#### Zoosyst. Evol. 90 (2) 2014, 163-224 | DOI 10.3897/zse.90.7520

## <u> ÞENSOFT.</u>

## museum für naturkunde

# Common littoral pycnogonids of the Mediterranean Sea

Tobias Lehmann<sup>1,2</sup>, Martin Heß<sup>2,3</sup>, Roland R. Melzer<sup>1,2,3</sup>

1 Bavarian State Collection of Zoology-SNSB, Münchhausenstraße 21, 81247 Munich, Germany

2 Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Department Biology II, Großhaderner Straße 2, 82152 Planegg-Martinsried, Germany

3 GeoBio-CenterLMU, Richard-Wagner-Straße 10, 80333 Munich, Germany

http://zoobank.org/6EBE944E-00E5-473A-94CE-4DF4C54E54D8

Corresponding author: Tobias Lehmann (lehmann@zsm.mwn.de)

## Abstract

Received 14 March 2014 Accepted 2 October 2014 Published 31 October 2014

Academic editor: Carsten Lüter

## Key Words

SEM Pycnogonida Sea spider Achelia Ammothella Anoplodactylus Callipallene Endeis Pycnogonum Tanystylum Trygaeus In the present study 21 littoral pycnogonid species from 5 families are analysed: Ammotheidae (9 species), Callipallenidae (5 species), Endeidae (2 species), Phoxichilidiidae (3 species), and Pycnogonidae (2 species). The material was mainly taken from Mediterranean pycnogonid collections housed in the Bavarian State Collection of Zoology. Additional material was collected during field trips. Altogether the material was obtained from six different locations: Banyuls-sur-Mer (France), Tavolara Island (Italy), Elba Island (Italy), Giglio Island (Italy), Sicily Island (Italy), and Istria Peninsula (Croatia). The animals were studied in detail with a scanning electron microscope (SEM). Additionally series of light microscopic pictures were made to generate extended depth of field pictures of whole animals. The observed features are compared with previous literature.

## Introduction

The Pycnogonida or sea spiders are exclusively marine invertebrates, numbering more than 1330 species worldwide (Arango and Wheeler 2007, Bamber and El Nagar 2013). They were first named Pycnonides Latreille, 1810. Later they were given the names Podosomata Leach, 1815 and Pantopoda Gerstaeker, 1863. The latter name is still in use for the order which comprises all extant pycnogonids. Although largely unnoticed, due to their cryptic form, often small size, and economic insignificance, sea spiders are common benthic animals occurring from the littoral zone to the deep-sea, in all oceans from the poles to the tropics. Most species are benthic, few are interstitial, some are pelagic and some are commensals or ectoparasites of other invertebrates. Pycnogonids are normally small animals; littoral species have a leg span of at most a few centimetres, while polar and deep-water species can achieve a leg span of 70 cm (Ruppert et al. 2004).

The phylogenetic position of the Pycnogonida has long been controversial and is still under debate. Today pycnogonids are placed either within the Chelicerata as sister taxon of the Euchelicerata or as sister taxon of all other Euarthropoda (Dunlop and Arango 2005, Regier et al. 2010, Giribet and Edgecombe 2012). The phylogenetic relationships within the group are discussed as well. Traditionally the Pycnogonida were divided into eight families (Hedgpeth 1947) but with uncertain relationships.

Copyright A. B. Onadeko et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. Recently studies on this point, using morphological and / or molecular characters were realized (Arango 2002, Arango 2003, Arango and Wheeler 2007, Nakamura et al. 2007). On the basis of these studies Bamber (2007) and Bamber and El Nagar (2013) suggested 11 families.

The Mediterranean Sea as we know it today developed about 5 million years ago. After the Messinian salinity crisis (5.6 to 5.3 Mya) the Mediterranean Sea was filled in a major flood approximately 5.3 million years ago, in which water poured in from the Atlantic Ocean and through the Strait of Gibraltar (Tichy et al. 2001). Consequently, the Mediterranean marine biota including the pycnogonid fauna is derived primarily from the Atlantic Ocean. The opening of the Suez Canal in 1869 created the first salt-water passage between the Mediterranean and Red Sea allowing some Red Sea species to invade the Mediterranean (lessepsian migration) (Schmidt et al. 2001). *Anoplodactylus californicus, A. digitatus,* and *Pigrogromitus timsanus* are regarded as being lessepsian migrants (Chimenz-Gusso and Lattanzi 2003).

In the Mediterranean Sea 56 pycnogonid species are known until now. A current species list of the Mediterranean pycnogonid fauna is found in Chimenz-Gusso and Lattanzi (2003), with the completion of Anoplodactylus nanus, described by Krapp, Kocak, and Katagan in 2008 (Krapp et al. 2008). The Mediterranean pycnogonid fauna consists mostly of littoral species, and deep-sea species are an exception. It is assumed that, because of the geological barrier caused by the Strait of Gibraltar (max. depth 286 m) and the temperature barrier in the deep-sea (Atlantic 1-4°C, Mediterranean 12°C), the deep water fauna in the Mediterranean is relatively species-poor (Schmidt et al. 2001). Deep-sea pycnogonid species adapted to cold water such as representatives of the Colossendeidae and Pallenopsidae are either absent or rarely occur in the Mediterranean. A similar barrier also exists between the western and eastern basin of the Mediterranean and between the Mediterranean Sea and the Black Sea.

Mediterranean pycnogonid literature is scattered (e.g. Giltav 1929, Helfer 1936, Faraggiana 1940, Bourdillon 1954, Stock 1958, Bacescu 1959, Stock 1962, Stock and Soyer 1965, Stock 1966, Zavodnik 1968, Krapp 1973, Schüller 1989, Pérez-Ruzafa and Munilla 1992, Chimenz et al. 1993, Munilla 1993, Munilla and Nieto 1999, Chimenz Gusso 2000, Montoya Bravo et al. 2006, Krapp et al. 2008, Kocak et al. 2010, Kocak and Alan 2013). Of particular significance is Dohrn's (1881) "Die Pantopoden des Golfes von Neapel und der angrenzenden Meeresabschnitte" with its excellent drawings and descriptions of 18 new species. The first detailed comprehensive taxonomic work about sea spiders in the Mediterranean was by Bouvier (1923), which also dealt with Atlantic species. Zoogeographical studies were done by Arnaud (1987) and Chimenz-Gusso and Lattanzi (2003); the deep-water species were discussed by Stock (1987). As many of the species distributed in Mediterranean are of Atlantic origin, also the survey of Bamber (2010) is of special interest.

There is a rapidly growing body of molecular studies especially on the Antarctic and Subantractic fauna (e.g. Mahon et al. 2008, Nielsen et al. 2009, Krabbe et al. 2010, Dietz et al. 2011, Arango et al. 2011, Weis and Melzer 2012b, Dietz et al. 2013, Carapelli et al. 2013). In contrast, there is a lack of publications with molecular studies for the Mediterranean. However, so far – as at January 2014 – 11 Mediterranean pycnogonid species are listed in BOLD (Ratnasingham and Hebert 2007) and 10 species in GenBank (Benson et al. 2010). Detailed morphological analyses of Mediterranean Sea species are required to support future molecular studies, and specimens stored in natural history collections can provide a useful basis for these studies (see also Dunlop et al. 2007, Weis et al. 2011, Weis and Melzer 2012a).

In the present study representatives of some of the major genera of Mediterranean pycnogonids were sourced from the Bavarian State Collection of Zoology. Additional material was collected during field trips. A significant objective of this study is to remove any ambiguity in species identifications by providing a pictorial atlas principally based on high resolution Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) images. Classification follows Bamber (2007) and PycnoBase (Bamber and El Nagar 2013).

## Material and methods

Material: The majority of specimens were sourced from the Bavarian State Collection of Zoology. Additional material was collected during field trips to Banyuls-sur-Mer, France (June/July 2006) and Rovinj, Croatia (September 2006 and May 2007). Collecting sites are summarized in Figure 1. Details are given under material section of each species. All material was conserved and stored in 75% ethanol. Preparations were made according to methods described in Bolte (1996). Only adult animals were used for light microscopic and SEM imaging. Species determinations are based on the original descriptions and a variety of literature suitable for Mediterranean pycnogonids (e.g. Dohrn 1881, Bouvier 1923, Stock 1968, Bamber 2010). Synonyms followed PycnoBase (Bamber and El Nagar 2013) and Müller (1993). All specimens (SEM and alcohol material) used for this study are deposited at the Bavarian State Collection of Zoology.

**Light microscopy:** Light microscopic pictures were taken using an Olympus SZX stereo microscope and a Jenoptic Prog-Res C12 digital camera ( $2580 \times 1944$  px; 96 dpi; colour depth 24 bit). Larger specimens (*Endeis charybdaea*, *E. spinosa*) were photographed using a Wild-Heerbrugg M5A stereo microscope and a Canon Digital IXUS 850 IS digital camera ( $3072 \times 2304$  px; 180 dpi; colour depth 24 bit). Up to 12 pictures with different focus steps along the z-axis were combined to a single respective image with a greater field of depth using the computer software Auto Montage (Syncroscopy) or Helicon Focus (HeliconSoft).

Scanning electron microscopy: For SEM preparation, specimens were dehydrated in a graded acetone series (70%, 80%, 90%, 10 min. each, plus  $3 \times 100\%$ , 20 min.

each) and critical-point-dried in a Baltec CPD 030. Dried specimens were mounted on SEM stubs with self-adhesive carbon stickers and coated with gold on a Polaron Sputter Coater. SEM pictures (2048 × 1536 px; 72 dpi; colour depth 8 bit) were made with a LEO 1430VP at 10–20 kV. Scales were inserted using the measurement utility of the SEM.

Nomenclature: The present study follows the nomenclature in Arnaud and Bamber (1987) and Bamber (2010). Hence, the trunk of a pycnogonid is divided into 4 segments, the cephalon (=segment 1) and the segments 2, 3, and 4. The cephalon carries the proboscis anteroventrally, the ocular tubercle dorsally, and four pairs of extremities: the chelifores above the proboscis, the palps laterally, the ovigers ventrally, and one pair of legs laterally. Segments 2, 3, and 4 each carry one pair of legs laterally. The fourth segment carries the abdomen posterodorsally. Some species do not possess palps or chelifores. Ovigers are present in males (with few exceptions, e.g. Pycnogonum subgen. Nulloviger). In some genera ovigers are reduced or absent in females. The number of articles of the chelifores, palps, and ovigers varies within the systematic groups. Each leg is composed of nine articles: first, second, and third coxa, femur, first and second tibiae, tarsus, propodus, and claw. The first coxa articulates with the lateral process of the trunk while the second coxa carries the genital opening ventrally. In males, the cement glands are on the femur and rarely on other articles. The claw is often flanked by two smaller auxiliary claws. All abbreviations used in the figures are provided in Table 1.

## Results

#### **General remarks**

This study is based on 21 Mediterranean species. In all cases, the major morphological characteristics correspond with published descriptions; exceptions see remarks section of each species.

#### Classification

Order Pantopoda Gerstäcker, 1863 Suborder Eupantopodida Fry, 1978 Superfamily Ascorhynchoidea Pocock, 1904 Family Ammotheidae Dohrn, 1881 Achelia echinata Hodge, 1864 Achelia langi (Dohrn, 1881) Achelia vulgaris (Costa, 1861) Ammothella appendiculata (Dohrn, 1881) Ammothella biunguiculata (Dohrn, 1881) Ammothella longipes (Hodge, 1864) Ammothella uniunguiculata (Dohrn, 1881) Tanystylum conirostre (Dohrn, 1881) Trygaeus communis Dohrn, 1881 Superfamily Nymphonoidea Pocock, 1904 Family Callipallenidae Hilton, 1942 Callipallene emaciata (Dohrn, 1881) Callipallene phantoma (Dohrn, 1881)

Callipallene producta (Sars, 1888) Callipallene spectrum (Dohrn, 1881) Callipallene tiberi (Dohrn, 1881) Superfamily Phoxichilidoidea Sars, 1891 Family Endeidae Norman, 1908 Endeis charybdaea (Dohrn, 1881) Endeis spinosa (Montagu, 1808) Family Phoxichilidiidae Sars, 1891 Anoplodactylus angulatus (Dohrn, 1881) Anoplodactylus petiolatus (Krøyer, 1844) Anoplodactylus pygmaeus (Hodge, 1864) Superfamily Pycnogonoidea Pocock, 1904 Family Pycnogonidae Wilson, 1878 Pycnogonum nodulosum Dohrn, 1881 Pycnogonum (Retroviger) pusillum Dohrn, 1881

#### Ammotheidae Dohrn, 1881 Achelia Hodge, 1864

#### Achelia echinata Hodge, 1864

Figures 2, 5-8

Synonyms: Ammothea brevipes Hodge, 1864 Ammothea echinata Hodge, 1864 Ammothea fibulifera (Dohrn, 1881)

**Material.** ZSMA20071461: male; Giglio Island, Italy; 08.04.2005; 4–8 m; brown algae. ZSMA20071462: female; Giglio Island, Italy; 11.04.2005; 20 m. ZSMA20071463: female; Rovinj, Croatia; 05.07.2005; 0–1.5 m; *Stypocaulon scoparium* (Linnaeus) Kützing, 1843.

#### Achelia langi (Dohrn, 1881)

Figures 2, 9, 10 Synonyms: *Ammothea langi* Dohrn, 1881

**Material.** ZSMA20071464: male; Cape Savudrija, Croatia; 08.10.2004: 0–1 m; under stones. ZSMA20071465: male; Cape Savudrija, Croatia; 22.05.2005: 0–1 m. ZSMA20071466: female; Cape Savudrija, Croatia; 08.08.2005; 0–1 m; on stone.

**Remarks.** According to Dohrn (1881) the lateral processes 1–3 are armed with one protuberance with spine. Here, in the male, the lateral process 1 has two protuberances with spine and the lateral process 2 and 3 have one (Fig. 9D, E). The lateral processes in the female correspond with Dohrn's description.

#### Achelia vulgaris (Costa, 1861)

Figures 2, 11, 12 Synonyms: Achelia franciscana (Dohrn, 1881) Alcinous vulgaris Costa, 1861 Ammothea fransciscana Dohrn, 1881

Material. ZSMA20071467: male; Bayuls-sur-Mer, France; 07.2004. ZSMA20071468: female; Giglio Island, Italy;

Lehmann, T. et al.: Common littoral pycnogonids of the Mediterranean Sea

08.04.2005; 8–13 m; brown algae. ZSMA20071469: female; Giglio Island, Italy; 08.04.2005; 8–13 m; brown algae.

**Remarks.** Adult males are differentiated by the character described by Dohrn (1881) and Bouvier (1923): three protuberances with spine on each side of coxa 2. But Dohrn notes that there also exist varieties: two protuberances on each side of coxa 2 or two protuberances on one and three protuberances on the other side of coxa 2.

Here, only coxa 2 of the first leg has three protuberances with spine on the one and two on the other side; the coxa 2 of the other legs has two protuberances with spine on each side (Fig. 11C, D). The other characters of the male, like lateral process not touching each other (Fig. 11B) correspond with the descriptions of Costa (1861), Dohrn (1881) and Bouvier (1923).

#### Ammothella Verrill, 1900

#### Ammothella appendiculata (Dohrn, 1881)

Figures 3, 13, 14 Synonyms: *Ammothea appendiculata* Dohrn, 1881

**Material.** ZSMA20071470: female; Cape Savudrija, Croatia; 03.09.2004: 0–1 m. ZSMA20071471: female; Cape Savudrija, Croatia; 08.10.2004: 0–1 m; on stone. ZSMA20071472: female; Cape Savudrija, Croatia; 08.08.2005; 0–1 m; under stone.

**Remarks.** Dohrn (1881) described the palp with 10 articles; here the palp, as in other *Ammothella*, has 9 articles (Fig. 13E).

#### Ammothella biunguiculata (Dohrn, 1881)

Figures 3, 15, 16 Synonyms: Ammothea biunguiculata Dohrn, 1881

**Material.** ZSMA20071473: male; Cape Savudrija, Croatia; 08.10.2004: 0–1 m; on stone.

#### Ammothella longipes (Hodge, 1864)

Figures 3, 17 Synonyms: Achelia longipes (Hodge, 1864) Achelia magnirostris (Dohrn, 1881) Ammothea longipes Hodge, 1864 Ammothella magnirostris (Dohrn, 1881)

**Material.** ZSMA20071477: female; Bayuls-sur-Mer, France; 07.2004.

#### Ammothella uniunguiculata (Dohrn, 1881)

Figures 4, 18 Synonyms: *Ammothea uniunguiculata* Dohrn, 1881

Material. ZSMA20140003: male; Sicily, Italy; 1988

#### Tanystylum Miers, 1879

#### *Tanystylum conirostre* (Dohrn, 1881)

Figures 4, 19–21 Synonyms: Clotenia conirostris Dohrn, 1881

**Material.** ZSMA20071505: female; Bayuls-sur-Mer, France; 07.2004. ZSMA20071506: female; Bayuls-sur-Mer, France; 07.2004. ZSMA20071507: male; Bayulssur-Mer, France; 07.2004. ZSMA20071508: male; Bayuls-sur-Mer, France; 07.2004.

#### Trygaeus Dohrn, 1881

#### Trygaeus communis Dohrn, 1881

Figures 4, 22-25

**Material.** ZSMA20071509: female; Giglio Island, Italy; 12.04.2005. ZSMA20071510: male; Giglio Island, Italy; 12.04.2005. ZSMA20071511: male; Giglio Island, Italy; 12.04.2005. ZSMA20071512: male; Giglio Island, Italy; 12.04.2005. ZSMA20071513: male; Cape Kamenjak, Croatia; 03.05.2007; 0–5 m; *Stypocaulon scoparium* (Linnaeus) Kützing, 1843.

**Remarks.** According to Dohrn (1881), Bouvier (1923) and Stock (1966) the palps vary in the number of articles from 4 to 7 and the oviger from 6 to 10. Here the palp has 7 articles (Fig. 23A) and the male and female oviger have 9 articles (Fig. 23C, D; Fig. 25D). Other specimens not depicted have four palp articles.

#### Callipallenidae Hilton, 1942 *Callipallene* Flynn, 1929

#### Callipallene emaciata (Dohrn, 1881)

Figures 26, 28, 29

Synonyms: Callipallene emaciata emaciata Stock, 1954 Callipallene emaciata (Dohrn, 1881) Pallene emaciata Dohrn, 1881

**Material.** ZSMA20071485: female; Giglio Island, Italy; 09.04.2005; 3–5 m; *Halopteris* sp.. ZSMA20071486: female; Giglio Island, Italy; 09.04.2005; 3–5 m; *Halopteris* sp.. ZSMA20071487: male; Giglio Island, Italy; 09.04.2005; 3–5 m; *Halopteris* sp..

#### Callipallene phantoma (Dohrn, 1881)

Figures 26, 30-33

Synonyms: Callipallene phantoma crinita Stock, 1952 Callipallene phantoma phantoma (Dohrn, 1881) Pallene phantoma Dohrn, 1881

**Material.** ZSMA20071489: female; Brucoli, Sicily, Italy; 14.09.1988. ZSMA20071490: female; Banyuls-sur-mer, France; 07.2004. ZSMA20071491: female; Giglio Island, Italy; 11.04.2005; 20 m; red algae. ZSMA20071492: male; Giglio Island, Italy; 11.04.2005; 20 m; red algae.

ZSMA20071493: male; Giglio Island, Italy; 11.04.2005; 20 m; red algae. ZSMA20071494: male; Rovinj, Croatia; 05.07.2005.

**Remarks.** Dohrn (1881) describes the auxiliary claws as half as long as the claw. According to Stock (1952) the length of auxiliary claws can vary between specimens. Here all 6 specimens from 4 different locations have more or less rudimentary auxiliary claws (Fig. 31F; Fig. 33F, G).

#### Callipallene producta (Sars, 1888)

Figures 26, 34, 35 Synonyms: *Callipallene brevirostris producta* (Sars, 1888) *Pallene producta* Sars, 1888

**Material.** ZSMA20071495: female; Giglio Island, Italy; 08.04.2005; 13–18 m; on brown algae. ZSMA20071496: female; Giglio Island, Italy; 08.04.2005; 13–18 m; on brown algae.

#### Callipallene spectrum (Dohrn, 1881)

Figures 27, 36 Synonyms: *Callipallene emaciata spectrum* (Dohrn, 1881) *Pallene spectrum* Dohrn, 1881

Material. ZSMA20140004: male; Banjole, Rovinj, Croatia; 07.1968; 5 m; on *Cystoseira* sp..

#### Callipallene tiberi (Dohrn, 1881)

Figures 27, 37 Synonyms: *Callipallene emaciata tiberii* (Dohrn, 1881) *Pallene tiberi* Dohrn, 1881

Material. ZSMA20071488: female; Giglio Island, Italy; 12.04.2005; 1 m; *Halopteris* sp..

#### Endeidae Norman, 1908 Endeis Philippi, 1843

#### Endeis charybdaea (Dohrn, 1881)

Figures 38–40 Synonyms: Chilophoxus charybdaeus (Dohrn, 1881) Phoxichilus charybdaeus Dohrn, 1881

**Material.** ZSMA20071497: male; Rovinj, Croatia; 04.09.2006; 30 m.

#### Endeis spinosa (Montagu, 1808)

Figures 38, 41–44 Synonyms: *Chilophoxus spinosus* Montagu *Endeis gracilis* Philippi, 1843 *Endeis laevis* (Grube, 1871) *Endeis vulgaris* (Dohrn, 1881) *Phallangium spinosum* Montagu, 1808 *Phoxichilus vulgaris* Dohrn, 1881 **Material.** ZSMA20071498: female; Rovinj, Croatia; 04.2004. ZSMA20071499: male; Rovinj, Croatia; 05.05.2007; 0–2 m; *Corallina elongata* J.Ellis & Solander, 1786.

**Remarks.** According to Dohrn (1881) and Bamber (2010) each lateral process and coxa 1 is armed with one protuberance. Here, in the males the lateral process 1 and the coxa 1 of the first leg has two protuberances (Fig. 42D, F), the remaining legs correspond with previous observations (e.g. Fig. 42E, G). The females are without such protuberances (Fig. 44C, D).

#### Phoxichilidiidae Sars, 1891 Anoplodactylus Wilson, 1878

#### Anoplodactylus angulatus (Dohrn, 1881)

Figures 45-47

Synonyms: Anoplodactylus angulirostris (Dohrn, 1881) Phoxichilidium angulatum Dohrn, 1881

**Material.** ZSMA20071478: female; Banyuls-sur-mer, France; 07.2004. ZSMA20071479: female; Giglio Island, Italy; 14.4.2005; 20 m; red algae.

#### Anoplodactylus petiolatus (Krøyer, 1844)

Figures 45, 48

Synonyms: Anoplodactylus guyanensis Child, 1977 Anoplodactylus hedgpethi Bacescu, 1959 Anoplodactylus longicollis (Dohrn, 1881) Anoplodactylus pygmaeus (Hoek, 1881) Pallene attenuata Hodge, 1864 Phoxichilidium attenuatus (Hodge, 1863) Phoxichilidium longicolle Dohrn, 1881 Phoxichilidium petiolatum Krøyer, 1844

**Material.** ZSMA20071480: male; Banyuls-sur-mer, France; 07.2004. ZSMA20042384: male; Bayuls-sur-Mer, France; 06.2003.

**Remarks.** In literature there is diverse information about the articulation of the oviger, 7 articles in Dohrn (1881) and 6 articles in Bouvier (1923) and King (1986) are reported. Here the ovigers have 6 articles.

#### Anoplodactylus pygmaeus (Hodge, 1864)

Figures 45, 49–52 Synonyms: Anoplodactylus derjugini (Losina-Losinsky, 1929) Anoplodactylus exiguus (Dohrn, 1881) Pallene pygmaea Hodge, 1864 Phoxichilidium exiguum Dohrn, 1881 Phoxichilidium pygmaeum Hoek, 1881

**Material.** ZSMA20071481: female; Elba, Italy; 20.10.2004; 3–5 m. ZSMA20071482: female; Elba, Italy; 21.10.2004; 3–5 m. ZSMA20071483: male; Elba, Italy; 20.10.2004; 0.5–4 m. ZSMA20071484: male; Cape

Lehmann, T. et al.: Common littoral pycnogonids of the Mediterranean Sea

Table 1. Abbreviations used in the figures in alphabetical order.

Kamenjak, Croatia; 03.05.2007; 0–5 m; *Stypocaulon scoparium* (Linnaeus) Kützing, 1843.

**Remarks.** In the literature there is diverse information about the articulation of the oviger, 7 articles in Dohrn (1881) and 6 articles in Bouvier (1923) and King (1986) are reported. Here the ovigers have 6 articles (Fig. 50E, F).

#### Pycnogonidae Wilson, 1878 *Pycnogonum* Bruennich, 1764

#### Pycnogonum nodulosum Dohrn, 1881

Figures 53, 54

**Material.** ZSMA20071503: female; Banyuls-sur-mer, France; 07.2004; 30 m; red algae. ZSMA20030112: female; Tavolara Island, Sardinia, Italy; 2002.

#### Pycnogonum (Retroviger) pusillum Dohrn, 1881

Figures 53, 55 Synonyms: *Pycnogonum pusillum* Dohrn, 1881

Material. ZSMA20071504: male; Brucoli, Sicily, Italy; 14.09.1988.

		r	
ab	abdomen	mf	movable finger
ac	auxiliary claw	mo	mouth
an	anus	ne	neck
ce	cephalon	0	oviger-article
cf	chelifore	oc	ocular tubercle
cg	cement gland	ov	oviger
ch	chela	р	palp-article
cl	claw	ра	palp
CS	compound spine	рр	propodus
cu	cutting lamina	pr	proboscis
сх	соха	pt	protuberance
ff	fixed finger	sb	segment border
fm	femur	se	segment
go	genital openings	so	slit organ
ha	hair	sp	spine
hs	hollow spine	tb	tibia
le	leg	te	teeth
lp	lateral process	tr	trunk
ls	lateral sense organ	ts	tarsus

# Figures



Figure 1. Collecting sites of pycnogonids used in this study: 1: Banyuls-sur-Mer (France); 2: Tavolara Island (Italy); 3: Elba Island (Italy); 4: Giglio Island (Italy); 5: Brucoli, Sicily (Italy); 6: Istria Peninsula (Croatia).



**Figure 2.** Ammotheidae 1; **A**, **B**: *Achelia echinata*, male, dorsal view; scales 500 µm and 250 µm, respectively; **C**, **D**: *Achelia langi*, male, dorsal view; scales 1 mm and 500 µm, respectively; **E**, **F**: *Achelia vulgaris*, male, dorsal view; scales 1 mm and 250 µm, respectively.



**Figure 3.** Ammotheidae 2; **A, B:** *Ammothella appendiculata*, female, dorsal view; scales 1 mm and 250 µm, respectively; **C, D:** *Ammothella biunguiculata*, male, dorsal view; scales 1 mm and 250 µm, respectively; **E, F:** *Ammothella longipes*, female, dorsal view; scales 1 mm and 250 µm, respectively.



**Figure 4.** Ammotheidae 3; **A, B:** *Ammothella uniunguiculata*, male, dorsal view; scales 200 µm; **C, D:** *Tanystylum conirostre*, male, dorsal view; scales 1 mm and 250 µm, respectively; **E, F:** *Trygaeus communis*, male, dorsal view; scales 1 mm and 250 µm, respectively.



**Figure 5.** *Achelia echinata*, male; **A:** Dorsal view; scale 400  $\mu$ m; **B:** Dorsal view of trunk, lateral processes touch each other; scale 200  $\mu$ m; **C:** Frontal view of trunk, protuberances with spines on lateral process; scale 200  $\mu$ m; **D:** Lateral view of proboscis, palp and chelifores with reduced chela; scale 100  $\mu$ m; **E:** Mouth opening, dorsal is up; scale 20  $\mu$ m; **F:** Mouth opening; scale 5  $\mu$ m.



**Figure 6.** *Achelia echinata*, male; **A:** Chelifores with reduced chela; scale 40  $\mu$ m; **B:** Distal articles of right 8-articled palp; scale 40  $\mu$ m; **C:** Distal articles of 10-articled oviger; scale 40  $\mu$ m; **D, E:** Compound spines on last oviger-article; scales 10  $\mu$ m and 5  $\mu$ m, respectively; **F:** Lateral process, coxa 1 and 2 of right 3rd leg, 2 protuberances with spine on each side of coxa 2; scale 100  $\mu$ m; **G:** Coxa 2 with 2 protuberances with spine on each side (right 3rd leg); scale 40  $\mu$ m.

Lehmann, T. et al.: Common littoral pycnogonids of the Mediterranean Sea



**Figure 7.** *Achelia echinata*, male; **A:** Left 3rd leg; scale 200  $\mu$ m; **B:** Lateral view of coxa 2 with genital protuberance (right 4th leg); scale 40  $\mu$ m; **C:** Genital opening (right 3rd leg); scale 20  $\mu$ m; **D:** Lateral view of femur with cement gland on distal part (left 3rd leg); scale 100  $\mu$ m; **E:** Cement gland (right 4th leg); scale 40  $\mu$ m; **F:** Tarsus, propodus, and claw, auxiliary claws about half as long as claw (left 3rd leg); scale 100  $\mu$ m; **G:** Abdomen; scale 40  $\mu$ m; **H:** Hair and slit organ on dorsal side of trunk; scale 5  $\mu$ m.



**Figure 8.** *Achelia echinata*, female; **A:** Dorsal view; scale 400 µm; **B:** Ventral view of proboscis; scale 100 µm; **C:** Left 10-articled oviger; scale 100 µm; **D:** Lateral process, coxa 1 and 2 of right 3rd leg, lateral processes touch each other; scale 100 µm; **E:** Left 3rd leg; scale 200 µm; **F:** Ventral view of coxa 2 with genital opening, distal is right (left 3rd leg); scale 40 µm; **G:** Genital opening; scale 20 µm.

#### zse.pensoft.net



**Figure 9.** *Achelia langi*, male; **A:** Dorsal view; scale 1 mm; **B:** Dorsal view of trunk; scale 400  $\mu$ m; **C:** Ventral view of proboscis; scale 200  $\mu$ m; **D:** Dorsal view of right lateral processes 1 and 2, 2 protuberances with spine on lateral process 1 and 1 on lateral process 2; scale 100  $\mu$ m; **E:** Dorsal view of right lateral processes 3 and 4, 1 protuberance with spine on lateral process 3 and lateral process 4 without protuberance or spine; scale 100  $\mu$ m; **F:** Left 3rd leg; scale 400  $\mu$ m; insert: Genital protuberance; scale 100  $\mu$ m.



**Figure 10.** *Achelia langi*, female; **A:** Dorsal view of trunk; scale 400  $\mu$ m; **B:** Dorsal view of left lateral processes, 1 protuberance with spine on lateral process 1-3, lateral process 4 without protuberance or spine; scale 200  $\mu$ m; **C:** Right 3rd leg; scale 400  $\mu$ m; **D:** Ventral view of coxa 2 with genital opening, distal is down (right 3rd leg); scale 100  $\mu$ m; **E:** Genital opening; scale 20  $\mu$ m; **F:** Tarsus, propodus, and claw, auxiliary claws about 2/3 of length of claw; scale 100  $\mu$ m; **G:** Abdomen with anus; scale 40  $\mu$ m.



**Figure 11.** *Achelia vulgaris*, male; **A:** Dorsal view of trunk; scale 400  $\mu$ m; **B:** Dorsal view of left lateral processes, lateral processes do not touch each other; scale 200  $\mu$ m; **C:** 2 protuberances with spine on right side and 3 on left side of coxa 2, distal is down (right 1st leg); scale 100  $\mu$ m; **D:** Lateral process, coxa 1 and 2, 2 protuberances with spine on each side of coxa 2 (left 3rd leg); scale 100  $\mu$ m; **E:** Right 3rd leg; scale 400  $\mu$ m; **F:** Tarsus, propodus, and claw, auxiliary claws about half as long as claw; scale 100  $\mu$ m.



**Figure 12.** *Achelia vulgaris*, female; **A:** Dorsal view; scale 1 mm; **B:** Dorsal view of trunk, lateral processes do not touch each other; scale 300 μm; **C:** Ventral view of proboscis; scale 100 μm; **D:** Right 10-articled oviger; scale 100 μm; **E:** Right 3rd leg; scale 400 μm; **F:** Dorsal view of coxa 2 (left 3rd leg); scale 40 μm; **G:** Ventral view of coxa 2 with genital opening (left 3rd leg); scale 40 μm.



**Figure 13.** *Anmothella appendiculata*, female; **A:** Dorsal view of trunk; scale 400  $\mu$ m; **B:** Lateral view of trunk; scale 200  $\mu$ m; **C:** Ventral view of trunk; scale 200  $\mu$ m; **D:** Mouth opening, dorsal is up; scale 20  $\mu$ m; **E:** Right chelifore with reduced chela and right 9-articled palp; scale 100  $\mu$ m; **F:** Ocular tubercle with lateral sense organ; scale 40  $\mu$ m.



**Figure 14.** *Ammothella appendiculata*, female; **A:** Lateral process of left 3rd leg; scale 40 µm; **B:** Coxa 1 of right 3rd leg with hollow spines; scale 40 µm; **C:** Hollow spine; scale 5 µm; **D:** Right 4th leg; scale 400 µm; **E:** Tarsus, propodus, and claws (right 4th leg); scale 100 µm; **F:** Hairs and slit organs of dorsal side of trunk; scale 10 µm.



**Figure 15.** *Ammothella biunguiculata*, male; **A:** Dorsal view; scale 1 mm; **B:** Dorsal view of trunk; scale 400 µm; **C:** Lateral view of trunk, oviger dissected; scale 400 µm; **D:** Dorsal view of proboscis; scale 100 µm; **E:** Right chelifore with reduced chela; scale 40 µm; **F:** Right 9-articled palp; scale 100 µm.



**Figure 16.** *Ammothella biunguiculata*, male; **A:** Lateral view of ocular tubercle; scale 100  $\mu$ m; **B:** Right 3rd leg; scale 400  $\mu$ m; **C:** Ventral view of coxa 2 with genital opening, distal is left (right 3rd leg); scale 40  $\mu$ m; **D:** Tarsus and propodus with reduced claw and dominating auxiliary claws; scale 100  $\mu$ m; **E:** Reduced claw and dominating auxiliary claws; scale 20  $\mu$ m; **F:** Dorsal view of trunk segment 4 and abdomen; scale 100  $\mu$ m.



**Figure 17.** *Ammothella longipes*, female; **A:** Dorsal view of trunk; scale 200 μm; **B:** Lateral view of trunk, one protuberance without spine aside on lateral processes; scale 200 μm; **C:** Ocular tubercle; scale 100 μm; **D:** Right lateral process; scale 100 μm; **E:** Left 3rd leg; scale 400 μm; **F:** Tarsus, propodus, and claws (left 3rd leg); scale 100 μm.



**Figure 18.** *Ammothella uniunguiculata*, male; **A:** Dorsal view; scale 1 mm; **B:** Dorsal view of trunk; scale 100 µm; **C:** Cephalon with proboscis, chelifore, and palps; scale 200 µm; **D:** Right 3rd leg; scale 200 µm; **E:** Tibia 2, tarsus, and propodus with claw (right 3rd leg); scale 100 µm; **F:** Propodus with claw; scale 20 µm.



**Figure 19.** *Tanystylum conirostre*, male; **A:** Dorsal view; scale 1 mm; **B:** Dorsal view of trunk; scale 200 μm; **C:** Dorsal view of proboscis and 4-articled palp; scale 100 μm; **D:** Mouth opening; scale 20 μm; **E:** Reduced chelifores, frontal is up; scale 40 μm; **F:** Right 10-articled oviger; scale 100 μm.



**Figure 20.** *Tanystylum conirostre*, male; **A:** Distal articles of right oviger with spines on tip; scale 10  $\mu$ m; **B:** Left 3rd leg; scale 100  $\mu$ m; **C:** Lateral view of coxa 2 with genital opening on a protuberance, distal is right (left 4th leg); scale 40  $\mu$ m; **D:** Genital opening; scale 10  $\mu$ m; **E:** Cement gland on femur, distal is up (left 3rd leg); scale 20  $\mu$ m; **F:** Tarsus, propodus, and claws (left 3rd leg); scale 100  $\mu$ m; **G:** Hairs and slit organs on dorsal side of trunk; scale 10  $\mu$ m.



**Figure 21.** *Tanystylum conirostre*, female; **A:** Dorsal view of trunk; scale 400 µm; **B:** Frontal view of trunk; scale 200 µm; **C:** Left 10-articled oviger; scale 40 µm; **D:** Distal articles of left oviger; scale 40 µm; **E:** Ventral view of coxa 2 with genital opening, distal is right (right 4th leg); scale 40 µm; **F:** Genital opening; scale 10 µm; **G:** Abdomen; scale 40 µm.



**Figure 22.** *Trygaeus communis*, male; **A:** Dorsal view; scale 1 mm; **B:** Dorsal view of trunk; scale 400  $\mu$ m; **C:** Lateral view of trunk with protuberances on midline; scale 400  $\mu$ m; **D:** Mouth opening; scale 40  $\mu$ m; **E:** Dorsal view of proboscis and chelifore without chela; scale 100  $\mu$ m; **F:** Tip of chelifore without chela; scale 20  $\mu$ m.



**Figure 23.** *Trygaeus communis*, male; **A:** Lateral view of proboscis and 7-articled right palp; scale 100  $\mu$ m; **B:** Ocular tubercle with lateral sense organ; scale 40  $\mu$ m; **C:** Right 9-articled oviger; scale 100  $\mu$ m; **D:** Distal articles of right oviger; scale 40  $\mu$ m; **E:** Protuberance on midline of segment 2; scale 40  $\mu$ m; **F:** Right 3rd leg; scale 200  $\mu$ m.



**Figure 24.** *Trygaeus communis*, male; **A:** Dorsal view of coxa 1 with protuberances on distal corners (right 3rd leg); scale 100  $\mu$ m; **B:** Ventral view of coxa 2 with genital opening, distal is down (left 3rd leg); scale 40  $\mu$ m; **C:** Genital opening; scale 10  $\mu$ m; **D:** Cement gland on femur, distal is left (left 3rd leg); scale 40  $\mu$ m; **E:** Tarsus, propodus, and claws (right 3rd leg); scale 100  $\mu$ m; **F:** Abdomen with protuberance on tip; scale 100  $\mu$ m; **G:** Hairs and slit organs on dorsal side of trunk; scale 10  $\mu$ m.



**Figure 25.** *Trygaeus communis*, female; **A:** Dorsal view; scale 1 mm; **B:** Dorsal view of trunk; scale 300  $\mu$ m; **C:** Lateral view of trunk with protuberances on midline, frontal is right; scale 100  $\mu$ m; insert: Protuberance on segment 2; scale 20  $\mu$ m; **D:** Distal article of oviger; scale 40  $\mu$ m; **E:** Dorsal view of coxa 1 without protuberances on distal corners (right 2nd leg); scale 40  $\mu$ m; **F:** Ventral view of coxa 2 with genital opening, distal is down (right 3rd leg); scale 100  $\mu$ m; **G:** Genital opening; scale 20  $\mu$ m.



**Figure 26.** Callipallenidae 1; **A, B:** *Callipallene emaciata*, female, dorsal view; scales 1 mm and 250 µm, respectively; **C, D:** *Callipallene phantoma*, male, dorsal view; scales 1 mm and 250 µm, respectively; **E, F:** *Callipallene producta*, female, dorsal view; scales 1 mm and 250 µm, respectively.



**Figure 27.** Callipallenidae 2; **A, B:** *Callipallene spectrum*, male, dorsal view; scales 200 µm; **C, D:** *Callipallene tiberi*, female, dorsal view; scales 500 µm and 250 µm, respectively.



**Figure 28.** *Callipallene emaciata*, male; **A:** Dorsal view; scale 1 mm; **B:** Dorsal view of trunk; scale 200 µm; **C:** Dorsal view of cephalon with rather slender neck; scale 100 µm; **D:** Right 3rd leg; scale 100 µm; **E:** Tarsus, strongly curved propodus, and claw, auxiliary claws about half as long as claw (right 3rd leg); scale 100 µm; **F:** Segment 3, 4 and abdomen; scale 40 µm.



**Figure 29.** *Callipallene emaciata*, female; **A:** Dorsal view; scale 1 mm; **B:** Frontal view; scale 1 mm; **C:** Dorsal view of trunk; scale 200  $\mu$ m; **D:** Dorsal view of cephalon with rather slender neck; scale 200  $\mu$ m; **E:** Left chela, dorsal is up; scale 40  $\mu$ m; **F:** Tarsus, strongly curved propodus, and claw, auxiliary claws about half as long as claw (left 3rd leg); scale 100  $\mu$ m.



**Figure 30.** *Callipallene phantoma*, male; **A:** Dorsal view of trunk; scale 200  $\mu$ m; **B:** Ventral view of trunk; scale 200  $\mu$ m; **C:** Dorsal view of cephalon with very long and slender neck; scale 100  $\mu$ m; **D:** Ventral view of proboscis; scale 100  $\mu$ m; **E:** Left chela, dorsal is down; scale 40  $\mu$ m; **F:** Left 10-articled oviger; scale 100  $\mu$ m.



**Figure 31.** *Callipallene phantoma*, male; **A:** Distal articles of left oviger with compound spines; scale 40  $\mu$ m; **B:** Compound spines on last oviger-article; scale 5  $\mu$ m; **C:** Left 3rd leg; scale 200  $\mu$ m; **D:** Ventral view of coxa 2 with genital opening, distal is down (right 2nd leg); scale 20  $\mu$ m; **E:** Genital opening; scale 5  $\mu$ m; **F:** Tarsus, straight propodus, and claw with very short auxiliary claws (right 3rd leg); scale 40  $\mu$ m; **G:** Hair and slit organ on dorsal side of trunk; scale 5  $\mu$ m.



**Figure 32.** *Callipallene phantoma*, female; **A:** Dorsal view of trunk; scale 200 µm; **B:** Ventral view of trunk; scale 200 µm; **C:** Dorsal view of cephalon with very long and slender neck; scale 200 µm; **D:** Frontal view of cephalon; scale 100 µm; **E:** Mouth opening; scale 20 µm; **F:** Left chela, dorsal is up; scale 40 µm.



**Figure 33.** *Callipallene phantoma*, female; **A:** Right 10-articled oviger; scale 100  $\mu$ m; **B:** Distalmost oviger-articles with compound spines; scale 20  $\mu$ m; **C:** Right 3rd leg; scale 200  $\mu$ m; **D:** Ventral view of coxa 2 with genital opening, distal is down (left 4th leg); scale 40  $\mu$ m; **E:** Genital opening; scale 10  $\mu$ m; **F:** Tarsus, straight propodus, and claw with very short auxiliary claws (left 3rd leg); scale 40  $\mu$ m; **G:** Small auxiliary claws; scale 10  $\mu$ m.



**Figure 34.** *Callipallene producta*, female; **A:** Dorsal view; scale 1 mm; **B:** Dorsal view of trunk; scale 200 µm; **C:** Ventral view of trunk; scale 200 µm; **D:** Dorsal view of cephalon with very long and slender neck; scale 200 µm; **E:** Ventral view of proboscis; scale 100 µm; **F:** Ocular tubercle with lateral sense organ; scale 40 µm.



**Figure 35.** *Callipallene producta*, female; **A:** Distal article of right 10-articled oviger; scale 40  $\mu$ m; **B:** Compound spines on oviger-article 7; scale 10  $\mu$ m; **C:** Right 3rd leg; scale 400  $\mu$ m; **D:** Ventral view of coxa 2 with genital opening, distal is down (left 3rd leg); scale 40  $\mu$ m; **E:** Genital opening; scale 10  $\mu$ m; **F:** Tarsus, slightly curved propodus, and claw with very long auxiliary claws (right 3rd leg); scale 100  $\mu$ m; **G:** Claw and auxiliary claws; scale 40  $\mu$ m.



**Figure 36.** *Callipallene spectrum*, male; **A:** Dorsal view of trunk; scale 100 µm; **B:** Lateral view of trunk; scale 100 µm; **C:** Dorsal view of cephalon; scale 100 µm; **D:** Cephalon with proboscis and chelifore; scale 100 µm; **E:** Left 3rd leg; scale 200 µm; **F:** Tibia2, tarsus, propodus, and claws (left 3rd leg); scale 100 µm.



**Figure 37.** *Callipallene tiberi*, female; **A:** Dorsal view of trunk; scale 200  $\mu$ m; **B:** Dorsal view of cephalon with very short neck; scale 100  $\mu$ m; **C:** Mouth opening; scale 20  $\mu$ m; **D:** Left 3rd leg; scale 200  $\mu$ m; **E:** Tarsus, strongly curved propodus, and claw, auxiliary claws about half as long as claw (left 3rd leg); scale 100  $\mu$ m; **F:** Segment 3, 4 and abdomen; scale 40  $\mu$ m.



**Figure 38.** Endeidae; **A, B:** *Endeis charybdaea*, male, dorsal view; scales 3 mm and 1 mm, respectively; **C, D:** *Endeis spinosa*, male, dorsal view; scales 1 mm and 500 µm, respectively.



**Figure 39.** *Endeis charybdaea*, male; **A:** Dorsal view of trunk; scale 1 mm; **B:** Dorsal view of proboscis; scale 200 μm; **C:** Tip of proboscis with numerous spines around mouth; scale 100 μm; **D:** Ocular tubercle; scale 100 μm; **E:** 7-articled oviger; scale 200 μm; **F:** Distal articles of oviger; scale 100 μm.



**Figure 40.** *Endeis charybdaea*, male; **A:** Right lateral process 1 with 2 protuberances; scale 100 μm; **B:** Coxa 1 of right 1st leg with 2 protuberances; scale 100 μm; **C:** Right 3rd leg; scale 1 mm; **D:** Femur with 8 of overall 24 cement glands (left 3rd leg); scale 100 μm; **E:** Single cement gland; scale 5 μm; **F:** Tarsus, propodus, and claws (right 3rd leg); scale 200 μm; **G:** Abdomen; scale 200 μm.



**Figure 41.** *Endeis spinosa*, male; **A:** Dorsal view, abdomen lost due to preparation; scale 1 mm; **B:** Dorsal view of trunk; scale 400  $\mu$ m; **C:** Dorsal view of proboscis; scale 200  $\mu$ m; **D:** Tip of proboscis with few spines around mouth; scale 100  $\mu$ m; **E:** Mouth opening; scale 20  $\mu$ m; **F:** Cephalon with small protuberances with spine and without chelifores; scale 40  $\mu$ m.



**Figure 42.** *Endeis spinosa*, male; **A:** Ocular tubercle; scale 100 µm; **B:** 7-articled oviger; scale 200 µm; **C:** Small spine on oviger-article 6; scale 10 µm; **D:** Right lateral process 1 with 2 protuberances; scale 100 µm; **E:** Right lateral process 3 with 1 protuberance; scale 100 µm; **F:** Coxa 1 of right 1st leg with 2 protuberances; scale 100 µm; **G:** Coxa 1 of right 3rd leg with 1 protuberance; scale 100 µm; **H:** Left 3rd leg; scale 400 µm.



**Figure 43.** *Endeis spinosa*, male; **A:** Lateral view of coxa 2 with genital opening on a protuberance (right 3rd leg); scale 100 µm; **B:** Genital opening; scale 20 µm; **C:** Femur with overall about 11 cement glands (left 3rd leg); scale 200 µm; **D:** Single cement gland; scale 10 µm; **E:** Tarsus, propodus, and claws (left 3rd leg); scale 200 µm; **F:** Spine, hair and slit organ on dorsal side of trunk; scale 10 µm.



**Figure 44.** *Endeis spinosa*, female; **A:** Dorsal view of trunk; scale 1 mm; **B:** Ocular tubercle; scale 100 μm; **C:** Right lateral process 3 without protuberance; scale 100 μm; **D:** Coxa 1 of right 3rd leg without protuberance; scale 100 μm; **E:** Right 3rd leg; scale 1 mm; **F:** Genital opening on ventral side of coxa 2; scale 40 μm; **G:** Abdomen; scale 200 μm.



**Figure 45.** Phoxichilidiidae; **A, B:** *Anoplodactylus angulatus*, female, dorsal view; scales 500 µm and 250 µm, respectively; **C, D:** *Anoplodactylus petiolatus*, male, dorsal view; scales 1 mm and 250 µm, respectively; **E, F:** *Anoplodactylus pygmaeus*, male, dorsal view; scales 1 mm and 250 µm, respectively.



**Figure 46** *Anoplodactylus angulatus*, female; **A:** Dorsal view; scale 400  $\mu$ m; **B:** Lateral view; scale 400  $\mu$ m; **C:** Dorsal view of trunk; scale 200  $\mu$ m; **D:** Ventral view of trunk; scale 100  $\mu$ m; **E:** Frontal view with chelifores with developed chela and mouth opening; scale 40  $\mu$ m; **F:** Mouth opening; scale 20  $\mu$ m.



**Figure 47.** *Anoplodactylus angulatus*, female; **A:** Ventral view of proboscis with conspicuous angles at corners (arrowheads); scale 40 μm; **B:** Ocular tubercle; scale 40 μm; **C:** Right lateral process 3 with spine; scale 40 μm; **D:** Right 3rd leg; scale 200 μm; **E:** Tarsus and propodus with hollow spine on propodus (right 3rd leg); scale 40 μm; **F:** Hollow spine on propodus with slit on tip (arrowhead); scale 5 μm.



**Figure 48.** *Anoplodactylus petiolatus*, male; **A:** Dorsal view of trunk; scale 200  $\mu$ m; **B:** Lateral view of cephalon overreaching posterior part of proboscis, oviger dissected; scale 200  $\mu$ m; **C:** Chelifores with developed chela; scale 40  $\mu$ m; **D:** Left lateral process 3 with protuberance; scale 40  $\mu$ m; **E:** Tarsus, propodus with cutting lamina, and claws (left 3rd leg); scale 40  $\mu$ m; **F:** Cutting lamina on propodus (right 1st leg); scale 20  $\mu$ m.



**Figure 49.** Anoplodactylus pygmaeus, male; A: Dorsal view; scale 400  $\mu$ m; B: Lateral view of trunk; scale 200  $\mu$ m; C: Dorsal view of trunk; scale 200  $\mu$ m; D: Ventral view of trunk; scale 200  $\mu$ m; E: Lateral view of cephalon overreaching posterior part of proboscis, proboscis with constriction encircling it subterminally (arrowheads); scale 100  $\mu$ m; F: Ventral view of proboscis with constriction encircling it subterminally (arrowheads); scale 40  $\mu$ m.



**Figure 50.** *Anoplodactylus pygmaeus*, male; **A:** Mouth opening; scale 20 μm; **B:** Left chelifore with developed chela; scale 20 μm; **C:** Ventral view of right palp, reduced to a small tubercle; scale 40 μm; **D:** Ocular tubercle with lateral sense organ; scale 40 μm; **E:** 6-articled oviger; scale 100 μm; **F:** Distal articles of left oviger; scale 20 μm.



**Figure 51.** *Anoplodactylus pygmaeus*, male; **A:** Right lateral process 3 with protuberance and spine; scale 20 µm; **B:** Left 3rd leg; scale 200 µm; **C:** Ventral view of coxa 2 with genital opening, distal is down (left 4th leg); scale 20 µm; **D:** Dorsal view of femur with cement gland, distal is down (right 4th leg); scale 20 µm; **E:** Tarsus, propodus with cutting lamina, and claws (left 3rd leg); scale 100 µm; **F:** Cutting lamina on propodus; scale 100 µm; insert: Detail of cutting lamina; scale 20 µm; **G:** Hairs and slit organs on dorsal side of trunk; scale 10 µm.



**Figure 52.** *Anoplodactylus pygmaeus*, female; **A:** Dorsal view of trunk; scale 200  $\mu$ m; **B:** Ventral view of trunk, proboscis with a constriction encircling it subterminally (arrowheads); scale 200  $\mu$ m; **C:** Right lateral process 3 with protuberance and spine; scale 40  $\mu$ m; **D:** Ventral view of coxa 2 with genital opening (right 4th leg); scale 40  $\mu$ m; **E:** Genital opening; scale 10  $\mu$ m; **F:** Tarsus, propodus with cutting lamina, and claws (left 1st leg); scale 40  $\mu$ m; **G:** Cutting lamina on propodus; scale 5  $\mu$ m.



**Figure 53.** Pycnogonidae; **A, B:** *Pycnogonum nodulosum*, female, dorsal view; scales 1 mm and 500 µm, respectively; **C, D:** *Pycnogonum* (*Retroviger*) *pusillum*, male, dorsal and ventral view, respectively; scales 250 µm.



**Figure 54.** *Pycnogonum nodulosum*, female; **A:** Dorsal view; scale 1 mm; **B:** Dorsal view of trunk with protuberances on dorsal midline; scale 1 mm; **C:** Lateral view of trunk; scale 1 mm; **D:** Left 3rd leg with protuberances on femur; scale 400  $\mu$ m; insert: Dorsal view of protuberances on femur; scale 200  $\mu$ m; **E:** Tarsus, propodus, and claw without auxiliary claws (left 3rd leg); scale 100  $\mu$ m; insert: Spines on propodus; scale 20  $\mu$ m; **F:** Hair and slit organ on dorsal side of trunk; scale 5  $\mu$ m.



**Figure 55.** *Pycnogonum (Retroviger) pusillum*, male; **A:** Dorsal view; scale 400 μm; **B:** Dorsal view of proboscis; scale 100 μm; **C:** Right 3rd leg; scale 100 μm; **D:** Tarsus, propodus, and claw without auxiliary claws (right 3rd leg); scale 40 μm; **E:** Abdomen; scale 100 μm; **F:** Protuberance, scattered over trunk and legs; scale 5 μm.

### Acknowledgements

We thank Stefan Friedrich for expert technical assistance; Katharina Jörger and Roland Meyer for help with collecting the animals; and Stefan Füssl for help with preparing the figures in Adobe Photoshop.

## References

- Arango CP (2002) Morphological phylogenetics of the sea spiders (Arthropoda: Pycnogonida). Organisms Diversity & Evolution 2: 107–125. doi: 10.1078/1439-6092-00035
- Arango CP (2003) Molecular approach to the phylogenetics of sea spiders (Arthropoda: Pycnogonida) using partial sequences of nuclear ribosomal DNA. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 28: 588–600. doi: 10.1016/S1055-7903(03)00069-1
- Arango CP, Soler-Membrives A, Miller KJ (2011) Genetic differentiation in the circum-Antarctic sea spider *Nymphon australe* (Pycnogonida; Nymphonidae). Deep-sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography 58: 212–219. doi: 10.1016/j.dsr2.2010.05.019
- Arango CP, Wheeler WC (2007) Phylogeny of the sea spiders (Arthropoda, Pycnogonida) based on direct optimization of six loci and morphology. Cladistics 23: 255–293. doi: 10.1111/j.1096-0031.2007.00143.x
- Arnaud F (1987) Les pycnogonides (Chelicerata) de Méditerranée: distribution écologique, bathymetrique et biogéographie. Mesogee 47: 37–58.
- Arnaud F, Bamber RN (1987) The Biology of Pycnogonida. Advances in Marine Biology 24: 1–96. doi: 10.1016/S0065-2881(08)60073-5
- Bacescu M (1959) Pycnogonides nouveaux pour la foune de la mer Noire: Anoplodactylus petiolatus (Kr.), A. stocki n. sp. and Callipallene brevirostris (John.). Review Roumain de Biologie 4: 117–128.
- Bamber RN (2007) A holistic re-interpretation of the phylogeny of the Pycnogonida Latreille, 1810 (Arthropoda). Zootaxa 1668: 295–312.
- Bamber RN (2010) Sea-spiders (Pycnogonida) of the north-east Atlantic: Keys and Notes for Identification the Species. Linnean Society of London by Field Studies Council, London, Shrewsbury, 250 pp.
- Bamber RN, El Nagar A (2013) Pycnobase: World Pycnogonida Database. Available from: http://www.marinespecies.org/pycnobase/ [accessed March 2014]
- Benson DA, Karsch-Mizrachi I, Lipman DJ, Ostell J, Sayers EW (2010) GenBank. Nucleic acids research 38: D46–D51. doi: 10.1093/nar/ gkp1024
- Bolte KB (1996) Techniques for obtaining scanning electron micrographs of minute arthropods. Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Ontario 127: 67–87.
- Bourdillon A (1954) Contribution à l'étude des pycnogonides de Tunisie. Station océanographique de Salammbo 35: 1–8.
- Bouvier EL (1923) Faune de France Pycnogonides. Paris, 71 pp.
- Carapelli A, Torricelli G, Nardi F, Frati F (2013) The complete mitochondrial genome of the Antarctic sea spider *Ammothea carolinensis* (Chelicerata; Pycnogonida). Polar Biology: 1–10.
- Chimenz-Gusso C, Lattanzi L (2003) Mediterranean Pycnogonida: faunistic, taxonomical and zoogeographical considerations. Biogeographia 24: 251–262.
- Chimenz C, Tosti M, Cottarelli V (1993) Taxonomical and ecological observations on Pycnogonida from Apulian coasts (Southern Italy). Italian Journal of Zoology 60: 339–347.

- Chimenz Gusso C (2000) Picnogonidi delle coste italiane: quadro delle conoscenze. Memorie della Società entomologica italiana 78: 541–574.
- Costa OG (1861) Microdoride Mediterranea, o descrizione de'poco ben conosciuti od affatto ignoti viventi minuti e microscopici del Mediterraneo: I. Stamperia dell'Iride, Napoli, 80 pp.
- Dietz L, Krapp F, Hendrickx ME, Arango CP, Krabbe K, Spaak JM, Leese F (2013) Evidence from morphological and genetic data confirms that *Colossendeis tenera* Hilton, 1943 (Arthropoda: Pycnogonida), does not belong to the *Colossendeis megalonyx* Hoek, 1881 complex. Organisms Diversity & Evolution 13: 151–162. doi: 10.1007/s13127-012-0120-4
- Dietz L, Mayer C, Arango CP, Leese F (2011) The mitochondrial genome of *Colossendeis megalonyx* supports a basal position of Colossendeidae within the Pycnogonida. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 58: 553–558. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2010.12.016
- Dohrn A (1881) Die Pantopoden des Golfes von Neapel und der angrenzenden Meeresabschnitte. Monographie der Fauna und Flora des golfes von Neapel 3: 1–252.
- Dunlop JA, Arango CP (2005) Pycnogonid affinities: a review. Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research 43: 8–21. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0469.2004.00284.x
- Dunlop JA, Friederichs A, Krapp F, Ring C (2007) An annotated catalogue of the sea spiders (Pycnogonida, Pantopoda) held in the Museum für Naturkunde der Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin. Zoosystematics and Evolution 83: 43–74. doi: 10.1002/mmnz.200600017
- Faraggiana R (1940) Pantopodi del Mare Ligure. Bollettino dei Musei di Zoologia e Anatomia comparata di Torino 48: 145–158.
- Giltay L (1929) Quelques pycnogonides des environs de Banyuls (France). Bulletin et Annales de la Société entomologique de Belgique 69: 172–176.
- Giribet G, Edgecombe GD (2012) Reevaluating the arthropod tree of life. Annual review of entomology 57: 167–186. doi: 10.1146/annurev-ento-120710-100659
- Hedgpeth JW (1947) On the evolutionary significance of the Pycnogonida. Smithsonian miscellaneous Collection 106: 1–53.
- Helfer H (1936) The fishery grounds near Alexandria (Egypt). VIII. Pantopoda. Fisheries Research Directorate, Notes and Memoirs 16: 1–6.
- Hodge G (1864) XIII. List of the British Pycnogonoidea, with descriptions of several new species. The Annals and Magazine of Natural History 13: 113–117.
- King PE (1986) Sea spiders: a revised key to the adults of littoral Pycnogonida in the British Isles. Field Studies 6: 493–516.
- Kocak C, Alan N (2013) Pycnogonida (Arthropoda) from Mersin Bay (Turkey, eastern Mediterranean). Turkish Journal of Zoology 37: 367–371.
- Kocak C, Katagan T, Sukatar A (2010) New records of shallow-water sea spiders (Arthropoda: Pycnogonida) from Turkey. Zoology in the Middle East 49: 118–120. doi: 10.1080/09397140.2010.10638403
- Krabbe K, Leese F, Mayer C, Tollrian R, Held C (2010) Cryptic mitochondrial lineages in the widespread pycnogonid *Colossendeis megalonyx* Hoek, 1881 from Antarctic and Subantarctic waters. Polar Biology 33: 281–292. doi: 10.1007/s00300-009-0703-5
- Krapp F (1973) Pycnogonida from Pantelleria and Catania, Sicily. Beaufortia 21: 55–74.
- Krapp F, Kocak C, Katagan T (2008) Pycnogonida (Arthropoda) from the eastern Mediterranean Sea with description of a new species of *Anoplodactylus*. Zootaxa 1686: 57–68.
- Krøyer H (1844) Bidrag til Kundskab om Pycnogoniderne eller Søspindlerne. Naturhistorisk Tidsskrift, Kjøbenhavn 1: 90–139, Taf. 131.

#### Lehmann, T. et al.: Common littoral pycnogonids of the Mediterranean Sea

- Mahon AR, Arango CP, Halanych KM (2008) Genetic diversity of Nymphon (Arthropoda: Pycnogonida: Nymphonidae) along the Antarctic Peninsula with a focus on Nymphon australe Hodgson 1902. Marine Biology 155: 315–323. doi: 10.1007/s00227-008-1029-5
- Montagu G (1808) II. Description of several Marine Animals found on the South Coast of Devonshire. Transactions of the Linnean Society of London 9: 81–114. doi: 10.1111/j.1096-3642.1818.tb00327.x
- Montoya Bravo MF, Meltzer L, Meyer R, Melzer RR (2006) Pycnogonids under infralitoral stones at Cape Savudrija, Northern Adriatic Sea (Pantopoda, Ammotheidae). Spixiana 29: 87.
- Müller HG (1993) World catalogue and bibliography of the recent Pycnogonida. H.-G. Müller, 410 pp.
- Munilla T (1993) Pycnogonids from southern Spain: Fauna 1 Project. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 73: 543–543. doi: 10.1017/S0025315400033099
- Munilla T, Nieto D (1999) Littoral pycnogonids from the Chafarinas islands (Alboran Sea, western Mediterranean). Vie et Milieu 49: 155–161.
- Nakamura K, Kano Y, Suzuki N, Namatame T, Kosaku A (2007) 18S rRNA phylogeny of sea spiders with emphasis on the position of Rhynchothoracidae. Marine Biology 153: 213–223. doi: 10.1007/ s00227-007-0803-0
- Nielsen JF, Lavery S, Lörz A (2009) Synopsis of a new collection of sea spiders (Arthropoda: Pycnogonida) from the Ross Sea, Antarctica. Polar Biology 32: 1147–1155. doi: 10.1007/s00300-009-0611-8
- Pérez-Ruzafa A, Munilla T (1992) Pycnogonid ecology in the Mar Menor (Murcia, SW Mediterranean). Scientia Marina 56: 21–28.
- Ratnasingham S, Hebert PDN (2007) BOLD: The Barcode of Life Data System (http://www.barcodinglife.org). Molecular ecology notes 7: 355–364. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01678.x
- Regier JC, Shultz JW, Zwick A, Hussey A, Ball B, Wetzer R, Martin JW, Cunningham CW (2010) Arthropod relationships revealed by phylogenomic analysis of nuclear protein-coding sequences. Nature 463: 1079–1083. doi: 10.1038/nature08742
- Ruppert EE, Fox RS, Barnes RD (2004) Invertebrate zoology: a functional evolutionary approach. Thomson-Brooks/Cole, Belmont, 963 pp.
- Sars GO (1888) Pycnogonida borealia et arctica enumerat (Prodromus descriptionis). Archiv for Mathematik og Naturvidenskab, Oslo 12: 339–256.

- Schmidt I, Glaubrecht M, Golani D (2001) Biogeographie und Biodiversität. In: Hofrichter R (Ed.) Das Mittelmeer, Band 1, Allgemeiner Teil: Fauna, Flora, Ökologie. Spektrum, Akad. Verlag, Heidelberg, Berlin, 608.
- Schüller S (1989) Die Pantopodenfauna von Rovinj (Nördliche Adria) und der Jahreszyklus einiger Arten. Bonner Zoologische Beiträge 40: 285–295.
- Stock JH (1952) Revision of the European representatives of the genus *Callipallene* Flynn, 1929 (Pycnogonida). Beaufortia 13: 1–15.
- Stock JH (1958) Pycnogonida from the Mediterranean coast of Israel. The Bulletin of the Research Council of Israel 7B: 137–142.
- Stock JH (1962) Some Turkish pycnogonid records. Entomologische Berichten 22: 218–219.
- Stock JH (1966) Sur quelques Pycnogonides de la région de Banyuls (3e note). Vie et Milieu 17: 407–417.
- Stock JH (1968) Faune marine des Pyrenees-Orientales, Fascicule 6, Pycnogonides. Vie et Milieu Supplément 19: 1–38.
- Stock JH (1987) Faunistic transit between the Atlantic and the Mediterranean: the deep-water Pycnogonida. Cahiers de Biologie Marine 28: 505–519.
- Stock JH, Soyer J (1965) Sur quelques Pycnogonides rares de Banyulssur-Mer. Vie Milieu 16: 415–422.
- Tichy G, Tomek C, Hsü KJ, Hofrichter R (2001) Geologie und Entstehungsgeschichte. In: Hofrichter R (Ed.) Das Mittelmeer, Band 1, Allgemeiner Teil: Fauna, Flora, Ökologie. Spektrum, Akad. Verlag, Heidelberg, Berlin, 608.
- Weis A, Friedrich S, Melzer RR (2011) Antarctic Pycnogonida housed at the Bavarian State Collection of Zoology. Zoosystematics and Evolution 87: 297–317. doi: 10.1002/zoos.201100008
- Weis A, Melzer RR (2012a) Chilean and Subantarctic Pycnogonida collected by the "Huinay Fjordos" Expeditions 2005–2011. Zoosystematics and Evolution 88: 185–203. doi: 10.1002/zoos.201200016
- Weis A, Melzer RR (2012b) How did sea spiders recolonize the Chilean fjords after glaciation? DNA barcoding of Pycnogonida, with remarks on phylogeography of *Achelia assimilis* (Haswell, 1885). Systematics and Biodiversity 10: 361–374. doi: 10.1080/14772000.2012.716462
- Zavodnik D (1968) Beitrag zur Kenntnis der Asselspinnen (Pantopoda) der Umgebung von Rovinj (Nördl. Adria). Thalassia Jugoslavica 4: 45–53.

# **ZOBODAT - www.zobodat.at**

Zoologisch-Botanische Datenbank/Zoological-Botanical Database

Digitale Literatur/Digital Literature

Zeitschrift/Journal: Zoosystematics and Evolution

Jahr/Year: 2014

Band/Volume: 90

Autor(en)/Author(s): Lehmann Tobias, Heß [Hess] Martin, Melzer Roland R.

Artikel/Article: Common littoral pycnogonids of the Mediterranean Sea 163-224