# Analysis of litter size in a colony of the common marmoset (Callithrix jacchus)

#### By H. Rothe, A. König, K. Darms and Margaretha Siess

Institute of Anthropology, University of Göttingen

Receipt of Ms. 27. 7. 1986

# Abstract

In our *C. jacchus* colony the incidence of triplet litters is slightly higher than that of twin births. The observation of litter size incidence as an annual event, as has been done in the literature masks important details of litter size distribution. The wild-caught females of our colony gave birth to nearly twice as many twins than triplets, and we could not recognize a tendency to produce more triplets in conjunction with increasing length of captivity in our laboratory. The most conspicuous changes in the relative frequencies of litter size compared to wild-caught females occurred in the  $F_1$ -generation. The relative frequency of quadruplets and triplets increased enormously, whereas that of twins and singletons decreased considerably. In the following generations ( $F_2$  to  $F_4$ ) we observed again a definite increase in twin sets, with an associated decrease in triplet sets. Therefore the often repeated statement, that a refined management will lead to an increase of triplet deliveries, apparently is neither sufficient nor correct.

# Introduction

As a rule marmoset females are multiparous (survey of the literature see ROTHE 1979). However, this observation originates from laboratory kept colonies, whereas very little information on this aspect in free ranging marmoset populations is available (e.g. HUB-RECHT 1984).

Many marmoset colonies which are kept and bred under laboratory conditions, show an increase of triplet births, a phenomenon which has been considered by some authors to be due to the generally better living conditions, i.e. nutrition, medical care etc., of primates in captivity than would be possibly true for free living individuals (see for example HIDDLESTON 1978). KIRKWOOD et al. (1983) observed a sudden and enormous increase of triplet births in Saguinus oedipus after the authors had reduced the ratio of carbohydrates in favour of protein in the daily diet. However, we do not know as yet whether the increase of triplet births in captive marmosets results from an augmentation of the ovulation rate or from a reduction of the prenatal death rate of ova/zygotes. From other animal species, on the contrary, we have better information on this aspect. Voss (1950, 1952) (sheep), LÜDIKE-SPANNENKREBS (1955) (rabbit), and BOYE (1956) (pig) observed an intensified follicular activity and an increased production of ova in captive individuals in comparison with their respective wild counterparts. Moreover, with some domestic animals and some wild species, e.g. Clethrionomys glareolus (BRAMBELL 1948) a reduced prenatal mortality of ova/zygotes could be detected under laboratory conditions (see also SCHILLING 1952; MICHAELIS 1966; SADLEIR 1969); and, according to Boye (1956) some uterine conditions, for example, size, weight, vascularisation, seem to have a considerable effect on fetal mortality.

To what extent the genetical constitution of the marmoset females plays a role on the disposition to produce more triplets/quadruplets with increasing age, remains to be

U.S. Copyright Clearance Center Code Statement: 0044-3468/87/5204-0227 \$ 02.50/0 Z. Säugetierkunde 52 (1987) 227-235 © 1987 Verlag Paul Parey, Hamburg und Berlin ISSN 0044-3468

#### 228

## H. Rothe, A. König, K. Darms and Margaretha Sieß

investigated. From human females for example we know, that the age of the mother and the parity are important factors associated with the occurrence of dizygote twins/triplets (VOGEL and MOTULSKY 1979).

# Material and methods

202 litters of the common marmoset, including five abortions (definition see König et al., in press) from 44 breeding females of our colony which has been in existence since 1968, were analysed. Eight females were wildcaught (wc), 15  $F_1$ -, 15  $F_2$ -, 4  $F_3$ - and 2  $F_4$ -generation (Table 1). 8 of the 44 females are still living and breeding in our laboratory. Further details on the animals are shown in Table 1.

# Results

46.04 % (n=93) of all identifiable litters (n=202) of our colony of common marmosets were triplets (Tr); twin (Tw) births occurred 80 times (=39.6 %); singletons (Si) 18 times (8.94 %), and quadruplets (Qu) 11 times (=5.45 %). Abortions and premature births occurred in 5.94 % (n=12) of the births, whereby in 7 cases the size of the respective litter could not be identified, the remaining five consisted of one triplet, two twins, and two singletons. No less than three abortions occurred in one female (F45, Gr. F, Table 2).

A break down of the data on litter size according to the females' generation shows the following results. The wild-caught females (n= 8, 53 litters) had fewer triplets (n=16, 30.19%) than twins (n=30, 56.60%). Quadruplets occurred only once (F15, Gr. A1). Quite different results come from the F1-females (n=15, 83 litters). The percentage of quadruplet births amounted to 9.64 (n=8), of triplets to 61.45 (n=51), whereas twin deliveries were clearly in the minority (n=18, 21.69%). Only slight differences are apparent between the relative frequency of singletons of wild-caught and F1 females (wcF: 11,32 %, n=6;  $F_1F$ : 7.23 %, n=6). The females of the F<sub>2</sub>-generation (n=15, 36 litters) differ widely from the F1-females as to litter size distribution. They had only 47.22 % (n=17) triplets, whereas the percentage of twin births was 44.44 (n=16). In the F<sub>3</sub>-generation the frequency of triplets dropped down to 26.9% (n=7), and the percentage of twin births increased to 56.69 (n=15). The F3-females have a relatively high frequency of singletons (=15.38 %, n=4). It must be stressed however, that in relation to the number of females, the number of litters is substantially lower in the  $F_2$ -generation than in the  $F_1$ -generation. For that reason we cannot decide wether the striking differences in the percentage of the different litter sizes are characteristic for F2-females or are artifacts arising from the differences in the number of litters of the two generations. The same restriction is true for the F3-females (n=4), although, all things considered, we have observed a strong tendency to have twin births. From the F4-females we have had only four deliveries up to now from two females, so that we cannot as yet comment on the distribution of litter size in that generation.

The compilation of the data according to consanguinity of the breeding females of our colony reveals some more interesting results (Table 4). The most conspicuous, of which, is the familial accumulation of quadruplets. No less than six (=54.54 %) of the eleven quadruplet sets occurring since 1968 were observed in four  $F_1$ -sisters, daughters of one wc-founder female (F12, Gr. B, Table 1) of our marmoset population. If one includes a great-great-grand-daughter of F12, 63.63 % (n=7) of all quadruplets we have had hitherto, have occurred in clan B. Three Qus were born in clan CJ, two by the mother and one by one of her daughters (Table 4). That is, more than 90 % of Qus of our *C. jacchus* females are restricted to two clans. Only one female (F15, wc, Table 1) which had Qus was not related to the other Qu-mothers.

The direct comparison of the litter size of clan-mothers and of their respective

Table 1.	Survey	of all	groups	and	breeding	female
----------	--------	--------	--------	-----	----------	--------

Group	No. of female	Genera- tion	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Nun 9	nber 10	of li 11	tter 12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	Com- ments
A1 B C D E1 CO CP CQ	0015 0012 0011 0004 0014 0441 0439 0440	wc wc wc wc wc wc wc	4 3 ?A 2 2A 2 2 1	2 3 2 2 3 1 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2	2 2 2	2 1 2	2 3 2	33	33	3	2 1	1 3	3A 3	2	3	3	2	2	3	1	a
C' F G I	0052 0045 0032 0049	F1 F1 F1 F1	3 3 3 3	2 1 3 3	2A 3 3 3	1 2	A 3	А	4	3	3	4	3	А									b c
J K L	0060 0059 0054	F1 F1 F1	3 3 3	2 A 3	1 2 3	4 3 4	3 3 3	3 2 2	4 3	2 2	2												d
M N R S	0051 0053 0068 0038	F1 F1 F1 F1	3 2 3 2	2 3 3 3	3 1 3 3	3 4 2 3	3 3 3	3															e
CE CJ CK CL	0302 0349 0357 0356	F1 F1 F1 F1	1 3 1 2	3 3 2	3 3 3	33	2 4	3 4	3 3	3 2	3												f g h i
M U V X Z	0136 0257 0123 0245 0215	F2 F2 F2 F2 F2	3 3 2 3 2	2 3 3	3	2	2																j k l
NN CB CC C''	0155 0166 0143 0231	F2 F2 F2 F2 F2 F2	2 2 3 2	2 3 2	2 3	2 3	2 A																m
CF CI CN CU CV	0251 0383 0384 0410	F2 F2 F2 F2 F2	1 2 3 3 4	3 3	3	1	3	3															n
CD CG CH CM	0269 0270 0313 0334	F3 F3 F3 F3	1A A 2 2	2 3 2 2	3 1 2 2	3 2 3	2 2 2	2 2	2 1A	2	3	3	3	1									
CS CT	0365 0403	F4 F4	3 4	2	3																		
a: follov b: brott c: fathe: d: after f: femal g: litter h: femal j: father k: femal l: femal m: femal m: femal m: femal wc = wi 4 = qua	wing bir her and r died sl birth of partner e 0302 v 8 were le 0357 e 0356 v and da le 0257 e 0123 v de 0231 her and ldcaugh ddruplets	th of li sister whortly f litter of fen was pri- presur died d vas oft ughter had be vas of was o sister v t; A =	itter after 8, a nale esun nabl ue to cen i were abor	20, e br r bi lph: 007 nab y tv o cc n p re b nano 7 pr 28 f e br rtio	grc eedi rth a fe: '6 w ly F win: oor oor oor d re eedi regn mor eedi n; ?	oup ing of mal vas S lica co ding are are ant nths ing = 1	wa litte e w only gene atio: ndit g d s ole itte	s di r 4, ras j y 9. erati ns c tion nen d w r siz	ssol frc pair 5 m on luri pain hen ce n	ng litt	l, fe ther with ths birt ter den	mal n or n a : who h o tifia	le 00 n th new en f f lit as t	011 e e moair ter ; 1	reco ldess ale ed v 1 n = sir	eive t so unir	ed an n b iten	not red tion	her wi nall	ma th 1 y	the s; 3		panion ther riplets;

#### H. Rothe, A. König, K. Darms and Margaretha Sieß

# Table 2

	Quadruplets	Triplets	Twins	Singletons	Σ/%
absolute frequency relative frequency abortions no. of fetuses identifiable abortions no. of fetuses not identifiable relative frequency of abortions	11 5.45 –	93 46.04 1	80 39.60 2	18 8.91 2	202 100 5 7 5.94

Frequency of quadruplets, triplets, twins, singletons, and abortions

daughters reveals the following results. F12, mother of clan B, had 13 litters with a balanced relation of Tr- and Tw-deliveries (n=6 each, 46.15%). This female had only one Si. Her five daughters on the contrary mainly had Trs (n=21, 53.85%) and Qus (n=6, 15.37%), but relatively few Tws (n=8, 20.51%). Only slight differences exist in the frequency of Sis of the mother (= 7.69%) and her daughters (=10.26%). If one compares the size of the litters of the clan-mother with those of all breeding females which are related to her, only minor differences can be observed in the frequency of Trs (46.15% and 47.5%); and the differences in the portion of Tws also is reduced. That is to say, mother and daughters have more differences when one compares the size of their litters, than the mother and the group of all her breeding female descendants.

Clan mother F11 (Gr. C) has nearly as many Trs (=47.32 %) as F12. F11 has relatively fewer Tw-deliveries (n=7, 36.84 %) and more Sis (n=3, 15.79 %). Her daughters showed remarkable differences to their mother in the distribution of litter size: 16 Tws, (26.09 %); 17 Trs (73.91 %). Sis were not born. In clan C too, with the exception of the relative frequency of Sis (n=4, 6.56 %), the group of all female descendants of the clan mother F11 does not deviate so far the litter size distribution of the founder female as does the group of her daughters. Litter size distribution in clan C shows greater differences from the distribution of the total population of breeding females of our *C. jacchus* colony than holds true for clan B.

Female F269 (clan CD) resembles in the litter size distribution her great-grand-mother (F12, clan B), except for the frequency of singletons (n=2, 16.67%). Also in this group of related females the daughters deviate more strongly from the litter size distribution of their mother than does the group of all female descendants from their founder female F269. Except of slight differences in the litter size distribution, clan CD reflects nearly exactly the colony distribution.

Founder female F59, clan K, daughter of F12, shows an equal frequency of Tr- and Twbirths (n=4, 57.14 % rsp. n=3, 42.86 %), with the daughters resembling their mother in this respect. However, the number of litters is very low (n=5).

Clan V (F123) shows a nearly identical distribution of litter size with that of clan mother F269 (Gr. CD), a daughter of F123. As a whole, both clans differ mainly only in the frequency of Qus. In the other three clans (CE, F302; CJ, F349; CL, F356) the number of litters is too low to be described separately (see Table 4).

The analyse of litter size according to parity reveals following results. The wc-females (n=8) predominantly have Tws (n=45) even when they have lived for many years in captivity. At first one can observe an increase of Tw-deliveries to the disadvantage of Trs and Sis. In the  $F_1$ -generation we detected a great spread of the different litter sizes along the sequence of deliveries (15 females, 82 litters). The Trs dominate from the start of the sequence, but there is no further increase of Trs with increasing parity whereas this is true for Qus, mainly to the detriment of Sis and Tws. Also in the  $F_2$ -generation (15 females, 35 litters) we did not observe a continuous increase of Trs in relation to parity but instead a

$\mathcal{C}$
le
ab
Н

generation
the females'
relation to t
itter size in
requency of l
nd relative fi
Absolute ar

Generation/	N Females	N Deliveries	N Litters	N	Abortions	Quad	ruplets	Trij	plets	T	vins	Sing	letons
				.i		z	%	z	%	z	%	Z	%
WC	∞	54	53	1	1Tr,	1	1.9	16	30.2	30	56.6	9	11.32
F1	15	87	83	4	$1T_{W}$	8	9.64	51	61.45	18	21.7	9	7.23
F2	15	37	36	1	1Tw	1	2.78	17	47.22	16	44.44	2	5.56
F3	4	27	26	1	I	I	I	7	26.92	15	57.7	4	15.38
F4	2	4	4	I	2S	1	25.0	2	50.0	1	25.0	I	
					I								
ы	44	209	202			11	5.45	93	46.04	80	39.60	18	8.91
ni = litter s.	ize is not i	dentifiable;	i = litter size	is identif	fiable. N = a	bsolute nun	iber; wc = v	vildcaught	; Tr = triplets	; Tw = t	wins		

Table 4

Absolute and relative frequency of litter size in related females

s	1	I		T		er;
Tw	1 12.5	L		11.1		moth
L L	5 62.5			5 55.6		clan 1
ø	2 25.0	1 100		3 33.3		les of
Ś	1	1		ł		fema
L	2 56.7	2 100		4 0.0		dant
ь С	1 3.3 (	۲ ۲		10.0		escen
ø	1	I.		1		ing d
s	1 11.1	I.		1 8.3		breed
Tw	1 11.1	I		1 8.3		[ = all
Ţ	7.8	3 100 2		10 33.3		er; III
ø	1	I.		ı.		nothe
s	2 16.7	1		2 12.5		clan r
CD Tw	5 41.7	1 25		6 37.5		ters of es.
Ţ	5 41.7	2 02 2 0		7 43.8		augh emal
Ø	1	1 25		1 6.3		II = d lant f
s	1	3 21.4		3 15.8		ther; ] escenc
V Tw	3 50.0	5 35.7		8 42.1		un mo ing d
Tr	40.0	6 42.9 2		8 42.1		[ = cla breed
ð	I	1		I		ons. ] d all
s	3 15.8	1	1 2.4	3 7.1	4 6.6	inglet 1er an
T <sub>w</sub>	7 36.8	6 26.1	18 42.9	13 31.0	25 41.0	S = S
Tr	9 47.4	17 73.9	23 54.8 - 10	26 51.9	32	twins = clan
ø	I.	1	1	1	1	₩=
s	1 7.7	4 10.3	9 11.3	5 9.6	10 10.8	lets; T ghters
B	6 46.2	8 20.5	26 32.5	14 26.9	32 34.4	= trip d dau
T	6 46.2	21 53.9	38 47.5 18	27 51.9	44 47.3	s; Tr er an
ø	1	6 15.4	8.8	6 11.5	7.5	Iruplet moth
Ł	u%	¤%Z	¤%Z	u%	u %	- quad = clan
Clan Litteı size	Π	Ш	III	$\mathbf{N}$	>	С Ч

# H. Rothe, A. König, K. Darms and Margaretha Sieß

# Table 5

# Number of groups and females; generation; number of litters and litter size

Group	No. of female	Natal Group	Gene- ration	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Nur 9	nber 10	of li 11	tter 12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
B F	0012 0045	– B B	wc F1 E1	333	3 1 3	2 3 3	2 1	2 A	2 A	2 4	3 3	3 3	3 4	2 3	1 A	3A							
G J K L N V X	0032 0060 0059 0054 0053 0123 0245	B B B B N K	F1 F1 F1 F1 F2 F2	3 3 3 2 2 3	3 ?A 3 3 3 3	3 1 2 3 1 3	4 3 4 4 2	3 3 3 3 2	3 2 2	4 3	2 2	2											
Z C'' CC CF	0215 0231 0143 0341	K K L CF	F2 F2 F2 F2 F2	2 2 3 1	2 3	3	3	А									Cla	ın E	3				
CD CC	0257 0269 0270	J V V	F2 F3 F3	3 1A	2	3	3	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	1								
CH CS CT	0313 0365 0403	C'' CD CD	F3 F4 F4	2 3 4	2 2	1 2 3	2	2	2	1	1A												
C I M R S	0011 0049 0051 0068 0038	- C C C C C	wc F1 F1 F1 F1	?A 3 3 3 2	2 3 2 3 3	2 3 3 3 3	2 2 3 2 3	2 3 3 3	1 3	3	3	3	3	1	3	3	2	3	3	2	2	3	1
C' M'	0052 0136	Ċ M	F1 F2	3	2 2	2A	-										Cla	ın (	2				
NN CB CI CM	0155 0166 0251 0334	M R C' CB	F2 F2 F2 F3	2 2 2 2	2 3 2	2 3 2	2 1 3	2 3 2	3														
CE CN CU	0302 0383 0384	– CE CE	F1 F2 F2	1 3 3	3 3	3	3	2	3	3	3	3					Cla	ın C	CE				
CL CR	0356 0377	_ CL	F1 F2	2 2	2 2	3											Cla	ın C	CL				
CJ CV	0349 0410	– CJ	F1 F2	3 4	3	3	3	4	4	3	2						Cla	ın C	CJ				
wc = w size no	rildcaugl t identif	nt; A = iable.	aborti	on;	1 =	sin	glet	on;	2 =	= tw	vins	; 3	= tr	iple	ets;	4 =	qu	adr	upl	ets;	? =	= lit	ter

rather great fluctuation of litter size occurred, which as a whole was shown by a decrease of Trs in favor of Sis and Tws.

The increase in parity of the  $F_3$ -females (n=4, 26 litters) are characterized by a further decrease of the frequency of Tr-births, however, not continuously, and by an increase of Tws.

A comparison of the litter with increasing parity of the consanguineous breeding females of our colony does not indicate a continuous increase in the number of Tr-deliveries, but shows a great fluctuation along the total sequence of births.

Captive born females of C. jacchus show a higher frequency of Trs in the first litter

onwards, than do wc-females, but there is no further increase in the incidence of Trs during their individual lives.

The analysis of the question whether females which were born as a Tr would also give birth predominantly to Trs of Qus gave the following results. With 32 females of our colony we know the size of the litter in which they were born: 15 in Tr-, 14 in Tw-sets and 3 were singletons. 11 of the 15 Tr-females (=73.33 %) predominantly had Trs as well, whereas the rest (=26.67 %) did not correspond in this respect. In the females born in Twsets we could not find a tendency to give birth to Tws, they had just as many Tws as Trs (=42.86 % each). The singleton-females also, did not show any correspondance between the size of their litters and the fact they were singletons.

# Discussion

The analysis of litter size of our *C. jacchus* females has shown, that as a whole the incidence of Tr-litters is slightly higher than that of Tw-births (see however GRIST 1973; HEARN et al. 1975; PHILLIPS 1976; HIDDLESTON 1977; HAMPTON et al. 1978; OGDEN et al. 1978; MCINTOSH and LOOKER 1982). When one observes the litter size incidence as an annual event, as has been done in the literature, one sees a relative increase in number of the Trand Qu-deliveries and a decrease in the number of Tw-sets (HIDDLESTON 1976; POOLE and EVANS 1982; BURT and PLANT 1983). This pattern was also observed in our colony (see Fig.). A more precise investigation, however, illuminated the fact that such a global



Fig. Annual variation of litter size in a colony of common marmosets

investigation, masks important details of litter size distribution. The eight wc-females of our colony gave birth to nearly twice as many Tws than Trs, and, moreover, we could not recognize a tendency to produce more Trs in conjunction with increasing length of captivity in our laboratory. On the contrary, they had a rather balanced frequency of Tr-and Tw-sets. The most conspicuous changes in the relative frequencies of Tr-/Qu- and Tw-/Si-deliveries compared to wc-females occurred in the F<sub>1</sub>-generation, that is, in the daughters of the wc-females. The relative frequency of Qus increased by more than 300 %, that of Trs by more than 100 % whereas the frequency of Tws decreased by 150 %, and that of the Sis by about 50 % (Table 3). However in the following generations,  $F_2$  to  $F_4$ , we could detect major differences in litter size distribution compared to that in the F<sub>1</sub>-

#### H. Rothe, A. König, K. Darms and Margaretha Sieß

generation, with a tendency to produce more Tws than Trs, than that already observed in the wc-females. This means, that in comparison to  $F_1$ -females we had observed a definite increase in Tw-, with an associated decrease in Tr-sets. A comparable result was described by PHILLIPS (1976), although the author did not make it quite clear wheather the "parents" were wild-caught or captive born. In his colony Tw-sets predominated (66.67%, 159 litters).

The often repeated statement, that a refined management (e.g. HIDDLESTON 1978) will lead to an increase of Tr-deliveries, apparently is neither sufficient nor correct. Then, this would mean that the wc-females must have the greatest increase of Qu-/Tr-deliveries, due to some kind of "flushing effect"; however, this is most probably not the case. Even if there is increased ovulation rate due to better nutrition, medical care etc., the implantation rate would not be increased nor would the prenatal death rate/resorption rate of ova and/or zygotes be affected. This result as well as the observation that a once reached level in the frequency of Tr-sets will not be further increased in the course of a female's individual life, corresponds with results from the breeding of domestic animals. In domestic animals it has been found that a permanent and substantial supply of food has no positive effect on litter size, even the contrary may be the case, but an abrupt change, from a spare to an optimal feeding results in the phenomenon known as "flushing" – an increase in the number of ova being released (see for example KIRKWOOD 1983 for S. oe. oedipus). The enormous increase of Trs in the first litters of the group of  $F_1$ -females may in fact be a consequence of better colony management including a reduction of any stress-producing factors, or it may even be due to better adaptation to life under laboratory condition. At least the distribution of the Qus does not exclude the assumption that litter size has a management independent familiar disposition, but the change of the relative frequencies of Tr- and Tw-deliveries in the following generations, however, cannot be explained at the moment. The data of other C. jacchus colonies should also be analysed under this aspect in order to ascertain the true relationship between litter size and other factors.

#### Acknowledgements

We are greatly indebted to Miss. T. GATESMAN, German Primate Center, for her help in translating this article into English and to Miss U. RADESPIEL for technical assistance.

#### Zusammenfassung

#### Analyse der Wurfgröße in einer Kolonie von Weißbüscheläffchen (Callithrix jacchus)

202 Würfe von 44 züchtenden Weibchen des Weißbüscheläffchens wurden analysiert. Acht Weibchen waren Wildfänge, 15 gehörten der  $F_1$ -, 15 der  $F_2$ -, vier der  $F_3$ - und zwei der  $F_4$ -Generation an. 46,04% (n=93) aller Würfe, deren Größe zweifelsfrei festgestellt werden konnte, waren Drillinge, 39,6% (n=80) Zwillinge, 8,94% (n=18) Einlinge und 5,45% (n= 13) Vierlinge. Wildfangweibchen hatten weniger Drillinge (30,19%) als Zwillingsgeburten klar in der Minderheit waren (21,69%).  $F_2$ -Weibchen hatten nur 47,22% Drillinge und 44,44% Zwillinge. In der  $F_1$ -Generation sank die Häufigkeit der Drillingsgeburten auf 26,9% und der Anteil der Zwillingsgeburten stieg auf 56,69%. Bei den  $F_4$ -Weibchen gab es bis jetzt nur vier Geburten von zwei Weibchen, so daß wir über die Verteilung der Wurfgröße in dieser Generation noch nichts sagen können. Nach ihrer Wurfgröße unterscheiden sich Mutter und Töchter stärker als die Mutter und all ihre züchtenden weiblichen Nachkommen zusammen. Aus Drillingswürfen keine Neigung zu Zwillingsgeburten feststellen konnten. Sie hatten ebensoviele Zwillings wir Grillinge (je 42,86%).

#### References

BOYE, H. (1956): Vergleichende Untersuchungen über die arterielle Gefäßversorgung des Uterus von Wild- und Hausschweinen. Z. Tierzüchtg. Züchtbiol. 67, 259–296.

BRAMBELL, R., (1948): Prenatal mortality in mammals. Biol. Rev. 23, 370-407.

- BURT, D. A.; PLANT, M. (1983): Observations on marmoset breeding at Fisons. Animal Technology 34, 29–36.
- GRIST, S. M. (1974): The common marmoset (*Callithrix jacchus*) a valuable experimental animal. J. Institute Animal Technicians 27, 1–7.
- HAMPTON, S. H.; GROSS, M. J.; HAMPTON, JR. J. K. (1978): A comparison of captive breeding performance and offspring survival in the family *Callitricidae*. In: Primates in medicine. Vol. 10: Marmosets in experimental medicine. Ed. by. E. I. Goldsmith and J. Moor-Jakowski. Basel: Karger. S. 88.
- HEARN, J. P. (1983): The common marmoset (Callithrix jacchus). In: Reproduction in New World Primates. Ed. by J. P. HEARN, Lancaster: MTP Press. 183–212.
- HEARN, J. P.; BURDEN, F. J. (1979): "Collaborative" rearing of marmoset triplets. Lab. Anim. 13, 131-133.
- HEARN, J. P.; LUNN, S. F.; BURDEN, F. J.; PILCHER, M. M. (1975): Management of marmosets for biomedical research. Laboratory Animals 9, 125–134.
- HIDDLESTON, W. A. (1976): Large scale production of a small laboratory primate *Callithrix jacchus*. In: The laboratory animal in the study of reproduction. Ed. by T. H. ANTIKATZIDES, S. ERICHSEN and A. SPIEGEL. Stuttgart: G. Fischer. 51–57.
- HIDDLESTON, W. A. (1977): Large scale production of a small laboratory primate: Callithrix jacchus. (unpubl. Ms.)
- HIDDLESTON, W. A. (1978): The production of the common marmoset, *Callithrix jacchus*, as a laboratory animal. In: Recent Advances in Primatology, 2. Ed. by CHIVERS and LANE-PETTER. London: Academic Press. 173–181.
- HUBRECHT, R. C. (1984): Field observation on group size and composition of the common marmoset (*Callithrix jacchus jacchus*) at Tapacura, Brazil. Primates 25, 13–21.
- KIRKWOOD, J. K.; EPSTEIN, M. A.; TERLECKI, A. J. (1983): Factors influencing population growth of a colony of cotton-top tamarins. Laboratory Animals 17, 35–41.
- LÜDIKE-SPANNENKREBS, R. (1955): Studien über die Anzahl der Eizellen von Wildkaninchen und verschiedenen Hauskaninchenrassen. Z. mikrosk. anat. Forschung 61, 454–486.
- MCINTOSH, G. H.; LOOKER, J. W. (1982): Development of a marmoset colony in Australia. Lab. Ani. Sci. 32, 677–679.
- MICHAELIS, H. (1966): Der weibliche Genitalcyclus der Rötelmaus *Clethrionomys glareolus* (Schreber, 1780) und Zusammenhänge mit der vorgeburtlichen Sterblichkeit. Z. wiss. Zool. 174, 290–376.
- OGDEN, J. D.; WOLFE, L. G. (1979): Reproduction of wild-caught marmosets (Saguinus labiatus labiatus) under laboratory conditions. Lab. Anim. Sci. 29, 545–546.
- Ogden, J. D.; Wolfe, L. G., Deinhardt, F. W. (1978): Breeding *Saguinus* and *Callithrix* species of marmosets under laboratory conditions. In: Primates in medicine. Ed. by E. I. GOLDSMITH and J. MOOR-JANKOWSKI, Basel: Karger. 1978. 79.
- PHILLIPS, I. R. (1976): The reproductive potential of the common cotton-eared marmoset (*Callithrix jacchus*) in captivity. J. med. Primatol. **5**, 49–55.
- POOLE, T. B.; EVANS, R. G. (1982): Reproduction, infant survival and productivity of a colony of common marmosets (*Callithrix jacchus jacchus*). Laboratory Animals 16, 88–97.
- ROTHE, H. (1979): Das Ethogramm von *Callithrix jacchus* Erxleben, 1777 (Primates, Ceboidea, Callitrichidae). Eine morphaktische Analyse des Verhaltens mit besonderer Berücksichtigung des sozialen Umfeldes. Unpubl. Ms., Univ. Göttingen.
- SADLEIR, R. M. F. S. (1969): The ecology of reproduction in wild and domestic mammals. London: Academic Press.
- SCHILLING, E. (1952): Studien zur Kausalität vorgeburtlicher Sterblichkeit. Z. Tierzüchtg. Züchtbiol. 60, 263–281.
- STEVENSON, M. F. (1979): The behaviour and ecology of the common marmoset (Callithrix jacchus jacchus) in its natural environment. In: Biology and Behaviour of Marmosets. Ed. by H. ROTHE, H.-J. WOLTERS and J. P. HEARN. Göttingen: Selbstverlag Rothe. 1979. 298.
- VOGEL, F.; MOTULSKY, A. G. (1979): Human Genetics. Berlin: Springer.
- Voss, G. (1950): Messend-zoologische Untersuchungen an Ovarien verschiedener Schafrassen. Zool. Anz. 145 (Klatt-Festschrift), 1028–1056.
- (1952): Rassenanalytische Untersuchungen an Eierstöcken von Schafen. Zool. Jb. Abt. Allg. Zool. 72, 438–467.

#### Authors' addresses: Priv. Doz. Dr. Hartmut Rothe, Andreas König, Kurt Darms, Margaretha Siess, Institut für Anthropologie der Universität Göttingen, Bürgerstraße 50, D-3400 Göttingen, FRG

# **ZOBODAT - www.zobodat.at**

Zoologisch-Botanische Datenbank/Zoological-Botanical Database

Digitale Literatur/Digital Literature

Zeitschrift/Journal: Mammalian Biology (früher Zeitschrift für Säugetierkunde)

Jahr/Year: 1986

Band/Volume: 52

Autor(en)/Author(s): Rothe Hartmut, König Andreas, Darms Kurt, Siess Margaretha

Artikel/Article: <u>Analysis of litter size in a colony of the common marmoset</u> (Callithrix jacchus) 227-235