sporogenesis occurred in $^{3}/_{4}$ to $1-^{1}/_{2}$ hours.

The dormancy of the sori and sporangia under laboratory conditions enables one to maintain a stock culture for 1 to 3 months or more and to have an abundance of planospores for inoculation purposes when they are needed. So far it has not been necessary to keep the cultures sterile. The sori and sporangia mature and are capable of producing viable planospores even though the dead host tissue may be densely invaded and overgrown by filamentous fungi.

The sori usually contain from 400 to 850 sporangia, and each of these produce 200 or more planospores (fig. 12). In some large sporangia as many as 600 planospores may be produced. Assuming that all sporangia in a sorus undergo sporogenesis and form planospores, I estimate that a germinated resting spore may give rise to from 80,000 to 170,000 or more planospores. In the present study five fairly uniform-sized sori were used in making single mounts, and in some of these I estimated that $\frac{1}{2}$ million planospores were present.

In preparation for inoculation the emerging leaves of mature plants and seedlings were first swabbed with a dilution of "Tween 88" and then rinsed with charcoal-treated water to insure a wet surface on the host for the planospores. Each mount, as described above, was diluted with 5 cc of charcoal-treated water, and a drop of swarming planospores was placed directly on the treated leaf. Then the whole plant was covered with a bell jar or container to

maintain a high humidity. In cases of large plants which could not be covered with a bell jar, a wet pad of absorbent cotton was placed around the inoculated leaf to provide sufficient moisture for infection. Inoculations were continued for several days until infection occurred or until it became evident that the species were resistant or immune. The same procedure was employed in inoculating the gametophytes and sporophytes of mosses and ferns. Fern gametophytes were grown on agar plates and soil in closed chambers and periodically flooded with a suspension of planospores. In addition, small thalli af Riccia fluitans, Marchantia polymorpha, Azolla caroliniana, Salvinia rotundifolia and gemmae of M. polymorpha were placed on slides, flooded periodically with drops of planospores and maintained in moist chambers. The same procedure was employed with Vaucheria glomerata, Oedogonium sp., Cladophora sp., Hydrodictyon africanum, Closterium didymotocom, Spirogyra sp., S. praetensis, and small plants of Lemna minor, which remained healthy and vigorous under such conditions. In the case of the aquatic Phycomycetes, small bits of hemp seed infected with the respective fungi were transferred to drops of planospores on slides without coverglasses and maintained in moist chambers. Additional drops of planospores were added periodically to such cultures.

In susceptible hosts, infection occurs usually in 6 to 18 hours. Within a fortnight the incipient galls may become visible to the unaided eye, but this time varies with the growth rate of the host plants. In 2 to 4 months the spores and galls usually attain maturity and will germinate. In the present experiment all inoculated host leaves were studied carefully with a stereoscopic microscope for evidence of infection and host reaction. Portions of infected leaves were fixed in killing solution, embedded, sectioned, and stained to determine the variations in the size, shape and structure of the galls and spores. At the same time herbarium specimens of most infected plants were preserved. These voucher herbarium specimens and sections of susceptible species are deposited in the Purdue University herbarium where they are available to any investigator who wishes to study them. However, in many instances the galls were immature when collected, and with drying they became shriveled and shrunken. Accordingly, such herbarium specimens are not typical of their appearance in the living condition. In instances of limited infection, all of the infected host material was used up in making sections, and no herbarium specimens of such hosts are available.

The present contribution concerns only the results of these inoculations up to the present time. The experiments are beeing continued and additional results will be reported later. In another paper I shall describe the variations in the type, structure and size of the galls induced on the various susceptible hosts and discuss their value as

taxonomic criteria. In the results tabulated below the plus and minus signs indicate success or failure of infection. The bold numbers show the number of individuals of a species inoculated, and those in parentheses indicate the number of times each individual plant was inoculated with a drop of planospores. In some species, for instance, 5 to 10 or more seedlings were inoculated 4 to 6 or more times, which means that as many as 20 to 60 separate inoculations were made on one host species. In this manner more than 45,000 separate inoculations were made on the plants listed below over a period of four years. Seedlings were used in the majority of cases, but for some hosts only "mature" plants were available for inoculation. In the case of trees and large shrubs, use of the word "mature" relates to their postseedling or sapling stages. Wherever such mature plants were used they are indicated as such in the results; unless so indicated the host plants were in the seeding stages. Also, the degree of infection is indicated after the name of each susceptible species. The categories of sparse, fair good and dense infection used here are largely arbitrary and may be subject to personal interpretation. Therefore, it is essential to indicate how I have used them: sparse means 2 to 10 galls per host; fair, 10 to 20 galls; good, 20 to 100 or more galls; and dense means a generalized infection of the inoculated area which may include a whole leaf, several leaves, petioles and stem. In this category the infection may be so abundant that the leaves, petioles and stems may be thickened, malformed, distorted, or stunted, and in such instances the composite galls are frequently compound (K a rling, 1955). In several species infection occurred, but the incipient galls and parasites aborted at various stages of development, and such reactions also are indicated after the species name wherever they occurred.

Phycomycota.

Blastocladiaceae
Allomyces arbuscula —, 13 (8)
Saprolegniaceae
Achlya sp. —, 13, (8)
Aphanomyces laevis —, 13, (8)
Saprolegnia ferax —, 13, (8)
Pythiaceae
Pythium proliferum —, 13, (8)

Chlorophyta.

Chlorococaceae

Hydrodicyon africanum —, 6, (12)
Oedogonium sp. —, 43, (8)
Cladophora sp. —, 43, (8)

Zygnemataceae Closterium didymo

Closterium didymotocum —, 100, (8) Spirogyra praetensis —, 40, (10)

Spirogyra sp. —, 40, (10)

Heterosiphonaceae

Vaucheria sessilis —, 20, (20)

Hepatophyta.

Ricciaceae

Riccia sp. —, 4, (6), gametophyte R. fluitans —, 4, (6), gametophyte

Marchantiaceae

Marchantia polymorpha —, 4, (8), large gametophyte

M. polymorpha —, 80, (12), gemmae

Bryophyta.

Polytrichaceae

Polytrichum commune —, 30, (12), gametophyte

Mniaceae

Mnium cuspidatum —, 30, (12) gametophyte

Microphyllophyta.

Selaginaceae

Selaginella browni —, 4, (6), gametophyte

S. pallescens —, 4, (6), gametophyte

Pteridophyta.

Polypodiaceae

Cystopteris gracilis —, 6, (6), emerging fronds

Nephrolepis bostoniensis —, 6, (12), emerging fronds

Marsileaceae

Marsilea mucronata —, 8, (8), emerging leaves

Salviniaceae

Salvinia rotundifolia —, 12, (8)

Azolla caroliniana —, 12, (8)

Ginkophyta.

Ginkovaceae

Ginko biloba +, 11, (6), sparse infection, parasite and galls aborted, emerging leaves of sapling

G. biloba, +, 3, (8), good infection, parasite and galls aborted, seedlings

Coniferophyta.

Taxaceae

Taxus cuspidata +, 12, (6), dense infection

Pinaceae

Pinus sylvestris —, 8, (10)

Anthophyta.

Monocotyledons

Alismaceae

Sagittaria latifolia +, 2, (5), sparse infection Echinodorus rostratus +, 3, (4), sparse infection and any of the

Pontederiaceae

Eichornia cassipes —, 1, (6)

Pontederia cordata -, 1, (5)

Bromeliaceae

Ananas comosus +, 3, (8), good infection

Bromelia pingium +, 2, (10), good infection

Liliaceae

Asparagus officinalis —, 35, (12)

Erythronium albidum +, 4, (4), good infection

Ornithogalum umbellatum +, 5, (15), fair infection

Smilacina racemosa +, 2, (3), very dense infection, leaves distorted

Smilax hispida +, 2, (2), very dense infection, leaves greatly distorted

S. balbisiana +, 2, (20), sparse infection, 4 galls on a leaf

Tulipa gesneriana +, 4, (4), dense infection, leaves curled and malformed

Uvularia graniflora +, 2, (6), sparse infection

Agavaceae

Agave americana +, 4, (10), fair infection, galls and parasites aborted

Commelinaceae

Commelina nudiflora —, 8, (8), emerging leaves of mature plant

Rhoeo discolor +, 3, (4), dense infection

Tradescantia fluminensis +, 5, (4), dense infection

T. virginica +, 5, (2), fair infection

Amarylidiaceae

Aloe arborescens -, 5, (10), emerging leaves of mature plant

A. striata —, 4, (8), emerging leaves of mature plant

Amaryllis sp. —, 2, (6), emerging leaves of mature plant

Brodea coronaria -, 2, (8) emerging leaves of mature plant

Dioscoreaceae

Dioscorea bulbifera +, 4, (10), sparse infection

Iridaceae

Aristaea compressa +, 6, (15), good infection, most galls and parasites aborted

Crocus sativus +, 8, (5), dense infection

Orchidaceae

Dendrobium moschatum +, 2, (6), good infection

Casuarinaceae

Casuarina equisetifolia +, 3, (5). good infection of cotyledons

Araceae

Alocasia macrorrhiza +, 2, (3), good, scattered infection

Arisaema triphyllum +, 1, (4), sparse infection

A. draconitum +, 4, (6), good infection of stem

Diffenbachia amoena +, 1, (4), dense infection Philodendron wendlani +, 4, (4), sparse infection

P. oxycardium +, 4, (4), sparse infection

Pistia stratiotes +, 3, (6), sparse infection, galls and parasites aborted

Symplocarpus foetidus +, 3, (5), dense infection

Gramineae

Lacryma jobi +, 15, (25), fair infection, galls and parasites aborted Zea mays +, 6, (4), good infection

Musaceae

Musa ballisiana, +, 8, (6), good infection

M. paradisiaca var. sapientum —, 1, (10), unfolding leaf of young sucker

Zingiberaceae

Zingiber zerumbet +, 3, (5), sparse, scattered infection

Cannaceae

Canna indica +, 3, (5), sparse infection on mature plant

Potomogetonaceae

Potomogeton vaseyi —, 3, (5), mature plant

Dicotyledons

Saururaceae

Saururus cernuus +, 1, (8), mature plant, sparse infection, galls and parasites aborted

Salicaceae

Populus deltoides +, 5, (5), sparse scattered infection Salix nigra +, 5, (6), good infection

Juglandaceae

Čarya ovalis +, 3, (12), sparse infection, galls and parasited aborted

Myricaceae

Myrica microcarpa +, 3, (5), fair infection

Betulaceae

Betula lutea +, 5, (6), good infection

B. papyrifera +, 4, (10), fair infection

Carpinus caroliniana +, 3, (6), good infection

Fagaceae

Castanea dentata +, 1, (6), sparse infection

Quercus alba +, 2, (6), sparse infection, galls and parasites aborted

Q. palustris +, 1, (5), sparse infection

Q. rubra +, 1, (5), sparse infection

Ulmaceae

Celtis laevigata +, 4, (4), dense infection, leaves and petioles greatly distorted

Ulmus americana —, 8, (15)

Moraceae

Brosimum alicastrum +, 2, (4), fair infection

Broussonetia papyrifera +, 6, (6), good infection, leaves slightly distorted

Chlorophora tinctoria +, 20, (6), good infection

Morus nigra +, 6, (3), good infection

Piperaceae

Peperomia obtusifolia +, 4, (5), fair infection

P. sandersi +, 4, (5), fair infection

Urticaceae

Boehmeria nivea +, 20, (8), fair infection

Fleurya aestuans +, 3, (6), sparse infection, mature plant

Pellionia daveauana +, 6, (8), good infection

 $Pilea\ microphylla+, 3, (8),\ sparse\ infection$

Urtica chamaedryoides +, 8, (10), sparse infection

 $U.\ dioica +$, 10, (4), dense infection, leaves of mature plant distorted $C\ a\ n\ n\ a\ b\ i\ n\ a\ c\ e\ a\ e$

Cannabinus sativus +, 8, (6), good infection

Humulus lupulus +, 4, (6), dense infection

Aristolochiaceae

Aristolochia triangularis +, 15, (8), good infection

Asarum canadense +, 6, (4), fair infection

```
Polygonaceae
   Antigonon leptopus +, 20, (6), dense infection
   Coccolobis uvifera +, 2, (6), sparse infection
   Fagopyrum cymosum +, 5, (6), dense infection
   Polygonum convolvulus +, 8, (12), sparse infection
   P. cuspidatum +, 4, (6), fair infection
   P. persicaria +, 12, (12), sparse infection
   P. scandens +, 12, (12), sparse infection
   Rumex acetosa —, 4, (16), mature plant
   R. acetosa +, 5, (6), seedlings, sparse infection
   R. crispus +, 8, (6), good infection
   R. mexicanus +, 8, (6), good infection
   Triplaris surinamensis +, 1, (4), sparse infection of small sapling leaves
Chenopodiaceae
   Atriplex hastata +, 5, (3), good infection
   A. nummularia +, 3, (15), sparse infection
   Beta vulgaris +, 8, (3), fair infection
   B. vulgaris var. cicla +, 5, (6), dense infection
   Chenopodium album +, 6, (8), dense infection, leaves distorted
   C. ambrosioides +, 8, (6), fair infection
   Kochia\ childsii\ +, 12, (6), good infection
   Spinacia oleracea +, 15, (4), dense infection, leaves distorted
Droseraceae
   Dionea muscipula +, 4, (8), sparse infection, mature plant
   Drosera rotundifolia —, 4, (15), mature plant
Caryophyllaceae
   Arenaria serpyllifolia +, 8, (2), dense infection
   Cerastium vulgatum +, 8, (6), fair infection
   C. tomentosum +, 12, (8), sparse infection
   Dianthus armeria —, 6, (10)
   D. barbatus +, 8, (6), good infection
   Drymaria cordata —, 12, (8), mature plant
   Gypsophila paniculata +, 10, (8), good infection
  Lychnis chalcedonica +, 8, (6), good infection
  Saponaria officinalis +, 3, (4), dense infection, leaves distored
   Silene antirrhina +, 6, (8), dense infection
   S. armeria + 8, (8), dense infection
Portulacaceae
   Claytonia virginica +, 7, (5), fair infection
   Portulaca oleracea +, 12, (4), fair infection
Nymphaeaceae
   Nymphaea sp. —, 10, (8), mature plants
Cercidiphyllaceae
   Cecidiphyllum japonicum +, 1, (4), dense infection
Trochodendraceae
   Trochodendron aralioides +, 1, (8), sparse infection
Ranunculaceae
  Aconitum napellus +, 2, (5), dense infection, leaves greatly distorted
  Aguilegia canadensis +, 4, (2), dense infection, mature plant, leaves
     distorted
  Anemone virginica +, 20, (10), fair infection
  Caltha palustris +, 3, (4), fair infection
  Clematis virginiana +, 3, (4), fair infection, mature plant
```

C. trifoliala + 3, (5), fair infection

Delphinum ajacis +, 15, (5), good infection

Hepatica acutiloba, +, 5, (4), good infection, mature plant

Ranunculus abortivus +, 4, (22), sparse infection, parasite and galls aborted early

R. anemonaepolis +, 4, (8), sparse infection

R. ficaria +, 6, (15), sparse infection

R. scleratus +, 2, (5), dense infection

Thalictrichum sp. +, 4, (5), dense infection

Berberidaceae

Berberis thunbergii +, 12, (5), dense infection, seedlings distorted, several killed

Mahonia aquifolium —, 3, (6)

Nandina domestica +, 5, (4), good infection

Podophyllum peltatum +, 5, (12), sparse infection, galls and parasites aborted

Menispermaceae

Menispermum canadense +, 3, (4), good infection, mature plant

Calycanthaceae

Calycanthus floridus +, 6, (4), dense infection, leaves greatly distorted

Annonaceae

Anona cherimoya +, 6, (8), good infection

A. muricata +, 6, (8), dense infection, leaves distorted

Asimina triloba +, 4, (6), dense infection, leaves distorted

Cananga odorata +, 7, (2), dense infection but most parasites and galls aborted

Dilleniaceae

Wormea burbighi +, 1, (6), good infection Gustavia augusta +, 2, (8), fair infection

Magnoliaceae

Liriodendron tulipifera +, 3, (3), good infection Magnolia grandiflora +, 2, (4), dense infection — stellata +, 1, (6), dense infection

Crassulaceae

Crassula arborea —, 4, (6), mature plant Kalanchoe crenata +, 3, (6), fair infection K. laxiflora +, 3, (6), fair infection Sedum acre —, 5, (8), mature plants

Baselaceae

Basella alba +, 5, (3), dense infection, leaves distorted B. rubra +, 3, (6), fair infection

Amaranthaceae

Achyranthes aspera +, 2, (8), dense infection

A. indica +, 6, (5), fair infection

Amaranthus berlandiera +, 12, (5), good infection

A. blitoides +, 2, (5), sparse infection

A. hybridus +, 10, (5), good infection

A. retroflexus +, 5, (10), good infection

A. spinosa +, 5, (5), fair infection

Celosia argentea var. cristata +, 10, (4), dense infection, leaves and petioles distorted

Gomphrena decumbens -, 5, (8), mature plant

G. globosus +, 15, (6), good infection

Nyctaginaceae

Boerrhavia paniculata +, 8, (8), good infection Bougainvillae spectabilis +, 4, (4), fair infection, mature plants Mirabilis jalapa, +, 8, (4), very dense infection, plants greatly distorted M. jalapa +, 5, (4), dense infection, mature plants Pisonia acuelata, +, 2, (4), good infection

Phytolaccaceae

Phytolacca americana +, 5, (4), dense infection, leaves greatly distorted P. rivinioides +, 5, (4), good infection, mature plants

Azoiaceae

Mesembryanthemum crinifolium +, 4, (8), sparse infection Mollugo verticilliata +, 3, (5), dense infection, mature plant Sesuvium portulacastrum +, 20, (6), good infection

Lauraceae

Lindera benzoin +, 2, (8), dense infection, leaves distorted Persea americana +, 4, (6), dense infection, leaves distorted

Papaveraceae

Argemone spinosa +, 5, (8), dense infection Eschscholtzia californica +, 6, (20), fair infection Chelidonium majus +, 5, (6), sparse infection Hunnemannia fumariaefolia +, 6, (4), dense infection Papaver orientale +, 10, (8), fair infection Sanguinaria canadensis +, 3, (8), fair infection

Fumariaceae

Dicentra spectabilis +, 3, (6), sparse infection

Capparidaceae

Cleome ciliata +, 8, (5), fair infection C. spinosa +, 8, (5), fair infection Polanisia viscosa +, 10, (5), fair infection

Alyssum murale +, 10, (6), good infection

Cruciferae

Arabis alpina +, 15, (4), dense infection Aubretia deltoidea +, 30, (6), good infection Berteroa incana +, 20, (6), good infection Brassica oleracea var. capitata +, 20, (4), dense infection B. oleracea var. italica +, 15, (4), dense infection B. rapa + 15, (6), dense infection B. campestris +, 15, (4), dense infection B. tournefortia +, 15, (6), sparse infection Cakile lanceolata +, 10, (5), dense infection, leaves distorted Capsella bursa pastoris +, 30, (6), good infection Cardamine bulbifera +, 6, (8), sparse infection, mature plant Dentaria laciniata +, 8, (6), dense infection Draba reptans +, 12, (10), sparse infection Hesperis matronalis +, 10, (6), dense infection Iberis gibraltica +, 15, (4), dense infection, leaves malformed Lepidium virginicum +, 45, (6), dense infection Lunaria biennis +, 18, (5), dense infection Matthiola bicornis +, 30, (10), sparse infection Nasturtium officinale +, 2, (6), good infection, mature plants Raphanus sativus +, 15, (4), dense infection Roripa sessilifolia +, 3, (6), dense infection, mature plant Thlaspis arvense +, 6, (6), good infection

Moringaceae

Moringa oleifera +, 6, (6), fair infection

Sarraceniaceae

Sarracenia purpurea —, 3, (10), mature plants

Saxifragaceae

Hydrangea quercifolia +, 6, (4), dense infection

Heuchera americana +, 3, (6), sparse infection, mature plants

 $Mitella\ diphylla\ +$, 4, (5), dense infection

Philadelphus pubescens —, 6, 15

Tolmiea menziesii +, 1, (6), dense infection, mature plant

Platanaceae

Platanus occidentalis +, 4, (5), sparse infection

Altingiaceae

Liquidambar styraciflua +, 2, (5), dense infection Hamamelis virginiana +, 4, (5), dense infection

Rosaceae

Amygdalus persica +, 2, (6), fair infection

Chrysobalanus icaco +, 2, (5), dense infection

Cotoneaster nitens +, 1, (6), sparse infection

Duchesnia indica +, 6, (12), sparse infection, mature plants

Eriobotrya japonica +, 2, (15), sparse infection, galls and parasites aborted

Fragaria vesca +, 3, (5), sparse infection, mature plants

Physocarpus opulifolius +, 4, (6), good infection

Potentilla simplex +, 5, (8), fair infection

P. recta —, 2, (6), mature plant

P. rupestris —, 15, (20)

Rosa floribunda +, 1, (4), good infection, mature plant

Rubus trivialis —, 6, (9), mature plant

Leguminosa

Abrus precatorius +, 5, (6), sparse infection

Acacia farnesiana +, 1, (5), fair infection

A. lutea +, 2, (5), fair infection

A. nilotica +, 12, (5), dense infection

A. robusta +, 15, (12), sparse infection

A. tortuosa +, 8, (4), dense infection, seedlings killed

Albizzia julibrissima +, 2, (8), dense infection, seedlings killed

A. lebbeck +, 1, (6), dense infection

Alysicarpus rugosus +, 10, (8), dense infection

Amphicarpaea bracteata +, 5, (6), good infection

A. trisperma +, 13, (7), fair infection

Arachis hypogea +, 5, (6), sparse infection

Baptisia australis +, 8, (5), dense infection

Bauhinia galpini +, 12, (4), dense infection

B. saigonensis +, 6, (8), good infection

Caesalpinia coriaria +, 5, (10), sparse infection

C. pulcherrima +, 12, (6), dense infection Cajanus cajan +, 5, (4), sparse infection

Calpurnia subdecdia +, 7, (8), dense infection

Canavalia altissima +, 8, (4), very dense infection

Cassia absus +, 2, (12), sparse infection

C. alata +, 12, (10), sparse infection

C. appendiculata +, 6, (4), dense infection

C. aristellata +, 1, (8), sparse infection

- C. artemisioides +, 1, (8), dense infection
- C. bicapsularis +, 3, (6), sparse infection
- C. bauhinioides +, 5, (6), sparse infection
- C. corymbosa +, 4, (4), good infection
- C. desolata +, 1, (4), sparse infection
- C. durangensis +, 6, (4), dense infection
- C. emarginata +, 1, (8), sparse infection
- C. fasciculata var. rostrata +, 5, (6), dense infection
- C. fasciculata var. puberala +, 5, (6), sparse infection
- C. ferruginea +, 4, (6), sparse infection
- C. fistula +, 4, (6), dense infection
- C. grandis +, 1, (6), sparse infection
- C. laevigata +, 3, (5), good infection
- C. lindheimeri +, 6, (4), dense infection
- C. macrantha +, 1, (6), sparse infection
- C. marylandica +, 3, (5), fair infection
- C. mimosioides +, 4, (4), dense infection
- C. nictitans +, 4, (4), dense infection
- $C. \ nodosa +, 6, (5), dense infection$
- C. patellaria +, 2, (12), fair infection
- C. petersiana +, 3, (6), sparse infection
- C. roemeriana +, 2, (12), sparse infection
- C. rotundifolia +, 2, (8), sparse infection
- C. siamea +, 3, (6), dense infection
- C. sulcata +, 4, (4), dense infection
- C. tora +, 1, (5), dense infection
- C. torosa +, 18, (5), fair infection
- Centrosema sophera +, 4, (5), good infection
- C. virginianum +, 4, (7), dense infection
- Cercis canadensis +, 4, (4), fair infection
- Crotalaria incana +, 5, (2), dense infection
- C. striata +, 6, (6), sparse infection
- C. varicosa +, 5, (4), sparse infection
- Crotalaria sp. +, 3, (6), dense infection
- Dahlbergia ecastophyllum +, 6, (5), dense infection
- Delonix regia +, 3, (4), dense infection
- Desmanthus virgatus +. 3, (4), dense infection
- D. brevipes +, 2, (6), sparse infection
- Desmodium glutinosum +, 4, (6), sparse infection
- D. salicifolium +, 1. (5), good infection
- Dolichos lablab +, 8, (6), sparse infection
- Dorycnium rectum +, 8, (6), fair infection
- Enterolobium saman +, 8, (6), dense infection
- E. cyclocarpon +, 2, (4), dense infection
- Erythrina indica +, 2, (8), sparse infection, mature plant
- E. vespertilio +, 7, (6), dense infection, leaves greatly distorted
- Flemingia strobilifera +, 4, (4), dense infection
- Galactia muelleni +, 5, (6), dense infection
- Gleditsia triacanthos +, 10, (8), dense infection
- Gliricidia sepium +, 1, (6), sparse infecton
- Glycine soja +, 8, (2), dense infection
- Gymnocladius dioica +, 8, (6), fair infection Hebestigma cubensis +, 4, (6), dense infection, galls and parasites

aborted

Indigofera subulata +, 1, (5), sparse infection

Kennedya prostrata +, 2, (6), dense infection

Lathyrus odoratus +, 6, (5), dense infection, leaves distorted

Leucaena glauca +, 4, (5), dense infection

Lupinus subcarnosus +, 8, (3), dense infection

Mucuna urens —, 2, (20)

Neptunia pubescens var. floridana +, 6, (6), sparse infection

Olneya tesota +, 4, (12), dense infection, galls and parasites aborted

Ormisia monosperma +, 1, (4), dense infection, leaves greatly distorted Parkia javanica +, 6, (6), good infection

Phaseolus athryoides +, 12, (8), sparse infection, some galls aborted

P. purpurascens —, 6, (12)

P. vulgaris +, 6, (3), dense infection

Peltophorum brasiliensis +, 1, (5), dense infection

P. africanum +, 1, (6), sparse infection

Pisidia piscipula +, 6, (4), good infection

Pithecolobium dulce +, 8, (4), dense infection

Poinciana gillesi +, 3, (4), dense infection

Prosopis pubescens +, 6, (6), good infection

P. glandulosa +, 1, (4), dense infection

Psoralea floribunda +, 3, (6), dense infection which killed seedlings

P. pubescens +, 8, (6), sparse infection

Pterocarpus indicus +, 7, (6), dense infection

P. vidalensis +, 1, (6), dense infection

Robinia pseudacacia +, 6, (6), good infection

Saraca indica +, 6, (6), dense infection of stem

Sesbania benthamiana +, 1, (8), good infection

S. cannabina +, 8, (5), sparse infection

S. drummondi +, 2, (5), dense infection

S. macrocarpa +, 3, (10), dense infection

S. sericea +, 2, (6), fair infection

Sophora japonica +, 4, (8), fair infection

S. secundiflora +, 1, (4), dense infection

Thermopsis caroliniana +, 12, (3), dense infection

Trifolium repens +, 5, (6), sparse infection

Ulex europaeus +, 12, (5), dense infection

Urana cylindacea +, 4, (8), dense infection

Vicia pisiformis +, 1, (4), sparse infection Vigna sinensis +, 10, (2), dense infection

Wistaria japonica +, 5, (5), dense infection, leaves greatly distorted

Linaceae

Linum collinum +, 10, (4), good infection

Tropaeolaceae

Tropaeolum majus +, 6, (3), dense infection, leaves malformed

Limnanthaceae

Floerkea proserpinacoides +, 6, (5), good infection, mature plant Limnanthus alba +, 3, (20), sparse infection of flower buds

Zygophyllaceae

Kallstroemia maxima +, 2, (6), good infection, mature plant

Oxalidaceae

Averrhoa bilimbi +, 15, (8), sparse infection

Rutaceae

Citrus ichangensis +, 12, (5), dense infection, most galls and parasites aborted

C. nobilis var. deliciosus —, 6, (8), mature plant

C. sinensis +, 10, (5), dense infection, most galls and parasites aborted

Dictamnus albus +, 1, (6), dense infection

Severinia buxifoilia +, 6, (9), dense infection

Surianaceae

Suriana maritima +, 8, (5), sparse infection

Simarubaceae

Ailanthus altissima +, 6, (5), dense infection, leaves malformed

Meliaceae

Melia azedarach +, 12, (10), sparse infection, galls and parasites aborted

Trichilia hirta +, 6, (6), sparse infection

Erythroxylaceae

Erythroxylum obovatum +, 1, (6), sparse infection

Malpighiaceae

Heterotropis laurifolia +, 6, (6), sparse infection

Malpighia punicifolia +, 3, (5), dense infection, leaves distorted

Geraniaceae

Geranium maculatum +, 6, (3), good infection

G. pusillum —, 5, (12)

Pelarogonium hortorum +, 6, (5), sparse infection, mature plant

 $P. africanum \longrightarrow, 20, (15)$

Euphorbiaceae

Acalypha virginica +, 3, (5), sparse infection

Cnidoscolus stimulosus +, 4, (15), sparse infection, galls and parasites aborted

Croton monanthogynus +, 2, (5), dense infection

Euphorbia colorata +, 6, (8), fair infection

E. hirta +, 4, (6), sparse infection, mature plant

E. maculata +, 6, (4), fair infection

E. marginata -, 6, (8)

E. pulcherrima +, 6, (6), fair infection

E. splendens +, 3, (4), good infection

Hura crepitans —, 3, (15)

Jatropha curcas +, 6, (6), fair infection

J. gossypifolia +, 5, (3), good infection

J. multifida +, 6, (5), sparse infection

J. panduraefolia +, 6, (6), good infection Phyllanthus acidus +, 5, (8), good infection

P. urenia +, 10, (18), sparse infection, only 3 galls

Poinsettia sp. +, 5, (6), dense infection

Ricinus communis +, 10, (4), dense infection, leaves malformed

Tragia urticifolia +, 10, (6), dense infection, leaves distorted

Buxaceae

Pachysandra terminalis +, 5, (6), dense infection, leaves distorted

Anacardiaceae

 $Mangifera\ indica +, 4, (6), \text{ sparse infection}$

Rhus hirta +, 6, (5), good infection

R. toxicodendron —, 2, (8), mature plant Spondias mombin +, 6, (8), sparse infection, emerging leaf of sapling S. mombin +, 2, (5), dense infection, seedlings killed

Aquifoliaceae

 $Ilex \ opaca +, 1, (5),$ sparse infection

Staphyleaceae

Staphylea trifoliata +, 3, (4), good infection

Aceraceae

Acer negundo +, 2, (5), fair infection A. saccharinum +, 2, (5), fair infection

Celastraceae

Celastrus scandens +, 1, (6), dense infection, leaves malformed Euonymus europaea +, 6, (4), dense infection, leaves malformed

E. fortunei +, 20, (15), dense infection

E. obovata +, 3, (6), fair infection, mature plant

Hippocastanaceae

Aesculus parviflora +, 4, (6), dense infection

Sapindaceae

Blighia sapida +, 5, (6), sparse infection

Koelreuteria formosana +, 10, (8), good infection

K. paniculata +, 5, (6), dense infection, leaves and petioles malformed $Melicocca\ bijuga +, 4$, (6), sparse infection, galls and parasites aborted $Sapindus\ drummondi +, 2$, (6), sparse infection, leaves distorted

S. saponaria +, 2, (6), good infection, leaves distorted

Dodonaeaceae

Dodonaea physocarpa +, 3, (9), good infection

Balsaminaceae

Impatiens balsamina +, 8, (4), dense infection, leaves distorted

I. pallida +, 8, (3), dense infection, leaves distorted

I. sultana + 8, (3), dense infection, leaves distorted

Rhamnaceae

Ceanothus americanus +, 2, (4), fair infection Colubrina reclinata +, 5, (12), sparse infection

Vitaceae

Cissus sicyoides +, 10, (8), dense infection, leaves distorted

C. trifoliata +, 3, (4), fair infection, mature plant

Parthenocissus tricuspidata +, 4, (5), dense infection

P. quinquefolia +, 4, (4), dense infection, mature plant

Vitis aestivalis +, 5, (6), dense infection

V. labrusca +, 2, (6), good infection

Tiliaceae

Corchoropsis tomentosa —, 8, (22)

Tilia americana +, 5, (4), good infection

Triumfetta hispida +, 10, (5), fair infection

Malvaceae

Abelmoschus marribat +, 44, (8), sparse infection

Abutilon crispum —, 5, (6)

A. pauciflorum -, 5, (6)

A. theophrastii +, 8, (6), dense infection

Althaea rosea —, 10, (12)

Callirrhoe involucrata, 15, (10), sparse infection of one leaf

 $C.\ leocarpa$ —, 6, (5)

Gossypium arboreum +, 6, (6), dense infection

G. herbaceum +, 6, (6), dense infection

Hibiscus sp. +, 8, (12), good infection

H. aculeatus +, 6, (4), dense infection

H. cannabinus +, 2, (6), good infection, mature plant

H. esculentus +, 8, (4), dense infection

Lavatera alba +, 8, (6), good infection

L. cretica +, 20, (5), dense infection

L. thuringiaca +, 2, (8), dense infection

L. trimestris +, 7, (7), good infection

Malachra sp. +, 30, (10), sparse infection

Malva crispa +, 20, (8), sparse infection

M. rotundiflora +, 6, (5), good infection

Malvastrum peruvianum +, 25, (8), good infection

Pavonia hastata +, 1, (8), fair infection

P. spicata +, 1, (4), dense infection

Sida carpinifolia +, 2, (8), fair infection, galls and parasites aborted

S. ciliaris +, 6, (8), fair infection

S. rhombifolia +, 8, (6), fair infection

S. spinosa +, 12, (5), good infection

Thespesia populnea +, 5, (5), dense infection

Urena lobata +, 15, (4), dense infection

Theaceae

Camellia japonica +, 4, (6), good infection, galls and parasites aborted Guttiferae

Calophyllum inophyllum +, 4, (6), good infection

C. kunstleri +, 1, (6), good infection

Mammea americana +, 2, (10), sparse infection, galls and parasites aborted

Bombaceae

Ceiba pentandra +, 4, (4), sparse infection

Guazuma ulmifolia —, 12, (20)

Melochia nodoflora +, 6, (20), sparse infection, 5 galls on one leaf

Waltheria americana +, 5, (15), sparse infection, galls and parasites aborted early

Hypericaceae

Ascyrum hypercoides +, 5, (4), fair infection Triadenum japonicum +, 5, (6), fair infection

Cistaceae

Helianthemum mutabile +, 6, (6), good infection

Rivaceae

 $Bixa\ orellana +, 2, (10), good\ infection$

Violaceae

Viola pedata —, 4, (12)

V. pubescens +, 3, (8), sparse infection, few aborting galls and parasites

Passifloraceae

Passiflora quadrangularis +, 15, (5), good infection

Papayaceae

Carica papaya +, 8, (6), dense infection

Flacourtiaceae

Casearia hirsuta +, 12, (6), good infection

C. sylvestris +, 12, (6), good infection

Dovyalis hebecarpa +, 8, (9), dense infection

Flacourtia indica +, 15, (10), good infection, galls and parasites aborted F. jangomas +, 5, (10), dense infection, galls and parasites aborted

Idesia polycarpa +, 10, (12), sparse infection, galls and parasites aborted early

Begoniaceae

Begonia semperflorens +, 4, (6), fair infection, scattered galls on emerging leaves of mature plants

Cactaceae

Harisia gracilis

Mylocerus triangularis +, 5, (8), dense infection

Opuntia compressa +, 1, (5), very dense infection of emerging leaves of mature plant

O. dilleni +, 4, (12), dense infection

O. tuna + 1, (4), good infection

Lythraceae

Ammania coccinea +, 1, (4), sparse infection

Lagerstroemia indica +, 3, (5), fair infection, mature plant, galls aborted

L. speciosa +, 6, (10), good infection

Lythrum alatum —, 4, (15), emerging leaves of mature plant L alatum +, 4, (12), sparse infection, six galls on one leaf

Puniaceae

Punica granatum +, 15, (6), good infection

Combretaceae

Conocarpus erectus +, 5, (8), sparse infection

Terminalia catappa +, 2, (3), sparse infection

T. edulis +, 9, (15), dense infection, galls and parasites aborted

Melastomaceae

Rhexia virginica +, 2, (4), dense infection

Onagraceae

Fuchsia hybrida +, 3, (5), dense infection, leaves and petioles greatly distorted

Guara coccinea +, 6, (6), dense infection, leaves distorted

Jussieua suffructicosa +, 18, (15), good infection

Oenothera biennis +, 7, (8), sparse infection, galls scattered

O. laciniata +, 8, (6), dense infection

O. speciosa —, 8, (6).

Haloragaceae

Halorrhagis alata +, 10, (8), good infection

H. colensoi +, 7, (7), fair infection

H. masafuerana +, 7, (8), sparse infection

Araliaceae

Acanthopanax sieboldianus +, 1, (3), very dense infection, leaves greatly distorted

Aralia cordata +, 5, (6), sparse infection, mature plant

Fatsia japonica +, 1, (5), dense infection

 $Hedera\ helix+, 6$, (5), dense infection, leaves greatly distorted, mature plant

Schefflera actinophylla +, 1, (5), fair infection

Umbelliferae

Ammi majus +, 2, (6), good infection

Anethum graveolens +, 20, (6), good infection

Anthriscus cerefolium +, 10, (6), good infection

 $Carum\ carvi\ +,\ 20,\ (6),\ good\ infection$

Chaerophyllum procumbens +, 10, (4), good infection

Coriander sativum +, 30, (6), good infection

Cryptotaenia canadensis +, 3, (4), dense infection, leaves distorted Daucus carota +, 15, (4), dense infection, leaves and petioles distorted and thickened

Foeniculum vulgare +, 10, (4), dense infection

Hydrocotyle umbellata +, 5, (6), dense infection

H. bonaerense +, 6, (4), dense infection

Osmorrhiza claytoni +, 6, (3), dense infection

Pastinaca sativa +, 10, (4), dense infection

Pimpinella anisum +, 10, (4), good infection

Sanicula canadensis +, 15, (4), dense infection, leaves malformed

Thymus vulgaris +, 10, (6), good infection

Trachymene coerulea +, 6, (8), good infection

Ericaceae

Vaccinium macrocarpum +, 6, (4), fair infection, galls scattered

Cornaceae

Cornus canadensis +, 2, (6), dense infection, leaves distorted

Primulaceae

Anagallis arvensis +, 10, (6), dense infection

Cyclamen persicum +, 4, (12), fair infection

Lysimachia nummularia —, 45, (25), mature plants

Primula japonica +, 10, (6), good infection

P. sinensis var. fimbriata +, 6, (6), good infection

Plumbaginaceae

Armeria pseudo-armeria +, 5, (20), sparse infection, 10 galls on one leaf Plumbago scandens +, 12, (6), dense infection, leaves malformed

Sapotaceae

Achras zapota +, 6, (12), sparse infection

Ebenaceae

Diospyrus virginiana +, 6, (4), dense infection, plants greatly distorted Oleaceae

Chionanthus virginica +, 4, (6), dense infection

Forsythia suspensa +, 4, (10), sparse infection, galls and parasites aborted

Fraxinus americana +, 2, (4), fair infection

F. nigra +, 2, (4), fair infection

Ligustrum vulgare +, 6, (8), good infection

Apocynaceae

Allamanda cathartica +, 3, (4), sparse infection, mature plant Apocynum cannabinum +, 1, (4), dense infection, mature plant Tabernaemontana coronaria var. flore-pleno +, 5, (8), dense infection Vinca rosea +, 12, (6), fair infection

Asclepidaceae

Asclepias sp. +, 8, (4), good infection

A. curassavica +, 15, (6), good infection

A. incarnata —, 10, (8)

A. physocarpa +, 15, (6), dense infection, leaves distorted

A. tuberosa +, 3, (6), dense infection

A. verticillata —, 10, (8)

Calotropis procera +, 10, (4), good infection

Ceropegia palustris +, 2, (8), good infection

Oxypetalum coerulum +, 15, (5), dense infection,

Convolvulaceae

Argyria bracteata +, 4, (6), dense infection

Calonyction muricatum +, 2, (6), fair infection

Convolvulus japonica +, 4, (6), good infection

Dichondria repens +, 7, (8), fair infection, mature plant

Ipomoea battatis —, 4, (6), mature plant

1. carnea +, 3, (6), good infection, mature plant

I. dissecta +, 6, (6), good infection.

I. pandurina +, 5, (6), good infection

I. pes-caprae +, 4, (6), fair infection

Polymeria distgina +, 3, (8), sparse infection

Quamoclit coccinea +, 3, (8), sparse infection

Polemoniaceae

Gilia capitata +, 15, (12), sparse infection Phlox drummondi +, 4, (8), good infection

P. pilosa —, 4, (8), mature plant

Polemonium coeruleum +, 10, (6), good infection

P. reptans —, 6, (10), mature plant

Hydrophyllaceae

Hydrophyllum appendiculatum —, 3, (15), mature plant

Nama jamaicense —, 5, (10), mature plant

Nemophila insignis +, 10, (5), dense infection

Phacelia bipinnatifida +, 10, (4), dense infection

Boraginaceae

Borago officinalis +, 15, (6), dense infection

Boureria baccata +, 9, (15), dense infection

Cordia alba +, 10, (5), dense infection, leaves distorted

C. gerascanthus +, 10, (5), dense infection

C. sebestena +, 6, (4), dense infection, leaves greatly distorted

Cyanoglossum officinale +, 10, (5), good infection

Ehretia tenuifolia +, 5, (8), good infection

Heliotropium indicum +, 8, (8), dense infection, leaves distorted

Myosotis alpestris +, 30, (6), good infection

Tournefortia hirsutissima +, 10, (6), fair infection

Verbenaceae

Duranta plumieri +, 2, (6), good infection

Lantana trifoliata +, 8, (6), good infection

Phyla incisa +, 1, (6), good infection

P. nodiflora +, 1, (6), good infection

Priva echinata +, 3, (6), fair infection

Tectonia grandis +, 2, (6), sparse infection

Verbena bonaerensis +, 12, (8), good infection

V. alternifolia —, 10, (12)

Vitex cymosa +, 7, (8), good infection

Labiatae

Adjuga reptans —, 5, (12), mature plants

Coleus blumei —, 4, (15), mature plants

Glechoma hederacea —, 12, (10), mature plants

Lamium maculatum +, 6, (8), good infection, mature plants

L. purpureum +, 6, (6), good infection

Lavandula officinalis +, 45, (5), dense infection

Leonotis nepetefolia +, 3, (4), good infection, mature plants

Leonurus cardiaca +, 4, (7), sparse infection, mature plants

L. sibiricus +, 8, (6), fair infection

Mentha piperita +, 8, (4), dense infection, mature plants

Molucella laevis +, 8, (6), dense infection, leaves greatly malformed

Marrubium vulgare +, 8, (6), sparse infection

Nepeta cataria —, 6, (12), mature plants

N. cataria —, 15, (15), seedlings

Ocimum sp. -, 12, (15)

Physostegia virginiana +, 20, (6), sparse infection

Prunella vulgaris —, 6, (15), mature plants

P. vulgaris —, 20, (15), seedlings

Salvia splendens +, 20, (5), dense infection Sideritis candicans +, 12, (10), fair infection Stachys agraria -, 6, (8), mature plants

S. hispida —, 12, (10)

Thymus vulgaris +, 8, (8), good infection

Gesneriaceae

Columnea allenii +, 4, (8), good infection

Saintpaulia ionantha +, 4, (8), sparse infection, mature plants

Acanthaceae

Eranthemum watti +, 9, (15), good infection Fittonia argyroneura +, 2, (5), good infection

Hygrophila lancea +, 20, (8), fair infection

Justicia debilis +, 22, (6), dense infection

J. procumbens +, 12, (14), sparse infection, 2 galls on one leaf

Ruellia reptans —, 4, (8), mature plants

R. reptans +, 2, (6), good infection, seedlings

R. tuberosa +, 6, (8), fair infection

Thunbergia alata +, 20, (6), dense infection

Phrymaceae

Phryma leptostachya +, 3, (4), sparse infection

Plantaginaceae

Plantago aristata +, 5, (15), dense infection

P. asiatica +, 12, (6), sparse infection

P. bellardi +, 5, (12), sparse infection

P. coronopus +, 3, (8), sparse infection

P. japonica +, 8, (10), sparse infection

P. lagopus +, 10, (8), fair infection

P. lanceolata —, 15, (12), mature plants

P. lanceolata +, 8, (6), good infection, seedling

P. major +, 5, (8), good infection

P. maritima +, 20, (8), good infection

P. psyllium —, 3, (20)

P. pumila +, 10, (12), sparse infection

P. purshii +, 20, (20), sparse infection

P. rhodosperma +, 15, (8), good infection

P. rugeli +, 12, (8), good infection

P. serraria +, 10, (10), sparse infection

P. virginica +, 15, (8), good infection

P. wrightiana +, 8, (20), sparse infection, 6 galls on one plant

Santalaceae

Comandra ramondioides +, 5, (8), sparse infection

Santalum album +, 4, (4), dense infection

Rubiaceae

Boraria laevis —, 6, (12)

Coffea liberica +, 3, (8), sparse infection

Galium saxatile +, 10, (5), good infection

G. asprellum +, 10, (5), good infection

Guettardia elliptica +, 3, (5), dense infection

Ixora ruscifolia +, 8, (15), good infection

Morinda citrifolia +, 45, (6), dense infection, leaves greatly distorted M. rojoc +, 2, (8), sparse infection

Pentas lanceolata +, 4, (5), good infection, large outgrowths on stem Phuopsis stylola +, 15, (10), fair infection

Richardsonia pilosa +, 8, (8), sparse infection

Caprifoliaceae

Lonicera sp. +, 2, (6), sparse infection

L. xylosteum +, 6, (5), good infection

Sambucus canadensis +, 4, (10), sparse infection, galls and parasites aborted

Triosteum perfoliatum +, 2, (5), good infection

Virburnum lantana +, 8, (4), good infection

Solanaceae

Brunfelsia americana +, 8, (8), sparse infection

Capsicum baccatum +, 20, (6), dense infection

C. frutescens +, 30, (4), dense infection

Cestrum diurnum +, 12, (6), good infection

Datura stramonium +, 3, (6), good infection, leaves locally distorted, mature plant

D. suaveolens +, 2, (8), sparse infection, mature plant

D. suaveolens +, 15, (5), dense infection, seedlings

Lycopersicum esculentum +, 5, (6), good infection

Nicotiana affinis +, 15, (7), dense infection

N. tabacum —, 5, (12), mature plants

Petunia hybrida +, 15, (10), fair infection

Physalis subglabrata +, 8, (6), good infection

Schizanthus pinnatus +, 8, (6), dense infection

Solanum melongena +, 50, (5), good infection

S. nigrum +, 10, (4), good infection

S. rostratum +, 5, (6), good infection

S. torvum +, 40, (8), sparse infection, 3 galls on 2 leaves

S. tuberosum +, 5, (10), sparse infection

Scrophulariaceae

Afzelia cassioides +, 1, (5), sparse infection

Castilleja coccinea +, 8, (10), fair infection

Collinsonia canadense —, 15, (20)

Cymbalaria muralis +, 6, (5), dense infection, mature plant leaves greatly distorted

Digitalis purpurea var. gloxiniaefolia +, 10, (5), sparse infection

Penstemon barbatus +, 5, (5), sparse infection

Linaria vulgaris +, 6, (5), good infection

Scrophularia marylandica —, 45, (20)

Torena fourieri +, 30, (15), sparse infection

Verbascum thapsus +, 3, (6), sparse infection, galls and parasites aborted

Veronica peregrina var. typica —, 5, (10), mature plant

Veronica sp. +, 45, (5), good infection

Bignoniaceae

Arrabidaea magnifica +, 6, (8), sparse infection, mature plant, galls and parasites aborted

Bignonia unguis-cati +, 3, (6), fair infection

Catalpa bignonioides —, 5, (12), mature plant

C. bignonioides +, 6, (6), dense infection, seedlings

C. longissima +, 8, (5), good infection

Jacaranda silicifolia +, 12, (6), good infection

Pandorea australis +, 10, (6), dense infection

Parmentiera cereifera +, 15, (25), good infection of one seedling, out of fifteen

Spathodea campanulata +, 10, (6), good infection

Tecoma radicans +, 3, (5), sparse infection, few scattered galls T. stans +, 2, (8), sparse infection

Barringtoniaceae

Barringtonia speciosa +, 2, (6), sparse infection

Myrtaceae

Eucalyptus camaldulensus +, 2, (6), sparse infection

E. grandis +, 9, (6), fair infection

E. globulus +, 1, (8), sparse infection, galls and parasites aborted

Eugenia buxifolia +, 3, (6), dense infection, leaves distorted

E. jambosa +, 4, (6), dense infection, leaves distorted

E. malaccensis +, 3, (4), dense infection, leaves distorted

Psidium cattleianum +, 20, (10), dense infection

P. quajava +, 12, (6), dense infection

Rhizophoraceae

Rhizophora mangle +, 1, (8), sparse infection, galls and parasites aborted

Valerianaceae

Valerianella eriocarpa —, 2, (15)

V. chenopodifolia —, 6, (15)

V. stenocarpa +, 8, (15), good infection, galls and parasites aborted very early

Dipsaceae

Scabiosa caucasia +, 10, (5), dense infection

Cucurbitaceae

Benincasa hispida +, 2, (8), dense infection

Citrullus vulgaris +, 10, (4), dense infection, leaves greatly distorted

C. colocynthis +, 2, (6), dense infection

Cucumis anguira +, 7, (4), dense infection, leaves distorted

C. melo +, 8, (4), dense infection, leaves distorted

C. sativus +, 8, (4), dense infection, leaves distorted

Cucurbita foetidissima +, 6, (6), good infection

C. moschata +, 10, (4), dense infection

C. pepo +, 10, (4), dense infection, leaves distorted

Lagenaria siceraria +, 5, (4), dense infection

 $Momordica\ balsamina\ +,\ 6,\ (4),\ dense\ infection,\ leaves\ greatly\ distorted\ Melothria\ japonica\ +,\ 20,\ (7),\ fair\ infection$

Campanulaceae

Campanula americana +, 1, (4), dense infection, mature plant

C. carpatica +, 10, (6), good infection Campanula sp. +, 6, (6), good infection

Platycodon grandiflorum +, 3, (6), good infection

Specularia perfoliata +, 5, (4), dense infection

Lobeliaceae

Lobelia cardinalis +, 15, (5), good infection

Compositae

Achillea sp. +, 6, (12), sparse infection Ageratum sp. +, 20, (6), sparse infection $Ambrosia\ aptera$ +, 6, (6), fair infection

A. eleator —, 3, (6)

A. psilostachys +, 6, (6), good infection

A. trifida +, 6, (6), fair infection

Antennaria plantaginifolia +, 6, (3), fair infection

Anthemis tinctoria +, 20, (8), fair infection

— cotula —, 10, (10)

```
Arctium lappa +,7, (5), fair infection
Bellis perennis +, 15, (5), dense infection
Bidens bipinnata +, 6, (4), dense infection
B. frondosa +, 12, (4), dense infection
Cacalia atriplicifolia +, 5, (6), sparse infection, galls and parasites
aborted
Calendula officinalis +, 12, (4), good infection
Calliopsis sp. +, 30, (8), fair infection
Calyptocarpus vialis +, 4, (6), good infection, mature plants
Centaurea cyanus +, 10, (6), dense infection
C. imperalis +, 12, (6), dense infection
Cirsium palustre +, 6, (3), dense infection, mature plant
Chrysanthemum leucanthemum +, 8, (8), good infection
Cynara scolymus +, 15, (6), dense infection
Dahlia pinnata +, 12, (6), dense infection
Doronicum caucasicum +, 5, (6), dense infection
Emilia sonchifolia +, 5, (6), good infection, mature plant
Erigeron annuus +, 4, (6), fair infection, mature plant
E. philadelphicus +, 4, (6), fair infection, mature plant
Eupatorium urticifolium +, 2, (4), dense infection, mature plant
Gaillardia sp. +, 30, (6), good infection
Galinsoga parvifolia +, 5, (4), good infection
Gerbera jamesonii +, 10, (5), dense infection
Haplopappus gracilis —, 5, (10)
H. spinulosus -, 6, (10)
Helichrysum\ bracteatum\ +, 45, (5), good infection
Helianthus annuus +, 10, (6), good infection
Hieracium auranthiacum +, 5, (4), dense infection, mature plant
Kleinia articulata +, 4, (5), good infection
Lactuca sativa —, 5, (12), mature plant
L. scariola +, 4, (4), dense infection, leaves distorted, mature plant
Lagascea mollis +, 8, (10), sparse infection, galls and parasites aborted
Liatris scariosa +, 12, (6), good infection
Matricaria\ capensis\ +,\ 1,\ (5),\ sparse\ infection
Prenanthes altissima +, 12, (8), good infection
Pyrethrum roseum —, 20, (15)
Rudbeckia\ serotina +, 3, (15),  sparse infection
R. purpurea +, 10, (4), dense infection
R. laciniata + 1, (4), dense infection, mature plant
Sanvitalia procumbens +, 10, (6), good infection
Senecio obovatus +, 3, (7), good infection, mature plant
Sonchus asper +, 2, (3), dense infection
Spilanthus urens +, 20, (5), good infection
Synedrella nodiflora +, 4, (4), good infection
Stokesia cyanea +, 15, (5), dense infection
Tagetes tenuifolia +, 10, (4), dense infection
Taraxacum officinale —, 6, (30), mature plant
T. officinale —, 20, (25), seedlings
Tithonia rotundifolia +, 8, (3), dense infection
Veronina cinerea +, 4, (5), good infection, mature plant
Xanthium \ americanum +, 12, (2), dense infection
X. strumarium +, 5, (3), dense infection, leaves distorted
Zinnia \ angustifolia +, 30, (3), dense infection
```

Discussion.

As shown in the results above 811 species and 7 varieties in 576 genera of 166 families were inoculated, and of these 707 species in 509 genera of 141 families became infected in varying degrees of intensity. The number of families successfully infected depends, of course, on whether the *Agavaceae*, *Dodonaeaceae*, *Barringtoniaceae*, etc. are recognized as distinct families. Nonetheless, infection occurred in approximately 86% of the species inoculated. In the various categories *) of infection 165 species were sparsely infected, 91 fairly, 175 goodly, and 255 densely infected. In 27 species the parasites and galls aborted at various stages of development. No infection occurred on ferns, mosses, liverworts, algae and fungi.

A large number of flowering plants also did not become infected although they were inoculated repeatedly with a dense suspension of planospores. However, this does not a priori indicate an inherent immunity or resistance in all negative cases, in my opinion. Leaves of species like Althaea rosea, Verbascum thapsus, Plantago psyllum, etc., with a dense covering of trichomes are difficult to wet thoroughly and thus provide a moist surface and direct contact for the planospores with the epidermis. Leaves of other plants like Euphorbia marginata, Eucalyptus species, and Eschscholzia californica have a thick waxy cuticle which prevents wetting. Although attempts were made to overcome such structural barriers by the use of a wetting agent I think they influenced the success or failure of infection to some degree. The wide range of plants which are susceptible and the inconsistencies in results obtained with the same species at different times lead me to believe that many of the species which remained uninfected would be susceptible to S. macrosporum if conditions were optimum and structural barriers were effectively removed.

In species like Lysimachia nummularia, Ajuga reptans, Prunella vulgaris and others, the leaves are readily wettable, and although inoculated a large number of times they did not become infected. Such species, thus, appear to be resistant or immune to S. macrosporum.

In most families listed above only one or a few species were available for inoculation, and it is impossible to draw any conclusions about their susceptibility as families. In other families such as the Urticaceae (6—6), Chenopodiaceae (8—8), Campanulaceae (5—5), Papaveraceae (6—6), Acanthaceae (8—8), Amaranthaceae (10—8), Bignoniaceae (10—10), and Ranunculaceae (13—13), several species were inoculated as indicated by the first number in parenthesis after the family name. The second numeral indicates the number which

^{*)} See a definition of these categories in the introduktion.

became infected. In the Cruciferae (23-23), Leguminosae (91-89), Euphorbiaceae (16-14), Malvaceae (29-26), Umbilliferae (17-17), Asclepidaceae (19—17), Labiatae (23—12), Plantaginaceae (18—16), Solanaceae (18-17), and Compositae (60-54) a large number of species were available for inoculation. All inoculated species of the Urticaceae, Chenopodiaceae, Campanulaceae, Papaveraceae, Acanthaceae, Bignoniaceae, Ranunculaceae, Cruciferae, and Umbelliferae were infected, and solely on the basis of the limited number of used one might conclude that these families are very susceptible to S. macrosporum. In the Leguminosae, Amaranthaceae, Euphorbiaceae, Asclepidaceae and Plantaginaceae only 2 of the species were uninfected. In the Solanaceae, Malvaceae, Compositae and Labiatae 1, 3, 6 and 9 species, respectively, remained uinfected. In most of the other families also the percentages of infection were high. In the Leguminosae all 31 species of Cassia were susceptible, as well as all but 2 of the 18 species of Plantago in the Plantaginaceae. The high susceptibility of the Leguminosae to S. macrosporum is not surprising inasmuch as species of this family are the hosts of a fairly large number of Synchytrium species. Despite the positive evidence noted above, however, I do not think definite conclusions on relative family susceptibility can be drawn at this time, because the number of species tested is too small to be very significant.

The degree of abortion of the parasite and galls in the 27 species noted previously varied greatly in different species. In some the parasite and host cell died shortly after infection with the result that only microscopic unicellular dots were visible on the host leaves. In others the parasite attained considerable size before dying, and in such instances fairly distinct and large galls were formed. These species may be regarded, therefore, as susceptible to infection but resistant in varying degrees to the development of the parasite.

The degree of infection varied considerably in the most susceptible families. In the *Plantaginaceae*, for instance, most infections were sparse although some species were inoculated as much as 20 times. In the *Leguminosae*, on the other hand, the majority of infections were dense. Furthermore, the degree of infection in the susceptible species was not correlated with the density or total amount of inoculum used. The latter was kept as uniform as possible, yet the degree of infection varied markedly. Leaves of *Ambrosia trifida*, for instance, which are densely infected in nature, were inoculated 8 times but only 22 galls and resting spores developed. I estimate that more than a million planospores were placed directly on the seedling leaves of this host. Also, it should be noted here that seedling leaves of *Cirsium palustre* and other susceptible species were densely infected on one occasion, but when the experiment was

repeated no infection occurred although the conditions were apparently the same.

Accordingly, the factors involved in successful infection under laboratory and greenhouse conditions are not clearly understood at present. The inconsistencies have led me to consider the possibility that infection might be associated with the sexuality of the etiologic agent. Are the planospores of Pycnochytrium species like S. macrosporum obligate gametes incapable of parthenogenetic development which must fuse before they can infect a host cell, as Kusano (1930) postulated, and are all the resting spores zygotic in origin? Fusion of gametes occurs in S. macrosporum, but it is not known whether resting spores develop only from such fusions or from planospores as well. Within 30 minutes to an hour or more after discharge from the sporangia large numbers of planospores aggregate and become quiescent in dense (fig. 14) masses at various places in the mounts. Fusions usually, but not always, occur in such masses to form zygotes (fig. 15—19). The percentage of zygotes formed, however, is not very high under laboratory conditions so far as is known. In four separate mounts of small single sori of 195, 140, 200, and 140 sporangia, which produced approximately 39, 28, 40 and 30 thousand planospores, respectively, only 199, 140, 180, and 150 zygotes were counted — a slight fraction of one per cent. In mounts of several sori such as were used for inoculation, the number of zygotes was considerably higher. Nevertheless, the low percentage of zygotes formed is more in line with the low number of infections, resting spores and galls obtained on numerous hosts in my experiments. To test the suggestion that only zygotes are capable of infection, mounts of several sori with their discharged planospores were allowed to remain under cover slips on slides until zygotes were formed and most of the planospores had degeneraded. These were then transferred to the leaves of several species which had been resistant to infection in spite of numerous inoculations of active planospores. Some of these became infected within two weeks, which substantiates to some degree the view that only zygotes are capable of infecting the host. However, the results obtained so far are too meager to warrant definite conclusions. Obviously, if only zygotes are infective fusions must occur abundantly in nature to account for the general and dense infections of the numerous hosts found at Cameron, Texas.

The ubiquity of *S. macrosporum* in host range and the variations which it may exhibit on different hosts have raised the question of its possible identity with *S. aureum*. As noted above *S. aureum* has been reported from most countries in the world and on 198 species in 123 genera of 34 families of flowering plants. It, also, develops only resting spores and has a life cycle similar to that of *S. macrosporum*. Furthermore, its resting spores vary greatly on different

hosts, and it induces marked variations in gall structure. On these grounds the two species might appear to be identical. However, except for Rytz's (1907, 1932) careful study of S. aureum in nature in Switzerland and his limited outdoor cross inoculations, the reports of its wide occurrence elsewhere are based on isolated collections, and no controlled experiments have been made with the various collections to determine their host ranges. As related previously, most collectors have identified their collections of Pycnochytrium-like species which induce composite galls and develop only resting spores as S. aureum without making host range and developmental studies. Until this is done it is not at all certain that the numerous collections labeled S. aureum relate to this binomial, and extensive host range studies will probably reveal the presence of biological races in this species. It is significant that S. macrosporum did not infect Lysimachia nummularia and Prunella vulgaris in spite of repeated inoculations. These species are two of the original hosts reported by Schroeter and Schneider (1869) for S. aureum.

Synchytrium macrosporum may turn out to be different cytologically from S. aureum when the latter species is better known in this respect. As shown in fig. 10, cleavage in the sorus of S. macrosporum occurs fairly early before many mitoses have occurred and usually delimits uninucleate segments or "protospores" as in S. decipiens (Harper, 1899) and S. oxalydis (Karling, 1955). The nuclei then divide rapidly to produce multinucleate sporangia (fig. 11). As noted above, the cytology of S. aureum is poorly known, but according to Rytz's (1907) figures most of the cleavage segments are multinucleate. However, it is quite possible that his figure relates to a later stage, and that he did not observe a protospore stage. Studies are now being made on the host range and cytology of an European collection of S. aureum to compare it more accurately with S. macrosporum.

It is quite possible also that many of the Pycnochytrium or short-cycled species, including S. lepedii, S. erigerontis, S. lythrii, S. sambuci, S. parthenocissi, S. duchesnae, S. corni, S. asterum, S. cocculi, S. cardiospermi, S. ellicicola, S. liquidambaris, S. gonoboli, S. carpini, S. clematidis, S. bignoniae, S. erechtites, S. polygoni, S. callicarpi, S. tecomae, S. mitchellae, S. trachelospermi, S. fraxini, S. ulmi, and S. violae, which Cook (1949, 1953) reported from Louisiana may be identical with S. macrosporum or it with them. I have succeeded in infecting the hosts of all of Cook's species, except those of S. ulmi and S. mitchelli, with S. macrosporum and induced thereby very similar reactions in the hosts. Cook's species occur in fairly close geographical proximity to S. macrosporum, and it is quite likely that they extend westward from Louisiana into Texas. It is to be noted, however, that so far none of his species, except S. erigerontis, have

been found occurring on the same hosts as *S. macrosporum* in nature. Cook described his species briefly but made no developmental or host range studies. I made a careful study of his herbarium material and his fixed and stained sections of these species and found that they are fairly similar in spore sizes and shapes as well as in the reactions they induce in their respective hosts. At least, the difference which they exhibit are no greater than those of *S. macrosporum* on different hosts. However, the exact identity and relationship of Cook's species with *S. macrosporum* and *S. aureum*, remain to be shown.

Summary.

Synchytrium macrosporum is a member of the subgenus Pycnochytrium which develops large resting spores in composite galls and parasitizes a large number of plants in Texas. Under greenhouse conditions it has proven to be ubiquitous in host range. To date 811 species and seven varieties in 576 genera of 166 families of plants, including fungi, algae, liverworts, mosses, ferns gymnosperms and angiosperms from tropical, subtropical, and temperate zones as well as annuals, perrenials, shrubs, and trees, have been inoculated with planospores from a monoplanospore stock. Among these, 707 species in 509 genera of 141 families became infected in varying degrees of intensity. No infections occurred in fungi, algae, liverworts, mosses and ferns.

Among the infected plants, 610 species, 371 genera and 75 families are new hosts for *Synchytrium*.


In the various categories of infection 165 species were sparsely infected, 91 fairly, 175 goodly, and 255 densely infected. In 27 of the infected species the parasites and galls aborted at various stages of development.

Among the families in which a large number of species were tested the *Cruciferae*, *Leguminosae*, *Cucurbitaceae*, *Solanaceae* and *Compositae* were most susceptible under the conditions of these experiments.

The degree of infection was not closely correlated with the number of times of inoculation or the total amount of the inoculum. The inconsistencies in results obtained suggest that success of infection might be associated with the sexuality of the etiologic agent.

Bibliography.

- Cook, M. T. 1945 a. Species of *Synchytrium* in Louisiana. I. Description of species found in the vicinity of Baton Rouge. Mycologia 37: 284—294.
 - 1945 b. Species of *Synchytrium* in Louisiana. II. Species of Louisiana *Synchytrium*. Mycologia 37: 571—575.



— 1945 c. Species of *Synchytrium* in Louisiana. III. The structure and development of the galls. Mycologia 37: 715—740.

— 1949. Species of Synchytrium in Louisiana. V. A new species on

Sambucus canadensis. Mycologia 41: 24—27.

— 1953. Species of *Synchytrium* in Louisiana. VIII. New species. Mycologia 45: 101—114.

- Harper, R. A. 1899. Cell division in sporangia and asci. Ann. Bot. 13: 467—525.
- Karling, J. S. 1953. Micromyces and Synchytrium. Mycologia 45: 276—287.
 - 1955 a. Prosori in *Synchytrium*. Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 82: 218—236. 1955 b. Galls induced by *Synchytrium* and their relation to classifi-
 - 1955 b. Galls induced by *Synchytrium* and their relation to classification. Amer. Jour. Bot. 42: 540—545.
 - 1956. New and unidentified species of *Synchytrium*. III. Sydowia **10**; 243—254.
- Kusano, S. 1930. The life history and physiology of *Synchytrium ful*gens Schroet., with special reference to its sexuality. Jap. Jour. Bot. 5: 35—132.
- Poole, D. D. 1934. Red stem gall of castorbean caused by *Synchytrium* in Texas. Pl. Dis. Reporter 38: 728.
- Rytz, W. 1907. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Gattung Synchytrium. Centralbl. Bakt. Parasitenk. u. Infekt. II, 18: 635—655, 799—825.
 - 1932. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Gattung Synchytrium. III. Infektionsversuche mit einem Synchytrium vom Typus S. aureum Schrott. Deut. Gesell. 50: 463—471.
- Schroeter, J. and W. G. Schneider. 1869. Übersicht der in Schlesien gefundenen Pilze. Jahresb. Schles. Gesell. 47: 140—159.

Explanation of Plate XXIII.

Fig. 1—19. Synchytrium macrosporum. Fig. 1. Large composite gall on leaf of Xanthium strumarium. Fig. 2—6. Variations in the sizes and shapes of resting spores from different organs of X. strumarium. Fig. 7, 8. Germination stages of resting spores. Fig. 9. Multinucleate sorus. Fig. 10. Sorus after cleavage into predominantly uninucleate segments. Fig. 11. Sorus with multinucleate sporangia. Fig. 12. Discharge of planospores. Fig. 13. Planospores. Fig. 14. Aggregation of planospores. Fig. 15—19. Stages in the fusion of gametes to form zygotes.

ZOBODAT - www.zobodat.at

Zoologisch-Botanische Datenbank/Zoological-Botanical Database

Digitale Literatur/Digital Literature

Zeitschrift/Journal: Sydowia

Jahr/Year: 1960

Band/Volume: 14

Autor(en)/Author(s): Karling John S.

Artikel/Article: Inoculation Experiments with Synchytrium macrosporum.

<u>138-169</u>