facilitate the recognition of skulls of Kogia simus we hereby reproduce a series of drawings of a skull present in the Amsterdam collection.

Both authors of this note gratefully acknowledge the help received from the authorities of the Sultanate of Oman.

#### References

Bree, P. J. H. van; Duguy, R. (1967): Données craniométriques sur quatre spécimens de Kogia breviceps (de Blainville, 1838) (Mammalia, Cetacea) échoués sur les côtes d'Europe. Mammalia 31,

Bullen, F. (1898/1961): The cruise of the Cachalot. London: John Murray.

ELLIOT, W. (1866): Note to memoir on the Indian Cetacea collected by Sir Walter Elliot. Trans. zool. Soc. London 6 171-174.

HALE, H.M. (1963): Young female Pigmy Sperm Whale (Kogia breviceps) from Western and South

Australia. Rec. S. Austr. Mus. 14, 561-577.

HANDLEY, CH. O. (1966): A synopsis of the genus Kogia (Pygmy Sperm Whales): 62–69. In: Whales, dolphins and porpoises. Ed. by Norris, K.S. Berkeley: Univ. California Press.
 OWEN, R. (1866): On some Indian Cetacea collected by Walter Elliot, Esq. Trans. zool. Soc. London 6,

17 - 47.

PILLAY, R.S. N. (1926): List of cetaceans taken in Travancore from 1902 to 1925. J. Bombay nat. Hist. Soc. 31, 815-817.

Ross, G. J. B. (1979): Records of pygmy and dwarf sperm whales, genus Kogia, from southern Africa, with biological notes and some comparisons. Ann. Cape Prov. Mus. (nat. Hist.) 14, 259-327.

SCLATER, W. L. (1901): The Mammals of South Africa. 2 vols. London: R. H. Porter. Weber, M. (1923): Die Cetaceen der Siboga-Expedition. Siboga Exp. Monogr. 58, 1–38.

Authors' addresses: Major M. D. Gallagher, c/o Lloyd's Bank Ltd., 6 Pall Mall, London SW1Y 5NH, Great Britain; Dr P. J. H. VAN BREE, Institute of Taxonomic Zoology (Zoological Museum), Plantage Kerklaan 36, 1018 CZ Amsterdam, The Netherlands

# Observations on reproduction in Mus musculus L. in Rangoon<sup>1</sup>

By D. W. Walton, R. E. King, J. E. Brooks and H. Naing

Rodent Control Demonstration Unit, World Health Organization, Rangoon, Burma

Receipt of Ms. 1.10.1979

In Rangoon, Mus musculus is of the commensal, dark bellied type within the castaneus group as defined by Marshall (1977). As part of the commensal small mammal fauna of Rangoon, it occurs with several other species: Rattus exulans, R. rattus, R. norvegicus, Bandicota bengalensis, B. indica and Suncus murinus. During a survey of urban small mammals in Rangoon specimens of this species were captured, primarily from houses, shops and grain storage warehouses. The survey was conducted by the Rodent Control Demonstration Unit of the World Health Organization in cooperation with the Ministry of Health, Burma.

Animals were captured in locally-made wooden live traps, wire mesh traps and by break-back traps. Generally the wooden live traps were too large to be effectively operated by the small mice, so the majority of captures were by means of the other two trap types. Whether alive or dead at capture, the mice

<sup>1</sup> The work of the Rodent Control Demonstration Unit is supported by grants from the Government of Denmark (DANIDA), the United Kingdom (Colombo Plan) and the Federal Republic of Germany to the World Health Organization, which is gratefully acknowledged.

U.S. Copyright Clearance Center Code Statement: 0044-3468/80/4501-0057 \$ 2.50/0

Z. Säugetierkunde 45 (1980) 57-60

© 1980 Verlag Paul Parey, Hamburg und Berlin

ISSN 0044-3468/ASTM-Coden: ZSAEA 7

Table 1
Size/Weight distribution

HBL	No. of Males	Wt. ± 1SD	No. of Females (non-preg.)	Wt. ± 1SD
45-49	0	_	1	8.0
50-54	3	$6.7 \pm 2.9$	2	6.0
55-59	4	$7.5 \pm 1.0$	6	$7.2 \pm 1.0$
60-64	15	$9.1 \pm 1.4$	12	$8.6 \pm 1.6$
65-69	20	$12.0 \pm 2.4$	14	11.3 + 2.9
70-74	39	$14.7 \pm 2.1$	15	$13.8 \pm 1.7$
75-79	18	$15.6 \pm 2.2$	20	$16.8 \pm 2.2$
80-84	13	$18.2 \pm 3.4$	10	$17.3 \pm 2.9$
85-89	3	$15.7 \pm 5.1$	6	$17.0 \pm 2.8$
Totals	115	$13.6 \pm 3.0$	86	$13.3 \pm 3.7$
Sample $\bar{x} = 71.1$	± 7.5 mm		Sample $\bar{x} = 71.4 \pm$	9.1 mm

Table 2
Pregnancy, litter size and lactation by head and body length

HBL		Pregnancy No.	Pregnancy %			Pregnancy	
	No.			Litter size $(x \pm 1 SD)$	No. Lactating only	Lactating only	Reprod. active %
45–49	1	0	_	_	_	_	_
50-54	2	0	_	_	-	_	-
55-59	6	0	_	-	-	_	_
60-64	13	1	7.7	4.0	1	2	15.4
65-69	24	10	41.7	$4.1 \pm 1.4$	2	12	50.0
70-74	33	18	54.5	$4.1 \pm 1.6$	. 4	22	66.7
75-79	35	15	42.9	$4.7 \pm 1.2$	7	22	62.8
80-84	25	15	60.0	$4.9 \pm 1.1$	2	17	68.0
85-89	11	5	45.5	$4.8 \pm 1.1$	-	5	45.5
Totals	150	64	42.7	4.5±1.3	16	80	53.3
		$2 \pm 8.3;50\%$	Pregnant at	79.7 mm; 50	% Reproductive	ely Active at	76.3m

 $Table \ 3$  Pregnancy and placental scar observations by head and body length

HBL	Number Examined	Not Pregnant No scars	Pregnant No scars	Not Pregnant 1 set scars	Pregnant 1 set scar	Not Pregnant 2 set scars	Pregnant 2 set scars
45-49	1	1	_	_	_	_	_
50-54	2	2	_	_	_	_	_
55 - 59	4	4	_	_	_	_	_
60-64	11	10	_	1	_	_	_
65-69	13	10	2	1	_	_	_
70-74	19	9	0	6	3	_	1
75-79	22	5	2	13	2	_	_
80 - 84	16	1	7	7	-	1	_
85-89	7	0	2	2	1	1	1
Totals	95	42	13	30	6	2	2

At a HBL of 76.3, 50% were either pregnant or had at least 1 set of scars. Primiparous  $\bar{x}=77.8 \text{mm}$  $\pm 5.8, \, n=43;$  Multiparous  $\bar{x}=78.5 \, \text{mm} \pm 6.7, \, n=10.$  were checked for ectoparasites, sexed, measured and weighed. All measurements are given in millimetres. Body weight (wt.) was obtained by means of a Pesola spring scale and is expressed in grams. Reproductive condition was noted on all females: perforation of the vaginal orifice, location and number of visible mammaries, lactation, visible pregnancy and number and size of embryos. A sample was examined for placental scars.

A total of 265 *M. musculus* was examined, females comprising 150 (57%) of the total sample. Length and weight distribution of these animals by sex is presented in Table 1. An earlier study of size of *M. musculus* in Rangoon (Harrison and Woodville 1949) did not separate the data by sex. No significant sexual dimorphism in size and weight was detected. Examination of the size distribution of the sample revealed no skewness i.e., the distribution is essentially symmetrical. Visibly pregnant females were omitted from those data shown in Table 1.

A summary of the distribution of pregnant or lactating females and litter size (number of embryos) is shown in Table 2. Based on these data, the point at which 50 % of the females were pregnant occurred at a head and body length (HBL) of 79.9 mm and the point at which 50 % of the females (pregnancy and lactation) were reproductively active occurred at a head and body length of 76.3 mm. The average number of embryos counted per pregnant female was  $4.5 \pm 1.3$ . The percentage of females examined which were visibly pregnant was 42.7 %.

Observations on pregnancy and placental scars are presented in Table 3. From these data, the point at which 50 % of the females examined had at least one set of placental scars or were

pregnant was at a head and body length of 76.3 mm.

Of the 150 females examined, 42.7 % were visibly pregnant. BROOKS (1973) notes that visible pregnancies constitute approximately 71 % of the true pregnancies. The corrected value of actual pregnancy rate among the 150 females examined should approximate 60 %. The number of embryos per pregnant female averaged  $4.5 \pm 1.3$ , a rather modest count when compared to those from some areas (BROOKS 1973) but closely comparable with that reported by Harrison (1955) from Malaysia (x = 4.3). Harrison and Woodville (1949) presented embryo counts (5 each) in only two pregnant females captured in Rangoon. Our observations show that the embryo count increased with HBL. Yet the mean HBLs of primiparous and multiparous females are quite similar (Table 3). The correlation between embryo count and increased HBL is, however, significant.

Pregnancy and presence of placental scars were first observed among females in the HBL class of 60–64 mm. The HBL at which 50 % of those examined for placental scars were either pregnant or had at least one set of scars was 76.3. This agrees precisely with the similar estimate of reproductive activity determined from pregnancy and lactation.

The high reproductive effort in *Mus musculus* as reflected in those data presented herein are consistent with the high reproductive efforts reported among other commensal small mammals in Rangoon (Walton et al. 1977; Brooks et al. 1978; Walton e

TON et al. 1979; BROOKS et al. 1979).

Although the traps generally employed in our survey of commensal small mammals in Rangoon were less than ideal for capturing *Mus musculus* we feel that Marshall's (1977) observations on the abundance of *M. m. castaneus* in Thailand apply equally to Rangoon. His application of the name "warehouse mouse" is equally appropriate for only in grain storage warehouses were extensive numbers of this mouse observed in Rangoon. Harrison and Woodville (1948) had found that while *M. musculus* was present in Rangoon, nowhere was it abundant. As in Thailand, houses in Rangoon are also occupied by *Rattus exulans*, the little Burmese house rat, which may serve as a competitor to *Mus musculus*, excluding them from the house-hold environment.

#### Bekanntmachung

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their appreciation to U Maung Maung Tun and U Pe Than Htun of the Rodent Control Demonstration Unit and to the Port Health Authorities and various Township Health Officers in Rangoon.

#### Literature

BROOKS, J. E. (1973): A Review of Commensal Rodents and Their Control. Crit. Rev. Environ. Contr. 3, 405-453.

BROOKS, J. E.; WALTON, D. W.; NAING, U H.; TUN, U M. M.; HTUN, U P.T. (1978): Some observations on reproduction in *Rattus rattus* (L.)in Rangoon, Burma. Z. Säugetierkunde 43, 203–210.
BROOKS, J. E.; HTUN, P. T.; WALTON, D. W.; NAING, H.; TUN, M. M. (1979): The reproductive biology of *Suncus murinus* L. in Rangoon, Burma. Z. Säugetierkunde 45, 12–22.

HARRISON, J. L. (1955): Data on the reproduction of some Malaya mammals. Proc. Zool. Soc. 125, 445-460.

HARRISON, J. L.; WOODVILLE, H. C. (1948): An attempt to control houserats in Rangoon. Trans. Roy. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg. 42, 247-258.

— (1949): Variation in size and weight of five species of house-rats (Rodentia: Muridae), in Rangoon, Burma. Rec. Indian Mus. 47, 65-71.

MARSHALL, Jr., Joe T. (1977): A synopsis of Asia species of Mus (Rodentia, Muridae). Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist. 158, 175-220.

WALTON, D. W.; BROOKS, J. E.; TUN, U M M; NAING, U H (1977): The status of Rattus norvegicus in Rangoon, Burma. Jap. J. Sanit. Zool. 28, 363-366.

Walton, D. W.; Brooks, J. E.; Tun, U M M; Naing, U H (1978): Observations on reproductive activity among female Bandicota bengalensis in Rangoon. Acta Theriol. 23, 489-501.

WALTON, D. W.; BROOKS, J. E.; THINN, K K; TUN, U M M (1979): Reproduction in Rattus exulans in Rangoon, Burma. Mammalia (in press).

Authors' address: Dan W. Walton, R. E. King, J. E. Brooks and H. Naing, RCDU/VBC/IR, World Health Organization, P. O. Box 14, Rangoon, Burma

#### BEKANNTMACHUNG

### Einladung

Die 54. Hauptversammlung der Deutschen Gesellschaft für Säugetierkunde e.V. findet von Montag, den 22. September, bis Freitag, den 26. September 1980, in Tübingen statt.

## Vorläufiges Programm

Montag, 22. September: Anreisetag

ab 19.00 Uhr Begrüßungsabend im Hotel Stadt Tübingen

Dienstag, 23. September: Hörsaalzentrum Morgenstelle

9.00 Uhr Begrüßung

Eröffnung durch den 1. Vorsitzenden

anschließend Vorträge

19.00 Uhr Empfang durch den Präsidenten der Universität

Tübingen

Mittwoch, 24. September: Hörsaalzentrum Morgenstelle, Vorträge Donnerstag, 25. September: Hörsaalzentrum Morgenstelle, Vorträge

> Abend: Gemeinsames Abendessen

Freitag, 26. September: Ganztägige Exkursion

# ZOBODAT - www.zobodat.at

Zoologisch-Botanische Datenbank/Zoological-Botanical Database

Digitale Literatur/Digital Literature

Zeitschrift/Journal: Mammalian Biology (früher Zeitschrift für

Säugetierkunde)

Jahr/Year: 1979

Band/Volume: 45

Autor(en)/Author(s): diverse

Artikel/Article: Observations on reproduction in Mus musculus L. in

Rangoon 57-60