Open Forum: Inputs requested by referees

Reinhard Agerer¹, Joe Ammirati², Timothy J. Baroni³, Paul Blanz⁴, Régis Courtecuisse⁵, Dennis E. Desjardin⁶, Walter Gams⁷, Nils Hallenberg⁸, Roy Halling⁹, David L. Hawksworth¹⁰, Egon Horak¹¹, Richard P. Korf¹², Greg M. Mueller¹³, Franz Oberwinkler¹⁴, Gerhard Rambold¹⁵, Richard C. Summerbell⁷, Dagmar Triebel¹⁶ & Roy Watling¹⁷

² Department of Botany, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195,

³ Department of Biological Sciences, POB 2000, SUNY-College at Cortland, Cortland, NY 13045, USA

⁴ Institut für Botanik, Universität Graz, Holteigasse 6, A-8010 Graz, Austria.
⁵ Département de Botanique, Faculté des Sciences Pharmaceutiques et Biologiques, BP 83, F-59006 Lille Cedex, France.

⁶ Department of Biology, San Francisco State University, 1600 Holloway Ave., San Francisco, CA 94132, USA.

 7 Centraalbureau v. Schimmel
cultures, P. O. Box 273, NL-3740 AG Baarn, The Netherlands.

Begartment of Plant Taxonomy, University of Göteborg, Carl Skottsbergs Gata 22, S-41319 Göteborg, Sweden.

 9 Institute of Systematic Botany, New York Botanical Garden, Bronx, New York 10458-5126, USA.

¹⁰ MycoNova, 114 Finchley Lane, Hendon, London NW4 1DG, UK.

Geobotanisches Institut ETH, Herbarium Z+ZT, Zollikerstr. 107, CH-8008 Zürich, Switzerland.

Department of Plant Pathology, Cornell University, 401 Plant Science Bldg., Ithaca, NY 14853-4203, USA.

¹³ Department of Botany, The Field Museum, 1400 S. Lake Shore Dr., Chicago IL, 60605–2496, USA.

¹⁴ Institut für Biologie I, Lehrstuhl Spezielle Botanik und Mykologie, Auf der Morgenstelle 1, D-72076 Tübingen, Germany.

Lehrstuhl für Pflanzensystematik, Universität Bayreuth, Universitätsstr. 30 NW I 101251, D-95447 Bayreuth, Germany.

Botanische Staatssammlung München, Mycology Department, Menzinger Str. 67, D-80638 München, Germany.

¹⁷ Royal Botanic Garden, Inverleith Row, Edinburgh EH3 5LR, UK.

To help minimise invalid publication of newly proposed scientific names of fungi, Korf (1995) provided advice on how to guarantee valid publication, and offered a few simple guidelines for authors, reviewers, and editors. He regretted that 'unfortunately many

¹ Institut für Systematische Botanik, Section Mykologie, Universität München, Menzinger Str. 67, D-80638 München, Germany.

of the errors are committed by highly respected mycologists, and published in thoroughly respectable journals' and emphasised that 'although the ultimate responsibility for publishing correct names lies with authors, clearly reviewers and editors are shirking their duties to advise authors of such errors prior to publication'.

In order to be published validly, names must be introduced according to requirements of the International Code of Botanical Nomenclature (ICBN; Greuter & al., 1994, 2000). Since 1990 it has been compulsory to deposit the vouchers for new species and infraspecific taxa, the name-bearing types, in a herbarium or other collection. It is generally accepted that such voucher specimens should be deposited in publicly accessible reference collections such as herbaria.

Voucher collections are invariably necessary not only when new fungi are described, but also in connection with any scientific study, whether by taxonomists, systematists, physiologists, chemists, molecular biologists, pathologists, ecologists, clinicians or other scientists dealing with organisms. It is essential to preserve voucher specimens as dried material or, where possible, in addition as permanently preserved living cultures. When none of the investigated material is preserved, it is impossible to confirm the identity of the investigated taxa. If species concepts have changed, it is particularly crucial to be able to re-identify the organism at a later time. There are several examples of entities once thought to be species but now revealed as species complexes, where the species concept has been or will be changed, including Pisolithus tinctorius (Burgess & al., 1995) and Paxillus involutus (Fries 1985; Hahn & Agerer, 1999). In such cases, re-identification of the original material is indispensable in order to know which organism was studied so that previous work will continue to be relevant. In recent years molecular biological studies have a tremendous impact on systematics, taxonomy, and ecology. DNA sequences are frequently obtained from fungal cultures. Too often there is no record either of an exact citation of the fungal material used, such as an unequivocal number referring to collection accession data and the voucher culture, or reference to the institution where the material has been deposited. Often strain numbers are lacking in publications when sequences from GenBank are used. Frequently, only personal or laboratory strain numbers are given, which make it hard to trace the origin of the fungal material. Only accession numbers allocated by permanent public or other open institutional collections can ensure the retrieval of voucher material over the long-term. It is not yet common practice to publish complete collection or isolation data, or to deposit vouchers, except in taxonomic articles.

Conservation of dried fruit-bodies from which cultures are made is also indispensable in order to allow checking of anatomical and morphological features that cannot be reproduced in culture. The cultures also can be checked using molecular methods after prolonged preservation, in order to exclude the possibility of contamination. While it is rarely possible to culture fungi from dried specimens, the associated collection details are indispensable not only to clarify the geographical and ecological source, but also to facilitate the possibility of recollecting the fungus in the same site. This requires as detailed and exact a description of the sampling locality as possible, preferably including geographic co-ordinates something now facilitated by hand-held or wrist-band global positioning devices.

Voucher specimens are equally important for a wide range of other investigations. Dennis' (1960: xxii) remark that "records that cannot be verified are mere waste paper" applies to numerous aspects of our discipline. Studies of the species composition of any habitat depend on properly determined fungi, and so will require dried vouchers deposited in collections accessible to the scientists. This applies, for example, not only to fruit-bodies, but indeed to any other form of fungal structure, such as sclerotia, or ectomycorrhizae (Agerer ,1991) used in scientific work. Ecological, chemical, applied, and physiological studies quite often rely on ecotypes of species, which could later be considered, depending upon the species concepts applied, as separate species. In the seventies, Hawksworth (1974), Yocum & Simons (1977) and Ammirati (1979) were among the first to point out the importance of voucher material particularly in chemical, but also other physiological and ecological studies. In ecological studies on ectomycorrhizae, the increasing use made of RFLP patterns or DNA sequences for the detection of the symbionts requires comparison with those of identified fruit-bodies. In many studies, the identified ectomycorrhizae are completely consumed by the extraction and amplification methods. Instead, voucher specimens should be stored, when individual tips of a larger hyphal system have been used. Even more important is the citation and preservation of the fruit-body specimen from which DNA was extracted for comparison with that obtained from ectomycorrhizae.

Voucher cultures are urgently needed when clinically relevant fungi are investigated and their etiologic data and their impact on human beings have to be evaluated (de Hoog & Guého, 1985). Further, where cultural or chemical features are crucial for the evaluation of newly described fungi such as yeasts, the non-availability of cultures can make interpretation impossible and frustrate other researchers (Banno & al., 1993; Hawksworth, 1984). Sufficient information on clinical direct microscopy or histopathology results to determine whether an isolate was clinically significant or a biomedical contaminant is essential for later evaluations. In cases of ap-

parently exotic fungi, a brief annotation of relevant patient travel history is strongly recommended.

Additional documentation requirements apply to strains deposited in the major service collections of fungal cultures, such as ATCC (American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, Virginia, USA). CBS (Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures, Baarn/Utrecht, The Netherlands), or IMI [CABI Bioscience (UK Centre), Egham, Surrey, UK]; these and other culture collections often provide forms for depositors to simplify the documentation process. In such major culture collections, the cultures are safely stored with cryo-preservation methods, and may be revived at any time. For sporulating fungi, the citation of the allocated accession number is generally enough to meet the goal of reproducibility of scientific results, i. e. to confirm the identity of the species studied. A comparison with naturally grown material, however, is only possible when the original collection or isolation details have been cited. A completely different situation arises in cultures which are sterile and thus cannot be identified by normal methods. For such cultures, preservation of youchers is particularly important together with exact collection data of the fruit-bodies and the herbarium or other collection where they have been deposited. Misidentifications can then be detected, new species concepts applied to the material, and recollection of new living material from the site of the original fruit-body might still be possible.

The addresses of public and open institutional dried reference collections and herbaria can be found in Index Herbariorum (Holmgren et al., 1990), and of microbial culture collections in the World Directory (Sugawara & al., 1993); these works both contain generally applied acronyms, which are convenient and informative enough for citation. Public and institutional collections ensure that the material in their care is well-kept and preserved in a proper way for centuries, and they usually loan dried material free of charge, subject to certain requirements. Whilst the long-term maintenance of private herbaria is often uncertain and the mailing expenses exceed a private budget, nearly all of the international herbaria and other institutions that house fungi will warmly accept properly dried and documented fungal material. Living cultures are normally supplied for a charge to cover the post of preparation and carriage, again subject to particular regulations that may apply; details vary and are available from the collections' catalogues and web sites.

Particularly in recent years, the behaviour of the scientific community has set tongues wagging, especially in relation to falsified data in publications concerning human cancer. It is a fundamental principle of science that research work must be reproducible. Reproducibility requires that studies can be made using the same dried material or cultures as the original study used. As a consequence, publications lacking unambiguous reference to the locations where the critical study material can be accessed by later researchers should not be accepted for publication. They are of no or limited scientific value, as they cannot be reproduced. Editors and referees in all aspects of mycology are often confronted with such situations and it is therefore necessary to include advice for the deposition of voucher material in instructions for authors (e. g. Hawksworth, 2000) and to regard this as a prerequisite for publication

All scientists are responsible for their results. This responsibility lies not only in relation to the scientific community, but also in relation to those who support their research - the taxpayer, charities or other funding agencies, and ultimately society at large. The general public expects integrity from the scientific community. It is the responsibility of individual scientists, referees, and editors to rigorously apply the highest standards and make every effort to ensure that published research will be reproducible. Reproducibility in mycology is irrevocably and inextricably connected to the unequivocal citation of voucher specimens and cultures.

References

- Agerer, R. (1991). Characterization of ectomycorrhiza. In: J. R. Norris, D. A. Read & A. K. Varma (eds). Techniques for the Study of Mycorrhiza: 25–73. [Methods in Microbiology Vol. 23.] Academic Press, San Diego.
- Ammirati, J. (1979). Chemical studies of mushrooms: the need for voucher collections. Mycologia 71: 437–441.
- Banno, I., J. A. Barnett, T. Déak & al. (1993). Unavailable new species. FEMS Microbiol. Letters 108: i.
- Burgess, T., N. Malajczuk & B. Dell (1995). Variation in *Pisolithus* based on basidiome and basidiospore morphology, culture characteristics and analysis of polypeptides using 1D SDS-PAGE. Mycol. Res. 99: 1–13.
- Dennis, R. W. G. (1960). British Cup Fungi. Ray Society, London.
- Fries, N. (1985). Intersterility groups in Paxillus involutus. Mycotaxon 24: 403–409.
- Greuter, W., F. R. Barrie, H.-M. Burdet & al. (eds.) (1994). International Code of Botanical Nomenclature (Tokyo Code). [Regnum Vegetabile No. 131.]. – Koeltz Scientific Books, Königstein.
- —, —, & al. (eds.) (2000). International Code of Botanical Nomenclature (St. Louis Code). [Regnum Vegetabile, in press.]. Koeltz Scientific Books, Königstein.
- Hahn, C. & R. Agerer (1999). Studien zum Paxillus involutus Formenkreis. Nova Hedwigia 69: 241–310.
- Hawksworth, D. L. (1974). Mycologist's Handbook. Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Kew, Surrey.
- (1984), Fungi in culture. Nature 310: 18.
- (2000). Instructions and guidelines for authors. Mycol. Res. 104: 119–127.

- Holmgren, P. K., N. H. Holmgren & L. C. Barnett (1990). Index Herbariorum. Part I. Herbaria of the World. 8th ed. [Regnum Vegetabile No. 120.]. – New York Botanical Garden, New York. (http://www.nybg.org/bsci/ih/)
- De Hoog, G. S. & E. Guého (1985). A plea for the preservation of opportunistic fungal isolates. Diagnosis of Microbiological Infectious Disease 3: 369–372.
- Korf, R. P. (1995) Authors, reviewers, and editors of articles proposing new names: A few guidelines. – Mycotaxon 54: 413–419.
- Sugawara, H., Ma, J., S. Miyazaki, J. Shimura & Y. Takishima (1993). World Directory of Collections of Cultures of Microorganisms. 4th ed. – World Federation of Culture Collections World Data Center on Microorganisms, RIKEN, Wako, Japan.
- Yocum, R. R. & D. M. Simons (1977). Amatoxins and phallotoxins in Amanita species of northeastern United States. – Lloydia 40: 178–190.

(Manuscript accepted 1st June 2000)

ZOBODAT - www.zobodat.at

Zoologisch-Botanische Datenbank/Zoological-Botanical Database

Digitale Literatur/Digital Literature

Zeitschrift/Journal: Sydowia

Jahr/Year: 2000

Band/Volume: 52

Autor(en)/Author(s): Agerer Reinhard, Ammirati Joseph F., Baroni Timothy J., Blanz Paul A., Courtecuisse Regis, Desjardin Dennis E., Gams Walter, Hallenberg Nils, Halling Roy, Hawksworth David Leslie, Horak Egon, Korf Richard P., Mueller Greg M., Oberwinkler Franz, Rambold Gerhard, Summerbell Richard C., Triebel Dagmar, Watling Roy

Artikel/Article: Open Forum: Inouts requested by referees. 67-72