

Z. Säugetierkunde 53 (1988) 162–169
© 1988 Verlag Paul Parey, Hamburg und Berlin
ISSN 0044-3468

Sex differences and seasonal variation in habitat choice in a high density population of Waterbuck, *Kobus ellipsiprymnus* (Bovidae)

By P. WIRTZ and PETRA KAISER

*Institut für Biologie I, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, Freiburg,
und Max-Planck-Institut für Verhaltensphysiologie, Seewiesen*

Receipt of Ms. 19. 1. 1987

Abstract

Habitat use of waterbuck (*Kobus ellipsiprymnus*) was recorded during road transect counts in Lake Nakuru National Park, Kenya. Waterbuck were encountered significantly more often in open (riverine) forest and open grassland than expected from a random distribution through the available habitat types. There were significant differences in habitat use by different age and sex classes, with the lowest percent overlap (85 %) between adult females and young males. Seasonal differences in habitat use correlated with rainfall: with increasing rainfall waterbuck moved into open grassland, during periods of low rainfall waterbuck moved into open shrub.

Introduction

Waterbuck are antelopes the size of red deer; they occur throughout Africa south of the Sahara (DORST and DANDELLOT 1970; HALTENORTH and DILLER 1977). The two subspecies *Kobus ellipsiprymnus ellipsiprymnus* and *K. e. defassa* interbreed in areas of geographical overlap, e.g. Nairobi National Park, Kenya (BACKHAUS 1958; KILEY-WORTHINGTON 1965). Next to impala (cf. LEUTHOLD 1977; JARMAN 1979), waterbuck probably is the antelope species studied most intensively (references in SPINAGE 1982 and TOMLINSON 1980b, 1981; MELTON 1983).

The population at Lake Nakuru National Park, Kenya, belongs to the subspecies *K. e. defassa*. With an average of 30 waterbuck per km², regionally up to 100/km², Lake Nakuru NP has by far the highest density recorded for waterbuck (KUTILEK 1974; WIRTZ 1978).

The high population density can be expected to have effects on the social behaviour of the species. In three earlier publications (WIRTZ 1981, 1982, 1983a) the social behaviour was described. In the following we present data on habitat utilization and on how it varies seasonally and between age and sex classes.

Study area

Lake Nakuru is a shallow alkaline lake at an altitude of 1760 m in the eastern Rift valley, about 130 km northwest of Nairobi, Kenya. Lake Nakuru has become famous as “the lake of a million flamingoes”; up to 1.4 mill. flamingoes have been recorded there (VARESCHI 1978). To protect the spectacular avifauna of the lake and its shorelines, the area was declared a bird sanctuary and later a National Park.

A vegetation map of the park is given by WIRTZ (1982, fig. 1). Open grassland is dominated by *Cynodon dactylon*, *Themeda triandra*, *Chloris gayana*, and species of *Andropogon* and *Hyparrhenia*; near the lake shore the soda-resistant *Sporobolus spicatus* is abundant. Open and dense shrub is formed by patches of *Tarchonanthus camphoratus*, *Lantana trifolia*, and *Pluchea ovalis*. Open and dense forestes are formed by *Acacia xanthophloea*, *Olea africana* and other *Acacia* species (all species identifications based on CURRY-LINDAHL [1971] and KAGUMAHO KAKUYO [1980]). Both study years

were exceptionally wet years compared to long term means (KUTILEK 1975); see fig. 2 for monthly rainfall values.

The density and biomass of the larger mammals has been described by KUTILEK (1974) and WIRTZ (1978, 1983b). A checklist including the rarer species was given by WIRTZ (1979) and the group size frequencies of the eight most common antelope species was described by WIRTZ and LÖRSCHER (1983). Waterbuck are the dominant larger mammal species in terms of numbers and in terms of biomass.

Material and methods

Field work lasted for 25 months, from November 1977 to December 1979. At the beginning of each month, all roads and tracks of the park were followed with a Landrover. Whenever an individual or a group of waterbuck was seen, the sex and age class of all members of the group and the habitat were noted on a dictaphone. A definition of the age and sex classes used (calves, subadult females, adult females, juvenile males, young males, adult males) is given in WIRTZ (1982). Any waterbuck which could not be assigned to one of the age and sex classes (e.g. because it was partially hidden by the vegetation) was called "unidentified". Counts were made from 7.00 am to 9.30 am and not during heavy rainfall; it took five to seven days to cover the whole park.

The total length of the strip counted in this way was 114 km. Strip width differed according to vegetation. During three of the counts, the distance from the road to each group of waterbuck was estimated, which gives the average sight distance in the different types of habitat. To determine the relative areas of the different habitat types, a separate count was made: While driving the Landrover at a constant speed of 20 mph, the type of habitat to the left and to the right was noted every 2 seconds. The proportion of each habitat along the counting strip multiplied with the average sight distance gives a measurement of the relative sizes of the areas: Table 1.

Table 1. Relative size of the different types of habitat along the counted transect

habitat type	proportion along the counting strip (% of records)	average sighting distance (m)	relative size of counting area (% of total)
open grass	33.39	107.89	53.46
dense shrub	12.67	22.83	4.29
open shrub	31.86	57.67	27.27
dense forest	5.03	30.00	2.24
open forest	14.15	45.21	9.50
others	2.90	75.17	3.24

For most of the variables described in the "Results" section, we have used the data for a "representative year" from August 1978 to July 1979 ($n = 3017$ groups, cumulative number of animals recorded 17064).

Results

Population composition and an estimate of the variance produced by the counting method

Table 2 shows the average population composition for the year August 1978 to July 1979. About a third of the population consisted of adult females. The sex ratio of adult and subadult animals was not significantly different from 1:1 (50.7 % ♂♂:49.3 % ♀♀;

Table 2. Population composition (annual mean)

	adult males	young males	juvenile males	adult females	subadult females	calves	unidentified
n seen in the 12 counts	3253	3068	987	5353	1830	1055	1518
% (mean of the monthly percentages)	19.1	18.0	5.8	31.4	10.7	6.2	8.8




Fig. 1. Monthly variation in the number of calves per hundred adult females

$p > 0.2$, t-test). The sex ratio of adult animals was 1:1.6 ($\sigma\sigma$: ♀♀). The percentage of calves in the population varied from 2.9 (June) to 9.7 (December); fig. 1 shows the numbers of calves per hundred adult females during the course of the year.

How accurate are the monthly counts? A second count was begun immediately after the first one in June 1979 and in September 1979. Table 3 compares the results. Even though

Table 3. Comparison of the results of two consecutive counts
(percent of each age class in the total)

	adult males	young males	juvenile males	adult females	subadult females	calves	unidentified	n animals
June 79-A	19.4	18.6	6.5	35.0	10.9	2.9	6.7	1576
June 79-B	19.3	21.8	7.5	31.5	11.0	4.1	4.9	1282
Sept. 79-A	16.0	23.4	5.5	34.6	8.2	5.9	6.4	1571
Sept. 79-B	16.2	17.6	4.1	38.8	9.5	6.8	7.1	1629

the absolute number of animals counted during the first and second count can differ by as much as 300, the percentage of each age class in the total was very similar in the two counts made during the same month. The counting procedure apparently gives well-reproducible results.

Habitat utilization

General pattern

Table 4 compares the relative frequencies of habitat types available (from table 1) and the proportions of waterbuck counted in them during the 12 months. Most of the habitat covered during the counts consisted of open grassland and most waterbuck were seen in open grassland. However, waterbuck were not evenly distributed throughout the available habitat types: a larger proportion of waterbuck was seen in open grassland than expected for an even distribution ($p < 0.001$, chi-square test).

Table 4. Habitat availability and the proportion of waterbuck seen in the different types of habitat

	open grass	open shrub	dense shrub	open forest	dense forest	others
proportion of animals counted (n=17064)	57.2	21.4	4.5	15.5	0.7	1.0
relative size of area	53.5	27.3	4.3	9.5	2.2	3.2

Age and sex specific trends

There were sex differences and age differences in the distribution of waterbuck: Table 5.

The distribution of each age class differs with $p < 0.001$ from an even distribution through the available habitat types (chi-square test). A considerably larger proportion of adult males was seen in open grassland than expected for an even distribution (compare

Table 5. Percentage of different age classes and sexes in the different types of habitat (annual mean)

	adult males	young males	juvenile males	adult females	subadult females	calves	unidentified
open grass	64.56	59.21	50.99	50.55	51.78	56.88	69.40
open shrub	19.27	26.38	29.74	20.11	20.15	17.68	19.94
dense shrub	2.54	2.56	5.05	5.92	5.11	4.02	3.88
open forest	12.50	11.03	13.18	20.58	20.45	19.57	6.10
dense forest	0.49	0.27	0.49	1.32	0.73	0.96	0.27
others	0.65	0.56	0.56	1.52	1.79	0.89	0.41
n animals	3253	3068	987	5353	1830	1055	1518

with lower part of table 4). In contrast, adult females were seen in open grassland less often than expected and in open forest much more often than expected. The difference in distribution pattern of adult males and adult females is significant with $p < 0.001$ (chi-square test).

A comparison of the different age classes shows how the sex-specific distribution pattern becomes more pronounced from calf to adult animal. Calves (which remain hidden during the first two to four weeks of their life; SPINAGE 1982) were seen in open shrub and open forest more often than expected for an even distribution. The distribution of subadult females has shifted to an even stronger preponderance in open shrub and open forest (comparison with calves: $0.10p < p < 0.05$, chi-square test). Compared to subadult females, adult females were found more often in dense shrub and approximately equally often in open shrub and open forest ($0.2 < p < 0.1$). The distribution patterns of calves, juvenile males, young males, and adult males differ significantly ($p < 0.001$) in each comparison: with increasing age the males change from open forest, dense shrub and open shrub to open grassland.

A numerical expression of similarity (or difference) in habitat utilization is given in the percent overlap. For each class, the proportion using the different habitat types is calculated (i.e. table 5); then the lower of the two percentages of the classes that are compared is summed over all habitat types to give the percent overlap. Table 6 shows the results: the highest overlap in habitat use (98.5 %) was between adult females and subadult females, the lowest overlap (85.1 %) was between adult females and young males. The average overlap in habitat use of classes belonging to the same sex (92.5 %) is higher than the average overlap of classes belonging to a different sex (87.5 %).

Table 6. Percent overlap in habitat use

	adult males	young males	juvenile males	adult females	subadult females	calves
adult males	100					
young males	92.9	100				
juvenile males	86.8	91.8	100			
adult females	86.0	85.1	89.9	100		
subadult females	87.2	86.3	90.4	98.5	100	
calves	90.7	89.0	86.9	93.7	94.7	100

Seasonal variation

From month to month, there could be large differences in the proportion of waterbuck counted in the same habitat. Fig. 2 illustrates this by showing the percentage of adult females counted in open grass and in open shrub from August 1978 to July 1979; between




Fig. 2. Monthly variation in the percentage of adult females in open grassland and in open shrub and monthly variation in rainfall

7 % and 30 % of the adult females were counted in open shrub and between 41 % and 66 % in open grassland. For a total of 15 months, there were data on rainfall and on habitat use (habitat was unfortunately not recorded during all of the road strip counts). Rainfall and habitat use correlate in the following way: there was a negative correlation between the amount of rain falling in a month and the percentage of animals counted in open shrub ($p < 0.05$ for all animals and for adult females alone, SPEARMAN rank test); there was a positive correlation between the amount of rain falling and the percentage of animals counted in open grassland ($0.1 > p > 0.05$ for all animals and $p < 0.05$ for adult females alone, SPEARMAN rank test); there was no discernible correlation between the amount of rain falling in a month and the percentage of animals counted in dense shrub, dense forest,

and open forest. With decreasing rainfall the proportion of waterbuck counted in open grass decreased and the proportion of waterbuck counted in open shrub increased. Note that the second statement is not a necessary consequence of the first statement but a separate finding: animals leaving open grass areas could have dispersed into several other types of habitat. With increasing rainfall waterbuck moved from open shrub to open grassland, with decreasing rainfall waterbuck moved from open grassland to open shrub.

There is no definite calving season in Nakuru waterbuck; newborn calves were seen throughout the year. The percentage of calves counted did, however, increase sharply during July to September (fig. 1). We tested whether adult females, in any month, changed habitat use in a different direction than did adult males. While there were differences in the degree of change in habitat use, the directions of shift of adult females and of adult males resembled each other and corresponded to the pattern shown in fig. 2.

Discussion

Waterbuck depend on permanent access to water; they almost completely lack the ability to reduce water loss in response to a shortage of water (TAYLOR et al. 1969) and they tend to occur close to areas where water is readily available (e.g. VAN LAVIEREN and ESSER 1979; SPINAGE 1982; SINCLAIR 1985). In Nakuru National Park nearly all areas covered during the counts, including "open grassland", were within three kilometres' distance to water and most of the "open forest" was forest along rivers draining into Lake Nakuru. Due to the exceptional amounts of rain during the study period, the waterholes were always full.

Waterbuck groups are open groups; they continuously change their size and composition. From a group in, for instance, open grassland some animals may wander off into adjacent forest, while others from nearby open shrub may join those in grassland. Individuals apparently make an independent choice and the number of animals (instead of the number of groups) in a certain habitat has therefore been used as a measure of habitat utilization.

Statements on habitat use of waterbuck in the literature are sometimes based on the proportion of animals seen in different types of habitat but without relating these proportions to the relative areas available (e.g. SPINAGE 1982). In the most extensive study of antelope-habitat relationships, HIRST (1975) showed that the waterbuck of the Transvaal lowveld (South Africa) occurred in riverine gallery forest more often than expected for an even distribution through available habitat types. No preference for open grassland was indicated in HIRST's study. Caution must be used in the interpretation of such differences between study areas because the nature of the habitat described with the same name may differ in subtle ways which are nevertheless important to the animals. Whereas most of the Nakuru grassland was close to water, the grassland in HIRST's study area may not have been. JARMAN (1972) and SINCLAIR (1985) also found a preference of waterbuck for riverine forest and for grassland in the Zambezi valley and in Masai Mara National Park.

Different visibility of the different age classes may have affected the counts and the apparent age differences could be an artefact. However, only for calves is this likely to be true: in dense habitat calves are more likely to be overlooked than larger animals and this may have resulted in an overestimate of the proportion of calves in open habitat.

We assume that the pattern of habitat use shown by the animals is adaptive, i.e. that animals using certain habitat types at a given time have a higher chance of survival and/or reproductive success. Food, predation and climate are the factors most likely to be of importance (but see PEEK et al. 1976 for an example of the influence of parasites). Differences in habitat utilization between age and sex classes can be due to differences in their requirements and/or to different action of external variables. Seasonal differences can be due to changes in requirements and/or changes in external variables.

Except for occasional predation on calves by leopards, there was no predation on Nakuru waterbuck. Females spent most of their time inside territories (along the lake shore and along rivers) where the grass appeared to be greener and lusher than in open grassland further away from water (but no measurements of the nutritionally relevant components were taken). Females also spent more time feeding than did males (WIRTZ and OLDEKOP, in prep.). Forage quality appeared to be the main factor governing the distribution of the females.

The nutritional value of many forage species varies greatly with the available moisture. Ungulates require on average 4–5 % crude protein in their diet, but the crude protein content of grass drops from about 8 % in the wet season to 1–3 % in the dry season (SINCLAIR 1975; AFOLAYAN and FAFUNSHO 1978). Large scale migrations of ungulates, e.g. the spectacular migration of wildbeest, seem to be a response to forage quality and quantity. Small scale shifts in habitat utilization of nonmigratory African ungulates, such as those shown by Nakuru waterbuck, are probably an analogous process (KUTILEK 1979).

“Choice” may be the wrong word to describe the process regulating the distribution of part of the male population. Territory holders excluded most of the remaining adult males and most of the young males from their territories (WIRTZ 1982). Analysis of faeces of waterbuck in Rhodesia (TOMLINSON 1980a, 1981) and the time budgets of the Nakuru waterbuck (WIRTZ and OLDEKOP, in prep.) indicate that bachelor males are relegated to nutritionally inferior areas. Probably, only a small portion of the adult male population was actually in the preferred location and the sex differences in habitat use were caused by the action of the territorial males that kept most of the male population out of the areas the females used for foraging. Differential distribution of males and females caused by the territorial behaviour of some of the males has been described for several other antelope species and JARMAN and JARMAN (1973) suggested that, as a consequence, females benefit by an enhanced resource apportionment which might even lead to an increase in calf production.

Acknowledgements

The data were collected while the senior author held a postdoctoral grant of the Max-Planck-Gesellschaft; the Kenyan Government gave research permit OP 13/7C245/4; as a voluntary field assistant, ANDREW BARTON assisted during several of the counts. Many thanks to them.

Zusammenfassung

Geschlechtsunterschiede und jahreszeitliche Variation in der Habitatwahl in einer Hochdichte-Population des Wasserbucks (Kobus ellipsiprymnus)

Bei Transekt-Zählungen im Nakuru Nationalpark, Kenya, wurde registriert, wie häufig Wasserböcke (*Kobus ellipsiprymnus*) in den verschiedenen Habitaten gesehen wurden. Wasserböcke wurden signifikant häufiger in offenem flußnahe Wald und in offenem Grasland gesehen als dies bei einer gleichförmigen Verteilung über die vorhandenen Habitate zu erwarten war. Die Habitatnutzungsmuster verschiedener Altersklassen und der beiden Geschlechter waren signifikant voneinander verschieden. Die geringste Überlappung in der Habitatnutzung (85 %) war zwischen adulten Weibchen und jungen Männchen. Die saisonalen Unterschiede korrelierten mit der Regenmenge: bei hohen Niederschlagswerten hielten sich die Wasserböcke häufiger in offenem Grasland auf, bei niedrigen Niederschlagswerten mehr in offenem Buschland.

References

- AFOLAYAN, T. A.; FAFUNSHO, M. (1978): Seasonal variation in the protein content and the grazing of some tropical savannah grasses. *E. Afr. Wildl. J.* **16**, 97–104.
- BACKHAUS, D. (1958): Sind *Kobus defassa* (Ruppel, 1835) und *K. ellipsiprymnus* (Ogilby, 1833) verschiedene Arten? *Säugetierkundl. Mitt.* **6**, 174.
- CURRY-LINDAHL, K. (1971): A short term ecological survey of Lake Nakuru and the surrounding area. Unpubl. UNESCO field science report.
- DORST, J.; DANDELLOT, P. (1970): A field guide to the larger mammals of Africa. London: Collins.
- HALTENORTH, T.; DILLER, H. (1977): Säugetiere Afrikas und Madagaskars. München: BLV Verlag.

- HIRST, S. M. (1975): Ungulate – habitat relationships in a South African woodland/savannah ecosystem. *Wildlife Monogr.* 44, 1–60.
- JARMAN, M. V. (1979): Impala social behaviour: Territory-hierarchy, mating, and the use of space. *Fortschr. Verhaltensforsch. (Z. Tierpsychol. Suppl.)* 21, 1–92.
- JARMAN, P. J. (1972): Seasonal distribution of large mammal populations in the unflooded middle Zambezi Valley. *J. appl. Ecology* 9, 283–299.
- JARMAN, P. J.; JARMAN, M. J. (1973): Social behaviour, population structure and reproductive potential in impala. *E. Afr. Wildl. J.* 11, 329–339.
- KAGUMAHO KAKUYO, Y. B. (1980): The effect of translocation on the Rothchild's Giraffes (*Giraffa camelopardalis rothchildi* Lydekker) from Lewa Downs Farm to Lake Nakuru National Park. M. Sc. thesis, Univ. Nairobi.
- KILEY-WORTHINGTON, M. (1965): The waterbuck (*Kobus defassa* Ruppell, 1835 and *K. ellipsiprymnus* Ogilby, 1833) in East Africa: spatial distribution and a study of sexual behaviour. *Mammalia* 29, 176–204.
- KUTILEK, M. J. (1974): The density and biomass of larger mammals in Lake Nakuru National Park (Kenya). *E. Afr. Wildl. J.* 12, 201–212.
- KUTILEK, M. J. (1975): Feeding strategies and foraging impact of non-migratory african antelope. Ph. D. thesis, Michigan State Univ.
- KUTILEK, M. J. (1979): Forage-habitat relations of non-migratory African ungulates in response to seasonal rainfall. *J. Wildl. Managem.* 43, 899–908.
- LAVIEREN, L. P. VAN; ESSER, J. D. (1979): Numbers, distribution and habitat preference of larger mammals in Bouba Ndjida National Park, Cameroon. *Afr. J. Ecol.* 17, 141–153.
- LEUTHOLD, W. (1977): African Ungulates. Berlin: Springer Verlag.
- MELTON, D. A. (1983): Population dynamics of waterbuck (*Kobus ellipsiprymnus*) in the Umfolozi Game Reserve. *Afr. J. Ecol.* 21, 77–91.
- PEEK, J. M.; ULRICH, D. L.; MACKIE, R. J. (1976): Moose habitat selection and relationships to forest management in North-eastern Minnesota. *Wildlife Monogr.* 48, 1–65.
- SINCLAIR, A. R. E. (1975): The resource limitation of trophic levels in tropical grassland ecosystems. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 44, 497–520.
- SINCLAIR, A. R. E. (1985): Does interspecific competition or predation shape the African ungulate community? *J. Anim. Ecol.* 54, 899–918.
- SPINAGE, C. A. (1982): A Territorial Antelope: The Uganda Waterbuck. Academic Press: London.
- TAYLOR, C. R.; SPINAGE, C. A.; LYMAN, C. P. (1969): Water relations of the waterbuck, an East African antelope. *Amer. J. Physiol.* 217, 630–634.
- TOMLINSON, D. N. S. (1980a): Seasonal food selection by waterbuck *Kobus ellipsiprymnus* in a Rhodesian game park. *S. Afr. J. Wildl. Res.* 10, 22–28.
- TOMLINSON, D. N. S. (1980b): Aspects of the expressive behaviour of the waterbuck *Kobus ellipsiprymnus ellipsiprymnus* in a Rhodesian game park. *S. Afr. J. Zool.* 15, 138–145.
- TOMLINSON, D. N. S. (1981): Effects of the social organization of waterbuck *Kobus ellipsiprymnus ellipsiprymnus* (Ogilby, 1833) on forage-habitat utilization in a Rhodesian game park. *Afr. J. Ecol.* 19, 327–340.
- VARESCHI, E. (1978): The ecology of Lake Nakuru (Kenya). I. Abundance and feeding of lesser flamingoes. *Oecologia* 32, 11–35.
- WIRTZ, P. (1978): Results of three game counts at Lake Nakuru National Park. *Bull. EANHNS* 1978, 108–109.
- (1979): A checklist of the larger mammals of Lake Nakuru National Park. *Bull. EANHNS* 1979, 64–65.
- (1981): Territorial defence and territory take-over by satellite males in the waterbuck, *Kobus ellipsiprymnus* (Bovidae). *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 8, 161–162.
- (1982): Territory holders, satellite males, and bachelor males in a high density population of waterbuck (*Kobus ellipsiprymnus*) and their associations with conspecifics. *Z. Tierpsychol.* 58, 277–300.
- (1983a): Multiple copulations in the waterbuck (*Kobus ellipsiprymnus*). *Z. Tierpsychol.* 61, 78–82.
- (1983b): The biomass of the larger mammals of Lake Nakuru National Park. *Bull. EANHNS* 5–7.
- WIRTZ, P.; LÖRSCHER, J. (1983): Group sizes of antelopes in an East African National Park. *Behaviour* 84, 135–156.

Authors' address: Dr. PETER WIRTZ, PETRA KAISER, Zoologisches Institut, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, Albertstr. 21 a, D-7800 Freiburg

ZOBODAT - www.zobodat.at

Zoologisch-Botanische Datenbank/Zoological-Botanical Database

Digitale Literatur/Digital Literature

Zeitschrift/Journal: [Mammalian Biology \(früher Zeitschrift für Säugetierkunde\)](#)

Jahr/Year: 1988

Band/Volume: [53](#)

Autor(en)/Author(s): Wirtz P., Kaiser Petra

Artikel/Article: [Sex differences and seasonal variation in habitat choice in a high density population of Waterbuck, *Kobus ellipsiprymnus* \(Bovidae\) 162-169](#)