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Abstract

The past geographical distribution of *Hippocamelus bisulcus* followed through early travel accounts, missionaries’ reports and historical literature from 1592 to 1960, as well as archaeological information, provides evidence of the presence of this species in open vegetation zones such as the steppe. The data thus far available indicate that the ideas about the huemul being only restricted to forested habitats in the past must be revised.

Introduction

The huemul, *Hippocamelus bisulcus* (Molina, 1782) was formerly found in the southern Andean region in Argentina and Chile, between 33° and 54° south latitude. In Chile, it is now found in the Nevados de Chillán area (approximately 37°S), and in the Provinces of Aysen and Magallanes (Povilitis 1978). In Argentina, it is recorded from Los Glaciares and Perito Moreno National Parks, Santa Cruz Province, and also from some areas of Los Alerces National Park in Chubut Province (I.U.C.N. 1982).

Given the huemul’s vulnerability to human predation, it has been a relatively easy target for hunters with dogs. Livestock diseases, habitat degradation and competition with domestic animals are also important causes of the species’ decline (Drouilly 1983; Povilitis 1979, 1982, 1983; Prichard 1902; Rau 1980; Texera 1974). The only population of huemul in the Chilean region of Nevados de Chillán that still occurs is critically endangered, and several small groups, first studied in the early 1970s, now appear extinct (Tony Povilitis, pers. com).

On the evidence of historical and archaeological data, the huemul seems to have also occupied open vegetation zones such as the steppe. Travelers, missionaries, explorers and ethnographers provide us with the first observations and descriptions of this mammal. Perhaps because of the large amount of material involved and the scattered nature of references, such a study has not been previously attempted.

Material and methods

The past geographical distribution of this species was studied through the use of early travel accounts, missionaries’ reports and historical literature. A rigorous evaluation of these sources was required. The references were considered with utmost caution since the huemul was a new species to European travelers, and an uncritical use of the records would have easily led to unwarranted results. The observer’s ability in identifying wildlife has been evaluated through a thorough analysis of the texts. References to “stag” or “deer” have been disregarded in zones where the *Ozotoceros bezoarticus* could have been mistaken for a *Hippocamelus bisulcus*.

Information has been catalogued from over 102 reports covering the period 1592–1960. Although early travelers provided the basic source material, many bibliographies from various sources also led to significant accounts.
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## Results and discussion

Bibliographical records are more abundant for the Andean region and the Magallanic channels due to the interest of European expeditions and the preference to settle in the latter region. Early travelers found only two natural ports in the Atlantic coast of Patagonia:

Deseado and San Julián. This resulted in a concentration of data in both areas, while vast regions of the territory remained unexplored for a long time. This led to the assumption that the huemul was a deer of the mountains and that it had always inhabited areas in proximity to rugged topography. However, Sclater (1873) stated: “It may be objected that the name chilensis is inappropriate as the animal is more particularly Patagonian than Chilean.”

As early as the voyages of Cavendish (La Harpe 1801) and Byron (Hawkesworth 1774) in 1591 and 1765 to the South Seas, deer were observed in Puerto Deseado (47°44′S, 65°54′ W), and the same remark was made at the end of the last century by Francisco P. Moreno (in: Prichard 1902; Wolffsohn 1910; Osgood 1943). Also a manuscript kept in the British Museum labeled “Add. M. 17603”, possibly from the 18th century, describes the Atlantic coast of Patagonia and refers to the presence of deer in the same geographical area.

The question that remains is why the deer were not observed by most travelers in Puerto Deseado in historical times. This can be due to different factors: 1. It must be considered that these trips rarely had a naturalistic objective and that the final destination was the Strait of Magellan. 2. There is evidence of changes in the volume of the Deseado River producing substantial influence on the environment and consequently on the flora and fauna (Burmeister 1901). Studies on the Deseado formation attest to a deposit of temporary and intermittent stream typical of arid or semiarid regions (Loomis 1914).

During hydrographical works carried out in 1900, Burmeister (1901) found two small groups of huemuls (6 and 12 individuals each) in the plains of Santa Cruz Province (approx. 47°S, 69°-70° W). He described the zone as a series of grades and the predominant vegetation was represented by calafate (*Berberis* sp.), molle (*Schinus* o’donelli) and mata negra (*Verbena tridens*). A few years earlier, when explorers traveled to Río Negro Province, the species was observed near Victróica and Irigoyen mountains (approx. 47°S, 69°W), far from the forested region (ROA 1884). Coastal observations of importance were made in Bahía Camarones (44°S, 41° W), Chubut Province (Viedma 1972) and in the area between Santa Cruz River and the Strait of Magellan (Viedma 1837).

In the Chilean steppe of Magallanes Province, deer were found in Segunda Angostura (Hernández de Oviedo 1852), Laguna Blanca (Bertrand 1886), in the eastern coast of the Strait of Magellan (Guerrero Vergara 1880), San Gregorio and in the zone between Punta Dungeness and Chabunco (Pastells 1920).

Archaeological information also reveals important evidence of a broader geographical distribution. Remains of cervids examined by Rosendo Pascual (González 1960; Menchin and González 1954) from sites at Intihuisi, San Luis Province (32°10′S, 66°21′W) and Ongamira, Córdoba Province (30°51′S, 64°31′W) yielded bones of the genus *Hippocamelus*. Even though the species was not identified, it indicates a much broader distribution for any *Hippocamelus* species (*bisulcus* or *antisensis*) than previously known.

Further remains of cervids show the presence of this mammal at the site Cueva Grande del Arroyo Feo, Santa Cruz Province (46°56′S, 70°30′W) located quite far away from the woody Andean region (Silveira 1979). Furthermore, works carried out at Piedra Museo, south of El Jaramillo, Santa Cruz Province (47°11′S, 67°08′W) yielded as yet unidentified cervid remains which still have no radiocarbon date (Laura Miotti, pers. com.). The presence of huemul is also confirmed at archaeological sites located in ecolonal areas such
Fig. 1. Historical observations of huemul from 1592 to 1960. For location see opposite page.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Geographic Coordinates</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aysen</td>
<td>73°45'W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valdivia</td>
<td>71°13'W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maule</td>
<td>71°31'W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santiago</td>
<td>72°13'W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osorno</td>
<td>71°35'W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio Negro</td>
<td>71°49'W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chubut</td>
<td>71°10'W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>71°19'W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magallanes</td>
<td>71°10'W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aysen</td>
<td>73°11'W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magallanes</td>
<td>70°30'W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osorno</td>
<td>73°07'W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santiago</td>
<td>70°19'W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aysen</td>
<td>73°12'W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magallanes</td>
<td>71°18'W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osorno</td>
<td>71°15'W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santiago</td>
<td>70°20'W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aysen</td>
<td>73°16'W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magallanes</td>
<td>71°20'W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osorno</td>
<td>71°20'W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santiago</td>
<td>70°20'W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * Not indicated on the map due to a northern location.
as Alero Dirección Obligatoria (RAFAEL GONI, pers. com.) and Cerro Casa de Piedra (ASCHERO 1981–82) Santa Cruz Province, Argentina.

The only site with archaeological evidence of huemul in the Chilean steppes is Alero Entrada Baker located at the origin of the Chacabuco River, Aysen, in layers corresponding probably to the beginning of the Christian era (FRANCISCO MENA LARRAIN, pers. com.).

Nevertheless, it is the intention of the author not to suggest the existence of this species in the above areas based on assumptions but rather to await further fossil evidence and archaeological studies. As of the present state of knowledge, important points remain unanswered:

a. What kind of ecological events do the archaeological sites represent?
b. What dietary regimes were available?
c. How might environmental dynamics have influenced population densities and adaptations for reproduction?

Based on the records obtained, the figure shows 98 geographical sites from Argentina and Chile where the species was observed in the time span under study.

If the huemul once inhabited the Argentine plains (CARETTE 1922), reaching Uruguay in the Quaternary era (KRAGLIEVICH 1932), they could have reached Chile through accessible passes in a relatively recent time. When referring to the zone comprised between the lakes Buenos Aires and Argentine, T. HUNGERFORD HOLDICH (1904) stated that the presence of the huemul in the Chilean forest was an evidence that it had found its way through Andean passes.

Historical accounts for Argentina provide evidence that the population of huemul was extensive in four areas: Lake Tromen (PEREA 1989), Lake Buenos Aires (PRICHARD 1910), Lake San Martín (S. RADBOONE in La Chacra 1936) and Lake Argentino (PRICHARD 1902; KÖLLIKER et al. 1917). The number of animals observed in these zones contrasts greatly with the other areas, where generally only two or four individuals were found. On the other hand, it is surprising that the deer have only been recorded from Chile’s coastal range in 1871 by the Corbeta Chacabuco in the surroundings of the Huemules River (approximately 46°S) (SIMPSON 1875).

In 1871 ENRIQUE M. SIMPSON (1875) started an expedition 6.5 km south of Estuario Quitrulco, Chile, with the intention of finding a pass across the cordillera, as the many deer observed in a valley made him suspect that they had come from Argentina where they were abundant. The importance of its presence in the zone was confirmed recently at archaeological sites in the river Ibañez valley (approx. 46°S), northern coast of Lake General Carrera–Buenos Aires (FRANCISCO MENA LARRAIN, pers. com.).

Field information gathered in studies carried out recently in Aysen (44–49°S), Chile, show that the geographical distribution is in most cases intimately correlated to the eastern springs of the cordillera (ALDRIDGE 1988).

LYDEKKER (1910) and SCLATER (1873) considered the Argentine plains as the habitat of the huemul. If we take into account the zones where huemul abounded in the past, we find that in Santa Cruz two of the largest lakes (Buenos Aires and Argentino) are connected to important rivers that flow to the Atlantic Ocean, and that Lake San Martín lies close to the River Chico basin. Based on a review of the historical and archaeological information, it can be assumed that originally huemul inhabited the plains and that, considering their vulnerability, ecological changes, predators, human settlements or all of these together, the animals were compelled to migrate towards the mountains following the courses of the rivers or lakes. Something very similar could have happened in northern Patagonia where the higher human density could have prevented a more fluid dispersal of the species to Chile across the cordillera. In the surroundings of the Limay River, Neuquén Province, bone remains at excavations revealed the importance of this species as a faunal resource (MARIO SILVEIRA, pers. com.).
We may suppose that the huemul still occupy the niche to which they are best adapted. Modern studies are focussed on ecology, biology and conservation problems (ALDRIDGE 1988; DROUILLY 1983; POVILITIS 1979, 1983, 1985; RAU 1980; TEXERA 1974), but the survival of the species is still pending. The data presented in this study, on the other hand, are intended to show that all the ideas in the past about the huemul being only restricted to forested habitats will have to be revised.

This work supports the hypothesis that in the past the huemul lived in Patagonia gradually migrating eastwards to the Atlantic coast. The opposite alternative to the present hypothesis holds the misidentification of the species as probable. This argument is weakened because the southern border of distribution of Ozotoceros bezoarticus is in the north of Río Negro Province (CASAMIQUELA 1975) and a misidentification with Pudu puda is highly improbable.

Consequently, two alternatives must be considered:

1. Originally, the huemul lived in Patagonia and then retreated to the remote, undisturbed Andean areas. It is important to emphasize that the last registration of the huemul in the Atlantic coast date from the end of the 19th century when a strong anthropic influence took place. Analysis of a 10,000-year accumulation of owl pellets in Cueva Traful in southern Neuquén Province in Argentina enabled PEARSON (1987) to show that an important faunal change occurred during the last century. VEBLEN and LORENZ (1983) also reported prominent changes in vegetation during this period in the forest-steppe boundary in northern Patagonia.

2. The huemul lived in the Andean forested habitats and it occasionally reached the Atlantic coast. Environmental changes in the habitat may have initiated a migration of individual animals through corridors across inhospitable land (FORMAN and GODRON 1989). Pollen profiles covering the late and post-glacial era in Patagonia contain major vegetation changes that coincide with climatic fluctuations (MARKGRAF 1983).

Available information to date do not favour either of the alternatives, although neither of them can be dismissed until more controlled data and systematic studies are performed.

Zusammenfassung

Änderung des Verbreitungsgebietes von Hippocamelus bisulcus in Patagonien
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